		1	2 INDEX
	1	2	
	1 TOWNSHIP OF TEANECK	3	MS. BERGER Steven M. Lydon 4
1	2 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	4	QUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE
	3 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2019	5	
	4 IN THE MATTER OF : TRANSCRIPT OF 5 APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING : PROCEEDING	6	Debra Eliyahu 25 David Selove 54
	6 on Investigation and Adoption of :	7	Howard Rose 59 Alan Sohn 61
1	7 100 STATE STREET, LLC XB2016-08 8 B E F O R E:		Dr. Powers 90, 122
	9 JAN MEYER, CHAIRMAN	8	Sharon Beal 116 Therise Edwards 117
	HARVEY ROSEN, VICE CHAIRMAN  JERRY BARTA (RECUSED)  EDWARD MULLIGAN	9	Dr. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley 119
	11 DANIEL WETRIN MONICA HONIS 12 ATTF REMPAN	10	
	MARK MERGELSTEIN 13 JENNIFER PRINCE	11	THE BOARD Elizabeth Leheny 147
	14 DANIEL STEINHAGEN, ESQ. BOARD ATTORNEY	12	MS. BERGER 163
	15  ROSILAND MCLEAN 16 BOARD SECRETARY		
	17 ADAM MYSZKA	13	QUESTIONS BY THE PUBLIC Dr. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley 166
	ASSISTANT ZONING OFFICER  JOSEPH VINCE	14	
	19 BOARD ENGINEER 20 ELIZABETH LEHENY	15	
	EQARD PLANNER 21 CHARLES OLIVO	16	EXHIBITS
	22 BOARD ENGINEER	17	NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
1	23 WENDY BERGER, ESQ. Attorney for the Applicant 24	18	7-7-10-1
	Reported by: Susan Bischoff, CCR, RPR	19 20	
ı		21 22	
ı		23 24	
		25 25	
	3		4
			7
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: The next matter is, I	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.
1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: The next matter is, I believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.	1 2	·
1 2 3			CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.
3 4	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms. Berger, are you ready? MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.
3 4 5	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.	2 3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
3 4 5 6	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a
3 4 5 6 7	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.
3 4 5 6 7 8	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.  MR. VINCE: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr. Steinhagen.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.  MR. VINCE: I do.  MS. LEHENY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr. Steinhagen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before we do that, Ms.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.  MR. VINCE: I do.  MS. LEHENY: Yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you. So they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr. Steinhagen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before we do that, Ms. Berger, I believe I received an amendment to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.  MR. VINCE: I do.  MS. LEHENY: Yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you. So they're  sworn for the year.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr. Steinhagen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before we do that, Ms. Berger, I believe I received an amendment to the application in my package.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believe, 100 State Street. So let's Ms.  Berger, are you ready?  MR. STEINHAGEN: We should swear in  Charles, Joe, and Liz just for the calendar year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just typically they're  sworn in for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Olivo, Mr. Vince,  and Ms. Leheny, please raise your right hand. Do  you swear or affirm any testimony you will give  before this board in any matter during the  2019-2020 session of the zoning board of  adjustment will be the truth, the whole truth, and  nothing but the truth, so help you God?  MR. OLIVO: Yes.  MR. VINCE: I do.  MS. LEHENY: Yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you. So they're  sworn for the year.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I can use my mic.  Can you hear me now?  AUDIENCE MEMBER: Absolutely.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, good.  MS. BERGER: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board, I hope everyone had a lovely summer.  We're here this evening to continue with the cross-examination and testimony of our witness, Mr. Lydon. He was previously sworn, I don't know if you'd like him sworn in again, but he was sworn, he's continuing  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to remind you that you're under oath from your prior testimony. The last time you were here was in June?  THE WITNESS: June 20th, thank you, Mr. Steinhagen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before we do that, Ms. Berger, I believe I received an amendment to the application in my package.  MS. BERGER: So I'd like to ask Mr.

6 5 questions last meeting about the applicant we're going to mark it, that would be fine. 1 presenting an alternate plan? 2 MS. BERGER: Yes. 2 Sure. Quick background. This application Α Yes, he did. At the very beginning of the 3 3 hearing. was submitted with 100 on-site parking spaces and 5 Q And, unfortunately, we had to say no, we 5 an ancillary part of the application was the applicant had agreed to pave a Township of Teaneck did not have one last time, correct? 6 lot across the street, across State Street and Α That is correct. 7 7 make that available for public parking. It is --**Q** But we do have one tonight? 8 Α We have been busy beavers. 9 Q For 44? 9 For 44 parking spaces. And that was going Q And that plan has been circulated to the 10 10 to be at the sole cost of the developer, as a part board and the board's experts; is that correct? 11 11 of this application. The board expressed some Α Correct. 12 12 reservations with that parking supply. So what Q And that plan answers the Chairman's 13 13 question; is that correct? 14 has happened is we used the hiatus from the June 14 20th meeting to prepare two exhibits. The first Α It answers the Chairman's questions 15 15 one I'd like to talk to is identified as drawing affirmatively, yes. 16 16 A-202B and it bears a revision date of August Q And it answers the Chairman's question 17 17 20th, 2019. What this shows? in two different manners; is that correct? 18 18 19 Yes, it does. 19 MR. STEINHAGEN: Who prepared that, just Q Could you please explain what -- how it 20 for the record? 20 21 THE WITNESS: That was prepared by RSC. answers the Chairman's question? 21 22 MR. STEINHAGEN: So we're going -- I 22 Certainly. The -- and --23 believe we're up to A-20. I'm looking at the 23 Q Maybe we'll mark the documents. 24 MR. STEINHAGEN: Ms. Berger, I was going 24 transcript, the last thing you marked was A-19, to ask Mr. Lydon to at least identify it. If 25 so --25 7 1 MS. BERGER: So A-20. 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me ask --Those will be assigned to two-bedroom 2 MR. STEINHAGEN: So we're going to mark 2 apartments. So it will function as a that as A-20. And that's sheet A-202B, Mr. Lydon? 3 single-family house with a one car wide driveway. 4 THE WITNESS: A-202B, yes. MS. BERGER: B as in boy. If you have two cars in there, someone's got to 5 move the first car to get the second car out. 6 MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes. (Drawing A-202B is receive and marked 7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Two keys? 7 THE WITNESS: Two keys or fobs. They A-20 for identification.) 8 8 will be assigned to two-bedroom apartments. And what this shows is an expansion of the 9 9 10 MR. ROSEN: Just to be clear, you're now on-site parking facilities, increasing the total 10 11 talking about the drawing marked semi-extension number of on-site spaces from 100 to 113 and it 11 alternative? 12 does that by shifting the -- extending the upper 12 MS. BERGER: Yes, correct. If you look 13 level parking area closer to the rear line on the 13

east side of the property. 14 So what will happen is in the event this 15

is approved by the board, instead of having 100 on-site parking spaces, the applicant is proposing 113 parking spaces on-site, 44 across State Street at the municipal property. Q And with regard to those additional

16

17

18

19

20 parking spaces, 13, are they single spaces or are 21 they tandem spaces? 22

23 We've added single spaces behind previously proposed, so the new spaces are in a tandem 24 arrangement.

in the right hand corner, it says A-202B. 14 The drawing title, just to be --15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: The --16 17 MR. ROSEN: What's your intention of 18 giving us two? MS. BERGER: Well, let us explain and 19 we'll --20 21 THE WITNESS: That drawing you just made 22 reference to, so the record is clear, is titled upper level parking plan. The second drawing --23 MR. ROSEN: Right. 24

THE WITNESS: -- which you jumped ahead

25

	9	1	10
1	on ME, Mr. Rosen is identified as A-202A.	1	MR. ROSEN: So which one are you
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me guess it has 125	2	advocating for? Which one are you you're
3	spaces.	3	asking us to choose one over the other? What's
4	THE WITNESS: I can leave now. That	4	your intention?
5	plan is also dated August 20th, 2019, and was also	5	THE WITNESS: Well, Mr. Rosen, because I
6	prepared by RSC Architects and what this does	6	believe the prior testimony that these stalls
7	MR. STEINHAGEN: Ms. Berger, A-21?	7	really are not necessary for the development, I
8	MS. BERGER: Yes.	8	think the board would be well within its authority
9	(Drawing A-202A received and marked A-21	9	and power and wisdom to approve the first drawing
10	for identification.)	10	with 100. However, because we're practical
11	THE WITNESS: And the difference between	11	people, we are willing to do either one of them.
12	these two plans is this extends that upper level	12	The one with 113 or the one with 125.
13	parking deck along the entire rear line of the	13	MS. BERGER: However
14	building. And instead of adding 13 additional	14	THE WITNESS: However, we do believe the
15	parking spaces to the previously proposed 100, it	15	100 spaces is adequate and appropriate and
16	adds 25.	16	reasonable.
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Remind me again how	17	Q If I could just? Does the expansion of
18	many two bedrooms do we have in this project as	18	the parking deck require an additional variance?
19	proposed?	19	A Yes, there's a slight increase in the
20	THE WITNESS: It's in my outline, which	20	building coverage, of course. And the back wall
21	I can't find.	21	is 14 feet higher than it would have otherwise
22	MS. BERGER: 38, I believe.	22	been. And it's closer to the rear property line.
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.	23	MR. ROSEN: I personally find it hard to
24	THE WITNESS: Correct, 38.	24	make a choice. I see what you're doing and I
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: 38 two-bedrooms.	25	thank you for it. But I, and I would imagine my
	11		12
1	11 colleagues on the board, need to make a decision	1	12 we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative
1 2		1 2	· · ·
l	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision	1	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative
2	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a	2	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of
2 3	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this	2 3	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going
2 3 4	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what	2 3 4	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with
2 3 4 5	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want	2 3 4 5	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say
2 3 4 5 6	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for	2 3 4 5 6	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to
2 3 4 5 6 7	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one	2 3 4 5 6 7	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher wall that has to surround that level. And we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the spaces, maximize the efficiency, and removing an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher wall that has to surround that level. And we thought if that was a consideration of the board,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the spaces, maximize the efficiency, and removing an additional parking requirements that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher wall that has to surround that level. And we thought if that was a consideration of the board, and the impact, that they might want to see that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the spaces, maximize the efficiency, and removing an additional parking requirements that would otherwise spill over into the streets. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher wall that has to surround that level. And we thought if that was a consideration of the board, and the impact, that they might want to see that alternative.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the spaces, maximize the efficiency, and removing an additional parking requirements that would otherwise spill over into the streets. The applicant, at the same time, also made an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	colleagues on the board, need to make a decision on one, two, or three. We can'tI can't make a decision on the submitted one, knowing that this is in the back pocket. So I'd like to know what you're advocating to this board and what you want us to decide upon. It's not fair to us to say we got to choose A, B, or C, which one which one is the one that you're presenting to us for consideration?  MS. BERGER: Well, we are presenting both because there was a question from the Chairman. And we are showing you two different drawings because frankly there is a variance required now because of lot coverage, one has more open to the other building next door, where we eliminated that parking, that's where there's a smaller residential building on one side than the larger apartment complex. So we thought the board might want that because clearly there's a higher wall that has to surround that level. And we thought if that was a consideration of the board, and the impact, that they might want to see that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we're not going to do, and I I am appreciative of the effort to do this, it's still a matter of which application which rendering are you going to ask us to consider? Do we want to stick with the original application or are you going to say we're going to take I just don't know how to judge this in the long run. So, Mr. Chairman, maybe you could explain it?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it was my suggestion to see that since there was an expert in this matter, an architect who indicated to us that 125 spaces were able to be placed at the premise. And I think  MS. BERGER: And that was correct, that was the testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And that was the testimony. I held them to it. I said, okay, make the plans in a way that we can get the maximized maximize the parking issue the spaces, maximize the efficiency, and removing an additional parking requirements that would otherwise spill over into the streets. The

13

1

the lot across the street as a concession or as apackage deal --

3 MS. BERGER: Under RSIS.

4 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right, to make sure 5 that would also happen. So I had -- I am very 6 pleased that at least the applicant, in this 7 instance, volunteered to provide as much parking 8 as required and thereby we're basically -- what, 9 134 was required according to --

10 THE WITNESS: 132, Mr. Chairman.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: 132, so we're seven 12 spaces off.

MS. BERGER: And that's without even counting street parking, which you can do for RSIS.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. And in light of that, and I think in light of the testimony that Mr. Lydon indicated, that actually people living in apartments like this, close to public transportation, it might not even be necessary to have necessarily 132 spaces, I think a good planning, because everything around these other multifamily residential buildings have -- other than the new ones that are built, have no --

15

1 least. So I think that's -- if that answers your
2 question?

the old stock have no parking whatsoever.

MR. ROSEN: You've explained why -- and I understand it, but I just want to know in the final submission, are you going to submit the one with the extra 25 spaces or are you going to stand on your original application, and ask us to consider, that's all I'm asking.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think the applicant, and correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Berger, the applicant is saying we are willing to put 125 parking spaces on this lot. And I say thank you.

MR. ROSEN: Okay. Then I will accept

13 MR. ROSEN: Okay. Then I will accept 14 that offer and I believe we're all now considering 15 the updated offer with another 25 spaces.

MS. BERGER: Clearly, the applicant felt that we had sufficient parking when we came in with our 100 and the 44, because we met the code requirement and we met RSIS requirements with that proposal. It appeared that some of the board members felt differently and felt we should present an alternative, so we did.

We presented the 125, which we testified to and we had it -- show a diagram that reflected

to and we had it -- show a diagram that reflected
the testimony and that's what it did. But we also

So I want to be extra cautious when we

14

2 put a -- grant a variance for a building like

3 this, that there's no spillage. There's no

4 utilization of other people's parking spaces

5 outside this property. And everybody knows if you

6 have a reserved spot under your apartment, you're

7 not going to run around and try to find parking on

8 the street or fight for that or when there's snow

9 that has to be removed -- there has to be, you

10 know, where your car is locked in after the

11 snowplow has been there. So people are going to

12 utilize their own parking area as much as they

13 can. And it's very convenient, come in, you don't

14 have to worry about finding a spot.

So I welcome certainly and I think it'sa good reso -- not resolution, it's a good

17 proposal to maximize as much as they can with 125

18 spaces on the premises for the parking. And so if

19 the applicant is offering that, I'm sure it has

20 maybe an additional cost, that's not really my

21 worry and my concern or our concern. But

22 certainly it lends itself to, I think, a better

23 utilization of the space for purposes of getting

24 to the ultimate goal. And I think also lessens

25 the impact on the street for purpose of parking at

16

1 thought at the same time maybe it might be wise to

2 bring something that showed a little less because

3 it would -- we would cut down on the coverage

4 and --

10

16

5 MR. ROSEN: I get that completely. I

6 just want to know what, in the end -- you know,

7 when it comes time for us to consider this

8 application, be it tonight or future meetings,

9 what is the application? Is it for the amended

one with the extra 25, or what are you ultimately

11 asking us to consider?

12 MR. STEINHAGEN: Perhaps maybe I could 13 help. If the board were to take a vote tonight,

is lielp. If the board were to take a vote tonight,

14 would you want the motion to be on A-202A, which

is A-21, A-202B, or the original plan?

MS. BERGER: Well, I mean we really --

17 CHAIRMAN MEYER: We're not going to play

18 that game.

19 MR. ROSEN: We will consider an

20 application --

21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: 125.

22 MR. ROSEN: -- as submitted or amended,

23 we're not going to --

24 MR. STEINHAGEN: Which one would it

25 be --

	17		10
1	MS. BERGER: We would like you to	1	CHAIRMAN MEVER: The street parking
2	consider the 125.	1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: The street parking
3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.	3	across the street that they were going that
4	MS. BERGER: Except we would also ask	4	they are, if this application is approved, pave and stripe and prepare, I believe had 44 spaces.
5	that you then amend the application to include the	5	MS. BERGER: Correct.
6	variance necessary because now we have building	6	MR. REHMAN: And I understood that, but
7	coverages increases with the building coverage?	7	I thought that the across the street was not
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: But what is the	, 8	factored into what you what was required. So I
9	building coverage increase, do we have that?	9	thought the property, in and of itself, was fine
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, we do.	10	on parking.
11	MS. BERGER: We didn't get to that.	11	MS. BERGER: No, because there's a
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. It's de minimus	12	statute a regulation called RSIS that says you
13	compared to what we have now?	13	could meet that parking requirement with certain
14	MS. BERGER: Right, but it does it is	14	other parking in the area. And that's so that
15	a requirement.	15	there's just you don't have a lot of parking
16	MR. ROSEN: I think it's a reasonable	16	lots that are not being used and more people could
17	request.	17	share. That's really the reason for it. And
18	MR. REHMAN: Can you just clarify	18	that's why we're doing the lot across the street.
19	something, Chairman? I think you mentioned	19	So we need to give numbers.
20	something about 132 and only being seven off now.	20	MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes.
21	I thought that we didn't need a variance for	21	MS. BERGER: But I thought your engineer
22	parking to begin with?	22	wanted to ask something?
23	MS. BERGER: That's without considering	23	MR. STEINHAGEN: There's another
24	the lot across the street and without considering	24	question I think Mr. Vince had.
25	the street parking.	25	MR. VINCE: I just wanted to bring up
1	19		20
1	19 that the drive aisle under the new amended	1	20 BY MS, BERGER;
1 2	· -	1 2	BY MS. BERGER:
	that the drive aisle under the new amended		
2	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.	2	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.
2	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24. So that would be a de minimus exception.	2	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if
2 3 4	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24. So that would be a de minimus exception. THE WITNESS: We were going to get to	2 3 4	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.
2 3 4 5	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.	2 3 4 5	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right.
2 3 4 5 6	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem	2 3 4 5 6	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage. THE WITNESS: We're over it, but Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the drive lane, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage. THE WITNESS: We're over it, but Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the drive lane, so  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage. THE WITNESS: We're over it, but Mr. Melfi made a determination that we would still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the drive lane, so  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Fine.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So 125 is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage. THE WITNESS: We're over it, but Mr. Melfi made a determination that we would still need to increase the building coverage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that the drive aisle under the new amended condition is 22 feet wide, so RSIS requires 24.  So that would be a de minimus exception.  THE WITNESS: We were going to get to that once we went through the variances.  MR. STEINHAGEN: And also that a tandem parking spaces doesn't have an aisle. For instance  MR. VINCE: I guess, technically, yes.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Tandem isn't technically allowed, but you  MS. BERGER: Well  MR. STEINHAGEN: de minimus exception to that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What do you mean, it's not allowed?  MR. VINCE: The RSIS is says if you have a parking space, you're supposed to have 24 feet to back up. It doesn't discuss tandem parking.  MR. STEINHAGEN: But it doesn't have the drive lane, so  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. BERGER:  Q I'm going to give the numbers? CHAIRMAN MEYER: The increase.  A Parking coverage increases by 14 percent, if we include the 25 additional parking spaces. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. THE WITNESS: And for numbers, it goes from 65.8 to 79.4 percent. MR. ROSEN: Which what is that? THE WITNESS: That's with the second plan with the 25. MR. ROSEN: The coverage? THE WITNESS: The coverage goes from 65.8 to CHAIRMAN MEYER: The coverage is the same. THE WITNESS: To 79.4. MS. BERGER: No, the building coverage. This is the building coverage. THE WITNESS: We're over it, but Mr. Melfi made a determination that we would still need to increase the building coverage. MR. ROSEN: You haven't changed the

21 22 MS. BERGER: Because the parking below MS. BERGER: Just for the record, so you 1 is not considered above grade. So therefore it's have numbers. 2 2 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So these percentage 3 not counted in the building coverage. Now 4 we're -increases, it's not really percentage increase, the building footprint is the same, it's just a CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a technicality. 5 5 different way of calculating it, which does --Basically the footprint is going to be the same, 6 6 MS. BERGER: But Mr. Melfi said that --7 7 8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Fine. MS. BERGER: Right. 8 MS. BERGER: -- he made that 9 MR. ROSEN: Now I understand. 9 determination that we had to --MS. BERGER: But that explains and also 10 10 CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's easy for Mr. 11 the wall height? 11 THE WITNESS: The wall height in the 12 Melfi, he's not here tonight. 12 back increases by about 14 feet. 13 MR. STEINHAGEN: He's very sick. 13 THE WITNESS: In all other regards, the 14 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why? 14 THE WITNESS: To add the second level. application remains the same. 15 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. 16 16 17 MS. BERGER: That was the addition to THE WITNESS: But there's a variance 17 the testimony. We were in the portion of the there. And that's on the worst part of it, other 18 18 proceeding where there were questions by the 19 places are less because it's driving into the 19 20 public. 20 ground. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Q Does it go from approximately 5 feet up 21 21 22 MS. BERGER: This was to answer your to approximately, I think it's 13 or 14 feet? 22 question and we didn't have the information last 23 I believe that's the numbers, yes. 23 24 time. 24 Q Depending on the slope of the --25 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. On where you are. 25 24 23 MS. BERGER: So I'm going to move my a question --1 1 2 MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, maybe you just stuff from the podium, so the public can come back want to put that a little higher. and continue, unless any of your experts had 3 4 MS. BELCHER: Is that better? anything on this? 4 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does any expert 5 MS. BERGER: Yes. 5 6 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Get closer to it, have anything to ask Mr. Lydon about these 125 6 7 spaces that is now a part of the application? No. almost too close. Anyone from the board have any questions regarding 8 MS. BELCHER: Okay, great. 8 9 Regarding the new proposed 125 spaces, I the 125, okay? All right. 9 So let's do the following: The public 10 understand, based on your testimony, that the 10 11 footprint remains the same, but how does it impact wants to ask Mr. Lydon some questions, please do 11 not repeat your questions from last time if you 12 the height of the building? 12 had an opportunity last time. And just please 13 MS. BERGER: Let us show you the plans 13 so you know what we're talking about. stand, get in line, so we can, you know, move this 14 14 THE WITNESS: It doesn't really impact along. And let's see if we can get questions for 15 15 the height of the building because the parking is 16 16 Mr. Lydon. 17 Good evening, ma'am. Come on up, tell 17 extended to the rear at one level. And that was almost subterranean in some areas. So the parking 18 18 us your name, please. in the back that we're adding will be --MS. BELCHER: Good evening, Denise 19 19 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can we have a little Belcher, 703 Cedar Lane. 20 20 MR. STEINHAGEN: Can you repeat your 21 quiet in --21

22

23

24

25

building --

22

23

24

25

address, I've got to write it down. Seven?

MS. BELCHER: 703 Cedar Lane.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: How are you?

MS. BELCHER: Thank you. Okay. I have

THE WITNESS: -- in fact not impact the

microphones are picking up chatter in the back.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, I think our

	25			
1		Ι.	26	
1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	1	again on page 109, line 23, you refer to	
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's very important	2	conditions in the city, when speaking about	
3	that we keep it quiet in the back so the recording	3	conditions as they relate to Teaneck. For	
4	works and we have a good record and it doesn't	4	example	
5	disturb the court reporter as well.	5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hold on, what page	
6	AUDIENCE MEMBER: The microphones do the	6	again?	
7	work.	7	MS. ELIYAHU: Page 109.	
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. I'm sorry,	8	THE BOARD SECRETARY: What transcript?	
9	ma'am, did you get his	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 16.	
10	THE WITNESS: So it won't affect.	10	MS. BERGER: Page what?	
11	MS. BELCHER: So it would not affect the	11	MS. ELIYAHU: 109, line 17 and 23, you	
12	height, the new proposed 25 spaces would not	12	speak about traditional city lots, for example.	
13	impact the height of the building at all?	13	Are you when planning this, are you fully aware	
14	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	14	that Teaneck is a suburban township and the	
15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, ma'am. Good	15	development in Teaneck needs to be appropriate for	
16	evening. Questions for Mr. Lydon.	16	a suburban environment?	
17	MS. ELIYAHU: Hi.	17	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your name please.	18	MS. ELIYAHU: You then go on to discuss	
19	MS. ELIYAHU: Debra Eliyahu,	19	how odd shaped an odd shaped lot in the city,	
20	E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.	20	which may require many adjustments in a crowded	
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.	21	and cramped city environment affect a building	
22	MS. ELIYAHU: Good evening, to all of	22	proposed in an area how does that affect a	
23	you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board and	23	building proposed in an area with low density	
24	everybody else.	24	multifamily residences?	
25	On May 16th, page 109, line 17, and	25	THE WITNESS: I don't think that's what	
	27		28	
1	I was referring to.	1	28 CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We	
1 2		1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We	
	I was referring to.		CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.	
2	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that	
2 3	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?	2 3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open	
2 3 4	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five	
2 3 4 5	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making	
2 3 4 5 6	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would	
2 3 4 5 6 7	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide.  So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 1.15 feet, but it's 300 feet wide.  So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide.  So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is wider, but narrower.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19 times as a great benefit to the residents of Teaneck.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide. So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is wider, but narrower.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19 times as a great benefit to the residents of Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, it's a great	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 1.15 feet, but it's 300 feet wide. So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is wider, but narrower.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  THE WITNESS: So I was trying to relate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19 times as a great benefit to the residents of Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, it's a great benefit to the public at large. And that's what	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide. So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is wider, but narrower.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  THE WITNESS: So I was trying to relate it to the property it sits on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19 times as a great benefit to the residents of Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, it's a great benefit to the public at large. And that's what it's about, it's about doing, I guess, the wisdom	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I was referring to.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page are you reading from?  MS. ELIYAHU: Same page?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 109?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. You said how they need to adjust to the kind of lot that they have?  THE WITNESS: What I was referring to, and maybe I did it poorly, was a lot often times will provide the shape for a dwelling. So on a 50-foot-wide lot, they usually have a narrower dwelling, that's longer, because a 50 foot wide lot usually has 100 feet depth. What I was doing was contrasting that with this lot. This particular lot has a depth of bear with me, a depth of only 115 feet, but it's 300 feet wide. So instead of having a somewhat narrow, long building, this building flips that model and is wider, but narrower.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  THE WITNESS: So I was trying to relate it to the property it sits on.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. You mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, go ahead. We believe you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that affordable housing applications are not only open to Teaneck residents and not five towns, but five counties in a heavily populated area, thus making is somewhat unlikely that Teaneck residents would be accepted.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me say this, I don't think this is the witness for that issue as who gets picked. There's a third party and this is how it works. And I don't know why, I agree with you, it should be there's a public, you know, low income housing and people live in Teaneck, they should have a preference, but that's not up to me or to you.  MS. ELIYAHU: I understand. However, Mr. Lydon was putting this forth, I counted 19 times as a great benefit to the residents of Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, it's a great benefit to the public at large. And that's what	

29 30 affordable price. And it benefits everyone. So are most likely to respond to a lottery are local let me explain to you how it works. residents. Either having a family member living 2 MS. ELIYAHU: I know how it works. in town or they lived here themselves at one time 3 4 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. or there's a work connection. 5 It does need to be affirmatively 5 MS. ELIYAHU: I understand that. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But I don't think this marketed throughout the region. And I'm sure 6 Teaneck and its administrative agent will do that. 7 witness can explain why the legislators and the 7 courts in their wisdom made this rule the way it But you can still run a lottery so that the 9 is. probability of having a high percentage of MS. ELIYAHU: I understand and I agree. 10 township residents accepted in that building is 10 And I think there should be affordable housing. 11 quite high. 11 It just sounded to me like it was being expressed 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. But I guess 12 13 as a great benefit to Teaneck residents who needed 13 that's not up to the applicant either. I know I 14 affordable housing. heard that over on Avalon, there was 8,000 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So ask Mr. Lydon when 15 applications for 34 apartments. It's ridiculous. 16 THE WITNESS: That just shows you the 16 you referred to affordable housing, did you specifically mean that the affordable housing 17 need. 17 18 would be something that would benefit only Teaneck 18 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I agree. 19 residents. 19 MS. ELIYAHU: I just wanted to make it 20 MS. ELIYAHU: Or mainly Teaneck 20 clear. residents? 21 21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. 22 22 THE WITNESS: In a legal sense, you're MS. ELIYAHU: What year was the building 23 both correct in the sense that you have to have a 23 on 100 State Street purchased by your client? 24 THE WITNESS: Early '60s, I believe it 24 lottery. There are a lot of ways to run a lottery. And you can do it so that the people who 25 was something like --32 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Not by your client? 1 time. MS. ELIYAHU: No, this is --2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't know. 2 MS. ELIYAHU: On June 20th, page 171, 3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. 3 MS. ELIYAHU: Did its intentional 4 lines 4 and 5. 4 5 MS. BERGER: Could you give us a second, 5 neglect make it the blight that needs to be replaced? 6 we have to pass that over. ĥ 7 7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page again? THE WITNESS: I can't answer that 8 MS. ELIYAHU: Page 171, lines 4 and 5. question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the middle of a 9 9 MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. On June 20th, on 10 sentence. 10 page 27, line 7. 11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hold on. 11 MS. ELIYAHU: Well, I will explain. 12 MS. ELIYAHU: I'm sorry, page 80, line 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why don't you ask --okay. 13 15. 13 14 14 MS. ELIYAHU: You called it a blight, CHAIRMAN MEYER: 80, line 15? I'm just trying to focus on the word blight? 15 MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah, but you have 16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. 16 17 to --17 MS. ELIYAHU: You were asked whether or 18 not you were aware that Senator Weinberg had a New 18 THE WITNESS: I was describing the 19 Jersey Transit bus board to discuss in Teaneck 19 existing building. 20 public transportation. And you said no, I missed 20 MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Are you aware that until 2016, it's condition was really quite good, 21 that. Have you attempted to find out about the 21 22 maybe obsolete, but it was not overgrown to the 22 forum held with Senator Loretta Weinberg and the 23 representative from New Jersey Transit earlier 23 extent that it is today. Did its intentional 24 neglect --24 this year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, one question at a 25 THE WITNESS: No. 25

Miles		* *************************************	
	33	l	34
1	MS. ELIYAHU: Do you know who Michael	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, here's how
2	kill coin is?	2	MS. BERGER: This is questions.
3	THE WITNESS: No.	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Questions of this
4	MS. ELIYAHU: Do you know who the	4	witness. If you want to bring New Jersey Transit
5	vice-president and manager of bus operations at	5	and testify, you're more than welcome.
6	New Jersey Transit is?	6	MS. ELIYAHU: I have the response from
7	THE WITNESS: No.	7	there the office of the president?
8	MS. ELIYAHU: Do you know who Paul	8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we can only have a
9	Wyckoff is?	9	live witness that can talk, a piece of paper
10	THE WITNESS: No.	10	cannot say much. So if you have if you want to
11	MS. ELIYAHU: Do you know who's the	11	bring a witness to oppose this application or
12	chief of government and external affairs in the	12	bring something forth in your either
13	office of the president and CEO of New Jersey	13	furtherance of the case or, I guess, in this case
14	Transit?	14	maybe your opposing the case, the applicant's
15	THE WITNESS: Can't say that I do.	15	application, then you got to bring a witness.
16	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. You also said on	16	MS. ELIYAHU: I cannot bring the
17	June 20th, page 27, line 7, and I have copies for	17	president of New Jersey Transit. However, I have
18	the	18	a response
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We have it.	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah, but hello? I
20	MS. ELIYAHU: Well, I'm going to ask to	20	understand and I appreciate you got a letter back.
21	enter this as evidence?	21	· · · ·
22		1	But that's not really we cannot the
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, this is how	22	applicant, in fairness of the applicant, you bring
	let me help you. Page 27?	23	something and it says, you know, the sky is blue
24	MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, but I have a response	24	because it says in the letter, the answer, the sky
25	from New Jersey Transit?	25	is blue on Tuesday. The applicant cannot
	35		36
1	cross-examine and	1	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.
2	cross-examine and MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn	1 2	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead.
	cross-examine and MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.		MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.
2	cross-examine and MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?	2	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead.
2	cross-examine and MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.	2	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible
2 3 4	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.	2 3 4	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add
2 3 4 5	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you	2 3 4 5	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though.
2 3 4 5 6	cross-examine and MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry? MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second.
2 3 4 5 6 7	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead.  MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible  MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add  MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second.  And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the  maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the  maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time. Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the  maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the  maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	cross-examine and  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time. Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a witness, you can bring a witness. And I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked the board to consider it. The board did consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a witness, you can bring a witness. And I think maybe if you want to have something included, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked the board to consider it. The board did consider it, the board denied the application, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a witness, you can bring a witness. And I think maybe if you want to have something included, you can maybe read it at the comments, at the end the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked the board to consider it. The board did consider it, the board denied the application, and the applicant appealed the decision. And the court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the  maybe they were talking about something else  MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time.  Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a witness, you can bring a witness. And I think maybe if you want to have something included, you can maybe read it at the comments, at the end the case. If you feel that is the appropriate time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked the board to consider it. The board did consider it, the board denied the application, and the applicant appealed the decision. And the court said the board was out of its jurisdiction to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?  MS. ELIYAHU: I'm willing to be sworn in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't help, you can't ask questions if the person who wrote the letter had the intent or the person had the maybe they were talking about something else MS. ELIYAHU: If you hear this CHAIRMAN MEYER: One person at a time. Not because you and I cannot have a conversation, but there's a lovely lady sitting to my left here and she can only take person at a time.  So those are the rules of evidence. And we can't do anything about it. But that's the process. And, you know, if you need to bring a witness, you can bring a witness. And I think maybe if you want to have something included, you can maybe read it at the comments, at the end the case. If you feel that is the appropriate time for that. But in order to have it entered in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Same go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: Is it possible MR. STEINHAGEN: I'd also like to add MS. ELIYAHU: I have a question though. MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on one second. And to supplement what Chairman Meyer said, there's a case, I don't know if Ms. Berger is looking for it MS. BERGER: Yes, I have Seburg versus Dover Township. MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, there's also Exxon versus Bernardsville. MS. BERGER: That was going to be my next one. MR. STEINHAGEN: There's a case involving a gas station in Bernardsville. And the objector brought in a written document and asked the board to consider it. The board did consider it, the board denied the application, and the applicant appealed the decision. And the court said the board was out of its jurisdiction to consider a written document that the applicant

	37		38
1	I don't know, I haven't asked the office of the	1	question. Are you aware, Mr. Lydon, that blah,
2	president and CEO of New Jersey Transit to come	2	blah, blah, blah. And then he'd have to say
3	here. I can try to do that. Would it be	3	yes or no.
4	acceptable if he would directly send	4	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Are you
5	correspondence?	5	MS. BERGER: May I just before you
6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, because they cannot	6	proceed, I'm going to put an objection on the
7	cross-examine.	7	record. Because the objection is the questioning
8	MR. STEINHAGEN: Here's the example. If	8	has to be on his direct testimony.
9	the applicant presented a written testimony from	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know we're going to
10	its planner saying here are the reasons why you	10	give a little leeway.
11	should grant a variance and the public wasn't able	11	MS. BERGER: His direct testimony was
12	to ask questions of the planner, that wouldn't be	12	that he had no knowledge of this.
13	fair. That's the same position that you're	13	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. But I will
14	putting applicant in by saying here's this letter	14	MS. BERGER: If I may
15	from New Jersey Transit, with whatever fact we	15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, Ms.
16	want to establish. That's the legal problem that	16	Belcher, one second. Ms. Berger wants to put
17	is being posed by the attempt to offer this into	17	something on the record.
18	evidence.	18	MS. BERGER: I understand
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why don't you ask	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: she has every right
20	I'll give you a trick, okay. I'm going to help	20	to do that. And then I will review it.
21	you.	21	MS. BERGER: Also, I'm going to ask the
22	MS. ELIYAHU: Please.	22	board, as I understood, there's a policy of
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you have something	23	limiting the amount of time of each questions
24 25	that the person I don't know who it is	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, that's only on the good and welfare, which we'll get to at some
25	wrote. So why don't you ask put that in a	25	
<b>a</b>	વ	_	***
		1	40 CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it
1 2	point when you're done with your application.	1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it
2		1 2 3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not
	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook
2 3	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not
2 3 4	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little
2 3 4 5	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.
2 3 4 5 6	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified  MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.
2 3 4 5 6 7	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified  MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified  MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th. Mr. Lydon stated, as we tried to move commuters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City during rush hour. So as we try to move commuters
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th. Mr. Lydon stated, as we tried to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City during rush hour. So as we try to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle, we need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th. Mr. Lydon stated, as we tried to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City during rush hour. So as we try to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle, we need to provide reasonable alternatives for them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th. Mr. Lydon stated, as we tried to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait. MS. BERGER: Where?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City during rush hour. So as we try to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle, we need to provide reasonable alternatives for them to commute to work. And having a bus stop right at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point when you're done with your application.  MS. BERGER: But I know CHAIRMAN MEYER: But it has to be relevant. I agree it has to be relevant questions. If Mr. Lydon hasn't testified MS. BERGER: And he has no knowledge of it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, that's one way to ask. MR. STEINHAGEN: Let's let the question be asked. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then you can object, put your objection on the record. If it's relevant, I'll rule on it. MS. BERGER: Thank you. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Thank you and thank you. On page 27, line 7? MR. STEINHAGEN: What day? MS. ELIYAHU: The same day, June 20th. Mr. Lydon stated, as we tried to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not what it says. And I don't think I think it's wise not to overlook  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes, yes. I went a little further down.  MR. STEINHAGEN: 17.  MS. BERGER: 17?  MS. ELIYAHU: I was trying to  MR. STEINHAGEN: Page 27, line 17.  MS. ELIYAHU: make it a little faster.  I think it's wise not to overlook the introduction of new housing units close to businesses, which can support those businesses as I mentioned earlier and also public transit.  There are literally bus stops in State Street right in front of this development. There are additional bus stops on Teaneck Road which do provide express turnpike services to New York City during rush hour. So as we try to move commuters out of cars and off the carbon cycle, we need to provide reasonable alternatives for them to

	41	T	42
1	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. But it ties in with	1	MS. BERGER: In response to what? I
2	the next citation. And then you were asked on	2	object to the
3	page 80, line 15, you mentioned the proximity of	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yeah, it's you can
4	public transportation. And I was wondering if you	4	say are you aware that New Jersey Transit is not
5	were aware of the ability for the public	5	able to accommodate things or
6	transportation in the area to absorb any	6	MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.
7	additional people, commuters, to New York City.	7	MR. STEINHAGEN: What he said is a
8	And your answer was it can, it can do that because	8	problem.
9	New Jersey Transit has the ability to adjust	9	MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you for
10	routes and adjust locations of bus stations. And	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't quote someone
11	that is part of their reason for existence and	11	else.
12	they do that on a regular basis.	12	MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that New
13	MS. ELIYAHU: Now, my question is: Are	13	Jersey Transit is already operating as many buses
14	you aware that New Jersey Transit, Mr. Paul	14	as their staff and fleet can handle?
15	Wyckoff, and the chief of government and external	15	THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that as
16	affairs says, New Jersey Transit is highly	16	a fact, but aware of the fact that there's still
17	constrained in our ability to provide additional	17	ability to move assets and resources to
18	bus service. And I'll provide	18	underserved areas from less underserved areas.
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, are you	19	MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware of the fact
20	aware of that?	20	that they now have no more capacity at the Port
21	THE WITNESS: Generally speaking.	21	Authority?
22	MS. ELIYAHU: Are you aware that he also	22	THE WITNESS: No, I'm not aware of that
23	says we are	23	fact.
24	MS. BERGER: Says where?	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a hard question
25	MS. ELIYAHU: In response to these	25	for Mr. Lydon to be aware of where the Port
	43		44
1	Authority you know, it's somewhat	1	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on
2	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we	2	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental
2	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart	2 3	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?
2 3 4	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence	2 3 4	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?
2 3 4 5	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.	2 3 4 5	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age
2 3 4 5 6	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental
2 3 4 5 6 7	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you.  Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you.  Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144, line 18?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144, line 18?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay, thank you.  What data do you have on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144, line 18?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the question because you're asking him to verify what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay, thank you.  What data do you have on the distribution of family size to number of bedrooms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you.  Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144, line 18?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the question because you're asking him to verify what he said under oath. So he made a statement under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay, thank you.  What data do you have on the distribution of family size to number of bedrooms in multi-family units?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Authority you know, it's somewhat objectionable, but we give you all the leeway we can. And, you know, I think the board is smart enough to understand what is admissible evidence or what evidence is okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. I tried to make my point.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. And you made a very well point and a good point. And I'm sure and you did very well.  MS. ELIYAHU: I'll see what I can do to get them here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Anything else?  MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Did you make an effort to verify the veracity of your data suggesting only six children would reside in the building at one time as you stated in response to a question by Mr. Rosen on May 16th, page 144, line 18?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the question because you're asking him to verify what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  MS. BERGER: Can you say that again?  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you have data on age distribution of tenants in suburban rental developments, such as the proposed development?  THE WITNESS: Not with me, no, but there may be census data covering that.  MS. ELIYAHU: Do you envision that a large percentage of the residents will be young couple or young families?  THE WITNESS: I don't depends on what you mean by large percentage. I don't think you'd see 85 percent of the people being young couples. I think you're going to see a lot of people moving from Teaneck or the immediately surrounding area. I think there will be a cross section of age groups.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay, thank you.  What data do you have on the distribution of family size to number of bedrooms

•	45		46
1	MS. BERGER: What's the	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And I think also it has
2	MS. ELIYAHU: What data do you have on	2	something to do how much money you have in your
3	the distribution of family size to number of	3	pocket, right?
4	bedrooms in multi-family units?	4	MS. ELIYAHU: I don't know, that's why I
5	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object because	5	was wondering.
6	it's such a general question.	6	MR. ROSEN: It's really not relevant.
7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And how is that	7	MR. STEINHAGEN: It's not an appropriate
8	relevant to this application? The application	8	land use consideration.
9	talks about the place is made of a certain	9	THE WITNESS: No. But now that I
10	amount of bedrooms, one bedroom, two bedrooms, and	10	understand the question, now that it's been
11	a certain amount of three bedrooms.	11	evolving a little bit, I was looking in census
12	MS. ELIYAHU: Well, it's population	12	data because I thought she was looking for
13	distribution.	13	specific numbers. There may be specific census
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't people I guess	14	data number, I don't think so. But there's a
15	nine people is not going to come into a	15	publication that Rutgers put out November 2018,
16	one-bedroom, right? If you have four kids, you're	16	which breaks down break down is probably not a
17	going to go into one-bedroom	17	good term, which exams occupancy of different
18	MS. ELIYAHU: No, I was wondering how	18	types of housing units. And they assign a
19	many people, let's say, who don't have children	19	generalized number to that unit.
20	would move into a three-bedroom, how many people	20	So if you have a three-bedroom condo
21	that have two children would live in a	21	above market value, they would suggest to you that
22	three-bedroom?	22	X number of people are going to live in there
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think it's	23	compared to a one-bedroom rental apartment, below
24	relevant	24	market value. And I used to answer the
25	MS. ELIYAHU: If there's data on that.	25	question that's been asked yet, I used and relied
ı	47	1	
	47		48
1	on that data published by Rutgers, that was	1	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had
2	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of	2	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that
2 3	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged	2 3	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the
2 3 4	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would	2 3 4	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about
2 3 4 5	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.	2 3 4 5	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles
2 3 4 5 6	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of
2 3 4 5 6 7	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the amount of parking that residential buildings will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the amount of parking that residential buildings will demand because I envision it being a service. Are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin, you noted that there would not be charging stations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the amount of parking that residential buildings will demand because I envision it being a service. Are you proposing this building on current conditions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin, you noted that there would not be charging stations for electric cars in the parking garage because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the amount of parking that residential buildings will demand because I envision it being a service. Are you proposing this building on current conditions and current technology in use or what you envision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin, you noted that there would not be charging stations for electric cars in the parking garage because, of the cars in New Jersey, fewer than 1 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on that data published by Rutgers, that was published last year, to estimate the number of or to divine the number of estimated school-aged children that the Rutgers data would suggest would live in this particular building.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was a good question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  On June 20th, page 46, line 12, in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin  MS. BERGER: Just wait a second, until we get to the page.  MS. ELIYAHU: The next few are about cars  MS. BERGER: Okay, we're here.  MS. ELIYAHU: You said as far as autonomous vehicles, I think that that's going to happen. When it happens, it's going to reduce the amount of parking that residential buildings will demand because I envision it being a service. Are you proposing this building on current conditions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original design, with 100 on-site spaces had sufficient parking to meet the demands of that building in my opinion and the opinion of the engineers who have reviewed it. The point about autonomous vehicles was the number of vehicles that this building will demand or the residents of this building will demand is going to drop in the future, not rise.  So I think that this board can be very comfortable with the idea that the maximum amount of parking that's demanded by this building is going to happen when it first opens. And it will drop as the building ages.  MS. ELIYAHU: I see.  MS. BERGER: Did that answer your question?  MS. ELIYAHU: One of them.  MS. BERGER: Okay.  MS. ELIYAHU: On page 48, line 12, also in response to a question from Mr. Wetrin, you noted that there would not be charging stations for electric cars in the parking garage because,

	49		. 50
1	currently in New Jersey?	1	based on autonomous vehicles and there are other
2	·	2	crafts that
3	MS. ELIYAHU: Also on page 46, line 24,	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So are you advocating
4	you stated that with the advent of autonomous	4	they should have less parking spaces?
5	vehicles, it will reduce the number of vehicles	5	MS. ELIYAHU: No. What I'm saying is
6	people own and will reduce the need for parking in	6	that to build on what may or may not be in the
7	multifamily buildings. Since tenants are expected	7	future is and what doesn't exist today as a
8	to move in before autonomous vehicles become the	8	norm is also kind of irrelevant. I've
9	norm, how would you suggest that the parking	9	travelled on a hovercraft, go find one today.
10	okay. You already answered that one with your new	10	THE WITNESS: That's a
11	layout.	11	mischaracterization of my testimony, I might add.
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think we're outside
13	MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. Well, this one is	13	of the scope of his direct testimony. Let's try a
14	already I'm going through things that were	14	little more focused, a little better, you know
15	answered within the plan.	15	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.
16	Now, is it conceivable that concrete	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's focus on the key
17	planning for the possibility of widespread use of	17	issues that has something to do with planning.
18	autonomous vehicles may be planning may be like	18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. What data do you
19	planning for the future of hovercrafts, the use	19	have on the ratio of people to cars in suburban
20	of	20	areas like Teaneck?
21	MS. BERGER: I object to the question.	21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think it's
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: What?	22	already discussed with respect to the ratio of
23	MS. BERGER: It's not relevant to the	23	required parking.
24	direct testimony.	24	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.
25	MS. ELIYAHU: Well, his testimony was	25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I mean we're beating a
	51		52
1	dead horse.	1	52 question. It has no relevance as to what's
1 2	dead horse. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.	1 2	
Ī	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's	1	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.
2 3 4	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.	2	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom
2 3 4 5	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you	2 3	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.
2 3 4 5 6	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.	2 3 4	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height
2 3 4 5 6 7	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dead horse.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required.  And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.  MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an environmental engineer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received this application or that variance, it is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an environmental engineer. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Is an increase of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received this application or that variance, it is not relevant to what we're here for today. Next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an environmental engineer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received this application or that variance, it is not relevant to what we're here for today. Next question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an environmental engineer. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Is an increase of over 200 percent a customary deviation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received this application or that variance, it is not relevant to what we're here for today. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Have you or anybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You know, now, there's proposed of 125 spaces, where 132 is required. And his testimony, Mr. Lydon's testimony was you need even less, but the standard is 132. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. MS. ELIYAHU: As far as the lot coverage, what is your reason for justifying an increase of almost 400 percent over the allowable by zoning? Aside from the fact that you simply want a much larger building? THE WITNESS: We were asked to increase the amount of parking to meet a theoretical need. MS. ELIYAHU: What data what are your data on the environmental impacts of such an increase in lot coverage? MS. BERGER: This is not he's not an environmental engineer. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Is an increase of over 200 percent a customary deviation of building height, is it a customary deviation from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. It has no relevance as to what's custom. The board doesn't move forward on custom or hear applications based on custom.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next question.  MS. ELIYAHU: Would this building height not cause the building to tower over its neighbors?  THE WITNESS: No.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Can you name other instances in which you have been granted variances where the property setbacks have been reduced by 50 percent, as well as, to zero in the same project?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm going to sustain the objection. Every application stands on its own. So it's really not relevant if Mr. Lydon had a project he worked in Morristown and it received this application or that variance, it is not relevant to what we're here for today. Next question.

	53	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	54
1	residents about a building that will be located	1	it.
2	mere feet from their homes?	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.
3	MS. BERGER: Just it's not the	3	MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.
4	appropriate person to ask that question.	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person.
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why not?	5	MR. SELOVE: Hi, David Selove. You got
6	MS. BERGER: But I just wanted to add if	6	my letters, right?
7	you were here at the first hearing, I put on the	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I did not get any
8	record that I represent the owner of the property	8	letters.
9	behind this building. And they know about the	9	MR. STEINHAGEN: Sir, can you spell your
10	whole project that was proposed.	10	last name for me?
11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: But that's not the	11	MR. SELOVE: S-E-L-O-V-E.
12	question. Did Mr. Lydon speak to anyone who lives	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, you tried
13	in back?	13	to call my office, I asked you and you didn't
	THE WITNESS: The answer is no.	14	get through to me. I would ask you not to call my
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question.	15	office.
15	MS. ELIYAHU: What are the relevant data	16	MR. SELOVE: Okay. I did it because I
16		17	sent a letter to somebody, John, the buildings
17	for such impact in suburban areas with low density	17 18	department said he was going to send my letter to
18	multifamily housing units on the long-term	19	each of you.
19	residents or elderly residents when such a		CHAIRMAN MEYER: We don't accept
20	building is	20	
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you know, Mr. Lydon?	21	letters.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't believe there is	22	THE BOARD SECRETARY: I got those
23	any such data.	23	letters, I'm not allowed to give them to the
24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question.	24	board. Any questions you have or any comments you
25	MS. ELIYAHU: That's pretty east much	25	make have to come when the application appears.
	55		56
1	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving	1	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I
1 2	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking	2	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.
1	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an	2	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.
2 3 4	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application	2 3 4	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your
2 3	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want	2 3 4 5	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about
2 3 4	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.	2 3 4 5 6	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you
2 3 4 5	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a	2 3 4 5 6 7	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly inappropriate that you try to get to me and don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are your questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly inappropriate that you try to get to me and don't leave a message or anything and want to talk to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are your questions of Mr. Lydon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly inappropriate that you try to get to me and don't leave a message or anything and want to talk to me. It is highly inappropriate. And I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are your questions of Mr. Lydon?  MR. SELOVE: So, Mr. Lydon, this is for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly inappropriate that you try to get to me and don't leave a message or anything and want to talk to me. It is highly inappropriate. And I did not take your call. Please, do not call my office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are your questions of Mr. Lydon?  MR. SELOVE: So, Mr. Lydon, this is for you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm not allowed to give that out. That's giving testimony or asking  MR. SELOVE: It's nothing to do with an application  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, if you want to send a letter to the board, sent it to Ms.  McLean and she'll make a  MR. SELOVE: Well, Rosiland, if you got  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: I made a decision.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, one person at a time, okay, please? Mr. Selove, you want to send a letter to the board, send all the letters to the board. We don't accept letter that have nothing to do with an application. Or even if it has something to do with an application, you send it to the board secretary. Don't call my office, don't waste my staff's time. It is highly inappropriate that you try to get to me and don't leave a message or anything and want to talk to me. It is highly inappropriate. And I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interpretation that you had gotten the letter, I apologize.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: They didn't. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't have your letter, I don't want to talk about to you about the applications, I don't want to talk to you about anything. If there's anything that has to be spoken about, it is in this forum. Please, follows those  MR. SELOVE: I'll go  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the other rules is, please one person at a time.  MR. SELOVE: Okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please follow those rules.  MR. SELOVE: Understood.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Don't call my office about anything to do with the board. Thank you.  MR. SELOVE: All right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are your questions of Mr. Lydon?  MR. SELOVE: So, Mr. Lydon, this is for

	57		58
1	MS. BERGER: No, I objected the time	1	THE BOARD SECRETARY: People call, come
2	before	2	in all the time, emails, say can I come see the
3	MR. STEINHAGEN: The issue is that	3	plans, can I see the comments. I've given several
4	there's a witness on the who's testifying.	4	people here copies of the letter of refusal and
5	MS. BERGER: On his feet.	5	all the comments that go along with the
6	MR. SELOVE: He may be able to	6	application. So if anybody you've been in,
7	MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on, if you're	7	SO
8	going to ask questions of Mr. Lydon, that's	8	MR. SELOVE: Right. But that's on a
9	appropriate. If you have comments for the board,	9	one-by-one basis. When you have the community at
10	that would be at a later time.	10	large looking at the information and trying to ask
11	MR. SELOVE: And then I'll phrase it as	11	intelligent questions, it's really helpful to have
12	a question to Mr. Lydon and company.	12	the information in front of them. And rather than
13	Have you ever considered projecting	13	having 5,400 people walk into Roz's office
14	these drawings or this data in such a way that the	14	THE BOARD SECRETARY: I don't mind, I
15	entire audience can see the information and not	15	love the company.
16	just the first six people in the first row? All	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Selove, your next
17	of the massing meetings that I've ever been to in	17	question please.
18	my 40 years as a commercial banker, those that	18	MR. SELOVE: You're familiar with the
19	data has been put up on a screen. And everybody	19	report of Mr. Preiss, did you
20	gets to see the statistics and the pictures.	20	MR. ROSEN: Who are you asking?
21	Here, he get to see nothing?	21	THE WITNESS: Which report?
22	MS. BERGER: We present the evidence in	22	MR. SELOVE: There's a report that
23	the manner as required by the board.	23	was
24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: They're available in	24	MR. STEINHAGEN: It's what the
25	the clerk's office in the secretary's office.	25	MR. SELOVE: A question by the Phillips
	59		60
1	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building	1	60 that nobody else wants to ask anything.
1 2		1 2	
	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building		that nobody else wants to ask anything.
2	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.	2	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My
3	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.	2 3	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization
2 3 4	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.	2 3 4	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that
2 3 4 5	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that	2 3 4 5	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.
2 3 4 5 6	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?	2 3 4 5 6	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony.  THE WITNESS: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SELOVE: I think that's pretty much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.  MR. ROSE: Would it be a fair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SELOVE: I think that's pretty much it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.  MR. ROSE: Would it be a fair presumption that whatever the mechanism is, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SELOVE: I think that's pretty much it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, next person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.  MR. ROSE: Would it be a fair presumption that whatever the mechanism is, it's in perpetuity for as long as the building exists?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SELOVE: I think that's pretty much it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, next person.  Mr. Rose. And the next person wants to be if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials.  I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.  MR. ROSE: Would it be a fair presumption that whatever the mechanism is, it's in perpetuity for as long as the building exists?  THE WITNESS: I can't answer that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Preiss to discuss the effects of all the building in the area in the northeast section of Teaneck.  THE WITNESS: That wasn't my testimony.  MS. BERGER: That wasn't his testimony.  MR. SELOVE: So you never saw that report?  THE WITNESS: It wasn't my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I know it wasn't your testimony.  THE WITNESS: You're supposed to ask questions about my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: I'm asking if you ever saw that report.  THE WITNESS: It wasn't about my testimony. That question has nothing to do with my testimony.  MR. SELOVE: It has to do with the effects of your testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SELOVE: I think that's pretty much it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, next person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that nobody else wants to ask anything.  MR. ROSE: Good evening. My congratulations regarding your organization meeting. It's nice to see a township board that has unanimous support for its elected officials. I'm speaking tonight as a resident.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Howard Rose.  MR. ROSE: I'm sorry, Rose, R-O-S-E.  MR. STEINHAGEN: That I got.  MR. ROSE: I'm speaking as a resident, not as a member of the board of adjustment, nor as a member of the Teaneck planning board. And my question to you, sir, is from your testimony, am I to understand that the town is acting as an agent leasing its property for the use of these potential, I guess it's 44 parking spaces?  THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the I'm not familiar with the mechanism by which it's to occur.  MR. ROSE: Would it be a fair presumption that whatever the mechanism is, it's in perpetuity for as long as the building exists?

61 62 terms of the use of other spaces, the spaces that we have to get that transcript. 1 are required in addition to what you're providing MR. SOHN: Absolutely. 2 2 on the impact of neighbors, should they in the 3 THE WITNESS: 110? future have a desire to increase the utilization 4 MR. SOHN: May 16th, page 110, lines 9 5 of their properties for building purposes or 5 through 11. whatever? 6 MS. BERGER: Okay, we got it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm not sure that's 7 MR. SOHN: Quote, it's an old obsolete relevant. and I call them dead office building. You 8 8 9 MS. BERGER: He's not the traffic 9 continue on line 17 and 18 stating that, quote, 10 expert, he's a planner. 10 it's been abandoned by the marketplace. CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's a planner. 11 11 Mr. Lydon, when did it die? Who killed 12 MR. ROSE: I thought that was part of 12 it? When was it abandoned by the marketplace? 13 CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question at a time, 13 planning, I apologize then. I don't have a six 14 page list of questions. Thank you very much for 14 Mr. Sohn, you know that. 15 15 your time. MR. SOHN: It's all one question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Rose. CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question at a time. 16 16 Next. 17 17 MR. SOHN: It's all one big question. MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. Mr. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure and I don't 18 19 Lydon --19 know if it's necessarily relevant as to why it was 20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just one second, Mr. 20 abandoned by the marketplace, it is the current Sohn. Anyone wants to speak after Mr. Sohn? 21 status of the property. There was testimony that 21 Okay. So just thank you. it's not ADA compliant. And although I'm not an 22 22 23 MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 110, lines 23 architect, my understanding is it's very difficult 24 9 through 11. 24 and expensive to bring non-ADA buildings into MS. BERGER: Could you give us a second, 25 compliance. 25 64 1 MR. SOHN: When your client asked for 1 MR. SOHN: It's whether Mr. Lydon knows lifting the deed restriction in April 2015, was the answer. 2 3 the building still very much alive? 3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. THE WITNESS: I have no idea, I have no 4 MR. SOHN: That's why I'm asking the 4 5 knowledge. 5 question. 6 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You weren't on council 6 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, go ahead. I'm 7 at that time, Mr. Sohn? 7 also asking questions. MR. SOHN: Yes, I was. 8 THE BOARD SECRETARY: Please be quiet in 8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you know better the audience. I know you want to laugh and do 9 9 10 about that than anyone else. 10 everything, but not now. 11 MR. SOHN: I'm not --11 MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, would it be safe 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Isn't that true? to say that your client killed the functioning 12 13 MR. SOHN: I'm not questioning myself. office building at 100 State Street, that your 13 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm questioning you client abandoned the functioning businesses at the 14 14 because I'm asking -site, and now your client, the killer, wants 15 15 MR. SOHN: I'm not under oath. exceptional levels of mercy in the forms of 16 16 17 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're not under oath, 17 arrogance? 18 but that doesn't matter. You want to be under 18 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the 19 oath? We could swear you in. 19 question, this is being argumentative with the --20 MR. SOHN: I could be. 20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained. Next 21 21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: But by you asking a question. 22 question you know much more about it yourself. 22 MR. SOHN: Would you agree, again, that MR. SOHN: Again, the question is not 23 23 it was your client that was responsible for ending whether I know the answer -the functioning office building? 24 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know that that was CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm just asking. 25 25

66

true. I do not it was a nonconforming use. I do know it's an older building. And I do know it was 3 non-compliant for ADA purposes. 4 MR. SOHN: The use -- you spoke about the 2007 master plan. Again, including on May 6 16th, page 112, lines 5 to 9. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. SOHN: And there was, I apologize, 8 there was the master plan that was prepared by the 9 planning board in 2007, it explicitly called for 10 11 encouraging an expansion of multifamily housing 12 when there's no detriment to impacts on certain 13 neighborhoods, it says single family 14 neighborhoods. 15 Mr. Lydon, is it correct if you want --16 if your client wants to be allowed to be able to build a multifamily building, because the 2007 17 18 master plan specifically encourages multifamily development? 19 20 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to my 21 client's motivations. 22 MR. SOHN: This is in an RM zone, and your client and you have testified you're seeking 24 to build in the RM zone? 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's true. 67

MR. SOHN: Section 3324 of the township code, the zone district requirements, specify dwelling units per acre of 12, building coverage of 20 percent, lot coverage of 65 percent, and building height, principal building of 35 feet. 6 Mr. Lydon, would you agree that if you 7 comply, if your client complied with the requirements established by the zoning ordinance, there would be no one in the audience, as a matter 10 of fact, there would certainly be no variances 11 needed? 12 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the 13 question. He's talking about whether other people would be in the room and asking Mr. Lydon to make 15 a statement on that? MR. STEINHAGEN: I guess, Ms. Bergen, if 16 17 there was no variance, we wouldn't be here. You 18 would be at the planning board. MS. BERGER: It wouldn't be in this 19 20 room. 21 MR. SOHN: I think we're in agreement. 22 Mr. Lydon, as you seek to remedy the functionally obsolete medical office building, why not comply 23 24 with the RM zoning ordinance? CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't understand the 68

question. If I don't understand it --2 MR. STEINHAGEN: Asking for a variance. 3 MR. SOHN: Okay. 4 THE BOARD SECRETARY: Please be quiet, 5 you can speak when your turn comes. 6 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next. 7 MR. SOHN: In your May 16 testimony again, page 113, line 6. You say that you'd 9 improve economic vitality, quote you put wallets on the street. You make a variant of the 10 11 statement a little bit lower down --12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page is that? 13 MR. SOHN: 113, May 16th, further down 14 on lines 18 to 21, you say you put feet on the 15 streets, wallets on the street. Mr. Lydon --16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hold on, let's get it 17 in context. We can't just take two words out of

25 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm objecting to that question. Okay, that's -- first of all, if you want to be smart about the questions, ask one question at a time and not a compounded, which means two questions at once. Because you can't 6 answer that. 7 So let's -- Mr. Lydon, what did you mean about the wording that you said feet on the 9 street? 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Real short history. 11 Since the recession of 2008 or thereabouts, and 12 the advent of online delivery services, merchants 13 downtown, brick and mortar merchants, like Mr. 14 16

Rose, although I'm not talking about his business, throughout Bergen County in particular have struggled. Our offices are in downtown Westwood. 17 During the previous recessions before 2008, we never had vacancies. We still have vacancies from 19 that recession. And Westwood is not dissimilar 20 from Teaneck, from Rutherford, from Englewood, 21 from Ridgewood. The merchants are under attack, 22 if you will. 23 Planners have been trying to figure out how to respond to the loss of economic vitality in

the downtowns. One of the ways we're trying to

at the wallets on the street.

MR. SOHN: You can, but I'm just looking

CHAIRMAN MEYER: But let's understand

MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, what do you mean

what Mr. Lydon -- we can ask what do you mean by

that.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the --

25

69 make them more viable is by reinserting housing into downtown environments. And that is an 2 alternate promise for being able to rehabilitate, 3 rejuvenate these downtown areas. We happen to be 4 about 300 feet from State Street, we happen to be about 900 feet or so from Teaneck Road to --6 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You mean Queen Anne. 7 THE WITNESS: Queen Anne, thank you. 8 Not Queen Anne. 9 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Queen Anne on one 10 11 side --THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: And Teaneck on the 13 other side. 14 MR. SOHN: Yes. We happen to be 15 boxed -- have commercial developments, traditional 16 format, on both sides of us in very close walking 17 distances. So the idea of allowing more people, 18 more wallets, may very well have an economic 19

16th, page 118, lines 2 to 3, you state that your

70

rental vacancy rate is 0.6 percent, you don't have 2

any vacant rental housing in this community. In

the same vein, you repeat that on June 20th, page

51, lines 22 to 25. On June 20th, page 52, lines 5

110 to 2, Mr. Rosen replies -- -

7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, wait, that's

not -- one second. Let's ask the question, not 8

about Mr. Rosen.

10 MR. SOHN: Both of them are tied

11 together.

MS. BERGER: We're kind of losing, so if 12

you could ask a question. 13

14 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't so let me ask

Mr. Rosen what he said. 15

MR. SOHN: Mr. Rosen states so that 16

means about 20 apartments turn over every year, is 17 that right. To which you largely agree in lines 3 18

19 to for. Is that correct, is your testimony --

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Testimony is --

MS. BERGER: That's what we're trying to 21

explain to you. We need a moment to look from one 22

23 to another.

20

24 MR. SOHN: Take your time.

25 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, it's -- the

1 testimony is one. We're trying to rehab and help

impact on downtown Queen Anne and Teaneck Road.

MR. SOHN: Understood. On again, May

Can I quarantee it, no. But it's something that

planners are trying to achieve across the country.

That's what I mean by wallets on the street. It's

an economic development tool.

the experts. If he made a mistake, change it. I

don't understand why you're asking questions like

that.

20

21

22

23 24

25

10

11

MS. BERGER: So there's a question here 5 6 and there was an answer. So are you asking him what his answers was? I don't know, I'm not 7

following. 8

CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't understand. 9

MR. SOHN: I'm simply confirming the calculation of the number of vacancy rates in

Teaneck is related to the vacancy rate? 12

13 MS. BERGER: The number he gave is the

number of his testimony. 14

MR. SOHN: Okay. 15

THE WITNESS: Let me explain that. That 16 was from the American fact finder census data for 17 2017. 18

MR. SOHN: Can you be more specific? 19

MS. BERGER: Then telling you the date 20 of the publication? 21

22 MR. SOHN: Are you referring to the

Census Bureau American Community Survey, the 2013

THE WITNESS: That's a very specific

to 2017, DP-04 table? 24

question and I think it sounds right. I'm trying 1

to see if I brought that table with me tonight,

DP-04 --3

4 MR. SOHN: Other housing

5 characteristics.

THE BOARD SECRETARY: You're going to 6

7 have let me him answer or talk, because two people

at the same time, I don't know how she's going to 8

9 do it.

10

THE WITNESS: I'm trying to answer your

question. It asked what -- it states for 2017, 11

under housing occupancy, rental vacancy rate. And 12

the estimate is 0.6 percent. And then Mr. Rosen, 13

who's better at math -- better than me at math, 14

did the quick calculations. And they sounded 15

right, on the fly, standing after a long night of 16

17 testimony. If they're wrong, I apologize.

MR. SOHN: We'll get to the question. 18

19 MS. BERGER: Wait, that's the purpose of

this. The purpose of this is to question, not for 20

21 making statements and reading the transcript.

MR. SOHN: I'm not making a statement.

On 128, lines 8 through 9, again May 16th, you 23

24 stated that there were 3,542 apartments. That

also comes from that same DP-O4, ACS 2013 to 2017 25

22

1	73	T	74
1	data?	1	back of the prior testimony.
2	MS. BERGER: I object. You're making a	2	MR. SOHN: Based on data from
3	statement. There's no question you've raised.	3	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.
4	Again, Mr. Chairman.	4	MR. SOHN: From
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the?	5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.
6	MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 118, lines	6	MR. SOHN: So from
7	4 to 5, you state, according to the U.S. Census	7	MS. BERGER: I'm objecting again.
8	American Community Survey, 5 percent is considered	8	MR. SOHN: I haven't asked a question,
9	a normal, healthy operating ratio or percentage.	9	wait until I ask a question before you
10	MR. ROSEN: Is there a question in our	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'll
11	future anywhere?	11	MR. SOHN: Based on
12	MR. SOHN: If we have 3,542 rental	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, Mr. Sohn
13	units, how many more units would Teaneck need to	13	MR. SOHN: From the master
14	get to the normal healthy operating ratio or	14	MS. BERGER: It's based upon his direct
15	percentage of 5 percent?	15	testimony, the questioning.
16	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, let me
17	question.	17	handle it. Mr. Sohn, try to phrase a real,
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think that's a	18	concrete
19	question for a planner.	19	MR. SOHN: I am.
20	MR. SOHN: So if I do the math	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, you're not. Try to
21	correctly	21	come up with a question that's real concise, that
22	MS. BERGER: Well, I'm going to object,	22	is tight, so the man can answer your question.
23	there's no testimony from this witness. It's a	23	Otherwise, we can do this all night and read the
24	period of time in which you're allowed to ask	24	transcript again and again. And it doesn't serve
25	questions, not to be reading just the transcript	25	you at any point, it doesn't serve the citizens of
	75		76
1		1	76 development of appropriately scaled multilevel
1 2	75 this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.	1 2	development of appropriately scaled multilevel
	this township at any point, and it doesn't help		- <del>-</del>
2	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.	2	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use
2	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the	2 3	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed
2 3 4	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck	2 3 4	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use
2 3 4 5	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228	2 3 4 5	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100
2 3 4 5 6	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.	2 3 4 5 6	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?
2 3 4 5 6 7	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at	2 3 4 5 6 7	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive alsle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Okay. Again, you state, May	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Okay. Again, you state, May 16th, page 112, lines 19 to 20, that they also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not sure what you're reading from or what you're talking about.  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Okay. Again, you state, May 16th, page 112, lines 19 to 20, that they also seek to strengthen the vitality of commercial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not sure what you're reading from or what you're talking about.  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which was introduced an exhibit at the previous meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Okay. Again, you state, May 16th, page 112, lines 19 to 20, that they also seek to strengthen the vitality of commercial districts. You cited the 2007 master plan, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not sure what you're reading from or what you're talking about.  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which was introduced an exhibit at the previous meeting.  MS. BERGER: Are you asking a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this township at any point, and it doesn't help us.  MR. SOHN: Given the 128 units on the development that's already completed in Teaneck Square, 228  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.  MR. SOHN: 228 Teaneck Road and 248 at AvalonBay and 36 at 140 State Street, that's another 630 units. Would that be adequate to meet the 5 percent ratio?  MS. BERGER: I object to the question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, can Mr. Lydon answer the question?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think the question presumes that none of the vacant none of the occupied units will become vacant. And I don't think that's a realistic assumption. And I don't know if I could possibly answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Okay. Again, you state, May 16th, page 112, lines 19 to 20, that they also seek to strengthen the vitality of commercial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	development of appropriately scaled multilevel mixed use structures. The 2017 master plan re-examination, page 36 cites mixed use development on State Street. Is there any mixed use development in the building proposed at 100 State Street?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: On May 16th, page 132, lines 14 to 18, you state you've heard that the resolution requires that we restripe State Street. Right now, it's parallel parking spaces that would be 70 or so, and then a wide drive aisle, both east and west.  MR. ROSEN: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which is the agreement under which the parking lot would be developed states in the third whereas clause  MS. BERGER: Wait a second. I'm not sure what you're reading from or what you're talking about.  MR. SOHN: Resolution 218-2016, which was introduced an exhibit at the previous meeting.

	77		78
1	made, again Mr. Lydon said that there would be	1	MR. SOHN: The question's raised whether
2	restriping of State Street done. The resolution	2	that will be done.
3	states	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: There's no question.
4	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.	4	That's part of the application, they're going to
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, what	5	adhere to that resolution that you just
6	resolution are we talking about, the one	6	MS. BERGER: That's part of the
7	MR. SOHN: Resolution 218	7	application.
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: approved the 44,	8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: discussed. And I
9	repavement and recondition of the property, is	9	guess the application at the moment, you know, is
10	that the one, Mr. Sohn?	10	for 125 spaces on the premises and the other
11	MR. SOHN: Includes and to layout	11	things that they have promised over this
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, is that	12	application, so it's all inclusive. Believe me,
13	MR. SOHN: Yes. And to layout and	13	I'm not, you know I'm making sure we're
14	restripe angled parking along State Street at the	14	making sure that whatever they said they were
15	developer's sole cost and expense.	15	going to do in this application, when we vote on
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?	16	it is what will be in the approval.
17	MR. SOHN: Will both of those be done as	17	MR. ROSEN: I think it would be
18	part of this project?	18	appropriate to find out whether Mr. Sohn
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think that's	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: How long do you have,
20	stipulated to aiready.	20	Mr. Sohn, because we have a court reporter who
21	MR. STEINHAGEN: It's an agreement.	21	needs to take a break?
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: They will do it as part	22	MR. SOHN: Just about
23	of the agreement, correct?	23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question, two
24	MS. BERGER: Yes.	24	questions?
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.	25	MR. SOHN: It's more than
	79		80
1	79 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?	1	go through the process.
1 2		1 2	
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?		go through the process.
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.	2	go through the process. Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a	2	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.	2 3 4	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break	2 3 4 5	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.	2 3 4 5 6	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say, typically to the plan use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that since 2007
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say, typically to the plan use policy. There are a host of things where mayor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that since 2007 when our most recent full master plan was created,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say, typically to the plan use policy. There are a host of things where mayor and council need to review it. First and foremost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that since 2007 when our most recent full master plan was created, that there have been more than 300 council
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say, typically to the plan use policy. There are a host of things where mayor and council need to review it. First and foremost is the budget personnel. As borough attorneys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that since 2007 when our most recent full master plan was created, that there have been more than 300 council meetings, 150 planning board meetings, and other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three questions?  MR. SOHN: More than three questions.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. We'll take a break now.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Give her a break now then.  (A short recess was taken.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, you were asked a question on May 16th, on page 148, starting on line 7 from board member Mr. Rehman. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why when there's such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances, so it can be used as opposed to having dead areas? On line 17 of that page, you respond, I think and I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say, typically to the plan use policy. There are a host of things where mayor and council need to review it. First and foremost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	go through the process.  Mr. Lydon, are you arguing that the township council has been too busy to make changes to the master plan?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that four of the seven current council members have served on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that Yitz  Stern, a former council member, here this evening serves on the planning board?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that the mayor and two deputy mayors have an additional zoning subcommittee that meets privately with the planner and members of the planning board to consider zoning issues?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. SOHN: Are you aware that since 2007 when our most recent full master plan was created, that there have been more than 300 council

	81	Г	82
1	MS. BERGER: I		
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let him ask the	1	of the RM zone of 12 units per acre and 35 feet in
2		2	height?
3	question. Finish the question.	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Objection.
4	MR. SOHN: where zoning and	4	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.
5	development are regular topics of discussion?	5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'll sustain the
6	MS. BERGER: I object to the question.	6	objection. This is what council takes of
7	It has no relevance	7	action has no relevance to this witness.
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand it has no	8	MR. SOHN: On June again, Mr. Lydon
9	relevance. Do you know that?	9	had testified regarding the planning board and the
10	MR. SOHN: Are you aware	10	township council inactivity. On June
11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, wait, wait. I	11	THE WITNESS: That's a
12	want an answer. Do you know that?	12	mischaracterization of my testimony. I object to
13	THE WITNESS: No.	13	that.
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question.	14	MR. SOHN: Okay. On June 20th, page 55
15	MR. SOHN: You certainly are aware that	15	starting on line 3, in response to Ms. Leheny's
16	since the 2007 master plan, there have been three	16	concern about the size and scope of the project,
17	re-examinations in 2011, 2014, and 2017. You've	17	you responded, quote: I would first say that the
18	testified	18	mayor and council have had an opportunity to zone
19	THE WITNESS: Is there a question?	19	the nearby properties. And when they have, they
20	MR. SOHN: Yes. Are you aware?	20	have selected 70 feet as an appropriate number in
21	THE WITNESS: I'm aware.	21	some cases, a 70-feet-building height, they have
22	MR. SOHN: Are you aware that in all	22	selected five stories as being an appropriate
23	those re-examinations, which you testified you	23	height. And the density and impervious coverage
24	reviewed, the council and planning board have	24	are also similar.
25	never backed off the long-standing specifications	25	Mr. Lydon, the township council has
	20		
	83		. 84
1	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed	1	and he answered.
1 2	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would	1 2	·
	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on		and he answered.
2	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?	2	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19,
2	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I	2	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several
2 3 4	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.	2 3 4	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475
2 3 4 5	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the	2 3 4 5	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other	2 3 4 5 6	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a mischaracterization of my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.  Before you just stated a fact that it may be or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a mischaracterization of my testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.  Before you just stated a fact that it may be or may not be true, I don't know because you're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a mischaracterization of my testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So that's the answer. But, listen, have you lost it there. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question.  Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.  Before you just stated a fact that it may be or may not be true, I don't know because you're not sworn. And this is a question for Mr. Lydon, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a mischaracterization of my testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So that's the answer. But, listen, have you lost it there. The first part of the question I thought was a good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question. Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.  Before you just stated a fact that it may be or may not be true, I don't know because you're not sworn. And this is a question for Mr. Lydon, this is a time of questions of Mr. Lydon and not of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	looked at other nearby sites and has not changed those characteristics of the RM zone. Would should your client grant variances based on rezoning and variances granted at other sites?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. I don't even understand what he's asking.  MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon has cited the precedent of other  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn.  MR. SOHN: at 70 feet. I'm questioning again, he's using that precedent as a basis for an argument for being granted this variance. And I'm questioning on what basis that precedent Mr. Meyer, you have stated that each application is looked at on its own merit. And Mr. Lydon has argued that the other approvals granted by this board should be used as a precedent. That's the question I'm asking.  THE WITNESS: That's again a mischaracterization of my testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So that's the answer. But, listen, have you lost it there. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and he answered.  MR. SOHN: You talk about Exhibit A-19, on June 20th, page 17, on lines 15 and 16, where you describe, quote: The next photograph is 1475 Palisade Avenue. You cite this as one of several buildings that are as tall or taller than 100 State Street; is that correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: 1475 Palisade Avenue has 128 units on a lot covering of 1.77 acres  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, are you testifying now?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He's asking a question.  Let him ask the question.  MR. SOHN: So it has 72 units per acre?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whoa, whoa, now you're testifying.  MS. BERGER: Now he's testifying.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now you're testifying.  Before you just stated a fact that it may be or may not be true, I don't know because you're not sworn. And this is a question for Mr. Lydon, this

-	85		86
1	poignant with your questions so Mr. Lydon has a	1	MR. SOHN: As precedent? Other projects
2	fair chance to at least answer your question.	2	that are precedent for density?
3	MR. SOHN: While you used the building	3	THE WITNESS: You're mischaracterizing
4	as precedent for height, are your setbacks and	4	my testimony. I'm not offering them as precedent.
5	density at 100 State Street consistent with other	5	I'm using them to describe the evolving nature of
6	properties in Teaneck?	6	the neighborhood.
7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think it's well,	7	MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, I would argue that
8	if you can answer, I think it's a highly	8	the
9	objectionable question.	9	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.
10	THE WITNESS: I don't think I think	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: If you have a question,
11	answer the question, Mr. Chairman.	11	ask a question.
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You cannot?	12	MR. SOHN: Mr. Lydon, what is the
13	THE WITNESS: No.	13	difference between not a new phenomenon and
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question.	14	precedent?
15	MR. SOHN: Again, about that picture on	15	THE WITNESS: The precedent first of
16	page 20, June 20th, line 17 to 25, Mr. Rosen asks	16	all, I don't think that's an appropriate question.
17	I get that. My question: What's the intent of	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's see if you can
18	this picture? What are you trying to state by	18	answer it.
19	this? And you respond, quote: I was showing that	19	THE WITNESS: The changing neighborhood
20	the introduction of height in the neighborhood is	20	is reflected in the buildings that are either
21	not a new phenomenon. You addressed height. Have	21	built, under construction, or will soon about be.
22	you offered any evidence for density	22	The precedental value is saying a board approved
23	THE WITNESS: Yes.	23	this application because of this, we have a
24	MR. SOHN: for this project?	24	similar situation, I get the same thing. That's
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	25	not what I was testifying to and I've never
	87		88
1			
1	testified that way.	1	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you
2	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines	2	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects
2 3	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are	2 3	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense
2 3 4	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an	2 3 4	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony	2 3 4 5	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. SOHN: as this project is?
2 3 4 5 6	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.	2 3 4 5 6	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines 3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes? MR. SOHN: That's a quote. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	testified that way.  MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as comparables, but I offered them as examples of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I don't recall that right now. That was back in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as comparables, but I offered them as examples of the changing neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I don't recall that right now. That was back in whatever month it was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as comparables, but I offered them as examples of the changing neighborhood.  MR. SOHN: And, again, has the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I don't recall that right now. That was back in whatever month it was.  MR. SOHN: N.J.S.A., you referred to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as comparables, but I offered them as examples of the changing neighborhood.  MR. SOHN: And, again, has the neighborhood changed in terms of density or only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I don't recall that right now. That was back in whatever month it was.  MR. SOHN: N.J.S.A., you referred to this 44:55D-70 statement, no variance or other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SOHN: On June 20th, page 22, lines  3 to 5. You state the third reason is there are similar heights nearby. And I think this is an interesting portion of the testimony  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Quotes, is that the quotes?  MR. SOHN: That's a quote.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. What's the question?  MS. BERGER: What's the question?  MR. SOHN: You've only focused on height. Again, do you have any corresponding properties to offer as comparables in this township based on density?  THE WITNESS: I didn't offer them as comparables, but I offered them as examples of the changing neighborhood.  MR. SOHN: And, again, has the neighborhood changed in terms of density or only in height?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of examples of height. Can you offer or have you offered in your testimony any examples of projects that are as dense  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. SOHN: as this project is?  THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes.  MR. SOHN: And those are?  THE WITNESS: You have to go back through the probably the first transcript I testified at.  MR. SOHN: You're saying that you did testify that there are projects that have the same 86 units per acre?  THE WITNESS: Similar densities.  MR. SOHN: How do you define which properties are of similar density?  THE WITNESS: It's my testimony. I don't recall that right now. That was back in whatever month it was.  MR. SOHN: N.J.S.A., you referred to this 44:55D-70 statement, no variance or other relief may be granted under the terms of this

l	89		90
1	granted without a substantial detriment to the	1	DR. POWERS: It is Dr. Powers, thank
2	public good and will not substantially impair the	2	you.
3	intent and the purpose of the zone plan and zoning	3	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
4	ordinance.	4	DR. POWERS: Can I?
5	Your client has asked for a density of	5	MS. BERGER: Would you like me to move
6	86 units per acre. The zoning ordinance will	6	down?
7	permit 12 units per acre, seven times more than	7	DR. POWERS: I need little more space,
8	seven times the density permitted; is that	8	if that's possible. Whose stuff is this?
9	correct?	9	MS. BERGER: That is mine. We've been
10	THE WITNESS: I got in trouble for	10	here a lot of months and I accumulated
11	agreeing with Mr. Rosen's calculations earlier, so	11	DR. POWERS: I have as much as you.
12	I'll say I don't know.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYERS: Okay. Go ahead.
13	MR. SOHN: In your given the	13	DR. POWERS: My name is Chuck Powers, I
14	precedent that this property would set and given	14	live at 1374 Academy Lane in Teaneck. And I have
15	the future applicants before this board, just as	15	a few questions for you, Mr. Lydon, beginning with
16	you have, will cite the variance granted here, is	16	your statement on the 20th of June line 1 page
17	it not correct that this project will indeed	17	
18	substantially impair the intent and purpose the		157, line 20 to 22 and you're responding about
19	zone plan and zoning ordinance?	18	what boards of adjustment do, you say boards of
20	THE WITNESS: I think, first of all,	19	adjustment, generally speaking, are fairly busy
21	speculative and then my definitive answer is no.	20	because there's nothing wrong with taking an
22	MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.	21	application to a board of adjustment, in fact
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Mr. Powers,	22	that's why a board of adjustment was created, was
24	go ahead.	23	to hear applications presented by developers.
25	THE WITNESS: Isn't it Dr. Powers?	24	What evidence do you have for that statement?
2.5		25	MR. STEINHAGEN: I mean that
,	91		92
1	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.	1	N.J.S.A. 40:55D
2	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was	2	N.J.S.A. 40:55D DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to
2	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal	2 3	N.J.S.A. 40:55D DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and
2 3 4	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an	2 3 4	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for
2 3 4 5	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.	2 3 4 5	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for
2 3 4 5	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what,	2 3 4 5 6	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law. DR. POWERS: And my question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law. DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law. DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law. DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?  THE WITNESS: I thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute says.  DR. POWERS: In the statute, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?  THE WITNESS: I thought  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?  THE WITNESS: I thought  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can  THE WITNESS: I wanted to get done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute says.  DR. POWERS: In the statute, in the code, or anywhere else that says that is why they were created?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law. DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?  THE WITNESS: I thought  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can  THE WITNESS: I wanted to get done sometime this year, but the municipality cannot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object.  MR. STEINHAGEN: I think Mr. Lydon was referring to the term developers in the Municipal Land Use Law, which is a person who submits an application, that's it.  DR. POWERS: And I'm asking what, related to the powers as defined in the Municipal Land Use Law of the State of New Jersey, verifies that the purpose is, in fact, that's why a board of adjustment was created was to hear applications presented by developers?  MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Powers  DR. POWERS: That's what his statement is.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's your question?  DR. POWERS: My question is what evidence do you have  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's what the statute says.  DR. POWERS: In the statute, in the code, or anywhere else that says that is why they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	N.J.S.A. 40:55D  DR. POWERS: Sir, I'm going to  MR. STEINHAGEN: So it's hear and decide appeal, hear and decide requests for interpretation, and hear and decide requests for variances.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what you are reading out of the  MR. STEINHAGEN: Municipal Land Use Law.  DR. POWERS: And my question is  MR. STEINHAGEN: That's the power  DR. POWERS: does that get characterized by Mr. Lydon, what evidence does he have that a board of adjustment was created  MS. BERGER: Those  MR. STEINHAGEN: Those  DR. POWERS: by developers?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, can you answer that question or not?  THE WITNESS: I thought  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can  THE WITNESS: I wanted to get done

R	93		94
1	planning board a planning board has policy	1	case there is. The master plan's intent here in
2	making roles and responsibilities, a board of	2	Teaneck is to encourage not just to allow, but
3	adjustment doesn't. It hears applications,	3	to encourage the explanation of all
4	developers is a defined term and simply means	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Expansion, the
5	someone who files an application. So the board of	5	expansion.
6	adjustment exists to hear applications.	6	DR. POWERS: the expansion of
7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.	7	multifamily housing, where it doesn't impinge upon
8	DR. POWERS: I'm very much interested to	8	single-family neighborhoods. Then you also in
9	have us understand from you your understanding of	9	discussion on page 21, 15 through 24, I'm glad you
10	the portions of the master plan. I want to read	10	asked that, Mr. Chairman. First, there are no
11	to you	11	single-family dwellings nearby. That's important
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait.	12	because your master plan calls for encouraging
13	DR. POWERS: direct comments that you	13	multifamily houses developments, if single-family
14	made and ask you specifically how you can justify	14	dwellings are not in the neighborhood. I would
15	them.	15	define this neighborhood as one that does not have
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers	16	single-family neighborhoods, so it encourages and
17	DR. POWERS: First, on June 20th	17	furthers the goals of the master plan. Do you
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th, what page	18	believe that you have actually properly understood
19	and line?	19	and declared the actual intent of the master plan
20	DR. POWERS: Page 10, line 20?	20	in relationship to its position on expansion of
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th, okay. Hold	21	multifamily housing?
22	on.	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	DR. POWERS: First way to show site	23	DR. POWERS: All right. Can we take a
24	functions well is whether or not there's	24	look then at the master plan from 2007, page 2,
25	consistency with the master plan. And in this	25	goals 2 and 3.
	95		96
1	95 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?	1	96 CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?	1 2	
1 2 3			CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits? Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007 Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that  is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007 Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number 3? Can you read them for me, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.  But as Mr. Lydon very clearly indicated, it is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number 3? Can you read them for me, please?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the date of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.  But as Mr. Lydon very clearly indicated, it is a special case and it is not actually the master
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number 3? Can you read them for me, please?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the date of the master plan?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.  But as Mr. Lydon very clearly indicated, it is a special case and it is not actually the master plan itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number 3? Can you read them for me, please?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the date of the master plan?  DR. POWERS: 2007, I have them all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.  But as Mr. Lydon very clearly indicated, it is a special case and it is not actually the master plan itself.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What? The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have exhibits?  Let's mark them. If you have exhibits, we want to show  DR. POWERS: It's the master plan. It's the plan of the Township of Teaneck?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We want to see what you're reading from, right?  DR. POWERS: Everybody can  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What are you reading from?  DR. POWERS: I am reading from the master plan of the Township of Teaneck, 2007.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what's the question?  DR. POWERS: My question is: Is that is what you just declared to be the intent of the master plan verified by what is found on the goals of the master plan on page 1, number 2 and number 3? Can you read them for me, please?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the date of the master plan?  DR. POWERS: 2007, I have them all.  MS. BERGER: So this is not his direct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  One person at a time. We have all night, don't get finished by at least sometime later.  MS. BERGER: It's my understanding that the purpose of the questions was to question his testimony, not to question bring in  CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is cross-examination of testimony. But if you what are you what section of the master plan are you quoting from?  DR. POWERS: I'm quoting from goals number 2 and 3, on pages 1 and 2 of the 2007  Teaneck master plan, which is its master plan, its sole master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There was the master plan reexamination.  DR. POWERS: There was a reexamination.  But as Mr. Lydon very clearly indicated, it is a special case and it is not actually the master plan itself.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What? The reexamination is not part of the master plan?

	97		98
1	characterizing your testimony, Mr. Lydon?	1	in general
2	MS. BERGER: I'm going to direct him not	2	DR. POWERS: Can you read me the
3	to answer any questions at this time.	3	entirety of goal number 2?
4	DR. POWERS: What I am reading you is	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not the
5	that he says the master plan's intent here in	5	question.
6	Teaneck is to encourage not just to allow, but	6	MS. BERGER: That's not the question.
7	to encourage the expansion of multifamily housing	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not the
8	where it doesn't impinge upon single-family	8	question.
9	neighborhoods.	9	DR. POWERS: It's my next question.
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, do you know	10	MS. BERGER: Well, are we going to take
11	if that is true or not?	11	them in order?
12	THE WITNESS: That is a true statement.	12	DR. POWERS: Let's take them in order.
13	DR. POWERS: Okay. Can you therefore	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Patience, Mr. Powers,
14	show me where in the master plan you derived that	14	patience.
15	intent, including the full statement of the goal	15	DR. POWERS: I would appreciate it if
16	where from which that's taken?	16	you read the entire goal
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Isn't more than just	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, give the
18	the goals he has to look at in order to get the	18	man an opportunity to you have prepared these
19	whole	19	questions. And you know what page and what, you
20	DR. POWERS: Where is he deriving it	20	know, you want to
21	from?	21	THE WITNESS: Chapter 3, Mr. Chairman,
22	MS. BERGER: So would you give him the	22	to answer Dr. Powers' question, is entitled land
23	master plan?	23	use plan elements. And it also has goals and
24	DR. POWERS: Happy to because	24	objectives. And it has a section entitled the
25	MS. BERGER: That's not his testimony	25	goals of the land use plan, element R2. The
	99		100
1	99 objectives of the land use plan element are two.	1	100 MS. BERGER: Wait, wait.
1 2		1 2	
	objectives of the land use plan element are two.		MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait.
2	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing	2	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked
2 3	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion	2 3	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered.
2 3 4	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.	2 3 4	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer
2 3 4 5	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only	2 3 4 5	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered.  DR. POWERS: And the answer  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like
2 3 4 5 6	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different
2 3 4 5 6 7	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop. THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read? THE WITNESS: How many times am I going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	objectives of the land use plan element are two.  One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas where it would not have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your question. Is that your next question? DR. POWERS: My question is why he takes only half of that goal, when the first half of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your question. Is that your next question? DR. POWERS: My question is why he takes only half of that goal, when the first half of it points at a completely different direction with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your question. Is that your next question? DR. POWERS: My question is why he takes only half of that goal, when the first half of it points at a completely different direction with respect to the project he's talking about. And so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your question. Is that your next question? DR. POWERS: My question is why he takes only half of that goal, when the first half of it points at a completely different direction with respect to the project he's talking about. And so I'm asking him why he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objectives of the land use plan element are two. One, provide zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion to encourage its expansion.  DR. POWERS: Only CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let him answer. DR. POWERS: Keep reading, keep reading. MS. BERGER: Mr. Powers, he's testified testifying, not you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, please stop.  THE WITNESS: Only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.  DR. POWERS: What is the first portion of what you just read?  THE WITNESS: How many times am I going to read it? Provide zoning protections for existing multifamily housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas where it would not have a detrimental effect on existing single-family residential neighborhoods.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait, wait. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, you asked the question and he answered. DR. POWERS: And the answer CHAIRMAN MEYER: So if you don't like his answer, that's a different DR. POWERS: I will follow that question. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. DR. POWERS: So you took CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me help you, Mr. Powers. So I think what Mr. Powers would like to state is: Isn't it true that it always says something and something on page section 2 of the Municipal Land Use Law plan? Fair? DR. POWERS: He is reading CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm asking is that your question. Is that your next question? DR. POWERS: My question is why he takes only half of that goal, when the first half of it points at a completely different direction with respect to the project he's talking about. And so

101 102 part A? MS. BERGER: This is based upon his 1 1 2 THE WITNESS: Because I was answering direct testimony, the cross-examination. He his question and a mischaracterization of my answered you, that he can't give you that answer. 3 3 testimony is I only read part of the objective. I So now you're arguing with him? 4 4 read the entire objective, not once, but twice. 5 DR. POWERS: He can't give me what 5 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question, Mr. answer? 6 6 7 7 Powers -- Dr. Powers. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whatever you asked 8 DR. POWERS: Are you aware of the fact 8 about, I guess he doesn't have the document in front of him. that that same goal, with the same provision, 9 9 10 provide zoning protection for existing multifamily 10 DR. POWERS: I have the documents. 11 housing and encourage its expansion, only in areas 11 MS. BERGER: But that's not the question where it would not have detrimental effect on what you have. The question is what he knows. 12 12 single-family residential neighborhoods appears as DR. POWERS: And he -- is it true that 13 13 you cite the 2011, 2014, and 2017 master plans as exactly quoted just then, in the 2011, 2014, and 14 14 15 2017 master plan reexaminations? supportive of precisely what you just said about 16 THE WITNESS: As I stand here tonight, I 16 the intent of the master plan --17 can't testify to that. 17 THE WITNESS: No. 18 DR. POWERS: I can. Do you want me to 18 DR. POWERS: -- in relationship to? read it? 19 19 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 20 MS. BERGER: Whoa --20 DR. POWERS: -- in relationship to multifamily housing, whereas in every case the 21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, wait, wait. 21 22 DR. POWERS: I can provide you with the 22 word only is the encouragement. And the reference 23 information. to existing multifamily housing is --24 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. 24 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. 25 DR. POWERS: You want the information? 25 DR. POWERS: Is it not the case that 103 104 that is a relevant portion to understanding what say provide zoning protection for existing 1 the intent of the master plan is about multifamily multifamily housing, and encourage its expansion only in areas where it would not have detrimental 3 housing? THE WITNESS: I can't possibly answer or effects on single-family residential 4 neighborhoods. Is that what you wanted? 5 follow that question, I'm sorry. 6 DR. POWERS: You can't follow --6 DR. POWERS: That is -- I'm asking him 7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question. 7 whether or not that is not on --DR. POWERS: Is -- do you believe that 8 8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that the goals and 9 the master plan, in relation to multifamily 9 objectives? housing, that you cite in all of those four 10 DR. POWERS: Is that not a clear 10 11 iterations of master plan and master plan statement? The only absolutely clear statement in 12 reexamination, always begins the statement 12 all of those master plans examinations, is it not affirming multifamily housing units by saying also the case that every time the issues that you 13 13 14 provide existing -- provide zoning protections for 14 are citing in terms of encouragement are always 15 existing multifamily housing? 15 linked to providing protection for existing MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. The 16 16 multifamily housing? 17 17 testimony doesn't matter belief, we're not CHAIRMAN MEYER: Single-family housing? 18 testifying on belief here. 18 DR. POWERS: Single-family. No, no, 19 DR. POWERS: I didn't say about belief? 19 multifamily. That's the point, Mr. Chairman. 20 MS. BERGER: Yes, you did. You started 20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then I lost it. your question do you believe. 21 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object --21 22 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think we're going 22 DR. POWERS: The point is -outside of the scope of this examination or 23 MS. BERGER: -- because he's now making cross-examination. Are you trying to say that in statements and that's not the purpose of this. 24 24 the 2014 reexamination report that goals in there DR. POWERS: Is it not the case that

	105	T	106
1	every time this any master plan of which you	1	DR. POWERS: I'm sorry.
2	are aware talks about encouragement, it always	2	•
3	includes the word only in respect to single-family	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: If you have something you want to show and board and show the expert,
4	housing and always is prefaced by providing zoning	4	
5	protection for existing multifamily housing?	5	then let's do it that way, slowly.
6	MS. BERGER: I'm to object because you		DR. POWERS: Here they all are.
7	asked him before if he knew if these words were in	6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, wait,
8	each of these reports. And he said to you he	7	MR. STEINHAGEN: One at a time.
9	could not he cannot definitely answer if these	8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One at a time.
i i		9	DR. POWERS: Which one?
10	words were in each of these reports. You didn't	10	MR. STEINHAGEN: Start with the first.
11	like his answer, so you've asked the same question	11	DR. POWERS: Start with 2011?
12	again. I'm going to object and direct my witness not to answer.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't know. I think
13		13	they all have to be read together.
14	DR. POWERS: I have, Mr. Chairman, I	14	MR. STEINHAGEN: You should pick which
15	have all I have two copies of each of these	15	one he wants to ask a question about first.
16	master plan reexaminations. If it's inappropriate	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.
17	for him to review them and see whether or not	17	DR. POWERS: Is it
18	every is it not the case that every time	18	MR. STEINHAGEN: Identify the document.
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's not	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tell us what document,
20	DR. POWERS: that word is used, the	20	give us a copy of it here
21	word	21	MS. BERGER: So is this
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers.	22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, Ms. Berger.
23	DR. POWERS: The word only was never	23	Give Mr. Lydon a copy. And then let's see if you
24	included in what you talked about.	24	can ask a question regarding the particular
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Dr. Powers.	25	document that you want to talk about. And then
	107		
			108
1	we'll see.	1	108 say does it on a regular basis, but not a
2	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first,	1 2	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.
2	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first,  if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to		say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the
2 3 4	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?	2 3 4	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think
2 3 4 5	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.	2	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?
2 3 4 5 6	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask. MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to	2 3 4	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.
2 3 4 5 6 7	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask. MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony	2 3 4 5	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.	2 3 4 5 6	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not CHAIRMAN MEYER: Listen to me, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll get there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Listen to me, I'm not finished out of the outside the direct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll get there.  MS. BERGER: Because otherwise I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Listen to me, I'm not finished out of the outside the direct testimony by this witness. However, I will give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll get there.  MS. BERGER: Because otherwise I'm going to object to it being brought in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Listen to me, I'm not finished out of the outside the direct testimony by this witness. However, I will give you a little leeway, okay. Because I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll get there.  MS. BERGER: Because otherwise I'm going to object to it being brought in.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And then Mr. Lydon gets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we'll see.  MS. BERGER: Well, I would like first, if Mr. Powers could refer to the transcript as to the testimony of Mr. Lydon on the 2011 report?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You could ask.  MS. BERGER: Because we're limited to cross examining him on what his direct testimony was.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, I think Mr. Powers Dr. Powers is trying to use your expert as his expert.  MS. BERGER: Correct. And that's not what we're doing here.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's why cross-examination is done the way cross-examination is done. So you have to bring your own expert if you want to elicit it something  DR. POWERS: I'm not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Listen to me, I'm not finished out of the outside the direct testimony by this witness. However, I will give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say does it on a regular basis, but not a profession as to this particular subject.  So let's do the following. Identify the document you want to speak about, which I think you did, the reexamination report of what date?  DR. POWERS: 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date?  DR. POWERS: Which one, meaning  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which date?  MR. STEINHAGEN: The cover page.  DR. POWERS: Adopted by the Teaneck  Planning Board on May 25th, 2011.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: May 25th, 2011, give us a copy up here, give Mr. Lydon a copy. And let's see if  (Reexamination report adopted 5/25/11 received and marked Powers 1 for identification.)  MS. BERGER: Well, I want to know where  Mr. Lydon testified to it first.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll get there.  MS. BERGER: Because otherwise I'm going to object to it being brought in.

	109		110
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, not for evidence,	1	to make a copy of that?
2	but	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a little late to
3	MR. STEINHAGEN: We're going to call it	3	make a copy.
4	Powers 1, 2007 master plan first?	4	MR. STEINHAGEN: What's the issue, Ms.
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, he didn't he	5	Berger?
6	didn't show anyone, I don't know	6	MS. BERGER: The one he gave us said
7	MR. STEINHAGEN: So Powers 1 is the	7	proposed.
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: May	8	MR. STEINHAGEN: You can't ask him a
9	MR. STEINHAGEN: 2011 reexamination.	9	question about a proposed document.
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is not for	10	DR. POWERS: It is the same
11	evidence, for identification. Just so we know	11	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's out of order.
12	what we're taking about. Okay.	12	MS. BERGER: It's not the same.
13	Now, Mr. Powers just give that to Mr.	13	THE WITNESS: It's
14	Lydon. Dr. Powers also, before you ask this	14	MR. STEINHAGEN: This one doesn't say
15	question, I would like to know where did this	15	proposed. It's clearly not the same document,
	testimony appear on direct, that you're trying to	16	irrespective of the comments behind it. First,
16 17	solicit or question about?	17	it's a different document
18	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to	18	DR. POWERS: Go to 14.
19	this. Look at the cover sheet.	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go to
20	DR. POWERS: Not the cover sheet they	20	MR. STEINHAGEN: So that one is not
21	have, the cover sheet they have says it is the	21	going to be admitted.
22	one.	22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Not for
23	MS. BERGER: So you're giving me a	23	MR. STEINHAGEN: It's not he marked
24	different document?	24	it for identification, but there's not going to be
25	DR. POWERS: Well, I have do we need	25	questions about it.
	111		112
	1 ! !		1 12-
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER. No questions about it.	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Does Mr. Lydon have a
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No questions about it.  MR STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.	1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Does Mr. Lydon have a copy of that?
2	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.	2	copy of that?
2 3	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2. (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14	2 3	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.
2 3 4	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)	2	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you
2 3 4 5	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct	2 3 4	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify	2 3 4 5	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd	2 3 4 5 6	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for	2 3 4 5 6 7	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	copy of that?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. BERGER: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it? MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.  MS. BERGER: To be honest with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.  MS. BERGER: To be honest with you.  MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing  CHAIRMAN MEYER: For identification	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it? MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check. MS. BERGER: To be honest with you. MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14 received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify the document first. What is the document you'd like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy, it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing  CHAIRMAN MEYER: For identification only, I'm not saying going in as evidence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BERGER: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it? MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check. MS. BERGER: To be honest with you. MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14  received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify  the document first. What is the document you'd  like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for  identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy,  it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it  now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing  CHAIRMAN MEYER: For identification  only, I'm not saying going in as evidence.  DR. POWERS: Adopted March 13th, 2014.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.  MS. BERGER: To be honest with you.  MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Fifteen pages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14  received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify  the document first. What is the document you'd  like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for  identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy,  it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it  now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing  CHAIRMAN MEYER: For identification  only, I'm not saying going in as evidence.  DR. POWERS: Adopted March 13th, 2014.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Master plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.  MS. BERGER: To be honest with you.  MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Fifteen pages.  MS. BERGER: This one is fifteen pages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEINHAGEN: Powers 2.  (Reexamination report adopted 3/13/14  received and marked Powers 2 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: Am I correct  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, let's identify  the document first. What is the document you'd  like to identify as Powers 2 with today's date for  identification only?  MS. BERGER: Dr. Powers, what is that?  DR. POWERS: I'm sorry, that  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Identify  DR. POWERS: I don't have a third copy,  it was introduced on  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're introducing it  now.  DR. POWERS: I'm introducing  CHAIRMAN MEYER: For identification  only, I'm not saying going in as evidence.  DR. POWERS: Adopted March 13th, 2014.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Master plan  reexamination report, adopted March 13th, 2014, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now. Now, that you have that, let me  MR. STEINHAGEN: Does she have an objection to it? Do you have an objection to it?  MS. BERGER: I don't have an objection to it being a master plan reexamination report, I may have an objection  MR. STEINHAGEN: You objected to the prior document, I want to make  MS. BERGER: And I'm not sure all the pages are there, I did not check.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's check.  MS. BERGER: To be honest with you.  MR. STEINHAGEN: One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Fifteen pages.  MS. BERGER: This one is fifteen pages.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you have one with

113 114 MS. BERGER: Correct. My question has to do -- B-E-L-C-H-E-R. I'm not 2 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, let me ask Mr. 2 here representing the planning board in any way, Powers, just so we understand what's going on, shape, form or fashion. But I do have a question where in Mr. Lydon's direct examination did he after reading your testimony and that has to do talk about this master plan reexamination report, with it seems like a large part of your planning 6 dated March 13, 2014? kind of depended upon general data. For example, 7 DR. POWERS: That will take me a minute 7 you reference a lot of like Rutgers real estate on respond to that question, Mr. Chair. Я data tables and studies and so forth. And I know 9 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sure, we'll wait, that's probably what a planner does. But what 10 DR. POWERS: Do you remember, Mr. Lydon, 10 percentage of your overall plan depended upon 11 referring to the 2014 ---11 property specific data as opposed to general data? 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, I'm asking you, 12 THE WITNESS: Well, I think the Rutgers 13 just so we can get that on the record. So then 13 2018 demographic, study although it's general, is 14 you have a better stab at asking Mr. Lydon about very specific to the properties that it analyzed 15 this report. 15 and how it provided information. I think the 16 How we doing there? Mr. Powers, do you census data is very specific to Teaneck that I 17 need a few minutes? quoted. I think the enrollment history that 17 18 Let's take the next person, maybe we 18 Teaneck has experienced since 2010, I think I will have him take a break --19 used, I think maybe 2009 -- I forget the years, 19 20 DR. POWERS: Thank you. 20 but I think that is very specific to Teaneck. I 21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, come on up. I think my characterization of the neighborhood was 21 22 think you were here before, but come again, ask a 22 very specific to properties. 23 So I think I blended both general 24 MS. BELCHER: Okay. This is going to be 24 planning theory, general observations that are short and sweet. Denise Belcher, 703 Cedar Lane. 25 published by learned institutions like Rutgers and 115 116 I used the Teaneck master plan to blend with the 1 1 you can apply it the way it's presented to a site specific neighborhood. specific property in Teaneck. 2 3 MS. BELCHER: Okay. I guess what I'm 3 MS. BELCHER: Thank you. 4 specially asking is did you depend on -- what 4 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. percentage did you depend upon impact studies that 5 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You asked already 6 were specific to 100 State Street? questions, right? Let's see if somebody else 7 THE WITNESS: The team had a traffic wants to. Dr. Powers, are you ready? 7 study done, and I believe a parking study was part 8 DR. POWERS: I have some references to 9 of that. So I would say those were impact 2014, but it's certainly case that he referred to statements on the particular property. 10 it and saw it as being consistent with the, but --10 11 MS. BELCHER: Okay. So that would be 11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So there you have your 12 transportation like New Jersey Transit? 12 answer, I quess. THE WITNESS: No, it was estimates of 13 13 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a question. 14 how many cars are going to be drawn to the site, 14 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please. 15 what the peak hour traffic will be, how many MS. BEAL: Sharon Beal, 33 Hamilton 15 16 parking spaces might be an appropriate number. So 16 Road. 17 it was estimates and based on specific data that 17 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow down, what's your 18 the industry uses as to what the likely impacts of 18 last name? 19 this development might be on the neighborhood. 19 MS. BEAL: B-E-A-L, Beal, Sharon, 33 MS. BELCHER: Okay. But was it more 20 20 Hamilton Road. In reference to the date -- this 21 general data as opposed to specific data? 21 is my first meeting, so I apologize if I ask THE WITNESS: I think there's specific 22 22 something that's not appropriate. data, just like I think the census data is 23 23 In reference to you saying the 24 specific, just like I think the Rutgers data is 24 neighborhood, what exactly encompasses the specific. It's not for a property in Teaneck, but 25 neighborhood? Because while I'm not directly on

	117		118
1	State Street, I live in the neighborhood, so the	1	that Ms. Belcher just asked you a little while
2	traffic directly impacts upon me. So I'm just	2	ago. You said you relied upon the traffic study?
3	wondering what do you consider to be in the	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	neighborhood?	4	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Did you take the
5	THE WITNESS: State Street.	5	traffic study as is or did you probe it in any way
6	MS. BEAL: That's the only neighborhood?	6	to make did you just take the traffic study as
7	All of the rest of the neighborhoods that	7	it was presented to you?
8	encompass the other blocks that encompasses the	8	THE WITNESS: And I discussed it with
9	neighborhood, the neighborhood is only State	9	Mr. Staigar, who was the gentleman who prepared
10	Street?	10	it.
11	THE WITNESS: State Street.	11	MS. EDWARDS: Okay.
12	MS. BELCHER: And with the council, I	12	THE WITNESS: There are traffic reports
13	don't mean a question for him, per se, my block,	13	that are also produced by the township experts, I
14	Hamilton Road	14	don't believe I checked with them.
15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: This is only	15	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. So my question is
16	questions	16	since you're saying your testimony is that you
17	MS. BELCHER: I can only ask him?	17	relied upon this, the information that was
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: There will be time	18	presented in terms of background data and
19	later for everyone to say whatever they want.	19	everything was accepted as presented, without
20	MS. BELCHER: Thank you.	20	question?
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.	21	MS. BERGER: We had a traffic expert
22	MS. EDWARDS: Good evening, how are you?	22	that did speak on the town's traffic expert did
23	Therise Edwards, I'll spell it. Last name is	23	do a report. He actually, the town's traffic
24	easy, E-D-W-A-R-D-S, first name is T-H-E-R-I-S-E.	24	expert, did testify at one point during the
25	Okay. Following up on the questions	25	hearing. I don't remember if it was the first or
l	119		120
1	second.	1	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name
1 2		1 2	
	second.	1	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name
2	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one,	2	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I
2 3	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could	2	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.
2 3 4	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.	2 3 4	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I
2 3 4 5	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy	2 3 4 5	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.
2 3 4 5 6	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it,	2 3 4 5 6	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding
2 3 4 5 6 7	second.  MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so	2 3 4 5 6 7	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good evening. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from State Street and this is based on the previous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good evening. My name is Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley and I live at 33	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from State Street and this is based on the previous evidence that you showed, you had showed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good evening. My name is Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley and I live at 33 West Tryon Avenue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from State Street and this is based on the previous evidence that you showed, you had showed diagrams
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good evening. My name is Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley and I live at 33 West Tryon Avenue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Would you spell your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from State Street and this is based on the previous evidence that you showed, you had showed diagrams  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why don't you ask him a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. EDWARDS: It was the first one, which was, unfortunately, the one meeting I could not make.  MS. BERGER: They did have colloquy about it and discuss it. He did not prepare it, so  MS. EDWARDS: No, I understand. I understand he didn't prepare it. But you are stating that you did rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: And you continue to rely upon it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you.  THE WITNESS: You're welcome.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else from members of the public? You already went once. Yes, please.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good evening. My name is Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley and I live at 33 West Tryon Avenue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the next name is R-A-G-G-I-O, and the last name is the rest of the name is Ashley, A-S-H-L-E-Y.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you very much.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: You're welcome. I just want to good evening. I just want to go over your testimony that you just said regarding the fact that you were only using State Street.  The last time I spoke with you and asked you about what areas you were talking about in terms of defining the neighborhood as not having single-family houses, you had stated on multiple occasions that you walked throughout the area and you reviewed the area and that there were no single-family houses in the area. But you hadn't limited it to State Street.  So I'm asking you again, I realize that someone else just asked you, how did you define the area as not having single-family housing because when you go one block away from State Street and this is based on the previous evidence that you showed, you had showed

	121		122
1	again. So are you aware that one block from State	1	that building has not been completed yet and no
2	Street going towards Bergenfield, that on	2	one lives there yet?
3	Amsterdam Avenue, you have all single-family	3	THE WITNESS: If we're talking about
4	homes? And are you aware that as you go up Queen	4	1500 Teaneck Road, yes, I'm aware of that.
5	Anne Road and it turns into Tryon Avenue, which is	5	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Are you aware
6	one block over, so now two blocks from State	6	that on Tryon Avenue, all the homes on Tryon
7	Street, that those are all single-family homes?	7	Avenue going up to Teaneck Road, not crossing
8	If you go in the opposite direction no, I'm	8	Teaneck Road, are single-family homes?
9	almost done. I'm trying to	9	THE WITNESS: Yes. I've done a number
10	MS. BERGER: Just we're trying to	10	of application on Palisades and I'm very familiar
11	follow, that's all.	11	with Tryon Avenue. And I have traversed it on
12	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So let me	12	numerous occasions,
13	MR. STEINHAGEN: That was a question.	13	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Are you aware
14	There's a question. Are you aware of	14	that on West Englewood Avenue, that most of the
15	single-family housing on Amsterdam?	15	homes on West Englewood Avenue between Queen Anne
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. We did an	16	
17	application that bordered on Amsterdam, yes. The	17	Road and Teaneck Road are single-family homes,
18	1500 Teaneck Road property goes out to Amsterdam	17 18	most of the homes, not all the homes?
19	Road. It does, trust me.	19	THE WITNESS: Can't say I'm that
20	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Am I going to be	20	familiar with West Englewood Avenue to have an
21	allowed to speak? I was	21	opinion one way or the other.
22	MR. STEINHAGEN: That was the first	22	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Fair enough. It's a couple of blocks going towards Route 4?
23	question. There's another question coming.	23	
24	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. At the	24	THE WITNESS: I know where it is, but I don't know if I've driven down and studied it.
25	time are you aware that at this point in time	25	
		23	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank you very much.
1	123 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome. Anyone	1	124
٠.	CHAIRMAN METER: Tou le Welcome. Anyone	'	DR. POWERS: In 2017 this is your
2	else members of the public? Mr. Dowers? Dr.	2	tostimany. And the superior The saint to add the
2	else, members of the public? Mr. Powers? Dr.	2	testimony. And the question I'm going to ask you
3	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this	3	is how in the world you can justify what you say
3 4	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.	3 4	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can
3 4 5	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many	3 4 5	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.
3 4 5 6	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014	3 4 5 6	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with
3 4 5 6 7	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward	3 4 5 6 7	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017,
3 4 5 6 7 8	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood	3 4 5 6 7 8	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master
3 4 5 6 7 8	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.	3 4 5 6 7 8	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.  DR. POWERS: How can you object until I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question? MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question? MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is how in the world you can justify what you say here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.  DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.  DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers.  DR. POWERS: In your 5/16	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.  DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about this particular site. And it goes on after that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question? MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers. DR. POWERS: In your 5/16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm still speaking, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about this particular site. And it goes on after that to acknowledge and it mentioned the difficulties
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers.  DR. POWERS: In your 5/16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm still speaking, you don't hear me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about this particular site. And it goes on after that to acknowledge and it mentioned the difficulties these office buildings can create. It says and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger.  DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers.  DR. POWERS: In your 5/16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm still speaking, you don't hear me.  THE WITNESS: 116, line 4?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about this particular site. And it goes on after that to acknowledge and it mentioned the difficulties
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Powers? Let's see if you can finish with this witness.  DR. POWERS: I have certainly found many references to the fact that you talk about 2014 and 2017. Let me sort of direct attention toward this little discussion because I never understood what you were talking about.  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger. DR. POWERS: How can you object until I ask the question?  MS. BERGER: Because you're characterizing that you don't understand his testimony. That's why.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand. We understand, Ms. Berger, patience. Everybody, patience. Everybody calm down. Dr. Powers.  DR. POWERS: In your 5/16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm still speaking, you don't hear me.  THE WITNESS: 116, line 4? DR. POWERS: In your May testimony?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	here because you have I do not believe you can find the places you are referring to.  I know 2014 because it really dealt with a different portion of the township. But in 2017, unclear what you're doing there, but the master plan of the planning board saw fit to put a new land use element. And in identified range of problems was in finding non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect, and the empty office space. It also identified outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable housing. One of the big problems identified in the master plan land use element was the prevalence of vacant office space, creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods.  That this site that this site in the quote they could have been talking about this particular site. And it goes on after that to acknowledge and it mentioned the difficulties these office buildings can create. It says and this is, I think, unusual language in a master plan. And we write and read a lot of master

	125		126
1	plans. It says, it was incumbent there was a	1	again.
2	responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning	2	DR. POWERS: Is it not a quote?
3	policies to include a wider range of	3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Dr. Powers, I'm just
4	reincarnations re scenarios for vacant and	4	I'm helping, I'm trying to help you, okay. So,
5	underperforming office properties. That's pretty	5	Mr. Lydon, was it the same question as before?
6	strong language. It puts affirmative burden on	6	THE WITNESS: This wasn't a quote, it
7	and suggests there's an affirmative burden on the	7	was paraphrased. And yes, it's in that document.
8	township to address them and to address the sites	8	DR. POWERS: That suggests an
9	that fit our site to a T. Would you explain to	9	affirmative burden? Is affirmative burden your
10	me, Mr. Lydon, when we did a word search of the	10	term or the one from 2017?
11	2017 reexamination, we found none of the words or	11	THE WITNESS: It's my term.
12	even concepts cited in that last paragraph?	12	DR. POWERS: And is it puts an
13	MS. BERGER: I object to the question.	13	affirmative burden on or the township to
14	I can't answer why Dr. Powers couldn't find	14	address sites that fit our site to a T or it was
15	something.	15	incumbent upon there was a responsibility upon
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, do you	16	Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies which include
17	understand the question?	17	a wider range of rescenarios for vacant and
18	THE WITNESS: I do, but I stand by the	18	underperforming office properties. Are those
19	testimony.	19	quotes, sir?
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.	20	THE WITNESS: No.
21	DR. POWERS: Do you believe it's	21	DR. POWERS: They're just your
22	possible to find, in the 2017 master plan	22	interpretation of the 2017 master plan without
23	reexamination, the language you just quoted there?	23	being able to cite where that came from?
24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think the question	24	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait
25	was asked and answered, but you can answer it	25	DR. POWERS: I can't find it.
	127		128
1			
	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm trying to help you
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well MS. BERGER: Again, I	1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm trying to help you here. If you're trying to figure out what is in
2 3			
ı	MS. BERGER: Again, I	2	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in
3	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.	2	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask
3 4	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr. Lydon	2 3 4	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It
3 4 5	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr. Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him	2 3 4 5	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.
3 4 5 6	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second.	2 3 4 5 6	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.
3 4 5 6 7	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what I'm saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that master plan, and the goals and objectives, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what I'm saying?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that master plan, and the goals and objectives, and everything else that's in that entire master plan,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what I'm saying?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea where in the 2017 master plan reexamination I can find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that master plan, and the goals and objectives, and everything else that's in that entire master plan, from page 1 to finish, is that does that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what I'm saying?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea where in the 2017 master plan reexamination I can find anything remotely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that master plan, and the goals and objectives, and everything else that's in that entire master plan, from page 1 to finish, is that does that support what you paraphrased before and your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Again, I CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can't ask Mr.  Lydon  DR. POWERS: I'm asking him CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where one second. DR. POWERS: If the THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. One person at a time, please. CHAIRMAN MEYER: It doesn't help you to talk over me, I'm trying to help you here. So don't. Here's my point I'm trying to make. If you ask Mr. Lydon if he paraphrased, and it's his interpretation how he paraphrased it, you can't ask him afterwards whether or not he uses the language as you read it in whatever document you're reading from, you go the other way, right?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you understand what I'm saying?  DR. POWERS: Do you have any idea where in the 2017 master plan reexamination I can find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here. If you're trying to figure out what is in the master plan or Mr. Lydon's understanding, ask him that question, not the other way around. It doesn't work. I think that would help you.  DR. POWERS: I'm asking  CHAIRMAN MEYER: To drive your point home.  DR. POWERS: From where the 2017 master plan you have you're quoting or paraphrasing something that, it seems to me you have no basis for, therefore I'm asking you to show us where in the 2017 master plan you got that concept? Even if it's only a paraphrase.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, is there somewhere in the master plan did you examine the master plan, 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In there, is that master plan, and the goals and objectives, and everything else that's in that entire master plan, from page 1 to finish, is that does that

1	129		130
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.	1	DR. POWERS: No.
2	DR. POWERS: Where?	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: therefore his
3	MS. BERGER: If it paraphrases, it	3	testimony is no good.
4	wouldn't be exactly word for word.	4	DR. POWERS: And he's no, I'm saying
5	DR. POWERS: But where does the concept	5	he's not only paraphrasing, there ain't anything
6	get carried forward that you're talking about, Mr.	6	like that
7	Lydon?	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's your
8	MS. BERGER: Is that your interpretation	8	DR. POWERS: I'm asking him to show
9	of the 2017 master plan, that what he's	9	US
10	proposing	10	MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on hold on,
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.	11	sir. The first thing we need to do is we need to
12	DR. POWERS: It's your interpretation,	12	put a marking on this for the record for
13	but you can't cite anything but you're citing a	13	Identification.
14	document. When you cite a document	14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: He didn't show it.
15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're arguing.	15	MR. STEINHAGEN: He's giving us the
16	MS. BERGER: You're arguing.	16	2017.
17	DR. POWERS: You expect us to be able to	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: But there's no question
18	find it.	18	regarding to the witness. But whatever, you're in
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're arguing	19	charge.
20	with the witness. Ask him a poignant question.	20	MR. STEINHAGEN: No, I think it's
21	DR. POWERS: My question is my point	21	appropriate. He's talking about and he's asking
22	is	22	the witness about a document. We should mark it
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I get your point.	23	so
24	You're saying that Mr. Lydon is not citing to	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We know what we're
25	something, he's paraphrasing something	25	talking about.
	131		
	101		132
1		1	you citing from a transcript?
1 2	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination	1 2	you citing from a transcript?
	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern	1	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of
2	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination	2	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.
2 3	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning	2 3	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of
2 3 4	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked	2 3 4	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?
2 3 4 5	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.	2 3 4 5	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what
2 3 4 5	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17	2 3 4 5 6	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site — they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these scenarios for vacant and underperforming office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That's from the  May 16th transcript, 2019, page 116, line 4.  THE WITNESS: So on line 20, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these scenarios for vacant and underperforming office properties. From whence in 2017 were you talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you citing from a transcript?  THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one?  MS. BERGER: Which is what  CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th?  THE WITNESS: I believe so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first  THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one.  DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.  May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16.  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That's from the  May 16th transcript, 2019, page 116, line 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these scenarios for vacant and underperforming office properties. From whence in 2017 were you talking about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one? MS. BERGER: Which is what CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th? THE WITNESS: I believe so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one. DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second. May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16. MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where? CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That's from the May 16th transcript, 2019, page 116, line 4. THE WITNESS: So on line 20, for instance, it says I think DR. POWERS: It says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these scenarios for vacant and underperforming office properties. From whence in 2017 were you talking about?  THE WITNESS: This my paraphrasing of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one? MS. BERGER: Which is what CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th? THE WITNESS: I believe so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one. DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second. May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16. MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where? CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That's from the May 16th transcript, 2019, page 116, line 4. THE WITNESS: So on line 20, for instance, it says I think DR. POWERS: It says CHAIRMAN MEYER: It says that's what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STEINHAGEN: That's my only concern for posterity, we know that the 2017 reexamination report adopted by the Township of Teaneck Planning Board on April 27th, 2017, is going to be marked for identification only at this time as Powers 3.  (Reexamination report adopted 4/27/17 received and marked Powers 3 for identification.)  DR. POWERS: I want to remind you, Mr. Lydon, that the question I'm asking is where you would have gotten something that justifies your statement that this site they could been having writing about this particular site. And it goes on, after it acknowledges and mentions the difficulty these office buildings can create, it says and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan, and we write and read a lot of master plans, it said it was incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider range of these scenarios for vacant and underperforming office properties. From whence in 2017 were you talking about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Page 116, most of the page.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one? MS. BERGER: Which is what CHAIRMAN MEYER: June 20th? THE WITNESS: I believe so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the first THE WITNESS: Line 4 through 22 or so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different one. DR. POWERS: And thus, Mr CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second. May 16th.  THE WITNESS: It's May 16. MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, where? CHAIRMAN MEYER: It starts in 2017? THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That's from the May 16th transcript, 2019, page 116, line 4. THE WITNESS: So on line 20, for instance, it says I think DR. POWERS: It says

	133		134
1	language in the master plan. That's clearly me	1	to evaluate zoning policies to include a wider
2	paraphrasing the master plan and what it says. It	2	range of rescenarios for vacant and
3	was incumbent, there was a responsibility on	3	underperforming office properties?
4	Teaneck to evaluate zoning policies to include a	4	THE WITNESS: I don't have the document
5	wider range of rescenarios for vacant and	5	in front of me, the 2017 reexamination report, so
6	underperforming office spaces. That was me	6	I can't point to a page.
7	paraphrasing what the master plan speaks about.	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that the same one?
8	DR. POWERS: And where can you find what	8	MS. BERGER: I assume.
9	you're paraphrasing, sir?	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: April 27, 2017.
10	THE WITNESS: And I go on before I	10	THE WITNESS: I assume it's the same
11	answer your question. That's pretty strong	11	one.
12	language, clearly that's me speaking. It puts an	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It was marked as Powers
13	affirmative it suggests there's an affirmative	13	3.
14	burden on the township to address them and address	14	DR. POWERS: This is what
15	the sites that fit our site to a T. Clearly,	15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second, one second.
16	that's me paraphrasing what's in the master plan.	16	One question at a time. Patience, patience,
17	DR. POWERS: It said	17	patience.
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So what is your	18	THE WITNESS: On page 2 of that
19	question?	19	document, objectives, item number 3.
20	DR, POWERS: My question is where can	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hold on, slow down.
21	you finding anything to support your paraphrase of	21	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Page 2, objectives
22	it says: And this is, I think, unusual language	22	number 3. And this is under the heading of major
23	in the master plan. It said that's not	23	problems and objectives of the 2014 master plan
24	paraphrased language, it said and it was	24 25	reexamination. Number 3 writes, encourage the revitalization of vacant buildings and encourage
25	incumbent, there was a responsibility upon Teaneck	25	
	135 the rehabilitation and restoration of brown fields	1	136 THE WITNESS: Under the same category,
1		2	B, major problems, on page 5, there's a number 5,
3	and other contaminated buildings and land.	3	economic development in the retail districts. At
ľ	DR. POWERS: Is this a brown field?  MS. BERGER: Did you want him to answer	4	the time of the writing of the 2014 master plan
4	the whole question?	5	reexamination, there had been little to no new
5 6	DR. POWERS: Yes, I did.	6	commercial development in the township since the
7	MS. BERGER: Okay. Number 13, in the	7	adoption of the 2011 master plan reexamination.
8	same set of objectives on page 3 is promote a	8	Development was essentially limited to minor
9	diversified economic base. Number 14 writes focus	9	renovations of existing buildings.
10	economic development efforts on existing	10	On page 9, Mr. Chairman, number 3,
11	commercial, industrial, and office districts and	11	heading number 3, extent to which problems or
12	provide support and reenforcement to the four	12	objectives from the last master plan have been
13	business districts to improve their viability.	13	reduced or increased and significant changes in
14	Under B, major problems, 1, declining	14	assumptions, policies, and objectives underlying
15	non-residential tax ratable base. On page 4, the	15	the plan. Under 1, the first heading, is decline
16	first line refers back to the subsequent 2011 and	16	in the non-residential tax ratable base. The very
17	2014 master plan reexaminations. And line 2, it	17	last paragraph says on the third line from the
18	says, the township had seen an overall erosion of	18	bottom, still remains a need to identify further
19	the non-residential tax base since the 1970's.	19	opportunities for the private market to develop
20	DR. POWERS: And, Mr. Lydon, what in the	20	vacant sites or redevelop marginal or
<b>I</b>		د م ا	and anything of either to improp go the tournship of the

22

23

24

25

problems.

world do you think substantiates your description

DR. POWERS: Go forward.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me, I haven't

that I just read form your testimony?

finished my answer.

22

23

24

underutilized sites to increase the township's tax

revenues and state aid. That was extent of the

I can go on, Mr. Chairman, but there

ratable base, due to the decline in municipal

137 seems to be a problem with the document that was

138

handed to me. Page 12, if you're looking along. 3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, we're looking

4 along. 5

6

7

11

13

14

15

17

1

14

17

THE WITNESS: Page 12, there's a heading, 9 community services, and there's nothing below that. But the top of page 13 starts off and

8 says windows and lentils will be replaced in older

parts of the municipal building. 9

CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's the same on the 10 website. Let me just ask you, Mr. Lydon, what 12 about the goals on page --

THE WITNESS: Before I get to that, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to flip over to page 25.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Page 25.

16 THE WITNESS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead.

18 THE WITNESS: It talks about on page 25, 19 in the middle paragraph towards the bottom of the 20 paragraph, it talks about previous -- the 2017 master plan reexamination reports recommend the 21

sites be rezoned for two uses -- not this site 22

though. But then it goes on, currently however, 24

and for the foreseeable future, demand for office

25 uses, especially on a property of that size is

139

master plan said? THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.

DR. POWERS: All right. 4

CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's his testimony.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anything else?

DR. POWERS: That's his testimony. 6 7

DR. POWERS: Yeah. Actually I want to 8 9 ask him, but this -- I'm not sure how long we want

to spend on this, but I do think we need to remind 10

11 ourselves of what he very early -- on page --

12 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the 13 characterization of what we need to remind --

DR. POWERS: Page 10, line 15 and 16.

15 MS. BERGER: Which date?

16 MR. STEINHAGEN: What date?

DR. POWERS: 6/20, page 10, lines 15 and

18 16. You are characterizing the variances and then 19 you say then, of course, you always have to show

20 the negative criteria for every variance. Mr.

Lydon, does your testimony in any way provide us 21

22 with negative -- the negative criteria?

23 THE WITNESS: Yes,

24 DR. POWERS: You believe it does?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 lacking. So there is a suggestion in this

document that the economic conditions that the

township is experiencing were causing some

struggles. And I think I could go on, but it's

getting late.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's okay, we have

7 all --

8 DR. POWERS: Mr. Lydon, I'm going to ask

you are you saying that what you have just --

10 trying to draw from the 2017 master plan

11 reexamination is a way of saying what you say here

12 and it goes onto acknowledge and it mentions the

13 difficulties these office buildings can create.

It says -- and this is I think unusual language in

15 the master plan, and we write and read a lot of

master plans. It said it was incumbent, there was 16

a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate zoning

policies to include a wider range of rescenarios 18

for vacant and underperforming office properties. 19

20 I do not think that the seven different things

21 that you read, which took us all over the place

22 from ratables to everything -- I'm trying to

figure out how you think that that is a good 23

24 summary -- but maybe you do -- do you think that

was a good summary of what you told us the 2017 25

140

1 DR. POWERS: Is it true that the only

two variances to which your testimony refers are

3 height and density?

THE WITNESS: I don't believe that's

true. 5

11

14

15

17

19

20

21

23

6 DR. POWERS: Can you cite me anywhere

else in your testimony? I can't create testimony

you didn't give. 8

9 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to it,

10 arguing with the witness.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's inappropriate Dr.

12 Powers. Just ask the question, don't argue with

13 the witness.

> DR. POWERS: Did you tell us where we can find that you have shown the negative criteria

16 for every instance, for every variance?

CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's a different

18 question.

> MR. STEINHAGEN: You're asking him to verify his testimony. I think it's beyond -- it's not really appropriate.

22 MS. BERGER: It's not appropriate.

CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question.

MR. STEINHAGEN: If Mr. Powers want to 24 25 contend when he gives a statement that it's not

141 142 MR. STEINHAGEN: So, Mr. Powers, the there, that's fine. DR. POWERS: Is it true, Mr. Lydon, that Coventry case is a case decided by the Supreme 2 2 3 Court of New Jersey, I believe in 1994, about you give us a flurry of legal cases to explain why you are handling variances the way in which you conditional use variances. The Supreme -- later, the appellate 5 5 do? division, in Drum versus Lotauer and Grasso versus MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to the 6 6 Spring Lake Heights, said the standard of proof 7 question. 7 DR. POWERS: You want to the quotation? for a density variance or for a floor area ratio 8 8 MS. BERGER: No, I'm going to object 9 variance or for an exceptional height variance -the floor area ratio is a different case, I to -- that's not a question. 10 10 believe it's Commercial Realty. It's the same MR, STEINHAGEN: Yeah. There's a 11 11 standard of proof as in Coventry. And I believe standard for the variances set forth in cases. 12 Mr. Lydon testified based on the standards in the 13 that's why Mr. Lydon was citing it. 13 DR. POWERS: And are the variances which 14 cases. And I think that that's all that really 14 the associates in the Coventry case were having to matters, he gave testimony. 15 DR. POWERS: Can you explain your use of 16 defend in any way, shape, or form related to those 16 use variances? 17 17 the Coventry case, sir? 18 MS. BERGER: That's not a question. 18 MS. BERGER: I'm going to --DR. POWERS: I'm asking a question. 19 What are you asking him to explain? 19 DR. POWERS: I'm asking --20 MS. BERGER: But I'm objecting. 20 MS. BERGER: He cited --21 MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Lydon, this isn't 21 the appropriate witness for that. He gave 22 DR. POWERS: He cites the Coventry case. 22 23 testimony as to why he believes that the variances 23 MS. BERGER: Exactly, that's what -sought here can be granted under the requirements MR, STEINHAGEN: Maybe --24 24 of the Municipal Land Use Law. What a case says 25 25 DR. POWERS: I'm trying to understand. was required in Coventry Square is not germane to 1 is not germane to Mr. Lydon's testimony. DR. POWERS: Is it --2 this proceeding. 2 MR. STEINHAGEN: The facts of that case 3 DR. POWERS: I have one more question 3 and it goes back to my questioning Mr. Lydon about are not relevant. 4 4 DR. POWERS: But are you -- you thought his use of the master plan. And on page 170, line 5 5 7 through 12. we shouldn't -- it was unrelated to this case, Mr. ß 6 7 MS. BERGER: Date, please. Lydon? 7 MS, BERGER: I'm going to object. He's 8 DR. POWERS: Of the 6/20, did you cite 8 the State Street inventory study in that asking him legal questions now that have no 9 9 10 testimony? bearing on his testimony. I'm objecting to the --10 DR. POWERS: Mr. Lydon decided that he 11 MS. BERGER: I'm sorry, could you say 11 was enough of a lawyer to cite the cases? 12 the page and the line? 12 MR. STEINHAGEN: That's not what he did. 13 DR. POWERS: Page 170, lines 7 through 13 MS. BERGER: He didn't. That's not what 14 12. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm confused and I he did. 15 don't understand the question. MR. STEINHAGEN: He gave testimony based 16 16 17 upon the variances requested here based upon the 17 DR. POWERS: Did you reference that applicable standards. What kind of variances an 18 impending study? 18 19 THE WITNESS: What impending study? applicant thought -- an applicant required in 19 20 DR. POWERS: Well, what it says is -another case is the not relevant. The relevant 20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, no, wait. What are part of the case is the holding. The holding says 21 21

22

23

24

25

you quoting, please?

DR. POWERS: I'm quoting --

CHAIRMAN MEYER: What page and line?

DR. POWERS: Page 170 of the 6/20?

22 this is what is required for a height variance, a

24

23 D6 height variance. This is what's required for a

density variance. The cases that set those rules

up, cite to the holding in Coventry Square, what

	145	T	146
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Page 170, line what?	1	contravenes
2	DR. POWERS: This is in response to Mr.	2	MS. BERGER: What study?
3	Savitz, who's asking about impact. He says it may	3	DR. POWERS: The Preiss impact study to
4	not have looked at the other ones, but they	4	which we just heard Mr. Lydon refer?
5	weren't done in isolation. And the Teaneck	5	MR. STEINHAGEN: Has it
6	Township Council has authorized a cumulative study	6	MS. BERGER: He did not refer to a
7	of the projects that the developments that you and	7	Preiss impact study.
8	I are discussing, which I understand is in the	8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: He said he heard that
9	works right now. Do you understand it to be still	9	there was
10	in the works right now?	10	MS. BERGER: The council has authorized
11	THE WITNESS: I believe there was a	11	
12	draft study prepared.	12	a study of the project. That's what he said.
13	DR. POWERS: Have you had a chance to	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: He didn't say he saw
14	read that draft study?	14	it. He said, in fact, he did not.  MR, STEINHAGEN: It sounded to me like
15	THE WITNESS: No.		
16	DR. POWERS: Are you	15 46	the testimony was it's a draft.
17	THE WITNESS: I didn't testify about it	16 47	MS. BERGER: No, there wasn't even
18	either.	17 18	anything done at that point.
19	DR. POWERS: You did not testify to its	19	MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. But is there a study that's been adopted by anybody as of today?
20	content, you made reference to it	20	MS. BERGER: No.
21	MS. BERGER: No. The reference was that	21	MR. STEINHAGEN: Then it's not
22	there was a study that was going to be done. He	22	appropriate.
23	didn't reference the study.	23	MS. BERGER: No.
24	DR. POWERS: Well, we will return to the	24	DR. POWERS: There's no study to be
25	page, Roman Numeral 6 of that study completely	25	adopted. It was not something to be adopted, it
	F-3-,,		transfer it was not something to be deopted, it
	147		1/18
	147 was in fact a	1	148 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then
1 2	was in fact a	1 2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.
2	was in fact a MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then. Then we'll continue.
	was in fact a MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.		CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then. Then we'll continue. MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself
2	was in fact a MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then. Then we'll continue. MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.
2 3 4	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because	2 3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth
2 3 4 5	was in fact a MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow,	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I
2 3 4 5 6	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February
2 3 4 5 6 7	was in fact a MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was in fact a  MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary on this entire application, the misrepresentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?  MS. LEHENY: Ten units. And ten is 14.7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary on this entire application, the misrepresentation of the master plan will be clarified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?  MS. LEHENY: Ten units. And ten is 14.7 percent of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary on this entire application, the misrepresentation of the master plan will be clarified.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Let's do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?  MS. LEHENY: Ten units. And ten is 14.7 percent of the  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the rest were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary on this entire application, the misrepresentation of the master plan will be clarified.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Let's do that. All right. I guess there's no other people who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth  Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?  MS. LEHENY: Ten units. And ten is 14.7 percent of the  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the rest were supposed to be paid according to the schedule.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. BERGER: Wait, wait. I object to his characterization of what the council is doing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Mr. Powers is trying to explain I don't know why because we're not going to hear testimony about it anyhow, but any other questions, Mr. Powers?  DR. POWERS: My I have an email from the clerk today saying that the draft study is the final study.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, very nice. But what does that help us with Mr. Lydon?  DR. POWERS: Because  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon let me finish. Mr. Lydon said he didn't review it. So you can't ask him questions about it. You can ask him all the questions, he says I don't know  DR. POWERS: When we get to commentary on this entire application, the misrepresentation of the master plan will be clarified.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Let's do that. All right. I guess there's no other people who want to ask questions of this witness? Okay,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's do that then.  Then we'll continue.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Just identify yourself for the record.  MS. LEHENY: My name is Elizabeth Leheny, L-E-H-E-N-Y. I'm the board planner. I have two items, this is based on our February 20th, 2019, review letter. The first has to do with affordable housing.  And in 2018, as the board is well aware, there was a settlement agreement with Fair Share Housing Center, that rental projects had to provide 15 percent affordable housing. The applicant is proposing just short of 15 percent, it's about 14.7 percent.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I thought the applicant is having two one-bedrooms, six two-bedrooms, and two three-bedrooms for a total of ten units?  MS. LEHENY: Ten units. And ten is 14.7 percent of the  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And the rest were supposed to be paid according to the schedule.  MS. LEHENY: That, I don't think is the

	149		150
1	Berger?	1	MS. LEHENY: Okay.
2	MS. BERGER: Yes.	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what's going to
3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: There's a monetary	3	happen here, that's acceptable, I guess, to the
4	compensation for that fraction?	4	MS. LEHENY: From what I understand,
5	MS. BERGER: Correct.	5	it's not acceptable, that's why I'm raising it.
6	MS. LEHENY: That is news to our firm	6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's not acceptable?
7	because	7	MS. LEHENY: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's my	8	MR. STEINHAGEN: Ms. Leheny, what would
9	understanding.	9	happen can you explain why you would say that?
10	MS. BERGER: That's my understanding as	10	I'm sure Ms. Berger is going to have a question
11	well.	11	about it.
12	MS. LEHENY: Okay. Because my	12	MS. LEHENY: Yes. I mean my
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're going to put	13	understanding is Fair Share Housing Center does
14	that on the record that that will be done?	14	not accept or is no longer approving payment in
15	MS. BERGER: Correct.	15	lieu of construction. And so there's the concern
16	MS. LEHENY: All right. I mean my	16	that we're taking less than fifteen percent, it
17	understanding from Richard Preiss, who I spoke	17	would in some way undermine the settlement
18	with this afternoon, who negotiated the settlement	18	agreement with Fair Share Housing Center.
19	agreement is that there was no payment in lieu of	19	MS. BERGER: Well
20	contributions	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's news to me
21	MS. BERGER: When you're	21	because we have had Mr. Preiss here before. And
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: A fraction.	22	there was dealing with this issue and he has
23	MS. BERGER: a fraction. At fraction	23	stated under oath that that was acceptable.
24	of .7 to .0.	24	Unless there's a change to the settlement
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Whatever.	25	agreement since it came to fruition years ago.
	454		450
	151		152
1	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have	1	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever
2	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms.	2	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?
2 3	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.	2	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it
2 3 4	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.	2 3 4	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just
2 3 4 5	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we	2 3 4 5	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units
2 3 4 5 6	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you	2 3 4 5 6	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary	2 3 4 5 6 7	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.  MR. ROSEN: All I'm saying is that once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I mean I just ask  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll deal with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I mean I just ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.  MR. ROSEN: All I'm saying is that once you worked out whatever the accurate current	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I mean I just ask  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll deal with that issue. But I think it is important because we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.  MR. ROSEN: All I'm saying is that once you worked out whatever the accurate current answer is, that you will comply one way or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I mean I just ask  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll deal with that issue. But I think it is important because we have done that previously also.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LEHENY: No, there wouldn't have been any change. But I would ask that maybe Ms. Berger speak with Richard Preiss.  MS. BERGER: I would be happy to.  MR. ROSEN: If that's the case, can we agree that if you can no longer compensate, you would be willing to provide whatever is necessary to get to 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: I can't speak for my client and make that representation on the record. I have to say that it's our understanding that we're allowed to compensate for that difference. And in fact, the agreement on fair share, when it was finalized?  MS. LEHENY: In 2018.  MS. BERGER: Right, but when?  MS. LEHENY: I don't have the exact date.  MR. ROSEN: All I'm saying is that once you worked out whatever the accurate current answer is, that you will comply one way or the other?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	willing to provide the extra apartment or whatever it is to get you over the 15 percent?  MS. BERGER: Well, again, since it involves a number of the units, and I can't just say I'm going to change the number of units without getting my client's okay and I don't want to put something on the record.  MR. STEINHAGEN: So the fairness hearing on the settlement agreement was held on March 26, 2018 and the notice was published January 30th, 20018, so it predates that.  MS. BERGER: Right. I mean our understanding the whole time was that we're going to make up the difference anything that because that was what was permitted, since it was such a close  MS. LEHENY: It was in my letter, so I mean I just ask  CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll deal with that issue. But I think it is important because we have done that previously also.  MS. LEHENY: I think it should be

	153		154
1	MR. STEINHAGEN: Just so we understand,	1	prices. And everybody went home happy.
2	what's the consequence of doing if there's a	2	MS. BERGER: Just recently.
3	shortfall.	3	MS. LEHENY: All right
4	MS. LEHENY: There are a couple things.	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Several.
5	One is that obviously there's an obligation that	5	MS. LEHENY: Okay. I'm not I spoke
6	is settled with Fair Share Housing Center. We	6	with him this afternoon, so I
7	have to provide a certain number of units to meet	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: He must have a lack
8	an obligation. So we would potentially be short	8	a moment of I won't say it.
9	one of the units towards meeting the obligation.	9	MS. LEHENY: All right. So those were
10	It also sets a precedent that maybe the	10	the questions I have. I think the comments are
11	board doesn't want to start. So I think that it	11	coming
12	would be important to sort of clarify with Mr.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You only had one
13	Preiss who did negotiate the settlement agreement.	13	question?
14	MS. BERGER: I'd be happy speak to Mr.	14	MS. LEHENY: That's the one
15	Preiss.	15	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Please be quiet in
16	MR. STEINHAGEN: Thank you.	16	the audience.
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'll be to happy to	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: The housing?
18	have his advice because we have had reports and	18	MS. LEHENY: The housing, that is the
19	discussions with him	19	only question I have.
20	MR. ROSEN: And other cases.	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You said you had two
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: right here on other	21	things.
22	case where fractions were paid into a fund. And	22	MS. LEHENY: I have other comments, but
23	they provide because, you know, instead of	23	I think that's for later? Yeah.
24	providing five apartments, they only it was	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anything to do with
25	four point something, so the fraction set the	25	this planning or
	155		156
1	155 MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's	1	156 promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this
1 2	12-	1 2	
	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should		promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this
2	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are	2	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this
2	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your	2 3	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that
2 3 4	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?	2 3 4	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the
2 3 4 5	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.	2 3 4 5	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the applicant has to prove to the board's satisfaction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A, objectives, there are eight objectives. It says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the applicant has to prove to the board's satisfaction that it can be reconciled with the fact that there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A, objectives, there are eight objectives. It says goals. And then it says provides zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the applicant has to prove to the board's satisfaction that it can be reconciled with the fact that there are these increases in density and these increases	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A, objectives, there are eight objectives. It says goals. And then it says provides zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the applicant has to prove to the board's satisfaction that it can be reconciled with the fact that there are these increases in density and these increases in height, and there's also some bulk variance,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A, objectives, there are eight objectives. It says goals. And then it says provides zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and encourages expansion only in areas where it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LEHENY: No, not with Mr. Lydon's testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think maybe we should have your comments about the other issues are you Ms. Berger, are you done with your witnesses?  MS. BERGER: Yes, I am.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Well, I guess then, I guess we've to put our stuff on.  MS. BERGER: Correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's MS. LEHENY: Me or us, okay. I mean I think the only thing I wanted to put this was in our review letter which again was from February. Is that the applicant is seeking variances for significant increases in density and in height, over what is permitted by the zoning and what is the prevailing neighborhood character.  And that we put in our letter that the applicant has to prove to the board's satisfaction that it can be reconciled with the fact that there are these increases in density and these increases	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	promoting revitalization, doesn't envision this kind of density, this kind of height at this location.  And so, you know, we just, I think, urge the board to make sure that they feel that that has been reconciled. And also that, you know, the board takes into account what kind of impacts on the existing community, the increase in height, the increase in density will have on the community character. And that's it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I have a question for you. In the master plan, 2017, as was referenced before.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is a something that says objectives, major problems, objectives of the 2014 master plan reexamination.  MS. LEHENY: Right.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Then it says A, objectives, there are eight objectives. It says goals. And then it says provides zoning protection for existing multifamily housing and

157 158 1 MS, LEHENY: Career. 1 does not have a detrimental effect on 2 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Does that, in your single-family, if that's the case, do you think opinion, provide an encouragement for expansion of this provision would encourage, let's say if it's only zoned for five single-family, that we say 4 multifamily housing? 4 5 MS. LEHENY: I think there's not a 5 okay, if it doesn't give any detriment, we're going to expand it and maybe put 10 units? 6 question multifamily housing is a permitted use at 6 7 7 MS. LEHENY: I'm not sure that that this location, that's -statement says that. I think that while it is 8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's what I'm 8 9 9 perhaps encouraging expansion of multifamily, I getting --10 MS. LEHENY: That's not at stake here, 10 don't think it's necessarily giving a carte 11 blanche or, you know, to increase the density or that's not the question. 12 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Fine. So it is a zone 12 increase the height to such an extent that it is potentially out --13 for multifamily use? 13 14 MS. LEHENY: Correct. 14 CHAIRMAN MEYER: What I'm saying, extend 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: So do you think that 15 is a different issue, but does it give some kind 16 this provision, aside from it talks about maybe 16 of relaxation of the already approved zoning outside the zoning area, would encourage the 17 criteria or the extent of the building criteria by 17 establishment of multifamily in zones where 18 encouragement? 18 19 multifamily is approved, even -- you know what I'm 19 MS. LEHENY: I guess I'm not totally 20 trying to say? Even beyond what is like within 20 understanding your question. Are you saying is it 21 the box of the zoning -- permitted construction in 21 reenforcing the underlying zoning? 22 the zoning area? 22 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Does it reenforce the 23 23 underlying and thereby maybe allowing where it's MS. LEHENY: So are you saying --24 CHAIRMAN MEYER: In excess, if it fits 24 appropriate and doesn't advertise disturb and doesn't -- doesn't have any detrimental --25 single-family use -- single-family residential 25 159 160 1 neighborhoods that to maybe a little more the fact there's no detriment to the single-family 2 expansive in the development of the multifamilies 2 homes? 3 in a zone that's already deemed to be okay or MS. LEHENY: I don't think that that's 4 permitted use in such a zone? 4 what that objective says. MS. LEHENY: I guess I'm not totally 5 CHAIRMAN MEYER: You don't think so? 5 MS. LEHENY: I don't think so. 6 understanding your question. I think multifamily 6 MR. STEINHAGEN: Can I ask --7 is -- the zoning order says multifamily is 7 8 MR. ROSEN: I just wanted to follow-up. 8 appropriate here, so that's not the question. 9 CHAIRMAN MEYER: But my question to you A little while ago, you said that it was incumbent 10 is do you think that this statement, encouraging 10 upon this board to judge the impact on the 11 community. 11 additional -- how to explain it, especially at 12 12 this time of night, but here's my point. If it's MS. LEHENY: Um-hum. 13 permitted, let's say, with 10 units. 13 MR. ROSEN: Which is what we do all the MS. LEHENY: Right. 14 14 time. 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: In this multifamily 15 MS. LEHENY: Right. zone, if it -- as the goal and objective states 16 MR. ROSEN: Having heard the testimony, 16 17 17 that it encourages the expansion of the is there any other empirical data, other than multifamily in areas where it doesn't affect the 18 opinion, that can inform us, that hasn't been 18 19 presented by the owner and his attorneys that you 19 single-family homes? 20 20 MS. LEHENY: I think it encourages the would think that would help us make our decision, 21 21 other than again beyond what's already been use, yes. 22 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you think where it 22 presented, is there anything we're missing that 23 -- let's say, ten were now, it will encourage that 23 could help us judge what that impact is? you, so to speak, build a little beyond that and 24 THE WITNESS: It's a good question. And 24 you increase the density where it conforms with certainly they testified to traffic, they 25

162

- 1 testified to number of residents. But I think
- 2 maybe what could -- there's community character,
- 3 and there's neighborhood character. And, you
- 4 know, yes, there have been some projects that have
- 5 been approved by this board or have been rezoned
- 6 by the council that are in a higher density or a
- 7 higher height than what is the prevailing building
- 8 environment.
- 9 But I think you have to -- every case
- 10 rises and falls on its own merits. I think you
- 11 have to look at this site in this case, in the
- 12 context of what impact it would have on the
- 13 streetscape, on the community character, and
- 14 those --
- MR. ROSEN: How would you change that?
- 16 How would you judge that in a changing community?
- 17 Environment? I mean if it's -- when you say
- 18 judging the community character, I would think
- 19 you're talking about some sort of static existing
- 20 nature. However, in a community that's perhaps
- 21 more dynamic or changing or evolving, how do we
- 22 change that?
- 23 MS. LEHENY: No, it's a good question.
- 24 I think that the things to keep in mind though is
- 25 that it's changing, not by virtue of the master
  - 163

- 1 question.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Medici does not apply
- 3 here.
- 4 MR. STEINHAGEN: So does the board need
- 5 to be concerned with the statements in Medici
- 6 about specific changes to the zoning -- that the
- 7 governing body has made for a property over here,
- B let's say, property A, but not for property B, in
- 9 terms of its reconciliation or is it more strictly
- 10 related to the nature of the variances sought and
- 11 the impacts associated with it?
- 12 MS. LEHENY: I think I think that's
- 13 perhaps a more apt description, it's the impacts,
- 14 yes.
- MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. Thank you. The
- 16 board? Ms. Berger might --
- 17 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Any other statements
- 18 you have?
- 19 THE WITNESS: No.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, go ahead.
- 21 MS. BERGER: I have a couple questions.
- 22 So in the 2017 master plan reexamination report,
- 23 there's a section on the housing element?
- 24 MS. LEHENY: Um-hum.
- 25 MS. BERGER: And the section on the
  - Alexander 1

23

- plan, and it's not changing because the council
- 2 changed the zoning. It's been changing on sort of
- 3 these case by case sort of applications. And so I
- 4 think that's something to -- you know, really the
- 5 master plan and the zoning guides development.
- 6 And so I think the board has to be satisfied that,
- you know, you can grant this approval given that
- there's no guidance in those documents to do that
- 9 on this property.
- MR. STEINHAGEN: Can I ask a question,
- 11 Mr. Chairman? Ms. Leheny, you mentioned in your
- 12 statement, you used the word reconcile. And I
- 13 wanted to ask you about that.
- 14 This project doesn't need a D-1 use
- 15 variance?
- MS. LEHENY: That is correct.
- 17 MR. STEINHAGEN: When you're using the
- 18 term reconcile, are you using it in the sense that
- 19 the Supreme Court used it in Medici for
- 20 reconciliation of a use variance or in a different
- 21 way?
- 22 THE WITNESS: You're right, Medici
- 23 doesn't apply here.
- 24 MR. STEINHAGEN: And I think that's
- 25 going to go to the follow-up, based on Mr. Rosen's
  - 164
  - housing element talks about the Fair Share Act and
  - what has to be complied with and the town and et
- 3 cetera. Isn't it this property specifically
- 4 mentioned and included to meet that goal? And
- 5 doesn't it talk about a building and number of
- 6 units that exceeds density and exceeds height?
- 7 MS. LEHENY: Well, I think that was all
- 8 based on the previous application.
- 9 MS. BERGER: But it still exceeded
- 10 density and it still exceeded height?
- 11 MS. LEHENY: And it was denied, no? Or
- 12 what happened --
- MS. BERGER: It was pending, but the
- 14 board -- but the report recognized that and said
- 15 it was there to meet the goal. Additionally --
- 16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Wait, wait, wait, one
- 17 question at a time.
- 18 MS. LEHENY: Let me think about that for
- 19 a second. I mean, it's an interesting point. But
- 20 I don't think -- I still would say that even
- 21 though it's in the master plan, no action was ever
- 22 taken. No council --
  - MS. BERGER: I thought you told me that
- 24 the fair share report was determined with the
- 25 court and signed and this one was the

	165		166
1	properties	1	Sohn, please, please.
2	MS. LEHENY: No, no, the fair share	2	MS. LEHENY: I don't think it's I
3	report was actually done in 2019, post the start	3	think if you could provide that to the board and
4	of this application process. The fair share	4	us for review, that would be helpful.
5	report, not the settlement agreement and	5	MS. BERGER: Sure.
6	MS. BERGER: But the prior application	6	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Chair
7	that's referenced in here is pending?	7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
8	THE WITNESS: But I'm not sure it was in	8	THE BOARD SECRETARY: What meeting are
9	the settlement agreement.	9	we taking this to?
10	MS. BERGER: So and besides that,	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything else
11	didn't the mayor and council hear know about	11	that you have to add?
12	this property being developed because it signed a	12	MS. LEHENY: I don't, no.
13	deed release on the property? Additionally, it	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone from the members
14	signed an agreement with the applicant that it	14	of the board have any questions of this witness?
15	could build a parking lot across the street in	15	Ma'am, come up.
16	order for it to meet its parking requirements for	16	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Hi, Tanya Pagan
17	the development that it was going to build? So if	17	Raggio-Ashley. I want to try to answer the
18	you look	18	question that you asked earlier
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question at a time.	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No.
20	MS. LEHENY: I think	20	MR. STEINHAGEN: Question for her.
21	MS. BERGER: In unison?	21	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Oh, well, okay.
22	MS. LEHENY: I think what I would ask is	22	I'll ask you a question, I'm sorry. So I was
23	that maybe you I'm not sure the deed has been	23	wondering have you heard of a health impact
24	brought into evidence.	24	analysis as it pertains to the health of the
25	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Sohn, Mr.	25	individuals and what crowding that is to the
1	167	-	400
			168
1	health of persons, particularly when density in	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of
2	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.
3	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.
2 3 4	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this
2 3 4 5	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.
2 3 4 5 6	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet.
2 3 4 5	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying,
2 3 4 5 6 7	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again,	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or something she is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: May I?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. Try not to testify.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or something she is aware of, that would be a much better question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: May I?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. Try not to testify.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or something she is aware of, that would be a much better question. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are you aware of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: May I?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. Try not to testify.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying I'm not testifying. Are you aware that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or something she is aware of, that would be a much better question. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are you aware of the Bloustien School of Planning, also known as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	health of persons, particularly when density in terms of building is expanded over and beyond what it should be?  MS. LEHENY: I'm honestly not aware of any studies, but that doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not aware of them.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. So, again, because I have to ask you question: Are you aware that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation has done extensive research on this and shown that when you exceed certain densities and you have overcrowding that there's an increase of adverse health impacts, adverse mental health impact on persons and particularly children and older adults?  MS. LEHENY: Again, I'm not familiar, which doesn't mean they don't exist. I'm just not familiar.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: May I?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead. Try not to testify.  DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's not aware of this.  MS. BERGER: She already answered. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I didn't ask this question yet. CHAIRMAN MEYER: But you're testifying, are you aware. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying, I'm asking about a health impact statement. CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's not a question DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Yes, it is. MR. STEINHAGEN: She's not aware of any health impact study. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are aware may I ask her? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask about something else that she says not aware of. DR. RAGGIO-ASH ELY: Okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or something she is aware of, that would be a much better question. DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Are you aware of the

Ħ	169		170
1	persons living in multiplex housing have on	1	reassure us if they want to do something with the
2	persons?	2	deed?
3	MS. LEHENY: No, I'm not aware.	3	MS. BERGER: Yeah, I would have to pull
4	DR. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank you.	4	it and find exactly what it is.
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone else? Anyone	5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So you're not
6	else have any questions of this witness? Seeing	6	closing this meeting at the moment, we have to
7	none, okay.	7	find a new date.
8	So I guess you want to introduce some	8	MS. BERGER: Well, the direct testimony
9	additional documents?	9	is closed.
10	MS. BERGER: I don't know if I'm going	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: The direct testimony
11	to introduce any additional documents.	11	yeah, but you may need someone to testify to the
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I thought you	12	deed or whatever.
13	were, you said you were going to produce the deed?	13	MS. BERGER: Well, the deed of record
14	MS. BERGER: I don't know. I just said	14	is
15	I don't know.	15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I'm just saying
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So you're	16	whatever it is, maybe there's something
17	keeping the case open for	17	MS. BERGER: Exactly.
18	MS. BERGER: Well, I'm going to we	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Some provision in the
19	have to speak to Mr. Preiss.	19	deed. So far your experts so far are done and
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Speak to Preiss about	20	that's it. Okay. So I guess at the next meeting,
21	the COAH and the fraction.	21	you will finalize those issues and then maybe
22	MS. BERGER: Maybe Mr. Steinhagen and I	22	we'll hear public comments.
23	would speak to him together, that might make it	23	MS. BERGER: I just was wondering if
24	easier.	24	we're done with your experts because they've been
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: They need to speak to	25	sitting there patiently?
	171	ł	172
		1	112
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anybody? Everybody has	1	in August because your experts couldn't attend.
2	said whatever they want to say?	1 2	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on
2 3	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts	2 3	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to
2 3 4	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and	2 3 4	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.
2 3 4 5	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.	2 3 4 5	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?
2 3 4 5 6	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them	2 3 4 5 6	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no
2 3 4 5 6 7	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have three big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to determine where we're going let's carry this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	said whatever they want to say?  MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know.  MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have three big ones.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to determine where we're going let's carry this matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know. MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available? THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th. CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th. THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have three big ones. CHAIRMAN MEYER: On November 7th, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to determine where we're going let's carry this matter.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Do you extend the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know. MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available? THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th. CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th. THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have three big ones. CHAIRMAN MEYER: On November 7th, we have December 5th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to determine where we're going let's carry this matter.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Do you extend the time for the board to act if necessary until October
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. BERGER: I know your experts testified during the hearing previously and CHAIRMAN MEYER: I know. MS. BERGER: I hate to see them although, I'd like to see them, I don't want to make them sit here for CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the next date we have available? THE BOARD SECRETARY: December 5th. CHAIRMAN MEYER: December 5th. THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have school we have schools, we have the Community Center to AUCC. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You don't have to give THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have three big ones. CHAIRMAN MEYER: On November 7th, we have December 5th. MS. BERGER: I would request an earlier	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in August because your experts couldn't attend.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We could put it on October 3rd, but it's a lot of people not going to be heard.  MR. ROSEN: We have specials?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: No, we have no special in November because of the League of Municipalities and Thanksgiving. We have none in December. We have a special meeting on the 19th and that's for 189 DiPlaza. We have another one on October 17th for One United Plaza.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What about October 3rd, what do you have on there?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: We have eight cases on.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Which one has you know, what let's go to tore October 3rd to determine where we're going let's carry this matter.  MR. STEINHAGEN: Do you extend the time for the board to act if necessary until October 31st?

	173		174
1	going pick a new date.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	MS. BERGER: I have	2	
3	MR. STEINHAGEN: I understand.	3	
4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll deal with it.	4	
5	THE BOARD SECRETARY: It probably will	5	
6	not be here, but we'll post it and put i tin.	6	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll communicate.	7	true and accurate transcript of the testimony and
8	MS. BERGER: Without the need for new	8	proceedings as taken stenographically by me at the
9	notice?	9	time, place, and on the date hereinbefore set
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll carry this	10	forth.
11	application to October 3rd, 7:00 or soon	11	
12	thereafter, with no further notice to the public.	13	
13	And please be advised that the meeting may be in	14	SUSAN BISCHOFF, CCR, RPR
14	these chambers or somewhere else, as posted	'-	LICENSE NO. 30XI00233700
15	because of seating restrictions or capacity to	15	Elelike itel sektesissist
16	house people in a safe manner.	16	
17	So that's the story. Let's hear I'll	17	
18	make a motion to that.	18	
19	DR. MULLIGAN: Second.	19	
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Dr. Mulligan with a	20	
21	second. All in favor? Any objection?	21	
22	(All members indicated their vote.)	22	
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hearing none, so	23	
24	ordered on October 3rd.	24	
25	(The matter was adjourned.)	25	

'60s [1] - 30:24 0[1] - 149:24 0.6 [2] - 70:2, 72:13 1 [10] - 48:23, 90:16, 95:17, 96:12, 108:17, 109:4, 109:7, 128:22, 135:14, 136:15 1.77 [1] - 84:10 10 [5] - 93:20, 139:14, 139:17, 158:6, 159:13 100 [16] - 3:2, 6:4, 7:11, 7:16, 9:15, 10:10, 10:15, 15:18, 27:13, 30:23, 48:1, 64:13, 76:5, 84:6, 85:5, 115:6 **109** [5] - 25:25, 26:1, 26:7, 26:11, 27:5 11 [2] - 61:24, 62:5 110 [4] - 61:23, 62:3, 62:4, **112** [2] - 65:6, 75:21 **113** [5] - 7:11, 7:18, 10:12, 67:8, 67:13 **115**[1] - 27:16 116 [4] - 123:23, 123:25, 132:2, 132:19 **118** [2] - 70:1, 73:6 12<sub>[9]</sub> - 47:10, 48:19, 66:3, 82:1, 89:7, 137:2, 137:5, 144:6, 144:14 125 [14] - 9:2, 10:12, 12:12, 14:17, 15:11, 15:23, 16:21, 17:2, 19:24, 23:6, 23:9, 24:9, 51:4, 78:10 **128** [3] - **7**2:23, 75:3, 84:9 **13** [6] - 7:21, 9:14, 21:22, 113:6, 135:7, 137:7 **132** [7] - **13**:10, 13:11, 13:21, 17:20, 51:4, 51:6, 76:8 134 [1] - 13:9 1374[1] - 90:14 13th [2] - 111:20, 111:22 **14**[7] - **10**:21, 20:4, 21:13, 21:22, 76:9, 110:18, 135:9

0

1

139:17, 148:13, 148:14, 151:8, 152:2 150 [1] - 80:23 **1500** [2] - **121**:18, 122:4 **157** [1] - 90:17 **16** [6] - 26:9, 67:7, 84:3, 132:14, 139:14, 139:18 16th [14] - 25:25, 43:19, 61:23, 62:4, 65:6, 67:13, 70:1, 72:23, 73:6, 75:21, 76:8, 79:10, 132:13, 132:19 17 [9] - 25:25, 26:11, 40:6, 40:7, 40:9, 62:9, 79:16, 84:3, 85:16 170 (4) - 144:5, 144:13, 144:25, 145:1 171 [2] - 31:3, 31:8 17th [1] - 172:11 **18** [4] - 43:20, 62:9, 67:14, **189** [1] - 172:10 19[4] - 27:24, 28:18, 75:21, 75:24 1970's [1] - 135:19

# 2

1994 [1] - 142:3

19th [1] - 172:9

2 [16] - 70:1, 70:6, 94:24, 94:25, 95:17, 96:12, 98:3, 100:14, 111:2, 111:4, 111:8, 111:23, 134:18, 134:21, 135:17 **20** [7] - 66:4, 70:17, 75:21, 85:16, 90:17, 93:20, 132:20 200 [1] - 51:22 20018 [1] - 152:11 2007 [11] - 65:5, 65:10, 65:17, 75:23, 80:20, 81:16, 94:24, 95:12, 95:21, 96:12, 109:4 2008 [2] - 68:11, 68:17 2009 [1] - 114:19 2010 [1] - 114:18 2011 [11] - 81:17, 101:14, 102:14, 106:11, 107:4, 108:6, 108:12, 108:13, 109:9, 135:16, 136:7 2013 [2] - 71:23, 72:25 2014 [15] - 81:17, 101:14, 102:14, 103:25, 111:20, 111:22, 113:6, 113:11, 116:9, 123:6, 124:6, 134:23, 135:17, 136:4, 156:17 2015 [1] - 63:2 2016 [1] - 31:21 2017 [32] - 71:18, 71:24, 72:11, 72:25, 76:2, 81:17,

101:15, 102:14, 123:7, 124:1, 124:7, 125:11, 125:22, 126:10, 126:22, 127:22, 128:9, 128:13, 128:17, 129:9, 130:16, 131:2, 131:4, 131:21, 132:16, 134:5, 134:9, 137:20, 138:10, 138:25, 156:12, 163:22 2018 [6] - 46:15, 114:13, 148:10, 151:15, 152:10, 152:24 2019 [5] - 6:18, 9:5, 132:19, 148:8, 165:3 2019-2020 [1] - 3:14 20th [21] - 4:17, 6:15, 6:18, 9:5, 31:3, 32:9, 33:17, 39:19, 47:10, 70:4, 70:5, 82:14, 84:3, 85:16, 87:2, 90:16, 93:17, 93:18, 93:21, 132:6, 148:8 21 [2] - 67:14, 94:9 218 [1] - 77:7 218-2016 [2] - 76:15, 76:21 **22** [5] - 19:2, 70:5, 87:2, 90:17, 132:9 228 [2] - 75:5, 75:7 **23** [2] - 26:1, 26:11

**24**[4] - 19:2, 19:18, 49:3, 94.9 248 [1] - 75:7 25 [12] - 9:16, 15:6, 15:15, 16:10, 20:5, 20:11, 25:12, 70:5, 85:16, 137:14, 137:15, 137:18 25th [2] - 108:12, 108:13 26 [1] - 152:9 27 [8] - 32:10, 33:17, 33:23, 39:17, 39:24, 39:25, 40:9, 134:9 27th [1] - 131:4

# 3

3 [18] - 70:1, 70:18, 75:24, 82:15, 87:3, 94:25, 95:18, 96:12, 98:21, 131:5, 131:7, 134:13, 134:19, 134:22, 134:24, 135:8, 136:10, 136:11 **3,542** [2] - 72:24, 73:12 3/13/14[1] - 111:3 **300** [3] - 27:16, 69:5, 80:22 30th [1] - 152:10 31st [1] - 172:22 **33**[3] - 116:15, 116:19, 119:21 3324[1] - 66:1

34[1] - 30:15 35 [2] - 66:5, 82:1 36[2] - 75:8, 76:3 38 [3] - 9:22, 9:24, 9:25 3rd [5] - 172:3, 172:12, 172:17, 173:11, 173:24

## 4

4 [9] - 31:4, 31:8, 73:7, 122:22, 123:23, 123:25, 132:9, 132:19, 135:15 4/27/17 [1] - 131:6 40 [1] - 57:18 400 [1] - 51:11 40:55D [1] - 92:1 44 [7] - 6:9, 6:10, 7:18, 15:18, 18:4, 60:16, 77:8 44:55D-70 [1] - 88:21 46 [2] - 47:10, 49:3 **48** [1] - 48:19

# 5

5 [11] - 21:21, 31:4, 31:8, 65:6, 73:7, 73:8, 73:15, 75:10, 87:3, 136:2 **5,400** [1] - 58:13 5/16[1] - 123:20 5/25/11 [1] - 108:16 50 [2] - 27:12, 52:13 50-foot-wide [1] - 27:11 **51** [1] - 70:5 **52** [1] - 70:5 55[1] - 82:14 5th [3] - 171:11, 171:12, 171:21

#### 6

6 [2] - 67:8, 145:25 6/20 [3] - 139:17, 144:8, 144:25 630 [1] - 75:9 65[1] - 66:4 65.8 [2] - 20:8, 20:14

## 7

**7**[8] - 32:10, 33:17, 39:17, 39:25, 79:11, 144:6, 144:13, 149:24 **70** [3] - 76:12, 82:20, 83:10 70-feet-building [1] -82:21 **703** [3] - 23:20, 23:23, 113:25 72 [1] - 84:15 79.4 [2] - 20:8, 20:17

**14.7** [2] - 148:15, 148:19

140 [1] - 75:8

144[1] - 43:19

148 [1] - 79:10

1475 [2] - 84:4, 84:9

**15**[11] - 32:13, 32:14,

41:3, 84:3, 94:9, 139:14,

**7:00** [1] - 173:11 **7th** [1] - 171:20

## 8

**8**<sub>[1]</sub> - 72:23 **8,000**<sub>[1]</sub> - 30:14 **80**<sub>[3]</sub> - 32:12, 32:14, 41:3 **85**<sub>[1]</sub> - 44:15 **86**<sub>[2]</sub> - 88:13, 89:6

## 9

**9** [6] **-** 61:24, 62:4, 65:6, 72:23, 136:10, 137:6 **900** [1] **-** 69:6

## Α

A-19 [2] - 6:24, 84:2 A-20 [4] - 6:23, 7:1, 7:3, 7:8 A-202A [3] - 9:1, 9:9, 16:14 **A-202B** [6] - 6:17, 7:3, 7:4, 7:7, 8:14, 16:15 **A-21** [3] - 9:7, 9:9, 16:15 **A-S-H-L-E-Y** [1] - 120:2 abandoned [4] - 62:10, 62:12, 62:20, 64:14 ability [4] - 41:5, 41:9, 41:17, 42:17 able [8] - 12:12, 37:11, 42:5, 57:6, 65:16, 69:3, 126:23, 129:17 absolutely [3] - 4:3, 62:2, 104:11 absorb [1] - 41:6 Academy [1] - 90:14 accept [5] - 15:13, 54:20, 55:15, 150:14, 151:25 acceptable [5] - 37:4, 150:3, 150:5, 150:6, 150:23 accepted [3] - 28:8, 30:10, 118:19 accommodate (1) - 42:5 according [3] - 13:9, 73:7, 148:22 account [1] - 156:7 accumulated [1] - 90:10 accurate [1] - 151:20 achieve [1] - 69:22 achieves [1] - 40:24 acknowledge [2] - 124:22, 138:12 acknowledges [1] -131:13 acre [6] - 66:3, 82:1, 84:15, 88:13, 89:6, 89:7

ACS [1] ~ 72:25 Act [1] - 164:1 act [1] - 172:21 acting [1] - 60:14 action [2] - 82:7, 164:21 actual [1] - 94:19 ADA [3] - 62:22, 62:24, add [5] - 21:15, 36:4, 50:11, 53:6, 166:11 added [1] - 7:23 adding (2) - 9:14, 24:19 addition [3] - 22:17, 61:2, 167:24 additional [13] - 7:20, 9:14, 10:18, 12:21, 14:20, 20:5, 40:18, 41:7, 41:17, 80:15, 159:11, 169:9, 169:11 additionally [2] - 164:15, 165:13 address [6] - 23:22, 125:8, 126:14, 133:14 addressed [1] - 85:21 adds [1] - 9:16 adequate [2] - 10:15, 75:9 adhere [1] - 78:5 adjourned [1] - 173:25 adjust [3] - 27:7, 41:9, 41:10 adjustment [13] - 3:15, 60:11, 90:18, 90:19, 90:21, 90:22, 91:10, 91:25, 92:14, 92:25, 93:3, 93:6 adjustments [1] - 26:20 administrative [1] - 30:7 admissible [1] - 43:4 admitted [1] - 110:21 adopted [10] - 108:11, 108:16, 111:3, 111:20, 111:22, 131:3, 131:6, 146:19, 146:25 adoption [1] - 136:7 adults [1] - 167:14 advent [2] - 49:4, 68:12 adverse [3] - 167:12, 167:13, 168:25 advertise [1] - 158:24 advice [1] - 153:18 advised [1] - 173:13 advocating [3] - 10:2, 11:5, 50:3 affairs [2] - 33:12, 41:16 affect [5] - 25:10, 25:11, 26:21, 26:22, 159:18 affirm [1] - 3:12 affirmatively [2] - 5:16,

28:4, 29:1, 29:11, 29:14, 29:16, 29:17, 124:14, 148:9, 148:13 afternoon [2] - 149:18, 154:6 afterwards [1] - 127:15 age [3] - 44:2, 44:5, 44:18 aged [1] - 47:3 agent [2] - 30:7, 60:14 ages [1] - 48:13 ago (3) - 118:2, 150:25, 160:9 agree [8] - 28:13, 29:10, 30:18, 39:4, 64:22, 66:6, 70:18, 151:6 agreed [1] - 6:6 agreeing [1] - 89:11 agreement [14] - 66:21, 76:16, 77:21, 77:23, 148:11, 149:19, 150:18, 150:25, 151:13, 152:9, 153:13, 165:5, 165:9, 165:14 ahead [14] - 3:9, 8:25, 25:8, 28:1, 32:16, 36:2, 51:8, 64:6, 89:24, 90:12, 106:16, 137:17, 163:20, 167:20 aid [1] - 136:23 ain't [1] - 130:5 aisle [3] - 19:1, 19:7, 76:12 Alan [1] - 61:18 alive [1] - 63:3 allow [2] - 94:2, 97:6 allowable [1] - 51:11 allowed [8] - 19:11, 19:16, 54:23, 55:1, 65:16, 73:24, 121:21, 151:12 allowing [2] - 69:18, 158:23 almost [4] - 24:7, 24:18, 51:11, 121:9 alternate [2] - 5:2, 69:3 alternative [3] - 8:12, 11:23, 15:22 alternatives [1] - 40:22 Amazon [1] - 124:12 amend [1] - 17:5 amended [3] - 16:9, 16:22, 19:1 amendment [1] - 4:20 American [3] - 71:17, 71:23, 73:8 amount [6] - 38:23, 45:10, 45:11, 47:20, 48:10, 51:15 Amsterdam [4] - 121:3, 121:15, 121:17, 121:18

ancillary [1] - 6:5 angled [1] - 77:14 Anne [7] - 69:7, 69:8, 69:9, 69:10, 69:20, 121:5, 122:15 answer [44] - 22:22, 32:7, 34:24, 41:8, 46:24, 48:15, 53:14, 60:23, 63:24, 64:2, 68:6, 71:6, 72:7, 72:10, 74:22, 75:13, 75:18, 81:12, 83:22, 85:2, 85:8, 85:11, 86:18, 89:21, 92:19, 97:3, 98:22, 99:6, 100:4, 100:6, 102:3, 102:6, 103:4, 105:9, 105:11, 105:13, 116:12, 125:14, 125:25, 133:11, 135:4, 135:24, 151:21, 166:17 answered [7] - 49:10, 49:15, 84:1, 100:3, 102:3, 125:25, 168:3 answering [1] - 101:2 answers [6] - 5:13, 5:15, 5:17, 5:21, 15:1, 71:7 anyhow [1] - 147:6 apartment [4] - 11:18, 14:6, 46:23, 152:1 apartments [7] - 8:3, 8:9, 13:19, 30:15, 70:17, 72:24, 153:24 apologize [5] - 56:2, 61:13, 65:8, 72:17, 116:21 appeal [1] - 92:4 appealed [1] - 36:21 appear [1] - 109:16 appeared [1] - 15:20 appellate [1] - 142:5 applicable [1] - 143:18 applicant [24] - 5:1, 6:6, 7:17, 12:23, 13:6, 14:19, 15:9, 15:11, 15:16, 30:13, 34:22, 34:25, 36:21, 36:23, 37:9, 37:14, 143:19, 148:14, 148:16, 155:15, 155:20, 165:14 applicant's [1] - 34:14 applicants [1] - 89:15 application [45] - 4:21, 6:3, 6:5, 6:12, 12:3, 12:5, 15:7, 16:8, 16:9, 16:20, 17:5, 18:3, 19:25, 22:15, 23:7, 34:11, 34:15, 36:20, 39:1, 45:8, 52:17, 52:20, 54:25, 55:4, 55:16, 55:17, 58:6, 78:4, 78:7, 78:9, 78:12, 78:15, 83:15, 86:23, 90:21, 91:5, 93:5, 121:17, 122:10, 147:19, 164:8, 165:4, 165:6, 173:11 applications (9) - 28:4,

acres [1] - 84:10

affirming [1] - 103:13

affordable [10] - 27:24,

analysis [1] - 166:24

analyzed [1] - 114:14

30:15, 52:3, 56:6, 90:23, 91:10, 93:3, 93:6, 162:3 apply [3] - 116:1, 162:23, 163:2 appreciate [2] - 34:20, 98:15 appreciative [1] - 12:1 appropriate [19] - 10:15, 26:15, 35:22, 46:7, 53:4, 57:9, 78:18, 82:20, 82:22, 86:16, 115:16, 116:22, 130:21, 140:21, 140:22, 142:22, 146:22, 158:24, 159:8 appropriately [1] - 76:1 approval [3] - 12:25, 78:16, 162:7 approvals [1] - 83:16 approve [1] - 10:9 approved [7] - 7:16, 18:3, 77:8, 86:22, 157:19, 158:16, 161:5 approving [1] - 150:14 April [3] - 63:2, 131:4, 134:9 apt [1] - 163:13 architect [2] - 12:11, 62:23 Architects [1] - 9:6 area [17] - 7:13, 14:12. 18:14, 26:22, 26:23, 28:6, 41:6, 44:17, 59:2, 120:12, 120:13, 120:14, 120:18, 142:8, 142:10, 157:17, 157:22 areas [14] - 24:18, 42:18, 50:20, 53:17, 69:4, 79:16, 99:12, 99:20, 101:11, 104:3, 120:9, 156:23, 159:18 argue [2] - 86:7, 140:12 argued [1] - 83:16 arguing [6] - 80:2, 102:4, 129:15, 129:16, 129:19, 140:10 argument [2] - 79:13, 83:12 argumentative [1] - 64:19 arrangement [2] - 7:25, 12:24 arrogance [1] - 64:17 ASH [1] - 168:19 **ASHLEY** [22] - 119:20, 119:25, 120:4, 120:25, 121:12, 121:20, 121:24, 122:5, 122:13, 122:21, 122:25, 166:16, 166:21, 167:7, 167:19, 167:22, 168.4, 168.8, 168.12,

Ashley [3] - 119:21, 120:2, 166:17 aside [2] - 51:12, 157:16 assets [1] - 42:17 assign [1] - 46:18 assigned [2] - 8:2, 8:9 associated [1] - 163:11 associates [1] - 142:15 assume [3] - 59:25, 134:8, 134:10 assumption [1] - 75:17 assumptions [1] - 136:14 attack [1] - 68:21 attempt [f] - 37:17 attempted [1] - 32:21 attend [1] - 172:1 attention [1] - 123:7 attorneys [2] - 79:23, 160:19 AUCC [1] - 171:15 **AUDIENCE** [4] - 3:24, 4:3, 25:6, 116:13 audience [4] - 57:15, 64:9, 66:9, 154:16 August [3] - 6:17, 9:5, 172:1 author [1] - 36:24 authority [1] - 10:8 Authority [2] - 42:21, 43:1 authorized [2] - 145:6, 146:10 autonomous [7] - 47:18, 48:5, 48:25, 49:4, 49:8, 49:18, 50:1 available [3] - 6:8, 57:24, 171:10 Avaion [1] - 30:14 AvalonBay [1] - 75:8 Avenue [11] - 84:5, 84:9, 119:22, 121:3, 121:5, 122:6, 122:7, 122:11, 122:14, 122:15, 122:19 aware [48] - 26:13, 28:3, 31:20, 32:18, 38:1, 41:5, 41:14, 41:20, 41:22, 42:4, 42:12, 42:15, 42:16, 42:19, 42:22, 42:25, 60:17, 80:6, 80:10, 80:14, 80:20, 81:10, 81:15, 81:20, 81:21, 81:22, 101:8, 105:2, 121:1, 121:4, 121:14, 121:25, 122:4, 122:5, 122:13, 148:10, 167:4, 167:6, 167:8, 167:23, 168:1, 168:7, 168:13, 168:15, 168:18, 168:21, 168:22, 169:3

В

B-E-L-C-H-E-R [1] - 114:1

backed [1] - 81:25 background [2] - 6:3, 118:18 banker [1] - 57:18 base [6] - 124:12, 135:9, 135:15, 135:19, 136:16, 136:22 based [18] - 24:10, 50:1, 52:3, 74:2, 74:11, 74:14, 79:12, 83:3, 87:16, 102:1, 115:17, 120:20, 141:13, 143:16, 143:17, 148:7, 162:25, 164:8 basis [7] - 41:12, 58:9, 83:12, 83:13, 107:25, 108:1, 128:11 **BEAL** [4] - 116:15, 116:19, Beal [2] - 116:15, 116:19 bear[1] - 27:15 bearing [1] - 143:10 bears [1] - 6:17 beating [1] - 50:25 beavers [1] - 5:9 become [2] - 49:8, 75:16 bedroom [9] - 8:2, 8:9, 45:10, 45:16, 45:17, 45:20, 45:22, 46:20, 46:23 bedrooms [10] - 9:18, 9:25, 44:22, 45:4, 45:10, 45:11, 148:17, 148:18 begin [1] - 17:22 beginning [2] - 5:3, 90:15 begins [1] - 103:12 behind [5] - 7:23, 52:24, 53:9, 59:24, 110:16 BELCHER [14] - 23:19, 23:23, 23:25, 24:4, 24:8, 25:11, 113:24, 115:3, 115:11, 115:20, 116:3, 117:12, 117:17, 117:20 Belcher [4] - 23:20, 38:16, 113:25, 118:1 belief [3] - 103:17, 103:18, 103:19 believes [1] - 142:23 below [3] - 21:1, 46:23, 137:7 beneficial [1] - 88:24 benefit [4] - 28:19, 28:22, 29:13, 29:18 benefits [1] - 29:1 Bergen [2] - 66:16, 68:15 Bergenfield [1] - 121:2 Berger [21] - 3:3, 3:23, 4:20, 5:24, 9:7, 15:10, 36:8, 38:16, 74:16, 77:5, 99:10, 106:22, 110:5,

155:5, 163:16 BERGER [232] - 4:5, 4:22, 4:24, 6:2, 7:1, 7:5, 8:13, 8:19, 9:8, 9:22, 10:13, 11:10, 12:14, 13:3, 13:13, 15:16, 16:16, 17:1, 17:4, 17:11, 17:14, 17:23, 18:5, 18:11, 18:21, 19:12, 20:1, 20:18, 21:1, 21:8, 21:10, 22:1, 22:7, 22:9, 22:17, 22:22, 23:1, 24:2, 24:5, 24:13, 26:10, 31:5, 34:2, 36:10, 36:14, 38:5, 38:11, 38:14, 38:18, 38:21, 39:2, 39:6, 39:15, 39:23, 40:7, 41:24, 42:1, 43:21, 44:4, 45:1, 45:5, 47:12, 47:16, 48:15, 48:18, 49:21, 49:23, 51:19, 51:25, 52:15, 53:3, 53:6, 56:24, 57:1, 57:5, 57:22, 59:4, 61:9, 61:25, 62:6, 64:18, 66:12, 66:19, 70:12, 70:21, 71:5, 71:13, 71:20, 72:19, 73:2, 73:16, 73:22, 74:3, 74:7, 74:14, 75:11, 76:18, 76:23, 77:4, 77:24, 78:6, 81:1, 81:6, 82:4, 83:5, 84:11, 84:18, 86:9, 87:6, 87:12, 90:5, 90:9, 91:1, 92:15, 95:22, 96:4, 97:2, 97:22, 97:25, 98:6, 98:10, 99:8, 100:1, 101:20, 101:24, 102:1, 102:11, 102:24, 103:16, 103:20, 104:21, 104:23, 105:6, 106:21, 107:2, 107:6, 107:12, 108:18, 108:21, 109:18, 109:23, 110:6, 110:12, 111:10, 111:25, 112:3, 112:8, 112:13, 112:16, 112:21, 113:1, 118:21, 119:5, 121:10, 123:10, 123:14, 125:13, 126:24, 127:2, 129:3, 129:8, 129:16, 132:5, 132:15, 134:8, 135:4, 135:7, 139:12, 139:15, 140:9, 140:22, 141:6, 141:9, 141:18, 141:21, 141:23, 142:18, 142:20, 143:8, 143:14, 144:7, 144:11, 145:21, 146:2, 146:6, 146:10, 146:16, 146:20, 146:23, 147:2, 149:2, 149:5, 149:10, 149:15, 149:21, 149:23, 150:19, 151:4, 151:9, 151:16, 152:3, 152:12, 152:24, 153:14, 154:2, 155:7, 155:10. 163:21, 163:25, 164:9,

168:15, 168:22, 169:4

111:24, 123:11, 123:18,

149:1, 150:10, 151:3,

164:13, 164:23, 165:6, 165:10, 165:21, 166:5, 168:3, 169:10, 169:14, 169:18, 169:22, 170:3, 170:8, 170:13, 170:17, 170:23, 171:3, 171:6, 171:22, 172:23, 173:2, 173:8 Bernardsville [2] - 36:13, 36:17 better [8] - 14:22, 24:4, 50:14, 63:9, 72:14, 113:14, 168:21 between [4] - 9:11, 86:13, 107:24, 122:15 beyond [5] - 140:20, 157:20, 159:24, 160:21, 167:2 big [3] - 62:17, 124:15, 171:18 bit [2] - 46:11, 67:11 blah [5] - 38:1, 38:2 blanche [1] - 158:11 blend [1] - 115:1 blended [1] - 114:23 blight [3] - 31:14, 31:15, 32:5 block [4] - 117:13, 120:19, 121:1, 121:6 blocks [3] - 117:8, 121:6, 122:22 Bloustien [1] - 168:23 blue [2] - 34:23, 34:25 Board [2] - 108:12, 131:4 board [77] - 3:13, 3:14, 4:6, 5:11, 6:12, 7:16, 10:8, 11:1, 11:5, 11:18, 11:21, 11:25, 15:20, 16:13, 23:8, 36:22, 38:22, 43:3, 48:9,

25:23, 32:19, 36:19, 36:20, 52:2, 54:24, 55:6, 55:14, 55:15, 55:18, 56:18, 57:9, 57:23, 60:4, 60:11, 60:12, 65:10, 66:18, 79:11, 79:18, 80:8, 80:12, 80:17, 80:23, 81:24, 82:9, 83:17, 86:22, 89:15, 90:21, 90:22, 91:9, 91:25, 92:14, 92:24, 92:25, 93:1, 93:2, 93:5, 106:3, 114:2, 124:9, 148:6, 148:10, 153:11, 156:5, 156:7, 160:10, 161:5, 162:6, 163:4, 163:16, 164:14, 166:3, 166:14, 172:21 BOARD [22] - 26:8, 54:22,

55:11, 56:3, 58:1, 58:14,

64:8, 67:4, 72:6, 79:5,

127:8, 154:15, 165:25,

166:6, 166:8, 171:11,

171:13, 171:18, 172:2, 172:6, 172:14, 173:5 board's [2] - 5:11, 155:20 boards [2] - 90:18 body [1] - 163:7 bordered [1] - 121:17 borough [1] - 79:23 bottom [2] - 136:18. 137:19 **box** [1] - 157:21 boxed [1] - 69:16 **boy** [1] - 7:5 break [5] - 46:16, 78:21, 79:4, 79:5, 113:19 breaks [1] - 46:16 brick [1] - 68:13 bring [13] - 16:2, 18:25, 34:4, 34:11, 34:12, 34:15, 34:16, 34:22, 35:18, 35:19, 62:24, 96:6, 107:16 brought (4) - 36:18, 72:2, 108:22, 165:24 brown [2] - 135:1, 135:3 budget [1] - 79:23 build [7] - 50:6, 65:17, 65:24, 79:25, 159:24, 165:15, 165:17 building [63] - 9:14, 10:20, 11:15, 11:17, 14:2, 17:6, 17:7, 17:9, 20:18, 20:19, 20:22, 21:3, 22:5, 24:12, 24:16, 24:23, 25:13, 26:21, 26:23, 27:18, 30:10, 30:22, 31:19, 43:18, 47:5, 47:22, 47:25, 48:3, 48:6, 48:7, 48:11, 48:13, 51:13, 51:23, 51:24, 52:6, 52:7, 52:25, 53:1, 53:9, 53:20, 59:1, 60:22, 61:5, 62:8, 63:3, 64:13, 64:24, 65:2, 65:17, 66:3, 66:5, 66:23, 76:5, 85:3, 122:1, 137:9, 158:17, 161:7, 164:5, 167:2 buildings [13] - 13:23, 47:20, 49:7, 54:17, 62:24, 84:6, 86:20, 124:23, 131:14, 134:25, 135:2, 136:9, 138:13 built [2] - 13:24, 86:21 bulk [1] - 155:23 burden [6] - 125:6, 125:7, 126:9, 126:13, 133:14 Bureau [1] - 71:23 bus [7] - 32:19, 33:5, 40:16, 40:18, 40:23, 41:10, 41:18 buses [1] - 42:13 business [2] - 68:14, 135:13 businesses [3] - 40:14,

64:14 busy [3] - 5:9, 80:3, 90:19 BY [2] - 4:24, 20:1

## C

calculating [1] - 22:6 calculation [1] - 71:11 calculations [2] - 72:15, 89:11 calendar [1] - 3:5 calm [1] - 123:19 cannot [9] - 34:10, 34:16, 34:21, 34:25, 35:13, 37:6, 85:12, 92:23, 105:9 canvassing [1] - 11:24 capacity [2] - 42:20, 173:15 car [4] - 8:4, 8:6, 14:10 carbon [2] - 39:21, 40:21 Career [1] - 157:1 carried [1] - 129:6 carry [2] - 172:18, 173:10 cars [8] - 8:5, 39:21, 40:21, 48:22, 48:23, 48:25, 50:19, 115:14 cars.. [1] - 47:15 carte [1] - 158:10 case [35] - 34:13, 34:14, 35:22, 35:24, 36:8, 36:16, 94:1, 96:19, 102:21, 102:25, 104:13, 104:25, 105:18, 116:9, 141:17, 141:22, 142:2, 142:10, 142:15, 142:25, 143:3, 143:6, 143:20, 143:21, 148:24, 151:5, 153:22, 158:2, 161:9, 161:11, 162:3, 169:17 cases [8] - 82:21, 141:3, 141:12, 141:14, 143:12, 143:24, 153:20, 172:15 category [1] - 136:1 causing [1] - 138:3 cautious [1] - 14:1 Cedar [3] - 23:20, 23:23, 113:25 census [6] - 44:9, 46:11, 46:13, 71:17, 114:16, 115:23 Census [2] - 71:23, 73:7 Center [5] - 148:12, 150:13, 150:18, 153:6, 171:14 CEO [2] - 33:13, 37:2 certain [7] - 18:13, 45:9, 45:11, 65:12, 79:19, 153:7, 167:11 certainly [8] - 5:22, 14:15,

123:5, 160:25 cetera [1] - 164:3 Chair [1] - 113:8 chair [1] - 166:6 CHAIRMAN [448] - 3:1, 3:6, 3:9, 3:22, 4:1, 4:4, 4:19, 8:1, 8:7, 8:16, 9:2, 9:17, 9:23, 9:25, 12:9, 12:16, 13:4, 13:11, 13:16, 15:9, 16:17, 16:21, 17:3, 17:8, 17:12, 18:1, 19:15, 19:22, 19:24, 20:3, 20:6, 20:15, 21:5, 21:14, 21:16, 22:3, 22:8, 22:11, 22:16, 22:21, 22:25, 23:5, 23:24, 24:6, 24:20, 24:24, 25:2, 25:8, 25:15, 25:18, 25:21, 26:5, 26:9, 27:2, 27:5, 28:1, 28:9, 28:21, 29:4, 29:6, 29:15, 30:12, 30:18, 30:21, 31:1, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 31:16, 31:25, 32:3, 32:11, 32:14, 32:16, 33:19, 33:22, 34:1, 34:3, 34:8, 34:19, 35:4, 35:7, 35:12, 36:2, 37:6, 37:19, 37:23, 38:9, 38:15, 38:19, 38:24, 39:3, 39:8, 39:12, 39:22, 39:24, 40:1, 40:25, 41:19, 42:3, 42:10, 42:24, 43:8, 43:13, 44:24, 45:7, 45:14, 45:23, 46:1, 47:6, 47:8, 49:12, 49:22, 50:3, 50:12, 50:16, 50:21, 50:25, 51:3, 51:8, 52:4, 52:16, 53:5, 53:11, 53:15, 53:21, 53:24, 54:2, 54:4, 54:7, 54:12, 54:20, 55:5, 55:10, 55:12, 56:4, 56:11, 56:14, 56:17, 56:20, 57:24, 58:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:7, 61:7, 61:11, 61:16, 61:20, 62:13, 62:16, 63:6, 63:9, 63:12, 63:14, 63:17, 63:21, 63:25, 64:3, 64:6, 64:20, 66:25, 67:6, 67:12, 67:16, 67:21, 68:1, 69:7, 69:10, 69:13, 70:7, 70:14, 70:20, 70:25, 71:9, 73:5, 73:18, 74:5, 74:10, 74:12, 74:16, 74:20, 75:6, 75:12, 75:19, 77:5, 77:8, 77:12, 77:16, 77:19, 77:22, 77:25, 78:3, 78:8, 78:19, 78:23, 79:1, 79:3, 79:8, 80:25, 81:2, 81:8, 81:11, 81:14, 82:3, 82:5, 83:9, 83:21, 84:13, 84:16, 84:19, 85:7, 85:12, 85:14, 86:10, 86:17, 87:7, 87:10, 89:23, 90:12, 91:15, 92:7, 92:18, 92:21, 93:7, 93:12, 93:16,

14:22, 66:10, 81:15, 116:9,

93:18, 93:21, 94:4, 95:1, 95:6, 95:9, 95:13, 95:19, 96:1, 96:7, 96:15, 96:21, 96:24, 97:10, 97:17, 98:4, 98:7, 98:13, 98:17, 99:6, 99:10, 99:23, 100:2, 100:5, 100:9, 100:11, 100:17, 100:24, 101:6, 101:21, 102:7, 103:7, 103:22, 104:8, 104:17, 104:20, 105:19, 105:22, 105:25, 106:2, 106:6, 106:8, 106:12, 106:16, 106:19, 106:22, 107:5, 107:9, 107:14, 107:20, 108:7, 108:9, 108:13, 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:5, 109:8, 109:10, 110:2, 110:19, 110:22, 111:1, 111:6, 111:12, 111:15, 111:18, 111:21, 112:1, 112:4, 112:15, 112:20, 112:22, 113:2, 113:9, 113:12, 113:21, 116:5, 116:11, 116:14, 116:17, 117:15, 117:18, 117:21, 119:17, 120:23, 123:1, 123:11, 123:17, 123:21, 125:16, 125:20, 125:24, 126:3, 127:1, 127:3, 127:6, 127:10, 127:19, 128:1, 128:7, 128:15, 128:19, 129:15, 129:19, 129:23, 130:2, 130:7, 130:14, 130:17, 130:24, 131:25, 132:4, 132:6, 132:8, 132:10, 132:12, 132:16, 132:18, 132:23, 133:18, 134:7, 134:9, 134:12, 134:15, 134:20, 137:3, 137:10, 137:15, 137:17, 138:6, 139:3, 139:5, 139:7, 140:11, 140:17, 140:23, 144:21, 144:24, 145:1, 146:8, 146:12, 147:4, 147:11, 147:14, 147:21, 148:1, 148:16, 148:21, 148:25, 149:3, 149:8, 149:13, 149:22, 149:25, 150:2, 150:6, 150:20, 152:19, 153:17, 153:21, 154:4, 154:7, 154:12, 154:17, 154:20, 154:24, 155:3, 155:8, 155:11, 156:11, 156:15, 156:19, 157:2, 157:8, 157:12, 157:15, 157:24, 158:14, 158:22, 159:9, 159:15, 159:22, 160:5, 163:17, 163:20, 164:16, 165:19, 166:7, 166:10, 166:13,

166:19, 167:18, 167:20, 168:1, 168:6, 168:10, 168:17, 168:20, 169:5, 169:12, 169:16, 169:20, 169:25, 170:5, 170:10, 170:15, 170:18, 171:1, 171:5, 171:9, 171:12, 171:16, 171:20, 172:12, 172:16, 173:4, 173:7, 173:10, 173:20, 173:23 chairman [11] - 12:7, 25:23, 73:4, 94:10, 98:21, 104:19, 105:14, 136:10, 136:25, 137:14, 162:11 Chairman [8] - 4:5, 4:25, 11:12, 13:10, 17:19, 36:7, 85:11, 127:24 Chairman's [4] - 5:13, 5:15, 5:17, 5:21 chambers [1] - 173:14 chance [2] - 85:2, 145:13 change [7] - 71:2, 79:14, 150:24, 151:2, 152:5, 161:15, 161:22 changed [4] - 20:23, 83:1, 87:21, 162:2 changes [3] - 80:3, 136:13, 163:6 changing [7] - 86:19, 87:19, 161:16, 161:21, 161:25, 162:1, 162:2 chapter [1] - 98:21 character [6] - 155:18, 156:10, 161:2, 161:3, 161:13, 161:18 characteristics [2] - 72:5, characterization [3] -114:21, 139:13, 147:3 characterized [1] - 92:13 characterizing [3] - 97:1, 123:15, 139:18 charge [1] - 130:19 charging [1] - 48:21 Charles [1] - 3:5 chatter [1] - 24:25 check [2] - 112:14, 112:15 checked [1] - 118:14 chief [2] - 33:12, 41:15 children [5] - 43:17, 45:19, 45:21, 47:4, 167:14 choice [1] - 10:24 choose [2] - 10:3, 11:7 Chuck [1] - 90:13 circulated [1] - 5:10 citation [1] - 41:2 cite [11] - 84:5, 89:16,

cited [4] - 75:23, 83:7, 125:12, 141:21 cites [2] - 76:3, 141:22 citing [5] - 104:14, 129:13, 129:24, 132:1, 142:13 citizens [1] - 74:25 city [4] - 26:2, 26:12, 26:19, 26:21 City [2] - 40:19, 41:7 clarified [2] - 147:20, 152:23 clarify [2] - 17:18, 153:12 clause [1] - 76:17 clear [5] - 8:10, 8:22, 30:20, 104:10, 104:11 clearly [7] - 11:19, 15:16, 96:18, 110:15, 133:1, 133:12, 133:15 clerk [1] - 147:9 clerk's [1] - 57:25 client [13] - 30:23, 31:1, 63:1, 64:12, 64:14, 64:15, 64:23, 65:16, 65:23, 66:7, 83:3, 89:5, 151:9 client's [2] - 65:21, 152:6 close [5] - 13:19, 24:7, 40:13, 69:17, 152:16 closed [1] - 170:9 closer [3] - 7:13, 10:22, 24:6 closing [1] - 170:6 COAH [1] - 169:21 code [4] - 15:18, 51:24, 66:2, 91:22 coin [1] - 33:2 colleagues [1] - 11:1 colloquy [1] - 119:5 comfortable [1] - 48:10 coming [2] - 121:23, 154:11 commentary [1] - 147:18 comments [12] - 35:21, 54:24, 57:9, 58:3, 58:5, 93:13, 110:16, 147:24, 154:10, 154:22, 155:4, 170:22 Commercial [1] - 142:11 commercial [6] - 57:18, 69:16, 75:22, 75:25, 135:11, 136:6 communicate [1] - 173:7 community [11] - 58:9, 70:3, 137:6, 156:8, 156:9, 160:11, 161:2, 161:13, 161:16, 161:18, 161:20 Community [3] - 71:23, 73:8, 171:14 commute [1] - 40:23 commuters [3] - 39:20,

company [2] - 57:12, 58:15 comparables [2] - 87:15, 87:18 compared [2] - 17:13, 46:23 compelling [1] - 79:13 compensate [2] - 151:6, compensation [1] - 149:4 completed [2] - 75:4, 122:1 completely [3] - 16:5, 100:21, 145:25 complex [1] - 11:18 compliance [1] - 62:25 compliant [2] - 62:22, 65:3 complied [2] - 66:7, 164:2 comply [4] - 66:7, 66:23, 151:21, 151:24 compounded [1] - 68:4 conceivable [1] - 49:16 concept [2] - 128:13, 129:5 concepts [1] - 125:12 concern [5] - 14:21, 82:16, 131:1, 150:15 concerned [1] - 163:5 concession [1] - 13:1 concise [1] - 74:21 concrete [2] - 49:16, 74:18 condition [2] - 19:2, 31:21 conditional [1] - 142:4 conditions [4] - 26:2, 26:3, 47:22, 138:2 condo [1] - 46:20 confirming [1] - 71:10 conforms [1] - 159:25 confused [1] - 144:15 congratulations [1] - 60:3 connection [1] - 30:4 consensus [1] - 79:25 consequence (1) - 153:2 consider [11] - 12:4, 15:8, 16:7, 16:11, 16:19, 17:2, 36:19, 36:23, 80:17, 117:3 consideration [3] - 11:9, 11:21, 46:8 considered [3] - 21:2, 57:13, 73:8 considering [3] - 15:14, 17:23, 17:24 consistency [1] - 93:25 consistent [2] - 85:5, 116:10 constrained [1] - 41:17 construction [3] - 86:21,

40:20, 41:7

102:14, 103:10, 126:23,

129:13, 129:14, 140:6,

143:12, 143:25, 144:8

150:15, 157:21 contaminated [1] - 135:2 contend [1] - 140:25 content [1] - 145:20 context [2] - 67:17, 161:12 continue [5] - 4:8, 23:3, 62:9, 119:12, 148:2 continued [2] - 171:23, 171:25 continuing [1] - 4:12 contrasting [1] - 27:14 contravenes [1] - 146:1 contributions [1] - 149:20 convenient [1] - 14:13 conversation [1] - 35:13 copies [3] - 33:17, 58:4, 105:15 copy [10] - 106:20, 106:23, 108:14, 108:24, 110:1, 110:3, 111:13, 111:23, 112:2 corner [1] - 8:14 correct [30] - 5:6, 5:7, 5:11, 5:12, 5:14, 5:18, 8:13, 9:24, 12:14, 15:10, 18:5, 20:25, 25:14, 29:23, 65:15, 70:19, 77:23, 84:7, 89:9, 89:17, 107:12, 108:24, 111:5, 113:1, 148:25, 149:5, 149:15, 155:10, 157:14, 162:16 correctly [2] - 73:21, 96:25 correspondence [1] -37:5 corresponding [1] - 87:14 cost [3] - 6:11, 14:20, 77:15 council [18] - 63:6, 79:22, 80:3, 80:7, 80:11, 80:22, 81:24, 82:6, 82:10, 82:18, 82:25, 117:12, 146:10, 147:3, 161:6, 162:1, 164:22, 165:11 Council [1] - 145:6 counted [3] - 21:3, 27:24, 28:18 counter[1] - 35:24 counties [1] - 28:6 counting [1] - 13:14 country [1] - 69:22 County [1] - 68:15 couple [4] - 44:12, 122:22, 153:4, 163:21 couples [1] - 44:15 course [2] - 10:20, 139:19 Court [2] - 142:3, 162:19 court [4] - 25:5, 36:21,

Coventry [7] - 141:17, 141:22, 142:2, 142:12, 142:15, 143:25, 144:1 cover [5] - 108:10, 109:19, 109:20, 109:21, 112:24 coverage [18] - 10:20, 11:14, 16:3, 17:7, 17:9, 20:4, 20:12, 20:13, 20:15, 20:18, 20:19, 20:22, 21:3, 51:10, 51:18, 66:3, 66:4, 82:23 coverages [1] - 17:7 covering [2] - 44:9, 84:10 crafts [1] - 50:2 cramped [1] - 26:21 create [4] - 124:23, 131:14, 138:13, 140:7 created [6] - 67:25, 80:21, 90:22, 91:10, 91:23, 92:14 creating [1] - 124:17 criteria [5] - 139:20, 139:22, 140:15, 158:17 cross [10] - 4:9, 35:1, 37:7, 44:18, 96:8, 102:2, 103:24, 107:7, 107:15, 107:16 cross-examination [6] -4:9, 96:8, 102:2, 103:24, 107:15, 107:16 cross-examine [2] - 35:1, 37:7 crossing [1] - 122:7 crowded [1] - 26:20 crowding [1] - 166:25 **cumulative** [1] - 145:6 current [5] - 47:22, 47:23, 62:20, 80:7, 151:20 custom [3] - 52:2, 52:3 customary [2] - 51:22, 51:23

#### D

cycle [2] - 39:21, 40:21

cut [1] - 16:3

D-1 [1] - 162:14
D6 [1] - 143:23
data [35] - 43:16, 44:1,
44:5, 44:9, 44:21, 45:2,
45:25, 46:12, 46:14, 47:1,
47:4, 48:24, 50:18, 51:16,
51:17, 53:16, 53:23, 57:14,
57:19, 71:17, 73:1, 74:2,
114:6, 114:8, 114:11,
114:16, 115:17, 115:21,
115:23, 115:24, 118:18,
160:17
date [17] - 6:17, 71:20,
95:19, 108:5, 108:7, 108:9,
111:8, 116:20, 139:15,

139:16, 144:7, 151:18, 151:23, 170:7, 171:9, 171:23, 173:1 dated [2] - 9:5, 113:6 David [1] - 54:5 de [3] - 17:12, 19:3, 19:13 dead [3] - 51:1, 62:8, 79:16 deal [3] - 13:2, 152:19, 173:4 dealing [1] - 150:22 dealt [1] - 124:6 Debra [1] - 25:19 December [4] - 171:11, 171:12, 171:21, 172:9 decide [4] - 11:6, 92:4, 92:5 decided [2] - 142:2, 143:11 decision [5] - 11:1, 11:3, 36:21, 55:11, 160:20 deck [2] - 9:13, 10:18 declared [2] - 94:19, 95:15 decline [2] - 136:15, 136:22 declining (1) - 135:14 deed [8] - 63:2, 165:13, 165:23, 169:13, 170:2, 170:12, 170:13, 170:19 deemed [1] - 159:3 defend [1] - 142:16 define [3] - 88:15, 94:15, 120:18 defined [2] - 91:7, 93:4 defining (1) - 120:10 definitely [1] - 105:9 definitive [1] - 89:21 delivery [1] - 68:12 demand [4] - 47:21, 48:6, 48:7, 137:24 demanded [1] - 48:11 demands [1] - 48:2 demographic [1] - 114:13 denied [2] - 36:20, 164:11 Denise [2] - 23:19, 113:25 dense (1) - 88:3 densities [2] - 88:14, 167:11 density [25] - 26:23, 53:17, 82:23, 85:5, 85:22, 86:2, 87:16, 87:21, 88:16, 89:5, 89:8, 140:3, 142:8, 143:24, 155:16, 155:22,

deputy [1] - 80:15 derived [1] - 97:14 deriving [1] - 97:20 describe [2] - 84:4, 86:5 describing [1] - 31:18 description [2] - 135:21, 163:13 design [1] - 48:1 desire [1] - 61:4 determination [2] - 20:21, determine [1] - 172:18 determined [1] - 164:24 detriment [4] - 65:12, 89:1, 158:5, 160:1 detrimental [7] - 99:13, 99:21, 101:12, 104:3, 156:24, 157:25, 158:1 develop [1] - 136:19 developed [2] - 76:17, 165:12 developer [1] - 6:11 developer's [1] - 77:15 developers [5] - 90:23, 91:3, 91:11, 92:17, 93:4 development [21] - 10:7, 26:15, 40:17, 44:3, 44:7, 65:19, 69:24, 75:4, 76:1, 76:4, 76:5, 79:14, 81:5, 115:19, 135:10, 136:3, 136:6, 136:8, 159:2, 162:5, 165:17 developments [5] - 44:3, 44:7, 69:16, 94:13, 145:7 deviation [2] - 51:22, 51:23 diagram [1] - 15:24 diagrams [1] - 120:22 die [1] - 62:11 difference [4] - 9:11, 86:13, 151:12, 152:14 differences [1] - 107:24 different [15] - 5:18, 11:12, 22:6, 46:17, 100:6, 100:21, 109:24, 110:17, 124:7, 132:10, 138:20, 140:17, 142:10, 158:15, 162:20 differently [1] - 15:21 difficult (1) - 62:23 difficulties (2) - 124:22, 138:13 difficulty [1] - 131:14 DiPlaza [1] - 172:10 direct [18] - 38:8, 38:11, 49:24, 50:13, 74:14, 93:13, 95:22, 95:24, 97:2, 102:2, 105:12, 107:7, 107:21, 109:16, 113:4, 123:7,

78:20, 164:25

courts [1] - 29:8

114:10

156:2, 156:9, 158:11,

159:25, 161:6, 164:6,

164:10, 167:1, 168:25

department [1] - 54:18

depended [2] - 114:6,

depth [3] - 27:13, 27:15,

170:8, 170:10 direction [2] - 100:21, 121:8 directly [3] - 37:4, 116:25, 117:2 discuss [5] - 19:19, 26:18, 32:19, 59:1, 119:6 discussed [3] - 50:22, 78:8, 118:8 discussing [1] - 145:8 discussion [3] - 81:5, 94:9, 123:8 discussions [1] - 153:19 dissimilar [1] - 68:19 distances [1] - 69:18 distribution [5] - 44:2, 44:6, 44:22, 45:3, 45:13 district [1] - 66:2 districts [5] - 75:23, 75:25, 135:11, 135:13, 136:3 disturb [2] - 25:5, 158:24 diversified [1] - 135:9 divine [1] - 47:3 division [1] - 142:6 document [24] - 36:18, 36:23, 102:8, 106:18, 106:19, 106:25, 108:4, 109:24, 110:9, 110:15, 110:17, 111:7, 112:12, 126:7, 127:16, 129:14, 130:22, 131:24, 134:4, 134:19, 137:1, 138:2 documents [5] - 5:23, 102:10, 162:8, 169:9, 169:11 done [20] - 39:1, 77:2, 77:17, 78:2, 92:22, 107:15, 107:16, 115:8, 121:9, 122:9, 145:5, 145:22, 146:17, 149:14, 152:21, 155:5, 165:3, 167:9, 170:19, 170:24 door[1] - 11:15 doorstep [1] - 40:24 Dover [1] - 36:11 down [12] - 16:3, 23:22, 40:5, 46:16, 67:11, 67:13, 90:6, 116:17, 122:24, 123:19, 134:20 downtown [5] - 68:13, 68:16, 69:2, 69:4, 69:20 downtowns [1] - 68:25 **DP** [1] - 72:25 DP-04[2] - 71:24, 72:3 DP-O4[1] - 72:25 Dr [16] - 89:25, 90:1, 98:22, 101:7, 105:25, 107:10, 108:24, 109:14,

123:19, 125:14, 126:3, 140:11, 173:20 DR [187] - 90:1, 90:4, 90:7, 90:11, 90:13, 91:6, 91:13, 91:17, 91:21, 92:2, 92:10, 92:12, 92:17, 93:8, 93:13, 93:17, 93:20, 93:23, 94:6, 94:23, 95:4, 95:8, 95:11, 95:14, 95:21, 95:24, 96:11, 96:17, 96:25, 97:4, 97:13, 97:20, 97:24, 98:2, 98:9, 98:12, 98:15, 99:5, 99:7, 99:15, 99:24, 100:4, 100:7, 100:10, 100:16, 100:19, 101:8, 101:18, 101:22, 101:25, 102:5, 102:10, 102:13, 102:18, 102:20, 102:25, 103:6, 103:8, 103:19, 104:6, 104:10, 104:18, 104:22, 104:25, 105:14, 105:20, 105:23, 106:1, 106:5, 106:9, 106:11, 106:17, 107:19, 108:6, 108:8, 108:11, 109:20, 109:25, 110:10, 110:18, 111:5, 111:11, 111:13, 111:17, 111:20, 113:7, 113:10, 113:20, 116:8, 119:20, 119:25, 120:4, 120:25, 121:12, 121:20, 121:24, 122:5, 122:13, 122:21, 122:25, 123:5, 123:12, 123:20, 123:24, 124:1, 125:21, 126:2, 126:8, 126:12, 126:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:7, 127:18, 127:21, 128:6, 128:9, 129:2, 129:5, 129:12, 129:17, 129:21, 130:1, 130:4, 130:8, 131:8, 132:11, 132:22, 133:8, 133:17, 133:20, 134:14, 135:3, 135:6, 135:20, 135:25, 138:8, 139:4, 139:6, 139:8, 139:14, 139:17, 139:24, 140:1, 140:6, 140:14, 141:2, 141:8, 141:16, 141:20, 141:22, 141:25, 142:14, 142:19, 143:2, 143:5, 143:11, 144:3, 144:8, 144:13, 144:17, 144:20, 144:23, 144:25, 145:2, 145:13, 145:16, 145:19, 145:24, 146:3, 146:24, 147:8, 147:13, 147:18, 166:16, 166:21, 167:7, 167:19, 167:22, 168:4, 168:8, 168:12, 168:15, 168:19, 168:22, 169:4, 173:19

draft [4] - 145:12, 145:14, 146:15, 147:9 draw (1) - 138:10 drawing [6] - 6:16, 8:11, 8:15, 8:21, 8:23, 10:9 Drawing [2] - 7:7, 9:9 drawings [2] - 11:13, 57:14 drawn [1] - 115:14 drive [4] - 19:1, 19:21, 76:12, 128:7 driven [1] - 122:24 driveway [1] - 8:4 driving [2] - 21:19, 48:25 drop [2] - 48:7, 48:13 Drum [1] - 142:6 due [1] - 136:22 during [5] - 3:13, 40:20, 68:17, 118:24, 171:4 dwelling [3] - 27:10, 27:12, 66:3 dwellings [2] - 94:11, 94:14 dynamic [1] - 161:21

E E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [1] - 25:20 early [2] - 30:24, 139:11 easier[1] - 169:24 east [3] - 7:14, 53:25, 76:13 easy [2] - 22:11, 117:24 economic [8] - 67:9, 68:24, 69:19, 69:24, 135:9, 135:10, 136:3, 138:2 EDWARDS [9] - 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:11, 118:15, 119:2, 119:8, 119:12, 119:15 Edwards [1] - 117:23 effect [5] - 99:13, 99:21, 101:12, 124:12, 158:1 effects [4] - 59:1, 59:18, 104:4, 156:24 efficiency [1] - 12:20 effort [2] - 12:2, 43:16 efforts [1] - 135:10 eight [3] - 112:18, 156:20, 172:14 either [7] - 10:11, 30:2, 30:13, 34:12, 86:20, 145:18, 172:25 elderly [2] - 52:25, 53:19 elected [1] - 60:5 electric [2] - 48:22, 48:24 element [6] - 98:25, 99:1, 124:10, 124:16, 163:23, 164:1 elements [1] - 98:23

eleven [1] - 112:18 elicit [1] - 107:17 eliminated [1] - 11:16 **ELIYAHU** [99] - 25:17, 25:19, 25:22, 26:7, 26:11, 26:18, 27:4, 27:6, 27:20, 27:23, 28:3, 28:17, 29:3, 29:5, 29:10, 29:20, 30:19, 30:22, 31:3, 31:8, 31:11, 31:14, 31:20, 32:2, 32:4, 32:9, 32:12, 32:15, 32:17, 33:1, 33:4, 33:8, 33:11, 33:16, 33:20, 33:24, 34:6, 34:16, 35:2, 35:5, 35:11, 36:1, 36:3, 36:5, 36:25, 37:22, 38:4, 38:13, 39:16, 39:19, 39:25, 40:4, 40:8, 40:10, 41:1, 41:13, 41:22, 41:25, 42:6, 42:9, 42:12, 42:19, 43:6, 43:11, 43:15, 44:1, 44:5, 44:10, 44:20, 45:2, 45:12, 45:18, 45:25, 46:4, 47:7, 47:9, 47:14, 47:17, 48:14, 48:17, 48:19, 49:3, 49:13, 49:25, 50:5, 50:15, 50:18, 50:24, 51:2, 51:7, 51:9, 51:16, 51:21, 52:6, 52:10, 52:23, 53:16, 53:25, 54:3 Eliyahu [1] - 25:19 Elizabeth [1] - 148:5 ELY[1] - 168:19 email [1] - 147:8 emails [1] - 58:2 empirical [1] - 160:17 empty [1] - 124:12 encompass [1] - 117:8 encompasses [2] -116:24, 117:8 encourage [14] - 94:2, 94:3, 97:6, 97:7, 99:3, 99:4, 99:19, 101:11, 104:2,

Englewood [4] - 68:20,

enrollment [1] - 114:17

122:14, 122:15, 122:19

111:10, 116:7, 123:2,

enter[1] - 33:21 entered [1] - 35:23 entire [6] - 9:13, 57:15, 98:16, 101:5, 128:21, 147:19 entirety [1] - 98:3 entitled [2] - 98:22, 98:24 environment [4] - 26:16, 26:21, 161:8, 161:17 environmental [2] - 51:17, environments [1] - 69:2 envision [4] - 44:10, 47:21, 47:23, 156:1 equal [1] - 67:25 erosion [1] - 135:18 especially [2] - 137:25, 159:11 essentially [1] - 136:8 establish [1] - 37:16 established [1] - 66:8 establishment [1] -157:18 estate [2] - 114:7, 124:12 estimate [2] - 47:2, 72:13 estimated [1] - 47:3 estimates (2) - 115:13, 115:17 et [1] - 164:2 evaluate [6] - 125:2, 126:16, 131:18, 133:4, 134:1, 138:17 evening [13] - 3:23, 4:5, 4:8, 23:17, 23:19, 25:16, 25:21, 25:22, 60:2, 80:11, 117:22, 119:20, 120:5 event [1] - 7:15 evidence [15] - 33:21, 35:16, 37:18, 43:4, 43:5, 57:22, 85:22, 90:24, 91:18, 92:13, 109:1, 109:11, 111:19, 120:21, 165:24 evolving [3] - 46:11, 86:5, 161:21 exact [1] - 151:17 exactly [6] - 101:14, 116:24, 129:4, 141:23, 170:4, 170:17 examination [9] - 4:9, 76:3, 96:8, 102:2, 103:23, 103:24, 107:15, 107:16, 113:4 examinations [3] - 81:17, 81:23, 104:12 examine [3] - 35:1, 37:7, 128:16 examining [1] - 107:7 example [4] - 26:4, 26:12, 37:8, 114:6 examples [4] - 87:18,

87:25, 88:1, 88:2 exams [1] - 46:17 exceed [1] - 167:11 exceeded [2] - 164:9, 164:10 exceeds [2] - 164:6 except [1] - 17:4 exception [2] - 19:3, 19:13 exceptional [2] - 64:16, excess [1] - 157:24 excuse [2] - 55:10, 135:23 Exhibit [2] - 84:2, 111:23 exhibit [1] - 76:22 exhibits [3] - 6:15, 95:1, exist [3] - 50:7, 167:6, 167:16 existence [1] - 41:11 existing [17] - 31:19, 99:2, 99:13, 99:19, 99:21, 101:10, 102:23, 103:14, 103:15, 104:1, 104:15, 105:5, 135:10, 136:9, 156:8, 156:22, 161:19 exists [2] - 60:22, 93:6 expand [1] - 158:6 expanded [1] - 167:2 expansion [17] - 7:9, 10:17, 65:11, 94:4, 94:5, 94:6, 94:20, 97:7, 99:3, 99:4, 99:20, 101:11, 104:2, 156:23, 157:3, 158:9, 159:17 expansive [1] - 159:2 expect [1] - 129:17 expected [1] - 49:7 expense [1] - 77:15 expensive [1] - 62:24 experience [1] - 79:12 experienced [1] - 114:18 experiencing [1] - 138:3 expert [10] - 12:10, 23:5, 61:10, 106:3, 107:11, 107:17, 118:21, 118:22, 118:24 experts [8] - 5:11, 23:3, 71:2, 118:13, 170:19, 170:24, 171:3, 172:1 explain [15] - 5:20, 8:19, 12:8, 29:2, 29:7, 31:11, 70:22, 71:16, 125:9, 141:3, 141:16, 141:19, 147:5, 150:9, 159:11 explained [1] - 15:3 explains [1] - 21:10 explanation [1] - 94:3 explicitly [1] - 65:10 express [1] - 40:19

29:12
 extend [2] - 158:14,
172:20
 extended [1] - 24:17
 extending [1] - 7:12
 extends [1] - 9:12
 extension [1] - 8:11
 extensive [1] - 167:10
 extent [5] - 31:23, 136:11,
136:23, 158:12, 158:17
 external [2] - 33:12, 41:15
 extra [4] - 14:1, 15:6,
16:10, 152:1
 Exxon [1] - 36:13

# F

facilities [1] - 7:10 fact [21] - 24:22, 37:15, 42:16, 42:19, 42:23, 51:12, 66:10, 71:17, 84:20, 90:21, 91:9, 101:8, 120:7, 123:6, 146:13, 147:1, 151:13, 155:21, 155:24, 160:1 factored [1] - 18:8 facts [1] - 143:3 fair (10) - 11:6, 37:13, 60:20, 85:2, 100:15, 122:21, 151:13, 164:24, 165:2, 165:4 Fair [5] - 148:11, 150:13, 150:18, 153:6, 164:1 fairly [1] - 90:19 fairness [2] - 34:22, 152:8 falls [1] - 161:10 familiar (6) - 58:18, 60:18, 122:10, 122:19, 167:15, 167:17 families [1] - 44:12 family [34] - 8:4, 30:2, 44:22, 44:23, 45:3, 45:4, 65:13, 94:8, 94:11, 94:13, 94:16, 97:8, 99:14, 99:21, 101:13, 104:4, 104:17, 104:18, 105:3, 120:11, 120:14, 120:18, 121:3, 121:7, 121:15, 122:8, 122:16, 156:24, 158:2, 158:4, 158:25, 159:19, 160:1 far [4] - 47:17, 51:9, 170:19 fashion [1] - 114:3 faster[1] - 40:11 favor[1] - 173:21 February [2] - 148:7, 155:15 feet [19] - 10:21, 19:2, 19:18, 21:13, 21:21, 21:22, 27:13, 27:16, 53:2, 57:5,

66:5, 67:14, 68:8, 69:5, 69:6, 82:1, 82:20, 83:10 felt [3] - 15:16, 15:21 few [3] - 47:14, 90:15, 113:17 fewer [1] - 48:23 field [1] - 135:3 fields [1] - 135:1 fifteen [5] - 112:19, 112:20, 112:21, 112:23, 150:16 fight [1] - 14:8 figure [3] - 68:23, 128:2, 138:23 files [1] - 93:5 final [2] - 15:5, 147:10 finalize [1] - 170:21 finalized [1] - 151:14 finder [1] - 71:17 fine [6] - 6:1, 18:9, 19:23, 22:8, 141:1, 157:12 finish [5] - 81:3, 123:3, 128:22, 147:15, 171:24 finished [3] - 96:3, 107:21, 135:24 firm [1] - 149:6 first [36] - 6:15, 8:6, 10:9, 48:12, 53:7, 57:16, 68:2, 79:22, 82:17, 83:23, 86:15, 88:9, 89:20, 93:17, 93:23, 94:10, 99:15, 100:20, 106:10, 106:15, 107:2, 108:19, 109:4, 110:16, 111:7, 116:21, 117:24, 118:25, 119:2, 121:22, 130:11, 132:8, 135:16, 136:15, 148:8 fit [4] - 124:9, 125:9, 126:14, 133:15 fits [1] - 157:24 five [6] - 28:5, 82:22, 112:18, 153:24, 158:4 fleet [1] - 42:14 flip [1] - 137:14 flips [1] - 27:18 floor [2] - 142:8, 142:10 flurry [1] - 141:3 fly [1] - 72:16 fobs [1] - 8:8 focus [3] - 31:15, 50:16, 135:9 focused [2] - 50:14, 87:13 follow [7] - 56:14, 100:7, 103:5, 103:6, 121:11, 160:8, 162:25 follow-up [2] - 160:8, 162:25 following [4] - 23:10, 71:8, 108:3, 117:25 follows [1] - 56:9

expressed [2] - 6:12,

foot [1] - 27:12 footprint [4] - 20:24, 21:6, 22:5, 24:11 for.. [1] - 171:8 foremost [1] - 79:22 foreseeable [1] - 137:24 forget [1] - 114:19 form [3] - 114:3, 135:22, 142:16 format [1] - 69:17 former [1] - 80:11 forms [1] - 64:16 forth [5] - 28:18, 34:12, 91:25, 114:8, 141:12 forum [2] - 32:22, 56:8 forward [3] - 52:2, 129:6, 135:25 Foundation [1] - 167:9 four [6] - 45:16, 80:6, 103:10, 112:17, 135:12, 153:25 fourteen [1] - 112:19 fraction [7] - 149:4, 149:22, 149:23, 151:25, 153:25, 169:21 fractions [1] - 153:22 frankly [1] - 11:13 front [4] - 40:17, 58:12, 102:9, 134:5 fruition [1] - 150:25 full [2] - 80:21, 97:15 fully [1] - 26:13 function [1] - 8:3 functionally [1] - 66:22 functioning [3] - 64:12, 64:14, 64:24 functions [1] - 93:24 fund [1] - 153:22 furtherance [1] - 34:13 furthers [1] - 94:17 future [8] - 16:8, 48:8, 49:19, 50:7, 61:4, 73:11,

# G

89:15, 137:24

game [1] - 16:18 garage [1] - 48:22 gas [1] - 36:17 general [8] - 45:6, 98:1, 114:6, 114:11, 114:13, 114:23, 114:24, 115:21 generalized [1] - 46:19 generally [2] - 41:21, 90:19 gentleman [1] - 118:9 germane [2] - 143:1, 144:1 given [5] - 58:3, 75:3, 89:13, 89:14, 162:7

glad [1] - 94:9 goal [9] - 14:24, 97:15, 98:3, 98:16, 100:20, 101:9, 159:16, 164:4, 164:15 goals [12] - 94:17, 94:25, 95:16, 96:11, 97:18, 98:23, 98:25, 103:25, 104:8, 128:20, 137:12, 156:21 God [1] - 3:16 governing [1] - 163:7 government [2] - 33:12, 41:15 grade [1] - 21:2 grant [4] - 14:2, 37:11, 83:3, 162:7 granted [8] - 52:11, 83:4, 83:12, 83:17, 88:22, 89:1, 89:16, 142:24 Grasso [1] - 142:6 great [4] - 24:8, 28:19, 28:21, 29:13 ground [1] - 21:20 groups [1] - 44:19 guarantee [1] - 69:21 guess [21] - 9:2, 19:9, 28:23, 30:12, 34:13, 45:14. 60:16, 66:16, 78:9, 83:25, 102:8, 115:3, 116:12, 147:22, 150:3, 155:8, 155:9, 158:19, 159:5, 169:8, 170:20 guidance (1) - 162:8 guides [1] - 162:5

# Н

half [2] - 100:20 Hamilton [3] - 116:15, 116:20, 117:14 hand [2] - 3:11, 8:14 handed [1] - 137:2 handle [2] - 42:14, 74:17 handling [1] - 141:4 happy [6] - 97:24, 151:4, 152:24, 153:14, 153:17, 154:1 hard [2] - 10:23, 42:24 hate [1] - 171:6 heading [4] - 134:22, 136:11, 136:15, 137:6 health [7] - 166:23, 166:24, 167:1, 167:12, 167:13, 168:9, 168:14 healthy [2] - 73:9, 73:14 hear [15] - 4:2, 19:25, 35:11, 52:3, 90:23, 91:10, 92:3, 92:4, 92:5, 93:6, 123:22, 147:6, 165:11, 170:22, 173:17 heard [8] - 30:14, 76:9,

24:12, 24:16, 25:12, 25:13, 51:23, 52:6, 66:5, 82:2, 82:21, 82:23, 85:4, 85:20, 85:21, 87:14, 87:22, 87:25, 88:1, 140:3, 142:9, 143:22, 143:23, 155:17, 155:23, 156:2, 156:8, 158:12, 161:7, 164:6, 164:10 heights [1] - 87:4 Heights [1] - 142;7 held [3] - 12:17, 32:22, 152:9 hello [1] - 34:19 help [16] - 3:16, 16:13, 33:23, 35:7, 37:20, 71:1, 75:1, 100:11, 126:4, 127:10, 127:11, 128:1, 128:5, 147:12, 160:20, 160:23 helpful [2] - 58:11, 166:4 helping [1] - 126:4 hi [3] - 25:17, 54:5, 166:16 hiatus [1] - 6:14 high [2] - 30:9, 30:11 higher [5] - 10:21, 11:19, 24:3, 161:6, 161:7 highly [4] - 41:16, 55:19, 55:22, 85:8 history [2] - 68:10, 114:17 hold [10] - 26:5, 32:11, 36:6, 57:7, 67:16, 93:21, 127:8, 130:10, 134:20 holding [3] - 143:21, 143:25 home [2] - 128:8, 154:1 homes [11] - 53:2, 121:4, 121:7, 122:6, 122:8, 122:15, 122:16, 122:17, 159:19, 160:2 honest [1] - 112:16 honestly [1] - 167:4 hope [1] - 4:6 horse [1] - 51:1 host [1] - 79:21 hour [2] - 40:20, 115:15 house [2] - 8:4, 173:16 houses [3] - 94:13, 120:11, 120:14 Housing [4] - 148:12, 150:13, 150:18, 153:6 housing [47] - 27:24, 28:4, 28:14, 28:24, 28:25, 29:11,

146:4, 146:8, 160:16,

166:23, 172:4, 172:25

118:25, 152:8, 171:4,

hears [1] - 93:3

heavily [1] - 28:6

173:23

hearing [6] - 5:4, 53:7,

height [31] - 21:11, 21:12,

29:14, 29:16, 29:17, 40:13, 46:18, 53:18, 65:11, 69:1, 70:3, 72:4, 72:12, 94:7, 94:21, 97:7, 99:3, 99:19, 101:11, 102:21, 102:23, 103:3, 103:10, 103:13, 103:15, 104:2, 104:16, 104:17, 105:4, 105:5, 120:19, 121:15, 124:14, 148:9, 148:13, 154:17, 154:18, 156:22, 157:4, 157:6, 163:23, 164:1, 169:1 hovercraft [1] - 50:9 hovercrafts [1] - 49:19 Howard [1] - 60:7 hum [2] - 160:12, 163:24

#### ı

idea [5] - 48:10, 63:4, 69:18, 127:18, 127:21 identification [11] - 7:8, 9:10, 108:17, 109:11, 110:24, 111:4, 111:9, 111:18, 130:13, 131:5, 131:7 identified [5] - 6:16, 9:1, 124:10, 124:13, 124:15 identify [8] - 5:25, 106:18, 108:3, 111:6, 111:8, 111:12, 136:18, 148:3 imagine [1] - 10:25 immediately [1] - 44:17 impact [23] - 11:22, 14:25, 24:11, 24:15, 24:22, 25:13, 53:17, 60:25, 61:3, 69:20, 115:5, 115:9, 124:17, 145:3, 146:3, 146:7, 160:10, 160:23, 161:12, 166:23, 167:13, 168:9, 168:14 impacts [8] - 51:17, 65:12, 115:18, 117:2, 156:7, 163:11, 163:13, 167:13 impair [2] - 89:2, 89:18 impending [2] - 144:18, 144:19 impervious [1] - 82:23 impinge [2] - 94:7, 97:8 important [4] - 25:2, 94:11, 152:20, 153:12 improve [2] - 67:9, 135:13 inactivity [1] - 82:10 inappropriate [4] - 55:20, 55:22, 105:16, 140:11 include [8] - 17:5, 20:5, 125:3, 126:16, 131:19, 133:4, 134:1, 138:18 included [3] - 35:20. 105:24, 164:4

includes [2] - 77:11, 105:3
including [4] - 65:5,
88:23, 97:15, 112:24
inclusive [1] - 78:12
income [2] - 28:14, 28:24
increase [17] - 10:19,
17:9, 20:3, 20:22, 22:4,
51:11, 51:14, 51:18, 51:21,
61:4, 136:21, 156:8, 156:9,
158:11, 158:12, 159:25,
167:12
increased [1] - 136:13

increased [1] - 136:13 increases [8] - 17:7, 19:25, 20:4, 21:13, 22:4, 155:16, 155:22 increasing [2] - 7:10, 168:25

incumbent [7] - 125:1, 126:15, 131:17, 133:3, 133:25, 138:16, 160:9 indeed [1] - 89:17 indicated [4] - 12:11, 13:18, 96:18, 173:22 individuals [1] - 166:25 industrial [1] - 135:11 industry [1] - 115:18 inform [1] - 160:18 information [8] - 22:23, 57:15, 58:10, 58:12, 101:23, 101:25, 114:15, 118:17 inherently [1] - 88:24

instance [4] - 13:7, 19:8, 132:21, 140:16 instances [1] - 52:11 instead [6] - 7:16, 9:14, 27:17, 100:25, 120:24, 153:23

institutions [1] - 114:25 intelligent [1] - 58:11 intent [11] - 35:9, 85:17, 89:3, 89:18, 94:1, 94:19, 95:15, 97:5, 97:15, 102:16, 103:2

intention [2] - 8:17, 10:4 intentional [2] - 31:23, 32:4

interested [1] - 93:8 interesting [2] - 87:5, 64:19

164:19 **interpretation** [6] - 56:1,
92:5, 126:22, 127:14,
129:8, 129:12

**introduce** [2] - 169:8, 169:11

introduced [2] ~ 76:22, 111:14

introducing [2] - 111:15, 111:17

introduction [2] - 40:13,

85:20 inventory [1] - 144:9 involves [1] - 152:4 involving [2] - 36:17, 88:24 irrelevant [1] - 50:8 irrespective [1] - 110:16 isolation (1) - 145:5 issue [7] - 12:19, 28:10, 57:3, 110:4, 150:22, 152:20, 158:15 issues [5] - 50:17, 80:18, 104:13, 155:4, 170:21 item [1] - 134:19 items [1] - 148:7 iterations [1] - 103:11

# J

itself [3] - 14:22, 18:9,

96:20

January [1] - 152:10 Jersey [19] - 32:19, 32:23, 33:6, 33:13, 33:25, 34:4, 34:17, 37:2, 37:15, 41:9, 41:14, 41:16, 42:4, 42:13, 48:23, 49:1, 91:8, 115:12, 142:3 Joe [1] - 3:5 John [1] - 54:17 Johnson [1] - 167:9 judge [4] - 12:7, 160:10, 160:23, 161:16 judging [1] - 161:18 jumped [1] - 8:25 June [20] - 4:16, 6:14, 31:3, 32:9, 33:17, 39:19, 47:10, 70:4, 70:5, 82:8, 82:10, 82:14, 84:3, 85:16, 87:2, 90:16, 93:17, 93:18, 93:21, 132:6 june [1] - 4:17 jurisdiction [1] - 36:22 justifies [1] - 131:10 justify [2] - 93:14, 124:3 justifying [1] - 51:10

# K

keep [4] - 25:3, 99:7, 161:24 keeping [1] - 169:17 key [1] - 50:16 keys [2] - 8:7, 8:8 kids [1] - 45:16 kill [1] - 33:2 killed [2] - 62:11, 64:12 killer [1] - 64:15 kind [9] - 27:7, 50:8, 70:12, 114:6, 143:18, 156:2, 156:7, 158:15 know.. [1] - 50:14 knowing [1] - 11:3 knowledge [3] - 38:12, 39:6, 63:5 known [1] - 168:23 knows [3] - 14:5, 64:1, 102:12

## L

L-E-H-E-N-Y [1] - 148:6 lack [1] - 154:7 lacking [1] - 138:1 lady [1] - 35:14 Lake [1] - 142:7 land [7] - 46:8, 98:22, 98:25, 99:1, 124:10, 124:16, 135:2 Land [5] - 91:4, 91:8, 92:9, 100:15, 142:25 lane [1] - 19:21 Lane [4] - 23:20, 23:23, 90:14, 113:25 language [10] - 124:24, 125:6, 125:23, 127:16, 131:15, 133:1, 133:12, 133:22, 133:24, 138:14 large [5] - 28:22, 44:11, 44:14, 58:10, 114:5 largely [1] - 70:18 larger [2] - 11:18, 51:13 last [17] - 4:15, 5:1, 5:6, 6:24, 22:23, 23:12, 23:13, 47:2, 54:10, 116:18, 117:23, 119:24, 120:1, 120:8, 125:12, 136:12, 136:17 late [2] - 110:2, 138:5 laugh [1] - 64:9 Law [5] - 91:4, 91:8, 92:9, 100:15, 142:25 lawyer [1] - 143:12 layout [3] - 49:11, 77:11, 77:13 League [1] - 172:7 learned [1] - 114:25 leasing [1] - 60:15 least [5] - 5:25, 13:6, 15:1, 85:2, 96:3 leave [2] - 9:4, 55:21 leeway [3] - 38:10, 43:2, 107:23 left [1] - 35:14 legal [4] - 29:22, 37:16, 141:3, 143:9 legislators [1] - 29:7 Leheny [4] - 3:11, 148:6,

147:25, 148:5, 148:19, 148:23, 149:6, 149:12, 149:16, 150:1, 150:4, 150:7, 150:12, 151:1, 151:15, 151:17, 152:17, 152:22, 153:4, 154:3, 154:5, 154:9, 154:14, 154:18, 154:22, 155:1, 155:12, 156:14, 156:18, 157:1, 157:5, 157:10, 157:14, 157:23, 158:7, 158:19, 159:5, 159:14, 159:20, 160:3, 160:6, 160:12, 160:15, 161:23, 162:16, 163:12, 163:24, 164:7, 164:11, 164:18, 165:2, 165:20, 165:22, 166:2, 166:12, 167:4, 167:15, 169:3 Leheny's [1] - 82:15 lends [1] - 14:22 lentils [1] - 137:8 less [6] - 16:2, 21:19, 42:18, 50:4, 51:6, 150:16 lessens [1] - 14:24 letter [16] - 34:20, 34:24, 35:9, 37:14, 54:17, 54:18, 55:6, 55:14, 55:15, 56:1, 56:5, 58:4, 148:8, 152:17, 155:14, 155:19 **letters** [5] - 54:6, 54:8, 54:21, 54:23, 55:14 level [6] - 7:13, 8:23, 9:12, 11:20, 21:15, 24:17 levels [1] - 64:16 lieu [2] - 149:19, 150:15 lifting [1] - 63:2 light [2] - 13:17 likely [2] - 30:1, 115:18 limited [3] - 107:6, 120:15, 136:8 limiting [1] - 38:23 line [42] - 7:13, 9:13, 10:22, 23:14, 25:25, 26:1, 26:11, 32:10, 32:12, 32:14, 33:17, 39:17, 39:25, 40:9, 41:3, 43:20, 47:10, 48:19, 49:3, 62:9, 67:8, 79:11, 79:16, 82:15, 85:16, 90:16, 90:17, 93:19, 93:20, 123:23, 123:25, 132:9, 132:19, 132:20, 135:16, 135:17, 136:17, 139:14, 144:5, 144:12, 144:24, 145:1 lines [18] - 31:4, 31:8, 61:23, 62:4, 65:6, 67:14, 70:1, 70:5, 70:18, 72:23, 73:6, 75:21, 76:8, 84:3, 87:2, 139:17, 144:13

150:8, 162:11

LEHENY [57] - 3:19,

linked [1] - 104:15 list [1] - 61:14 listen [2] - 83:22, 107:20 literally [2] - 40:16, 40:24 live [9] - 28:14, 34:9, 35:25, 45:21, 46:22, 47:5, 90:14, 117:1, 119:21 lived [1] - 30:3 lives [2] - 53:12, 122:2 living [3] - 13:19, 30:2, 169:1 Liz [1] - 3:5 local [1] - 30:1 located [1] - 53:1 location [2] - 156:3, 157:7 locations [1] - 41:10 locked [1] - 14:10 long-standing [1] - 81:25 long-term [2] - 53:18, 75:24 look [7] - 8:13, 70:22, 94:24, 97:18, 109:19, 161:11, 165:18 looked [3] - 83:1, 83:15, 145:4 looking [8] - 6:23, 36:9, 46:11, 46:12, 58:10, 67:19, 137:2, 137:3 Loretta [1] - 32:22 losing [1] - 70:12 loss [1] - 68:24 lost [3] - 83:22, 83:24, 104:20 Lotauer [1] - 142:6 lottery [4] - 29:24, 29:25, 30:1, 30:8 love [1] - 58:15 lovely [2] - 4:7, 35:14 low [4] - 26:23, 28:14, 28:24, 53:17 lower [1] - 67:11 Lydon [92] - 4:10, 4:13, 4:23, 4:25, 5:25, 7:3, 13:18, 23:6, 23:11, 23:16, 24:24, 25:16, 28:18, 29:15, 38:1, 39:5, 39:20, 41:19, 42:25, 52:18, 53:12, 53:21, 56:21, 56:22, 57:8, 57:12, 61:19, 62:11, 64:1, 64:11, 65:15, 66:6, 66:14, 66:22, 67:15, 67:22, 67:24, 68:7, 75:12, 77:1, 79:9, 80:2, 82:8, 82:25, 83:7, 83:16, 84:22, 84:23, 85:1, 86:7, 86:12, 90:15, 91:2, 92:13, 92:18, 96:18, 97:1, 97:10, 100:25, 106:23, 107:4, 108:14, 108:19, 108:23, 109:14, 112:1, 113:10,

126:5, 127:4, 127:13, 128:15, 129:7, 129:24, 131:9, 135:20, 137:11, 138:8, 139:21, 141:2, 141:13, 142:13, 142:21, 143:7, 143:11, 144:4, 146:4, 147:12, 147:14, 147:15 Lydon's [5] - 51:5, 113:4, 128:3, 143:1, 155:1

# M

ma'am [5] - 23:17, 25:9, 25:15, 113:21, 166:15 major [4] - 134:22, 135:14, 136:2, 156:16 man [2] - 74:22, 98:18 manager [1] - 33:5 manner [2] - 57:23. 173:16 manners [1] - 5:18 March [4] - 111:20, 111:22, 113:6, 152:9 marginal [1] - 136:20 mark [5] - 5:23, 6:1, 7:2, 95:2, 130:22 marked [10] - 6:24, 7:7, 8:11, 9:9, 108:17, 110:23, 111:4, 131:4, 131:7, 134:12 market [3] - 46:21, 46:24, 136:19 marketed [1] - 30:6 marketplace [3] - 62:10, 62:12, 62:20 marking [2] - 108:25, 130:12 massing [1] - 57:17 master [88] - 65:5, 65:9, 65:18, 74:13, 75:23, 76:2, 79:19, 80:4, 80:21, 81:16, 93:10, 93:25, 94:1, 94:12, 94:17, 94:19, 94:24, 95:4, 95:12, 95:16, 95:17, 95:20, 96:9, 96:13, 96:14, 96:15, 96:19, 96:22, 97:5, 97:14, 97:23, 101:15, 102:14, 102:16, 103:2, 103:9, 103:11, 104:12, 105:1, 105:16, 109:4, 111:21, 112:9, 113:5, 115:1, 124:8, 124:15, 124:24, 124:25, 125:22, 126:22, 127:22, 128:3, 128:9, 128:13, 128:16, 128:17, 128:20, 128:21, 129:9, 131:16, 131:17, 133:1, 133:2, 133:7, 133:16, 133:23,

134:23, 135:17, 136:4,

136:7, 136:12, 137:21,

138:10, 138:15, 138:16, 139:1, 144:5, 147:20, 155:25, 156:12, 156:17, 161:25, 162:5, 163:22, 164:21 math [3] - 72:14, 73:20 matter[11] - 3:1, 3:13, 12:2, 12:11, 63:18, 66:9, 103:17, 171:23, 171:25, 172:19, 173:25 matters [2] - 141:15, 171:24 maximize [3] - 12:19, 12:20, 14:17 maximized [1] - 12:19 maximum [1] - 48:10 mayor [4] - 79:21, 80:14, 82:18, 165:11 mayors [1] - 80:15 McLean [1] - 55:7 ME [1] - 9:1 mean [23] - 16:16, 19:15, 29:17, 44:14, 50:25, 67:22, 67:24, 68:7, 69:7, 69:23, 90:25, 117:13, 149:16, 150:12, 152:12, 152:18, 155:12, 161:17, 164:19, 167:5, 167:16, 171:23, 172:25 meaning [1] - 108:8 means [3] - 68:5, 70:17, 93:4 mechanism [2] - 60:18, 60:21 medical [1] - 66:23 Medici [4] - 162:19, 162:22, 163:2, 163:5 meet [8] - 18:13, 48:2, 51:15, 75:9, 153:7, 164:4, 164:15, 165:16 meeting [12] - 5:1, 6:15, 60:4, 76:22, 116:21, 119:3, 153:9, 166:8, 170:6, 170:20, 172:9, 173:13 meetings [5] - 16:8, 57:17, 80:23, 80:24 meets [1] - 80:16 Melfi [3] - 20:21, 22:7, 22:12 member [5] - 30:2, 60:11, 60:12, 79:11, 80:11 **MEMBER** [4] - 3:24, 4:3, 25:6, 116:13 members [9] - 4:6, 15:21, 25:23, 80:7, 80:17, 119:18, 123:2, 166:13, 173:22 mental [1] - 167:13 mentioned [7] - 17:19, 27:23, 40:15, 41:3, 124:22,

mentions [2] - 131:13, 138:12 merchants [3] - 68:12, 68:13, 68:21 mercy [1] - 64:16 mere [1] - 53:2 merit [1] - 83:15 merits [1] - 161:10 message [1] - 55:21 met [2] - 15:18, 15:19 MEYER [447] - 3:1, 3:6, 3:9, 3:22, 4:1, 4:4, 4:19, 8:1, 8:7, 8:16, 9:2, 9:17, 9:23, 9:25, 12:9, 12:16, 13:4, 13:11, 13:16, 15:9, 16:17, 16:21, 17:3, 17:8, 17:12, 18:1, 19:15, 19:22, 19:24, 20:3, 20:6, 20:15, 21:5, 21:14, 21:16, 22:3, 22:8, 22:11, 22:16, 22:21, 22:25, 23:5, 23:24, 24:6, 24:20, 24:24, 25:2, 25:8, 25:15, 25:18, 25:21, 26:5, 26:9, 27:2, 27:5, 28:1, 28:9, 28:21, 29:4, 29:6, 29:15, 30:12, 30:18, 30:21, 31:1, 31:7, 31:9, 31:12, 31:16, 31:25, 32:3, 32:11, 32:14, 32:16, 33:19, 33:22, 34:1, 34:3, 34:8, 34:19, 35:4, 35:7, 35:12, 36:2, 37:6, 37:19, 37:23, 38:9, 38:15, 38:19, 38:24, 39:3, 39:8, 39:12, 39:22, 39:24, 40:1, 40:25, 41:19, 42:3, 42:10, 42:24, 43:8, 43:13, 44:24, 45:7, 45:14, 45:23, 46:1, 47:6, 47:8, 49:12, 49:22, 50:3, 50:12, 50:16, 50:21, 50:25, 51:3, 51:8, 52:4, 52:16, 53:5, 53:11, 53:15, 53:21, 53:24, 54:2, 54:4, 54:7, 54:12, 54:20, 55:5, 55:10, 55:12, 56:4, 56:11, 56:14, 56:17, 56:20, 57:24, 58:16, 59:19, 59:22, 60:7, 61:7, 61:11, 61:16, 61:20, 62:13, 62:16, 63:6, 63:9, 63:12, 63:14, 63:17, 63:21, 63:25, 64:3, 64:6, 64:20, 66:25, 67:6, 67:12, 67:16, 67:21, 68:1, 69:7, 69:10, 69:13, 70:7, 70:14, 70:20, 70:25, 71:9, 73:5, 73:18, 74:5, 74:10, 74:12, 74:16, 74:20, 75:6, 75:12, 75:19, 77:5, 77:8, 77:12, 77:16, 77:19, 77:22, 77:25, 78:3, 78:8, 78:19, 78:23, 79:1, 79:3, 79:8, 80:25,

113:14, 125:10, 125:16,

162:11, 164:4

81:2, 81:8, 81:11, 81:14, 82:3, 82:5, 83:9, 83:21, 84:13, 84:16, 84:19, 85:7, 85:12, 85:14, 86:10, 86:17, 87:7, 87:10, 89:23, 91:15, 92:7, 92:18, 92:21, 93:7, 93:12, 93:16, 93:18, 93:21, 94:4, 95:1, 95:6, 95:9, 95:13, 95:19, 96:1, 96:7, 96:15, 96:21, 96:24, 97:10, 97:17, 98:4, 98:7, 98:13, 98:17, 99:6, 99:10, 99:23, 100:2, 100:5, 100:9, 100:11, 100:17, 100:24, 101:6, 101:21, 102:7, 103:7, 103:22, 104:8, 104:17, 104:20, 105:19, 105:22, 105:25, 106:2, 106:6, 106:8, 106:12, 106:16, 106:19, 106:22, 107:5, 107:9, 107:14, 107:20, 108:7, 108:9, 108:13, 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:5, 109:8, 109:10, 110:2, 110:19, 110:22, 111:1, 111:6, 111:12, 111:15, 111:18, 111:21, 112:1, 112:4, 112:15, 112:20, 112:22, 113:2, 113:9, 113:12, 113:21, 116:5, 116:11, 116:14, 116:17, 117:15, 117:18, 117:21, 119:17, 120:23, 123:1, 123:11, 123:17, 123:21, 125:16, 125:20, 125:24, 126:3, 127:1, 127:3, 127:6, 127:10, 127:19, 128:1, 128:7, 128:15, 128:19, 129:15, 129:19, 129:23, 130:2, 130:7, 130:14, 130:17, 130:24, 131:25, 132:4, 132:6, 132:8, 132:10, 132:12, 132:16, 132:18, 132:23, 133:18, 134:7, 134:9, 134:12, 134:15, 134:20, 137:3, 137:10, 137:15, 137:17, 138:6, 139:3, 139:5, 139:7, 140:11, 140:17, 140:23, 144:21, 144:24, 145:1, 146:8, 146:12, 147:4, 147:11, 147:14, 147:21, 148:1, 148:16, 148:21, 148:25, 149:3, 149:8, 149:13, 149:22, 149:25, 150:2, 150:6, 150:20, 152:19, 153:17, 153:21, 154:4, 154:7, 154:12, 154:17, 154:20, 154:24, 155:3, 155:8, 155:11,

156:11, 156:15, 156:19, 157:2, 157:8, 157:12, 157:15, 157:24, 158:14, 158:22, 159:9, 159:15, 159:22, 160:5, 163:17, 163:20, 164:16, 165:19, 166:7, 166:10, 166:13, 166:19, 167:18, 167:20, 168:1, 168:6, 168:10, 168:17, 168:20, 169:5, 169:12, 169:16, 169:20, 169:25, 170:5, 170:10, 170:15, 170:18, 171:1, 171:5, 171:9, 171:12, 171:16, 171:20, 172:12, 172:16, 173:4, 173:7, 173:10, 173:20, 173:23 Meyer [2] - 36:7, 83:14 MEYERS [1] - 90:12 mic [2] - 3:25, 4:1 Michael [1] - 33:1 microphones [2] - 24:25, 25:6 middle [2] - 31:9, 137:19 might [9] - 11:19, 11:22, 13:20, 16:1, 50:11, 115:16, 115:19, 163:16, 169:23 mind [2] - 58:14, 161:24 mine (1) - 90:9 minimus [3] - 17:12, 19:3, 19:13 minor [1] - 136:8 minute [1] - 113:7 minutes [1] - 113:17 mischaracterization [4] -50:11, 82:12, 83:20, 101:3 mischaracterizing [1] -86:3 misrepresentation [1] -147:19 missed [1] - 32:20 missing [1] - 160:22 mistake [1] - 71:2 mixed [3] - 76:2, 76:3, 76:4 model [1] - 27:18 moment [4] - 70:22, 78:9, 154:8, 170:6 monetary [1] - 149:3 money [1] - 46:2 month [1] - 88:19 months [1] - 90:10 Morristown [1] - 52:19 mortar [1] - 68:13 most [5] - 30:1, 80:21, 122:14, 122:17, 132:2 motion [2] - 16:14, 173:18 motivations [1] - 65:21 move [11] - 8:6, 23:1,

90:5 moving [1] - 44:16 MR [276] - 3:4, 3:7, 3:10, 3:17, 3:18, 3:20, 4:13, 5:24, 6:19, 6:22, 7:2, 7:6, 8:10, 8:17, 8:24, 9:7, 10:1, 10:23, 11:24, 15:3, 15:13, 16:5, 16:12, 16:19, 16:22, 16:24, 17:16, 17:18, 18:6, 18:20, 18:23, 18:25, 19:6, 19:9, 19:10, 19:13, 19:17, 19:20, 19:23, 20:9, 20:12, 20:23, 21:9, 22:13, 23:21, 36:4, 36:6, 36:12, 36:16, 37:8, 39:10, 39:18, 40:6, 40:9, 42:7, 46:6, 46:7, 54:5, 54:9, 54:11, 54:16, 55:3, 55:8, 55:25, 56:10, 56:13, 56:16, 56:19, 56:22, 56:25, 57:3, 57:6, 57:7, 57:11, 58:8, 58:18, 58:20, 58:22, 58:24, 58:25, 59:5, 59:8, 59:12, 59:17, 59:20, 60:2, 60:8, 60:9, 60:10, 60:20, 60:25, 61:12, 61:18, 61:23, 62:2, 62:4, 62:7, 62:15, 62:17, 63:1, 63:8, 63:11, 63:13, 63:16, 63:20, 63:23, 64:1, 64:4, 64:11, 64:22, 65:4, 65:8, 65:22, 66:1, 66:16, 66:21, 67:2, 67:3, 67:7, 67:13, 67:19, 67:24, 69:15, 69:25, 70:10, 70:16, 70:24, 71:10, 71:15, 71:19, 71:22, 72:4, 72:18, 72:22, 73:6, 73:10, 73:12, 73:20, 74:2, 74:4, 74:6, 74:8, 74:11, 74:13, 74:19, 75:3, 75:7, 75:20, 76:8, 76:14, 76:15, 76:21, 76:25, 77:7, 77:11, 77:13, 77:17, 77:21, 78:1, 78:17, 78:22, 78:25, 79:2, 79:9, 80:6, 80:10, 80:14, 80:20, 81:4, 81:10, 81:15, 81:20, 81:22, 82:8, 82:14, 83:7, 83:10, 84:2, 84:9, 84:15, 85:3, 85:15, 85:24, 86:1, 86:7, 86:12, 87:2, 87:9, 87:13, 87:20, 87:24, 88:5, 88:7, 88:11, 88:15, 88:20, 89:13, 89:22, 90:25, 91:2, 91:12, 91:19, 91:24, 92:3, 92:9, 92:11, 92:16, 96:23, 106:7, 106:10, 106:14, 106:18, 108:10, 108:25, 109:3, 109:7, 109:9, 110:4, 110:8, 110:11, 110:14, 110:20, 110:23, 111:2, 112:6, 112:11, 112:17, 112:24,

45:20, 49:8, 52:2, 59:25,

119:23, 120:3, 121:13, 121:22, 130:10, 130:15, 130:20, 131:1, 139:16, 140:19, 140:24, 141:11, 141:24, 142:1, 142:21, 143:3, 143:13, 143:16, 146:5, 146:14, 146:18, 146:21, 148:3, 150:8, 151:5, 151:19, 151:23, 151:24, 152:8, 153:1, 153:16, 153:20, 160:7, 160:8, 160:13, 160:16, 161:15, 162:10, 162:17, 162:24, 163:4, 163:15, 166:20, 168:13, 172:5, 172:20, 172:24, 173:3 MS [413] - 3:19, 4:5, 4:22, 4:24, 6:2, 7:1, 7:5, 8:13, 8:19, 9:8, 9:22, 10:13, 11:10, 12:14, 13:3, 13:13, 15:16, 16:16, 17:1, 17:4, 17:11, 17:14, 17:23, 18:5, 18:11, 18:21, 19:12, 20:1, 20:18, 21:1, 21:8, 21:10, 22:1, 22:7, 22:9, 22:17, 22:22, 23:1, 23:19, 23:23, 23:25, 24:2, 24:4, 24:5, 24:8, 24:13, 25:11, 25:17, 25:19, 25:22, 26:7, 26:10, 26:11, 26:18, 27:4, 27:6, 27:20, 27:23, 28:3, 28:17, 29:3, 29:5, 29:10, 29:20, 30:19, 30:22, 31:3, 31:5, 31:8, 31:11, 31:14, 31:20, 32:2, 32:4, 32:9, 32:12, 32:15, 32:17, 33:1, 33:4, 33:8, 33:11, 33:16, 33:20, 33:24, 34:2, 34:6, 34:16, 35:2, 35:5, 35:11, 36:1, 36:3, 36:5, 36:10, 36:14, 36:25, 37:22, 38:4, 38:5, 38:11, 38:13, 38:14, 38:18, 38:21, 39:2, 39:6, 39:15, 39:16, 39:19, 39:23, 39:25, 40:4, 40:7, 40:8, 40:10, 41:1, 41:13, 41:22, 41:24, 41:25, 42:1, 42:6, 42:9, 42:12, 42:19, 43:6, 43:11, 43:15, 43:21, 44:1, 44:4, 44:5, 44:10, 44:20, 45:1, 45:2, 45:5, 45:12, 45:18, 45:25, 46:4, 47:7, 47:9, 47:12, 47:14, 47:16, 47:17, 48:14, 48:15, 48:17, 48:18, 48:19, 49:3, 49:13, 49:21, 49:23, 49:25, 50:5, 50:15, 50:18, 50:24, 51:2, 51:7, 51:9, 51:16, 51:19, 51:21, 51:25, 52:6, 52:10, 52:15, 52:23, 53:3, 53:6, 53:16, 53:25, 54:3, 56:24, 57:1,

23:14, 39:20, 40:20, 42:17,

57:5, 57:22, 59:4, 61:9, 61:25, 62:6, 64:18, 66:12, 66:19, 70:12, 70:21, 71:5, 71:13, 71:20, 72:19, 73:2, 73:16, 73:22, 74:3, 74:7, 74:14, 75:11, 76:18, 76:23, 77:4, 77:24, 78:6, 81:1, 81:6, 82:4, 83:5, 84:11, 84:18, 86:9, 87:6, 87:12, 90:5, 90:9, 91:1, 92:15, 95:22, 96:4, 97:2, 97:22, 97:25, 98:6, 98:10, 99:8, 100:1, 101:20, 101:24, 102:1, 102:11, 102:24, 103:16, 103:20, 104:21, 104:23, 105:6, 106:21, 107:2, 107:6, 107:12, 108:18, 108:21, 109:18, 109:23, 110:6, 110:12, 111:10, 111:25, 112:3, 112:8, 112:13, 112:16, 112:21, 113:1, 113:24, 115:3, 115:11, 115:20, 116:3, 116:15, 116:19, 117:6, 117:12, 117:17, 117:20, 117:22, 118:4, 118:11, 118:15, 118:21, 119:2, 119:5, 119:8, 119:12, 119:15, 121:10, 123:10, 123:14, 125:13, 126:24, 127:2, 129:3, 129:8, 129:16, 132:5, 132:15, 134:8, 135:4, 135:7, 139:12, 139:15, 140:9, 140:22, 141:6, 141:9, 141:18, 141:21, 141:23, 142:18, 142:20, 143:8, 143:14, 144:7, 144:11, 145:21, 146:2, 146:6, 146:10, 146:16, 146:20, 146:23, 147:2, 147:25, 148:5, 148:19, 148:23, 149:2, 149:5, 149:6, 149:10, 149:12, 149:15, 149:16, 149:21, 149:23, 150:1, 150:4, 150:7, 150:12, 150:19, 151:1, 151:4, 151:9, 151:15, 151:16, 151:17, 152:3, 152:12, 152:17, 152:22, 152:24, 153:4, 153:14, 154:2, 154:3, 154:5, 154:9, 154:14, 154:18, 154:22, 155:1, 155:7, 155:10, 155:12, 156:14, 156:18, 157:1, 157:5, 157:10, 157:14, 157:23, 158:7, 158:19, 159:5, 159:14, 159:20, 160:3, 160:6, 160:12, 160:15, 161:23, 162:16,

163:12, 163:21, 163:24, 163:25, 164:7, 164:9, 164:11, 164:13, 164:18, 164:23, 165:2, 165:6, 165:10, 165:20, 165:21, 165:22, 166:2, 166:5, 166:12, 167:4, 167:15, 168:3, 169:3, 169:10, 169:14, 169:18, 169:22, 170:3, 170:8, 170:13, 170:17, 170:23, 171:3, 171:6, 171:22, 172:23, 173:2, 173:8 MULLIGAN [1] - 173:19 Mulligan [1] - 173:20 multi [2] - 44:23, 45:4 multi-family [2] - 44:23, 45:4 multifamilies [1] - 159:2 multifamily [35] - 13:23, 26:24, 49:7, 53:18, 65:11, 65:17, 65:18, 94:7, 94:13, 94:21, 97:7, 99:3, 99:19, 101:10, 102:21, 102:23, 103:2, 103:9, 103:13, 103:15, 104:2, 104:16, 104:19, 105:5, 156:22, 157:4, 157:6, 157:13, 157:18, 157:19, 158:9, 159:6, 159:7, 159:15, 159:18 multilevel [1] - 76:1 multiple [1] - 120:11 multiplex [1] - 169:1 municipal [3] - 7:19, 136:22, 137:9 Municipal [5] - 91:3, 91:7, 92:9, 100:15, 142:25 Municipalities [1] - 172:8 municipality [1] - 92:23

# Ν

must [1] - 154:7

N.J.S.A[2] - 88:20, 92:1 name [14] - 23:18, 25:18, 52:10, 54:10, 90:13, 116:18, 117:23, 117:24, 119:21, 119:24, 120:1, 120:2, 148:5 narrow [1] - 27:17 narrower [2] - 27:11, 27:19 nature [3] - 86:5, 161:20, 163:10 nearby [4] - 82:19, 83:1, 87:4, 94:11 necessarily [3] - 13:21, 62:19, 158:10 necessary [5] - 10:7,

73:13, 79:22, 90:7, 109:25, 113:17, 124:14, 130:11, 136:18, 139:10, 139:13, 162:14, 163:4, 169:25, 170:11, 171:24, 173:8 needed [2] - 29:13, 66:11 needs [3] - 26:15, 32:5, negative [5] - 124:17, 139:20, 139:22, 140:15 neglect [2] - 31:24, 32:5 negotiate [1] - 153:13 negotiated [1] - 149:18 neighborhood (20) -85:20, 86:6, 86:19, 87:19, 87:21, 94:14, 94:15, 114:21, 115:2, 115:19, 116:24, 116:25, 117:1, 117:4, 117:6, 117:9, 120:10, 155:18, 161:3 neighborhoods [13] -65:13, 65:14, 94:8, 94:16, 97:9, 99:14, 99:22, 101:13, 104:5, 117:7, 124:18, 156:25, 159:1 neighbors [3] - 52:8, 52:24, 61:3 never[6] - 59:5, 68:18, 81:25, 86:25, 105:23, 123:8 New [21] - 32:18, 32:23, 33:6, 33:13, 33:25, 34:4, 34:17, 37:2, 37:15, 40:19, 41:7, 41:9, 41:14, 41:16, 42:4, 42:12, 48:23, 49:1, 91:8, 115:12, 142:3 new [15] - 7:24, 13:24, 19:1, 24:9, 25:12, 40:13, 49:10, 85:21, 86:13, 124:9, 136:5, 170:7, 171:24, 173:1, 173:8 news [2] - 149:6, 150:20 next [36] - 3:1, 11:15, 22:16, 36:15, 41:2, 47:14, 49:12, 52:4, 52:21, 53:15, 53:24, 54:4, 58:16, 59:19, 59:22, 59:23, 61:17, 64:20, 67:6, 75:19, 79:8, 81:14, 84:4, 85:14, 93:7, 98:9, 100:18, 101:6, 103:7, 113:18, 120:1, 125:20, 139:3, 140:23, 170:20,

13:21, 17:6, 151:7, 172:21

need [31] - 11:1, 17:21,

18:19, 20:22, 27:7, 30:5,

49:6, 51:6, 51:15, 70:22,

30:17, 35:18, 35:25, 40:21,

nine [2] - 45:15, 112:18 nobody [1] - 60:1 non [6] - 62:24, 65:3, 124:11, 135:15, 135:19, 136:16 non-ADA [1] - 62:24 non-compliant [1] - 65:3 non-residential [4] -124:11, 135:15, 135:19, 136:16 nonconforming [1] - 65:1 none [7] - 75:15, 125:11, 147:24, 169:7, 172:8, 173:23 norm [2] - 49:9, 50:8 normal [2] - 73:9, 73:14 northeast [1] - 59:2 noted [1] - 48:21 nothing [7] - 3:16, 55:3, 55:16, 57:21, 59:15, 90:20, 137:6 notice [3] - 152:10, 173:9, 173:12 November [3] - 46:15, 171:20, 172:7 number [33] - 7:11, 44:22, 45:3, 46:14, 46:19, 46:22, 47:2, 47:3, 48:5, 49:5, 71:11, 71:13, 71:14, 82:20, 95:17, 96:12, 98:3, 115:16, 122:9, 134:19, 134:22, 134:24, 135:7, 135:9, 136:2, 136:10, 136:11, 152:4, 152:5, 153:7, 161:1, 164:5 numbers [6] - 18:19, 20:2, 20:7, 21:23, 22:2, 46:13 Numeral [1] - 145:25 numerous [2] - 27:24, 122:12

# 0

O4 [1] - 72:25 oath [8] - 4:14, 43:23, 43:24, 43:25, 63:16, 63:17, 63:19, 150:23 object [37] - 39:13, 42:2, 43:21, 45:5, 49:21, 51:25, 52:15, 56:24, 64:18, 66:12, 73:2, 73:16, 73:22, 74:3, 75:11, 81:6, 82:4, 82:12, 83:5, 91:1, 101:24, 102:24, 103:16, 104:21, 105:6, 105:12, 108:22, 109:18, 123:10, 123:12, 125:13, 139:12, 140:9, 141:6, 141:9, 143:8, 147:2 objected [2] - 57:1, 112:11 objecting [4] - 68:1, 74:7,

171:9

96.2, 159:12

nice [2] - 60:4, 147:11

night [4] - 72:16, 74:23,

142:20, 143:10 objection (11] - 38:6, 38:7, 39:13, 52:17, 82:3, 82:6, 112:7, 112:8, 112:10, 173:21 objectionable [2] - 43:2, 85:9 objective [5] - 75:24, 101:4, 101:5, 159:16, 160:4 objectives [14] - 98:24, 99:1, 104:9, 128:20, 134:19, 134:21, 134:23, 135:8, 136:12, 136:14, 156:16, 156:20 objector[1] - 36:18 obligation [3] - 153:5, 153:8, 153:9 observations [1] - 114:24 obsolete [3] - 31:22, 62:7, 66:23 obviously [1] - 153:5 occasions [2] - 120:12, 122:12 occupancy [2] - 46:17, 72:12 occupied [1] - 75:16 occur[1] - 60:19 October [8] - 172:3, 172:11, 172:12, 172:17, 172:21, 172:23, 173:11, 173:24 odd [2] - 26:19 offer [7] - 15:14, 15:15, 37:17, 87:15, 87:17, 87:24, 88:1 offered [4] - 85:22, 87:18, 87:25, 88:2 offering [2] - 14:19, 86:4 office [28] - 33:13, 34:7, 37:1, 54:13, 54:15, 55:18, 55:23, 56:17, 57:25, 58:13, 62:8, 64:13, 64:24, 66:23, 124:13, 124:17, 124:23, 125:5, 126:18, 131:14, 131:20, 133:6, 134:3, 135:11, 137:24, 138:13, 138:19 offices [1] - 68:16 officials [1] - 60:5 often [1] - 27:9 old [2] - 13:25, 62:7 older [3] - 65:2, 137:8, 167:14 Olivo [1] - 3:10 OLIVO [1] - 3:17 on-site [6] - 6:4, 7:10, 7:11, 7:17, 7:18, 48:1 once [5] - 19:5, 68:5, 101:5, 119:18, 151:19

one [111] - 5:6, 5:8, 6:16, 8:4, 10:1, 10:2, 10:3, 10:11, 10:12, 11:2, 11:3, 11:7, 11:8, 11:14, 11:17, 11:25, 15:5, 16:10, 16:24, 24:17, 30:3, 31:25, 35:12, 36:6, 36:15, 38:15, 38:16, 39:8, 43:18, 45:10, 45:16, 45:17, 46:23, 48:17, 49:10, 49:13, 50:9, 55:12, 56:12, 58:9, 61:20, 62:13, 62:15, 62:16, 62:17, 66:9, 68:3, 68:25, 69:10, 70:8, 70:22, 71:1, 77:6, 77:10, 78:23, 79:25, 84:5, 94:15, 96:1, 96:2, 99:2, 106:7, 106:8, 106:9, 106:15, 106:22, 108:8, 109:22, 110:6, 110:14, 110:20, 112:17, 112:21, 112:22, 118:24, 119:2, 119:3, 120:19, 121:1, 121:6, 122:2, 122:20, 124:14, 126:10, 127:6, 127:8, 132:4, 132:10, 132:12, 134:7, 134:11, 134:15, 134:16, 144:3, 148:17, 151:21, 153:5, 153:9, 154:12, 154:14, 164:16, 164:25, 165:19, 172:10, 172:16 one-bedroom [3] - 45:16, 45:17, 46:23 one-bedrooms [1] -148:17 one-by-one [1] - 58:9 ones [3] - 13:24, 145:4, 171:19 online [1] - 68:12 open [3] - 11:15, 28:4, 169:17 opens [1] - 48:12 operating [3] - 42:13, 73:9, 73:14 operations [1] - 33:5 opinion [5] - 48:3, 122:20, 157:3, 160:18 opportunities [1] - 136:19 opportunity [4] - 23:13, 28:25, 82:18, 98:18 oppose [1] - 34:11 opposed [3] - 79:15, 114:11, 115:21 opposing [1] - 34:14 opposite [1] - 121:8 order [8] - 12:24, 35:23, 97:18, 98:11, 98:12, 110:11, 159:7, 165:16 ordered [1] - 173:24 ordinance [6] - 66:8,

92:24 ordinances [1] - 79:15 organization [1] - 60:3 original [4] - 12:5, 15:7, 16:15, 48:1 otherwise [5] - 10:21, 12:22, 59:25, 74:23, 108:21 ourselves (1) - 139:11 outcomes [1] - 168:25 outdated [1] - 124:13 outline [1] - 9:20 outside [5] - 14:5, 50:12, 103:23, 107:21, 157:17 overall [2] - 114:10, 135:18 overcrowding [1] - 167:11 overgrown [1] - 31:22 overlook [2] - 40:3, 40:12 own [6] - 14:12, 49:6, 52:18, 83:15, 107:17, 161:10 owner [2] - 53:8, 160:19

## P

package [2] - 4:21, 13:2 Pagan [2] - 119:21, 166:16 pagan [f] - 119:25 PAGAN [1] - 119:25 page [81] - 25:25, 26:1, 26:5, 26:7, 26:10, 27:2, 27:4, 31:3, 31:7, 31:8, 32:10, 32:12, 33:17, 33:23, 39:17, 40:9, 41:3, 43:19, 47:10, 47:13, 48:19, 49:3, 61:14, 61:23, 62:4, 65:6, 67:8, 67:12, 70:1, 70:4, 70:5, 73:6, 75:21, 75:24, 76:3, 76:8, 79:10, 79:16, 82:14, 84:3, 85:16, 87:2, 90:16, 93:18, 93:20, 94:9, 94:24, 95:17, 98:19, 100:14, 108:10, 112:25, 128:22, 131:25, 132:2, 132:3, 132:19, 134:6, 134:18, 134:21, 135:8, 135:15, 136:2, 136:10, 137:2, 137:5, 137:7, 137:12, 137:14, 137:15, 137:18, 139:11, 139:14, 139:17, 144:5, 144:12, 144:13, 144:24, 144:25, 145:1, 145:25 Page [1] - 39:25 pages [5] - 96:12, 112:14, 112:20, 112:21, 112:23 paid [2] - 148:22, 153:22 Palisade [2] - 84:5, 84:9 Palisades [1] - 122:10 paper [1] - 34:9

paragraph [4] - 125:12, 136:17, 137:19, 137:20 parallel [1] - 76:11 paraphrase [2] - 128:14, 133:21 paraphrased [5] - 126:7, 127:13, 127:14, 128:23, 133:24 paraphrases [1] - 129:3 paraphrasing [8] - 128:10, 129:25, 130:5, 131:23, 133:2, 133:7, 133:9, 133:16 parking [58] - 6:4, 6:8, 6:10, 6:13, 7:10, 7:13, 7:17, 7:18, 7:21, 8:23, 9:13, 9:15, 10:18, 11:16, 12:19, 12:21, 13:7, 13:14, 13:25, 14:4, 14:7, 14:12, 14:18, 14:25, 15:12, 15:17, 17:22, 17:25, 18:1, 18:10, 18:13, 18:14, 18:15, 19:7, 19:18, 19:19, 20:4, 20:5, 21:1, 24:16, 24:18, 47:20, 48:2, 48:11, 48:22, 49:6, 49:9, 50:4, 50:23, 51:15, 60:16, 76:11, 76:16, 77:14, 115:8, 115:16, 165:15, 165:16 part [20] - 6:5, 6:11, 12:25, 21:18, 23:7, 41:11, 61:12, 77:18, 77:22, 78:4, 78:6, 79:17, 83:23, 96:22, 100:25, 101:1, 101:4, 114:5, 115:8, 143:21 particular [8] - 27:15, 47:5, 68:15, 106:24, 108:2, 115:10, 124:21, 131:12 particularly [3] - 52:25, 167:1, 167:14 partly [1] - 79:18 parts [1] - 137:9 party [1] - 28:11 pass [1] - 31:6 patience [7] - 98:13, 98:14, 123:18, 123:19, 134:16, 134:17 patiently [1] - 170:25 Paul [2] - 33:8, 41:14 pave [3] - 6:6, 12:25, 18:3 payment [2] - 149:19, 150:14 peak [1] - 115:15 pending [2] - 164:13, people [26] - 10:11, 13:19, 14:11, 18:16, 28:14, 29:25, 41:7, 44:15, 44:16, 45:14, 45:15, 45:19, 45:20, 46:22, 49:6, 50:19, 57:16, 58:1, 58:4, 58:13, 66:13, 69:18,

One [1] - 172:11

66:24, 89:4, 89:6, 89:19,

72:7, 147:22, 172:3, 173:16 people's [1] - 14:4 per [7] - 66:3, 82:1, 84:15, 88:13, 89:6, 89:7, 117:13 percent [21] - 20:4, 20:8, 44:15, 48:23, 51:11, 51:22, 52:13, 66:4, 70:2, 72:13, 73:8, 73:15, 75:10, 148:13, 148:14, 148:15, 148:20, 150:16, 151:8, 152:2 percentage [9] - 22:3, 22:4, 30:9, 44:11, 44:14, 73:9, 73:15, 114:10, 115:5 perhaps [4] - 16:12, 158:9, 161:20, 163:13 period [1] - 73:24 permit [1] - 89:7 permitted [7] - 89:8, 152:15, 155:17, 157:6, 157:21, 159:4, 159:13 perpetuity [1] - 60:22 person [15] - 35:8, 35:9, 35:12, 35:15, 37:24, 53:4, 54:4, 55:12, 56:12, 59:22, 59:23, 91:4, 96:2, 113:18, 127:9 personally [1] - 10:23 personnel [1] - 79:23 persons [4] - 167:1, 167:13, 169:1, 169:2 pertains (1) - 166:24 phenomenon [2] - 85:21, 86:13 Phillips [1] - 58:25 photograph [1] - 84:4 phrase [2] - 57:11, 74:17 pick [2] - 106:14, 173:1 picked [1] - 28:11 picking [1] - 24:25 picture [2] - 85:15, 85:18 pictures [2] - 57:20, 87:25 piece [1] - 34:9 place [2] - 45:9, 138:21 placed [1] - 12:12 places [2] - 21:19, 124:5 plan [98] - 5:2, 5:10, 5:13, 8:23, 9:5, 16:15, 20:11, 49:15, 65:5, 65:9, 65:18, 75:23, 76:2, 79:19, 79:20, 80:4, 80:21, 81:16, 89:3, 89:19, 93:10, 93:25, 94:12, 94:17, 94:19, 94:24, 95:4, 95:5, 95:12, 95:16, 95:17, 95:20, 96:9, 96:13, 96:14, 96:16, 96:20, 96:22, 97:14, 97:23, 98:23, 98:25, 99:1, 100:15, 101:15, 102:16, 103:2, 103:9, 103:11, 105:1, 105:16, 109:4, 111:21, 112:9, 113:5,

114:10, 115:1, 124:9, 124:15, 124:25, 125:22, 126:22, 127:22, 128:3, 128:10, 128:13, 128:16, 128:17, 128:20, 128:21, 129:9, 131:16, 133:1, 133:2, 133:7, 133:16, 133:23, 134:23, 135:17, 136:4, 136:7, 136:12, 136:15, 137:21, 138:10, 138:15, 139:1, 144:5, 147:20, 155:25, 156:12, 156:17, 162:1, 162:5, 163:22, 164:21 plan's [2] - 94:1, 97:5 planner [8] - 37:10, 37:12, 61:10, 61:11, 73:19, 80:16, 114:9, 148:6 planners [2] - 68:23, 69:22 Planning (5) - 108:12, 131:3, 167:25, 168:23, 168:24 planning [26] - 13:22, 26:13, 49:17, 49:18, 49:19, 50:17, 60:12, 61:13, 65:10, 66:18, 79:18, 80:8, 80:12, 80:17, 80:23, 81:24, 82:9, 93:1, 114:2, 114:5, 114:24, 124:9, 128:24, 154:25 plans [9] - 9:12, 12:18, 24:13, 58:3, 102:14, 104:12, 125:1, 131:17, 138:16 play [1] - 16:17 Plaza [1] - 172:11 pleased [1] - 13:6 pocket [2] - 11:4, 46:3 podium [1] - 23:2 poignant [2] - 85:1, 129:20 point [21] - 39:1, 43:7, 43:9, 47:24, 48:4, 74:25, 75:1, 104:19, 104:22, 118:24, 121:25, 127:12, 128:7, 129:21, 129:23, 134:6, 146:17, 153:25, 159:12, 164:19 points [1] - 100:21 policies [7] - 125:3, 126:16, 131:19, 133:4, 134:1, 136:14, 138:18 policy [3] - 38:22, 79:21, 93:1 poorly [1] - 27:9 populated [1] - 28:6 population [1] - 45:12 Port [2] - 42:20, 42:25

posed [1] - 37:17 position [2] - 37:13, 94:20 possibility [1] - 49:17 possible [3] - 36:3, 90:8, 125:22 possibly [2] - 75:18, 103:4 post [2] - 165:3, 173:6 posted [1] - 173:14 posterity [1] - 131:2 potential [1] - 60:16 potentially [2] - 153:8, 158:13 power[2] - 10:9, 92:11 powers (38) - 89:23, 90:1, 91:7, 91:12, 91:24, 93:16, 98:13, 98:17, 99:8, 100:2, 100:12, 100:24, 101:7, 105:22, 105:25, 107:3, 107:10, 108:24, 109:13, 109:14, 111:2, 111:10, 113:3, 113:16, 116:7, 123:2, 123:3, 123:19, 125:14, 126:3, 140:12, 140:24, 142:1, 147:4, 147:7 Powers [11] - 89:25, 90:13, 108:17, 109:4, 109:7, 111:4, 111:8, 111:23, 131:5, 131:7, 134:12 POWERS [163] - 90:1, 90:4, 90:7, 90:11, 90:13, 91:6, 91:13, 91:17, 91:21, 92:2, 92:10, 92:12, 92:17, 93:8, 93:13, 93:17, 93:20, 93:23, 94:6, 94:23, 95:4, 95:8, 95:11, 95:14, 95:21, 95:24, 96:11, 96:17, 96:25, 97:4, 97:13, 97:20, 97:24, 98:2, 98:9, 98:12, 98:15, 99:5, 99:7, 99:15, 99:24, 100:4, 100:7, 100:10, 100:16, 100:19, 101:8, 101:18, 101:22, 101:25, 102:5, 102:10, 102:13, 102:18, 102:20, 102:25, 103:6, 103:8, 103:19, 104:6, 104:10, 104:18, 104:22, 104:25, 105:14, 105:20, 105:23, 106:1, 106:5, 106:9, 106:11, 106:17, 107:19, 108:6, 108:8, 108:11, 109:20, 109:25, 110:10, 110:18, 111:5, 111:11, 111:13, 111:17, 111:20, 113:7, 113:10, 113:20, 116:8, 123:5, 123:12, 123:20, 123:24, 124:1, 125:21, 126:2, 126:8, 126:12,

portions [1] - 93:10

126:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:7, 127:18, 127:21, 128:6, 128:9, 129:2, 129:5, 129:12, 129:17, 129:21, 130:1, 130:4, 130:8, 131:8, 132:11, 132:22, 133:8, 133:17, 133:20, 134:14, 135:3, 135:6, 135:20, 135:25, 138:8, 139:4, 139:6, 139:8, 139:14, 139:17, 139:24, 140:1, 140:6, 140:14, 141:2, 141:8, 141:16, 141:20, 141:22, 141:25, 142:14, 142:19, 143:2, 143:5, 143:11, 144:3, 144:8, 144:13, 144:17, 144:20, 144:23, 144:25, 145:2, 145:13, 145:16, 145:19, 145:24, 146:3, 146:24, 147:8, 147:13, 147:18 powers' [1] - 98:22 practical [1] - 10:10 precedent [12] - 83:8. 83:11, 83:14, 83:18, 85:4, 86:1, 86:2, 86:4, 86:14, 86:15, 89:14, 153:10 precedental [1] - 86:22 precisely [1] - 102:15 predates [1] - 152:11 prefaced [1] - 105:4 preference [1] - 28:15 Preiss [12] - 58:19, 59:1, 146:3, 146:7, 149:17, 150:21, 151:3, 152:25, 153:13, 153:15, 169:19, 169:20 premise [1] - 12:13 premises [2] - 14:18, 78:10 prepare [5] - 6:15, 12:25, 18:4, 119:6, 119:9 prepared [7] - 6:19, 6:21, 9:6, 65:9, 98:18, 118:9, 145:12 present [2] - 15:22, 57:22 presented [10] - 15:23, 37:9, 90:23, 91:11, 116:1, 118:7, 118:18, 118:19, 160:19, 160:22 presenting [3] - 5:2, 11:8, 11:10 president [5] - 33:5, 33:13, 34:7, 34:17, 37:2 presumes [1] - 75:15 presumption [3] - 60:21 pretty [4] - 53:25, 59:20, 125:5, 133:11 prevailing [2] - 155:18, 161:7

portion [5] - 22:18, 87:5,

99:15, 103:1, 124:7

raising [1] - 150:5

prevalence [1] - 124:16 previous [5] - 68:17, 76:22, 120:20, 137:20, 164.8 previously [5] - 4:10, 7:23, 9:15, 152:21, 171:4 price [1] - 29:1 prices [1] - 154:1 principal [1] - 66:5 private [1] - 136:19 privately [1] - 80:16 probability [1] - 30:9 probe [1] - 118:5 problem [3] - 37:16, 42:8, 137:1 problems [8] - 124:11, 124:15, 134:23, 135:14, 136:2, 136:11, 136:24, 156:16 proceed [1] - 38:6 proceeding [2] - 22:19, 144:2 process [3] - 35:18, 80:1, 165:4 produce [1] - 169:13 produced [1] - 118:13 profession [1] - 108:2 professional [1] - 107:24 project [12] - 9:18, 52:14, 52:19, 53:10, 77:18, 82:16, 85:24, 88:5, 89:17, 100:22, 146:11, 162:14 projecting [1] - 57:13 projects [6] - 86:1, 88:2, 88:12, 145:7, 148:12, 161:4 promise [1] - 69:3 promised [1] - 78:11 promote [1] - 135:8 promoting [2] - 155:25, 156:1 proof [2] - 142:7, 142:12 properly [1] - 94:18 properties [13] - 61:5, 82:19, 85:6, 87:15, 88:16, 114:14, 114:22, 125:5, 126:18, 131:21, 134:3, 138:19, 165:1 property [25] - 7:14, 7:19, 10:22, 14:5, 18:9, 27:22, 52:12, 53:8, 60:15, 62:21, 77:9, 89:14, 114:11, 115:10, 115:25, 116:2, 121:18, 137:25, 162:9, 163:7, 163:8, 164:3, 165:12, 165:13 proposal [2] - 14:17, 15:20 proposed [16] - 7:24, 9:15, 9:19, 24:9, 25:12,

51:4, 52:24, 53:10, 76:5, 110:7, 110:9, 110:15 proposing [4] - 7:17, 47:22, 129:10, 148:14 protection [7] - 99:2, 99:25, 101:10, 104:1, 104:15, 105:5, 156:22 protections [2] - 99:18, 103:14 prove [1] - 155:20 provide [24] - 13:7, 27:10, 40:19, 40:22, 41:17, 41:18, 99:2, 99:18, 99:24, 101:10, 101:22, 103:14, 104:1, 124:14, 135:12, 139:21, 148:13, 151:7, 152:1, 153:7, 153:23, 157:3, 166:3 provided [1] - 114:15 provides [1] - 156:21 providing [4] - 61:2, 104:15, 105:4, 153:24 provision [4] - 101:9, 157:16, 158:3, 170:18 proximity [1] - 41:3 public [17] - 6:8, 13:20, 22:20, 23:2, 23:10, 28:13, 28:22, 32:20, 37:11, 40:15, 41:4, 41:5, 89:2, 119:18, 123:2, 170:22, 173:12 publication [2] - 46:15, 71:21 published [4] - 47:1, 47:2, 114:25, 152:10 pull [1] - 170:3 purchased [1] - 30:23 purpose [10] - 14:25, 40:24, 72:19, 72:20, 89:3, 89:18, 91:9, 96:5, 104:24, 172:24 purposes [3] - 14:23, 61:5, 65:3 put [22] - 14:2, 15:11, 24:3, 37:25, 38:6, 38:16, 39:13, 46:15, 53:7, 57:19, 67:9, 67:14, 124:9, 130:12, 149:13, 152:7, 155:9, 155:13, 155:19, 158:6, 172:2, 173:6 puts [3] - 125:6, 126:12, 133:12 putting [2] - 28:18, 37:14

# Q

Queen [7] - 69:7, 69:8, 69:9, 69:10, 69:20, 121:4, 122:15 question's [1] - 78:1 questioning [7] - 38:7, 63:13, 63:14, 74:15, 83:11,

83:13, 144:4 questions [50] - 5:1, 5:15, 22:19, 23:8, 23:11, 23:12, 23:15, 25:16, 34:2, 34:3, 35:8, 36:24, 37:12, 38:23, 39:5, 54:24, 56:20, 57:8, 58:11, 59:11, 59:24, 61:14, 64:7, 68:3, 68:5, 71:3, 73:25, 78:24, 79:1, 79:2, 84:23, 85:1, 90:15, 96:5, 97:3, 98:19, 110:25, 111:1, 116:6, 117:16, 117:25, 143:9, 147:7, 147:16, 147:17, 147:23, 154:10, 163:21, 166:14, 169:6 quick [2] - 6:3, 72:15 quiet [5] - 24:21, 25:3, 64:8, 67:4, 154:15 quite [2] - 30:11, 31:21 quotation [1] - 141:8 quote [11] - 42:10, 62:7, 62:9, 67:9, 82:17, 84:4, 85:19, 87:9, 124:20, 126:2, 126:6 quoted [3] - 101:14, 114:17, 125:23 quotes [4] - 87:7, 87:8, 126:19, 132:24 quoting (5) - 96:10, 96:11, 128:10, 144:22, 144:23

## R

R-O-S-E [1] - 60:8 R2 [1] - 98:25 RAGGIO [24] - 119:20, 119:25, 120:1, 120:4, 120:25, 121:12, 121:20, 121:24, 122:5, 122:13, 122:21, 122:25, 166:16, 166:21, 167:7, 167:19, 167:22, 168:4, 168:8, 168:12, 168:15, 168:19, 168:22, 169:4 Raggio [2] - 119:21, 166:17 RAGGIO-ASH [1] - 168:19 RAGGIO-ASHLEY [22] -119:20, 119:25, 120:4, 120:25, 121:12, 121:20, 121:24, 122:5, 122:13, 122:21, 122:25, 166:16, 166:21, 167:7, 167:19, 167:22, 168:4, 168:8, 168:12, 168:15, 168:22, 169:4 Raggio-Ashley [2] -119:21, 166:17 raise [1] - 3:11 raised [2] - 73:3, 78:1

range [7] - 124:10, 125:3, 126:17, 131:19, 133:5, 134:2, 138:18 ratable [3] - 135:15, 136:16, 136:22 ratables [1] - 138:22 rate [3] - 70:2, 71:12, 72:12 rates [1] - 71:11 rather [1] - 58:12 ratio [7] - 50:19, 50:22, 73:9, 73:14, 75:10, 142:8, 142:10 re [4] - 76:3, 81:17, 81:23, 125:4 re-examination [1] - 76:3 re-examinations [2] -81:17, 81:23 read [20] - 35:21, 74:23, 93:10, 95:18, 98:2, 98:16, 99:16, 99:18, 100:25, 101:4, 101:5, 101:19, 106:13, 124:25, 127:16, 131:16, 135:22, 138:15, 138:21, 145:14 reading [14] - 27:3, 72:21, 73:25, 76:19, 92:8, 95:7, 95:9, 95:11, 97:4, 99:7, 100:16, 114:4, 127:17 ready [2] - 3:3, 116:7 real [5] - 68:10, 74:17, 74:21, 114:7, 124:11 realistic [1] - 75:17 realize [1] - 120:16 really [15] - 10:7, 14:20, 16:16, 18:17, 22:4, 24:15, 31:21, 34:21, 46:6, 52:18, 58:11, 124:6, 140:21, 141:14, 162:4 Realty [1] - 142:11 rear [4] - 7:13, 9:13, 10:22, 24:17 reason [4] - 18:17, 41:11, 51:10, 87:3 reasonable [3] - 10:16, 17:16, 40:22 reasons [1] - 37:10 reassure [1] - 170:1 receive [1] - 7:7 received [6] - 4:20, 9:9, 52:19, 108:17, 111:4, 131:7 recent [1] - 80:21 recently [1] - 154:2 recess [1] - 79:7 recession [2] - 68:11, 68:19 recessions [1] - 68:17 recognized [1] - 164:14 recommend [1] - 137:21

26:22, 26:23, 44:3, 44:7,

reconcile [2] - 162:12, 162:18 reconciled [3] - 155:21, 155:24, 156:6 reconciliation [2] -162:20, 163:9 recondition [1] - 77:9 record [15] - 6:20, 8:22, 22:1, 25:4, 38:7, 38:17, 39:13, 53:8, 113:13, 130:12, 148:4, 149:14, 151:10, 152:7, 170:13 recording [1] - 25:3 redevelop [1] - 136:20 redevelopment [1] -155:25 reduce [3] - 47:19, 49:5, reduced [2] - 52:12, 136:13 reenforce [1] - 158:22 reenforcement [1] -135:12 reenforcing [1] - 158:21 Reexamination (3) -108:16, 111:3, 131:6 reexamination [22] -96:16, 96:17, 96:22, 103:12, 103:25, 108:5, 109:9, 111:22, 112:9, 113:5, 125:11, 125:23, 127:22, 131:2, 134:5, 134:24, 136:5, 136:7, 137:21, 138:11, 156:17, reexaminations [3] -101:15, 105:16, 135:17 refer[4] - 26:1, 107:3, 146:4, 146:6 reference [9] - 8:22, 102:22, 114:7, 116:20, 116:23, 144:17, 145:20, 145:21, 145:23 referenced [2] - 156:12, 165:7 references [2] - 116:8, 123:6 referred [3] - 29:16, 88:20, 116:9 referring [6] - 27:1, 27:8, 71:22, 91:3, 113:11, 124:5 refers [2] - 135:16, 140:2 reflected [2] - 15:24, 86:20 refusal [1] - 58:4 regard [1] - 7:20 regarding [7] - 23:8, 24:9, 60:3, 82:9, 106:24, 120:6, 130:18

region [1] - 30:6 regular [4] - 41:12, 81:5, 107:25, 108:1 regulation [1] - 18:12 rehab [1] - 71:1 rehabilitate [1] - 69:3 rehabilitation [1] - 135:1 Rehman [1] - 79:11 **REHMAN** [2] - 17:18, 18:6 reincarnations [1] - 125:4 reinserting [1] - 69:1 rejuvenate [1] - 69:4 relate [2] - 26:3, 27:21 related [4] - 71:12, 91:7, 142:16, 163:10 relation [1] - 103:9 relationship [3] - 94:20, 102:18, 102:20 relaxation [1] - 158:16 release [1] - 165:13 relevance [4] - 52:1, 81:7, 81:9, 82:7 relevant [17] - 39:4, 39:14, 45:8, 45:24, 46:6, 49:23, 52:18, 52:21, 53:16, 56:25, 61:8, 62:19, 103:1, 143:4, 143:20 relied [3] - 46:25, 118:2, 118:17 relief [3] - 88:22, 88:23, 88:25 rely [2] - 119:10, 119:12 remains [3] - 22:15, 24:11, 136:18 remedy [1] - 66:22 remember [2] - 113:10, 118:25 remind [5] - 4:14, 9:17, 131:8, 139:10, 139:13 remotely [1] - 127:23 removed [1] - 14:9 removing [1] - 12:20 rendering [1] - 12:3 renovations [1] - 136:9 rental [8] - 44:2, 44:6, 46:23, 70:2, 70:3, 72:12, 73:12, 148:12 repavement [1] - 77:9 repeat [3] - 23:12, 23:21, replaced [2] - 32:6, 137:8 replies [1] - 70:6 report [25] - 58:19, 58:21, 58:22, 59:6, 59:13, 96:23, 96:24, 103:25, 107:4, 108:5, 108:16, 111:3, 111:22, 112:9, 113:5, 113:15, 118:23, 131:3, 131:6, 134:5, 163:22,

165:5 reporter [2] - 25:5, 78:20 reports [5] - 105:8, 105:10, 118:12, 137:21, 153:18 represent [1] - 53:8 representation [1] -151:10 representative [1] - 32:23 representing [1] - 114:2 request [2] - 17:17, 171:22 requested [1] - 143:17 requests [2] - 92:4, 92:5 require [2] - 10:18, 26:20 required [12] - 11:14, 13:8, 13:9, 18:8, 50:23, 51:4, 57:23, 61:2, 143:19, 143:22, 143:23, 144:1 requirement [3] - 15:19, 17:15, 18:13 requirements [6] - 12:21, 15:19, 66:2, 66:8, 142:24, 165:16 requires [2] - 19:2, 76:10 rescenarios [4] - 126:17, 133:5, 134:2, 138:18 research [2] - 167:10, 168:24 reservations [1] - 6:13 reserved [1] - 14:6 reside [1] - 43:17 residences [1] - 26:24 resident [2] - 60:6, 60:10 residential [13] - 11:17, 13:23, 47:20, 99:14, 99:22, 101:13, 104:4, 124:11, 135:15, 135:19, 136:16, 156:25, 158:25 residents [14] - 28:5, 28:7, 28:19, 29:13, 29:19, 29:21, 30:2, 30:10, 44:11, 48:6, 53:1, 53:19, 161:1 reso [1] - 14:16 resolution [8] - 14:16, 76:10, 76:15, 76:21, 77:2, 77:6, 77:7, 78:5 resources [1] - 42:17 respect [3] - 50:22, 100:22, 105:3 respond [5] - 30:1, 68:24, 79:17, 85:19, 113:8 responded [1] - 82:17 responding [1] - 90:17 response [10] - 33:24, 34:6, 34:18, 41:25, 42:1, 43:18, 47:11, 48:20, 82:15, 145:2 responsibilities [1] - 93:2

126:15, 131:18, 133:3, 133:25, 138:17 responsible [2] - 64:23, 79:18 rest [3] - 117:7, 120:2, 148:21 restoration [1] - 135:1 restriction [1] - 63:2 restrictions [1] - 173:15 restripe [2] - 76:10, 77:14 restriping [1] - 77:2 retaii [1] - 136:3 return [1] - 145:24 revenues [1] - 136:23 review [7] - 38:20, 79:22, 105:17, 147:15, 148:8, 155:14, 166:4 reviewed [3] - 48:4, 81:24, 120:13 revision [1] - 6:17 revitalization [2] - 134:25, 156:1 rezoned [2] - 137:22, 161:5 rezoning [1] - 83:4 Richard [2] - 149:17, 151:3 Ridgewood [1] - 68:21 ridiculous [1] - 30:15 rise [1] - 48:8 rises [1] - 161:10 RM [5] - 65:22, 65:24, 66:24, 82:1, 83:2 Road [15] - 40:18, 69:6, 69:20, 75:7, 116:16, 116:20, 117:14, 121:5, 121:18, 121:19, 122:4, 122:7, 122:8, 122:16 Robert [1] - 167:9 roles [1] - 93:2 Roman [1] - 145:25 room [2] - 66:14, 66:20 rose [5] - 59:23, 59:25, 60:24, 61:16, 68:14 ROSE [6] - 60:2, 60:8, 60:10, 60:20, 60:25, 61:12 Rose [2] - 60:7, 60:8 ROSEN [30] - 8:10, 8:17, 8:24, 10:1, 10:23, 11:24, 15:3, 15:13, 16:5, 16:19, 16:22, 17:16, 20:9, 20:12, 20:23, 21:9, 46:6, 58:20, 73:10, 76:14, 78:17, 151:5, 151:19, 151:24, 153:20, 160:8, 160:13, 160:16, 161:15, 172:5 rosen [2] - 9:1, 70:15 Rosen [7] - 10:5, 43:19, 70:6, 70:9, 70:16, 72:13, 85:16

regards [1] - 22:14

164:14, 164:24, 165:3,

responsibility [6] - 125:2,

rosen's [1] - 162:25 Rosen's [1] - 89:11 Rosiland [1] - 55:8 Route [1] - 122:22 routes [1] - 41:10 row [1] - 57:16 Roz's [1] - 58:13 **RSC** [2] - 6:21, 9:6 RSIS [6] - 13:3, 13:15, 15:19, 18:12, 19:2, 19:17 rule [2] - 29:8, 39:14 rules [4] - 35:16, 56:11, 56:15, 143:24 run [4] - 12:7, 14:7, 29:24, 30:8 rush [1] - 40:20 Rutgers [9] - 46:15, 47:1, 47:4, 114:7, 114:12, 114:25, 115:24, 167:24, 168:24 Rutherford [1] - 68:20

# S

S-E-L-O-V-E [1] - 54:11 S-O-H-N [1] - 61:18 safe [2] - 64:11, 173:16 satisfaction [1] - 155:20 satisfied [1] - 162:6 Savitz [1] - 145:3 saw [5] - 59:5, 59:12, 116:10, 124:9, 146:12 scaled [1] - 76:1 scenarios [2] - 125:4, 131:20 schedule [1] - 148:22 School [3] - 167:24, 168:23, 168:24 school [2] - 47:3, 171:13 school-aged [1] - 47:3 schools [1] - 171:14 scope [3] - 50:13, 82:16, 103:23 screen [1] - 57:19 se [1] - 117:13 search [1] - 125:10 seating [1] - 173:15 Seburg [1] - 36:10 second [25] - 8:6, 8:23, 20:10, 21:15, 31:5, 36:6, 38:15, 38:16, 47:12, 61:20, 61:25, 70:8, 76:18, 96:1, 106:22, 119:1, 127:6, 132:12, 134:15, 164:19, 173:19, 173:21 secretary [1] - 55:18 **SECRETARY** [22] - 26:8, 54:22, 55:11, 56:3, 58:1, 58:14, 64:8, 67:4, 72:6, 79:5, 127:8, 154:15,

165:25, 166:6, 166:8, 171:11, 171:13, 171:18, 172:2, 172:6, 172:14, 173:5 secretary's [1] - 57:25 section [9] - 44:18, 59:2, 66:1, 88:23, 96:9, 98:24, 100:14, 163:23, 163:25 see [25] - 10:24, 11:22, 12:10, 23:15, 43:11, 44:15, 44:16, 48:14, 57:15, 57:20, 57:21, 58:2, 58:3, 60:4, 72:2, 86:17, 95:6, 105:17, 106:23, 107:1, 108:15, 116:6, 123:3, 171:6, 171:7 seeing [3] - 11:25, 147:24, 169:6 seek [2] - 66:22, 75:22 seeking [2] - 65:23, 155:15 selected [2] - 82:20, 82:22 self [1] - 48:25 self-driving [1] - 48:25 SELOVE [23] - 54:5, 54:11, 54:16, 55:3, 55:8, 55:25, 56:10, 56:13, 56:16, 56:19, 56:22, 56:25, 57:6, 57:11, 58:8, 58:18, 58:22, 58:25, 59:5, 59:8, 59:12, 59:17, 59:20 Selove [5] - 54:5, 54:12, 55:12, 55:13, 58:16 selove [1] - 55:5 semi [1] - 8:11 semi-extension [1] - 8:11 Senator [2] - 32:18, 32:22 send [6] - 37:4, 54:18, 55:6, 55:14, 55:17 sense [3] - 29:22, 29:23, 162:18 sent [2] - 54:17, 55:6 sentence [1] - 31:10 serve [2] - 74:24, 74:25 served [1] - 80:7 serves [1] - 80:12 service [2] - 41:18, 47:21 services [3] - 40:19, 68:12, 137:6 session [1] - 3:14 set [6] - 89:14, 91:25, 135:8, 141:12, 143:24, 153:25 setbacks [2] - 52:12, 85:4 sets [1] - 153:10 settled [1] - 153:6 settlement [8] - 148:11, 149:18, 150:17, 150:24, 152:9, 153:13, 165:5, 165:9 seven [8] - 13:11, 17:20, 23:22, 80:7, 89:7, 89:8,

several [3] - 58:3, 84:5, 154:4 shape [3] - 27:10, 114:3, 142:16 shaped [2] - 26:19 share [5] - 18:17, 151:13, 164:24, 165:2, 165:4 Share [5] - 148:11, 150:13, 150:18, 153:6, 164:1 Sharon [2] - 116:15, 116:19 sheet [4] - 7:3, 109:19, 109:20, 109:21 shifting [1] - 7:12 short [6] - 11:24, 68:10, 79:7, 113:25, 148:14, 153:8 **shortfall** [1] - 153:3 show [12] - 15:24, 24:13, 93:23, 95:3, 97:14, 106:3, 109:6, 128:12, 130:8, 130:14, 139:19 showed [3] - 16:2, 120:21 showing [3] - 11:12, 85:19, 88:25 shown [3] - 87:25, 140:15, 167:10 shows [3] - 6:18, 7:9, 30:16 sick[1] - 22:13 side [4] - 7:14, 11:17, 69:11, 69:14 sides [1] - 69:17 signed [3] - 164:25, 165:12, 165:14 significant [2] - 136:13, 155:16 similar [5] - 82:24, 86:24, 87:4, 88:14, 88:16 simply [3] - 51:12, 71:10, 93:4 single [31] - 7:21, 7:23, 8:4, 65:13, 94:8, 94:11, 94:13, 94:16, 97:8, 99:14, 99:21, 101:13, 104:4, 104:17, 104:18, 105:3, 120:11, 120:14, 120:18, 121:3, 121:7, 121:15, 122:8, 122:16, 156:24, 158:2, 158:4, 158:25, 159:19, 160:1 single-family [28] - 8:4, 94:8, 94:11, 94:13, 94:16, 97:8, 99:14, 99:21, 101:13, 104:4, 104:17, 104:18, 105:3, 120:11, 120:14, 120:18, 121:3, 121:7, 121:15, 122:8, 122:16, 156:24, 158:2, 158:4, 158:25, 159:19, 160:1

sit [1] - 171:8 site [20] - 6:4, 7:10, 7:11, 7:17, 7:18, 48:1, 64:15, 93:23, 115:2, 115:14, 124:19, 124:21, 125:9, 126:14, 131:11, 131:12, 133:15, 137:22, 161:11 sites [8] - 83:1, 83:4, 125:8, 126:14, 133:15, 136:20, 136:21, 137:22 sits [1] - 27:22 sitting [2] - 35:14, 170:25 situation [1] - 86:24 six [5] - 43:17, 57:16, 61:13, 112:18, 148:17 size [4] - 44:22, 45:3, 82:16, 137:25 sky [2] - 34:23, 34:24 slight [1] - 10:19 slope [1] - 21:24 slow [2] - 116:17, 134:20 slowly [1] - 106:4 smaller [1] - 11:17 smart [2] - 43:3, 68:3 snow [1] - 14:8 snowplow [1] - 14:11 so.. [2] - 19:21, 58:7 SOHN [100] - 61:18, 61:23, 62:2, 62:4, 62:7, 62:15, 62:17, 63:1, 63:8, 63:11, 63:13, 63:16, 63:20, 63:23, 64:1, 64:4, 64:11, 64:22, 65:4, 65:8, 65:22, 66:1, 66:21, 67:3, 67:7, 67:13, 67:19, 67:24, 69:15, 69:25, 70:10, 70:16, 70:24, 71:10, 71:15, 71:19, 71:22, 72:4, 72:18, 72:22, 73:6, 73:12, 73:20, 74:2, 74:4, 74:6, 74:8, 74:11, 74:13, 74:19, 75:3, 75:7, 75:20, 76:8, 76:15, 76:21, 76:25, 77:7, 77:11, 77:13, 77:17, 78:1, 78:22, 78:25, 79:2, 79:9, 80:6, 80:10, 80:14, 80:20, 81:4, 81:10, 81:15, 81:20, 81:22, 82:8, 82:14, 83:7, 83:10, 84:2, 84:9, 84:15, 85:3, 85:15, 85:24, 86:1, 86:7, 86:12, 87:2, 87:9, 87:13, 87:20, 87:24, 88:5, 88:7, 88:11, 88:15, 88:20, 89:13, 89:22 Sohn [16] - 61:18, 61:21, 62:14, 63:7, 70:25, 74:5, 74:12, 74:17, 77:10, 77:12, 78:18, 78:20, 83:9, 165:25, 166:1 sohn [1] - 61:21 **sole** [3] - 6:11, 77:15,

112:18, 138:20

96:14 solicit [1] - 109:17 someone [4] - 42:10, 93:5, 120:17, 170:11 sometime [2] - 92:23, 96:3 somewhat [3] - 27:17, 28:7, 43:1 somewhere [2] - 128:16, 173:14 soon [2] - 86:21, 173:11 sorry [17] - 24:2, 25:1, 25:8, 31:2, 32:12, 35:4, 60:8, 88:6, 102:19, 103:5, 106:1, 111:11, 120:25, 132:15, 134:21, 144:11, 166:22 sort [5] - 123:7, 153:12, 161:19, 162:2, 162:3 sought [2] - 142:24, 163:10 sounded [3] - 29:12, 72:15, 146:14 sounds [1] - 72:1 **space** [5] - 14:23, 19:18, 90:7, 124:13, 124:17 spaces [38] - 6:4, 6:10, 7:11, 7:17, 7:18, 7:21, 7:22, 7:23, 7:24, 9:3, 9:15, 10:15, 12:12, 12:20, 13:12, 13:21, 14:4, 14:18, 15:6, 15:12, 15:15, 18:4, 19:7, 20:5, 23:7, 24:9, 25:12, 48:1, 50:4, 51:4, 60:16, 61:1, 76:11, 78:10, 115:16, speaking [7] - 26:2, 41:21, 60:6, 60:10, 90:19, 123:21, 133:12 speaks [1] - 133:7 **special** (3) - 96:19, 172:7, 172:9 specially [1] - 115:4 specials [1] - 172:5 specific [18] - 46:13, 71:19, 71:25, 114:11, 114:14, 114:16, 114:20, 114:22, 115:2, 115:6, 115:17, 115:21, 115:22, 115:24, 115:25, 116:2, 163:6 specifically [4] - 29:17, 65:18, 93:14, 164:3 specifications [1] - 81:25 specify (1) - 66:2 speculative [1] - 89:21 spell [3] - 54:9, 117:23, 119:23 spend [1] - 139:10 spill [1] - 12:22 spillage [1] - 14:3

spoken [2] - 52:24, 56:8 spot [2] - 14:6, 14:14 Spring [1] - 142:7 Square [3] - 75:5, 143:25, stab [1] - 113:14 staff [1] - 42:14 staff's [1] - 55:19 Staigar [1] - 118:9 stake [1] - 157:10 stalls [1] - 10:6 stand [5] - 15:6, 23:14, 59:24, 101:16, 125:18 standard [4] - 51:6, 141:12, 142:7, 142:12 standards [2] - 141:13, 143:18 standing [2] - 72:16, 81:25 stands [2] - 43:24, 52:17 start [5] - 106:10, 106:11, 153:11, 165:3, 171:24 started [1] - 103:20 starting [2] - 79:10, 82:15 starts [2] - 132:16, 137:7 State [26] - 3:2, 6:7, 7:18, 30:23, 40:16, 64:13, 69:5, 75:8, 76:4, 76:6, 76:10, 77:2, 77:14, 84:7, 85:5, 91:8, 115:6, 117:1, 117:9, 117:11, 120:7, 120:15, 120:20, 121:1, 121:6, 144:9 state [9] - 70:1, 73:7, 75:20, 76:9, 85:18, 87:3, 100:13, 117:5, 136:23 statement [22] - 43:23, 66:15, 67:11, 72:22, 73:3, 76:24, 76:25, 88:21, 90:16, 90:24, 91:13, 97:12, 97:15, 103:12, 104:11, 131:11, 140:25, 158:8, 159:10, 162:12, 168:9 statements [6] - 43:24, 72:21, 104:24, 115:10, 163:5, 163:17 states [5] - 70:16, 72:11, 76:17, 77:3, 159:16 static [1] - 161:19 stating [2] - 62:9, 119:10 station [1] - 36:17 stations [2] - 41:10, 48:21 statistics [1] - 57:20 status [1] - 62:21 statute [3] - 18:12, 91:19, STEINHAGEN [110] - 3:4, 3:7, 3:10, 3:20, 4:13, 5:24,

6:19, 6:22, 7:2, 7:6, 9:7,

16:12, 16:24, 18:20, 18:23,

19:6, 19:10, 19:13, 19:20,

19:23, 22:13, 23:21, 36:4, 36:6, 36:12, 36:16, 37:8, 39:10, 39:18, 40:6, 40:9, 42:7, 46:7, 54:9, 57:3, 57:7, 58:24, 60:9, 66:16, 67:2, 77:21, 90:25, 91:2, 91:12, 91:19, 91:24, 92:3, 92:9, 92:11, 92:16, 96:23, 106:7, 106:10, 106:14, 106:18, 108:10, 108:25, 109:3, 109:7, 109:9, 110:4, 110:8, 110:11, 110:14, 110:20, 110:23, 111:2, 112:6, 112:11, 112:17, 112:24, 119:23, 120:3, 121:13, 121:22, 130:10, 130:15, 130:20, 131:1, 139:16, 140:19, 140:24, 141:11, 141:24, 142:1, 142:21, 143:3, 143:13, 143:16, 146:5, 146:14, 146:18, 146:21, 148:3, 150:8, 151:23, 152:8, 153:1, 153:16, 160:7, 162:10, 162:17, 162:24, 163:4, 163:15, 166:20, 168:13, 172:20, 172:24, 173:3 Steinhagen [2] - 4:18, 169:22 Stern [1] - 80:11 stick [1] - 12:4 still [12] - 12:2, 20:21, 30:8, 42:16, 63:3, 68:18, 123:21, 136:18, 145:9, 164:9, 164:10, 164:20 stipulated [1] - 77:20 stock [2] - 13:25, 28:25 stop [2] - 40:23, 99:11 stops [2] - 40:16, 40:18 stories [1] - 82:22 story [1] - 173:17 Street [26] - 3:2, 6:7, 7:18, 30:23, 40:16, 64:13, 69:5, 75:8, 76:4, 76:6, 76:10, 77:2, 77:14, 84:7, 85:5, 115:6, 117:1, 117:5, 117:10, 117:11, 120:7, 120:15, 120:20, 121:2, 121:7, 144:9 street [17] - 6:7, 13:1, 13:14, 14:8, 14:25, 17:24, 17:25, 18:1, 18:2, 18:7, 18:18, 67:10, 67:15, 67:20, 68:9, 69:23, 165:15 streets [2] - 12:22, 67:15 **streetscape** [1] - 161:13 strengthen [1] - 75:22 strictly [1] - 163:9 stripe [1] - 18:4

strong [2] - 125:6, 133:11 structures [1] - 76:2 struggled [1] - 68:16 struggles [1] - 138:4 studied [1] - 122:24 studies [3] - 114:8, 115:5, study [24] - 114:13, 115:8, 118:2, 118:5, 118:6, 144:9, 144:18, 144:19, 145:6, 145:12, 145:14, 145:22, 145:23, 145:25, 146:2, 146:3, 146:7, 146:11, 146:19, 146:24, 147:9, 147:10, 168:14 stuff [3] - 23:2, 90:8, 155:9 subcommittee [1] - 80:16 subject [1] - 108:2 **submission** [1] - 15:5 submit [1] - 15:5 submits [1] - 91:4 submitted [3] - 6:4, 11:3, 16:22 subsequent [1] - 135:16 substantial [1] - 89:1 substantially [2] - 89:2, 89:18 substantiates [1] - 135:21 subterranean [1] - 24:18 suburban [6] - 26:14, 26:16, 44:2, 44:6, 50:19, 53:17 sufficient [2] - 15:17, 48:2 suggest [3] - 46:21, 47:4, suggesting [1] - 43:17 suggestion [2] - 12:10, 138:1 suggests [3] - 125:7, 126:8, 133:13 summary [2] - 138:24, 138:25 summer [1] - 4:7 supplement [1] - 36:7 supply [1] - 6:13 support [5] - 40:14, 60:5, 128:23, 133:21, 135:12 supportive [1] - 102:15 supposed [3] - 19:18, 59:10, 148:22 Supreme [3] - 142:2, 142:5, 162:19 surround [1] - 11:20 surrounding [2] - 44:17, 124:18 Survey (2) - 71:23, 73:8 sustain [2] - 52:16, 82:5 sustained [2] - 52:4, 64:20 swear [3] - 3:4, 3:12, 63:19

**sweet** [1] - 113:25 **sworn** [8] - 3:8, 3:21, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 35:2, 35:5, 84:22

## Т

T-H-E-R-I-S-E [1] - 117:24 table [2] - 71:24, 72:2 tables [1] - 114:8 talks [6] - 45:9, 105:2, 137:18, 137:20, 157:16, 164:1 tall [1] - 84:6 taller [1] - 84:6 tandem [5] - 7:22, 7:24, 19:6, 19:10, 19:19 Tanya [2] - 119:21, 166:16 tax [4] - 135:15, 135:19, 136:16, 136:21 team [1] - 115:7 Teaneck [53] - 6:6, 26:3, 26:14, 26:15, 28:5, 28:7, 28:15, 28:20, 29:13, 29:18, 29:20, 30:7, 32:19, 40:18, 44:17, 50:20, 59:2, 60:12, 68:20, 69:6, 69:13, 69:20, 71:12, 73:13, 75:4, 75:7, 85:6, 90:14, 94:2, 95:5, 95:12, 96:13, 97:6, 108:11, 114:16, 114:18, 114:20, 115:1, 115:25, 116:2, 121:18, 122:4, 122:7, 122:8, 122:16, 125:2, 126:16, 131:3, 131:18, 133:4, 133:25, 138:17, 145:5 technicality [4] - 21:5 technically [2] - 19:9, 19:11 technology [1] - 47:23 ten [5] - 112:18, 148:18, 148:19, 159:23 tenants [3] - 44:2, 44:6, 49:7 term [8] - 46:17, 53:18, 75:24, 91:3, 93:4, 126:10, 126:11, 162:18 terms [8] - 61:1, 87:21, 88:22, 104:14, 118:18, 120:9, 163:9, 167:2 testified [14] - 15:23, 39:5, 65:23, 81:18, 81:23, 82:9, 87:1, 88:10, 99:8, 108:19, 141:13, 160:25, 161:1, 171:4 testify [8] - 34:5, 88:12, 101:17, 118:24, 145:17, 145:19, 167:21, 170:11

84:12, 84:17, 84:18, 84:19, 86:25, 99:9, 103:18, 120:24, 167:22, 167:23, 168:6, 168:8 testimony [89] - 3:12, 4:9, 4:15, 10:6, 12:15, 12:17, 13:18, 15:25, 22:18, 24:10, 37:9, 38:8, 38:11, 49:24, 49:25, 50:11, 50:13, 51:5, 55:2, 59:3, 59:4, 59:7, 59:9, 59:11, 59:15, 59:16, 59:18, 60:13, 62:21, 67:7, 70:19, 70:20, 71:1, 71:14, 72:17, 73:23, 74:1, 74:15, 82:12, 83:20, 86:4, 87:5, 88:2, 88:17, 95:23, 95:25, 96:6, 96:8, 97:1, 97:25, 101:4, 102:2, 103:17, 107:4, 107:7, 107:22, 109:16, 114:4, 118:16, 120:6, 123:16, 123:24, 124:2, 125:19, 128:24, 128:25, 130:3, 135:22, 139:5, 139:6, 139:21, 140:2, 140:7, 140:20, 141:15, 142:23, 143:1, 143:10, 143:16, 144:10, 146:15, 147:6, 155:2, 160:16, 170:8, 170:10, 171:25

Thanksgiving [1] - 172:8 THE [204] - 4:17, 6:21, 7:4, 8:8, 8:21, 8:25, 9:4, 9:11, 9:20, 9:24, 10:5, 10:14, 13:10, 17:10, 19:4, 20:7, 20:10, 20:13, 20:17, 20:20, 20:25, 21:12, 21:15, 21:17, 22:14, 24:15, 24:22, 25:1, 25:10, 25:14, 26:8, 26:17, 26:25, 27:8, 27:21, 29:22, 30:16, 30:24, 31:2, 31:18, 32:7, 32:25, 33:3, 33:7, 33:10, 33:15, 41:21, 42:15, 42:22, 44:8, 44:13, 46:9, 47:25, 49:2, 50:10, 51:14, 52:9, 53:14, 53:22, 54:22, 55:11, 56:3, 58:1, 58:14, 58:21, 59:3, 59:7, 59:10, 59:14, 60:17, 60:23, 62:3, 62:18, 63:4, 64:8, 64:25, 65:7, 65:20, 65:25, 67:4, 68:10, 69:8, 69:12, 71:16, 71:25, 72:6, 72:10, 75:14, 76:7, 79:5, 80:5, 80:9, 80:13, 80:19, 81:13, 81:19, 81:21, 82:11, 83:19, 84:8, 85:10, 85:13, 85:23, 85:25, 86:3, 86:15, 86:19, 87:17, 87:23, 88:4, 88:6, 88:8, 88:14, 88:17, 89:10, 89:20, 89:25, 90:3, 92:20, 92:22,

94:22, 97:12, 98:21, 99:12, 99:17, 101:2, 101:16, 102:17, 102:19, 103:4, 110:13, 114:12, 115:7, 115:13, 115:22, 116:4, 117:5, 117:11, 118:3, 118:8, 118:12, 119:11, 119:14, 119:16, 121:16, 122:3, 122:9, 122:18, 122:23, 123:23, 123:25, 125:18, 126:6, 126:11, 126:20, 127:8, 127:24, 128:18, 129:1, 129:11, 131:23, 132:2, 132:7, 132:9, 132:14, 132:17, 132:20, 132:25, 133:10, 134:4, 134:10, 134:18, 134:21, 135:23, 136:1, 137:5, 137:13, 137:16, 137:18, 139:2, 139:23, 139:25, 140:4, 144:15, 144:19, 145:11, 145:15, 145:17, 154:15, 160:24, 162:22, 163:2, 163:19, 165:8, 165:25, 166:6, 166:8, 171:11, 171:13, 171:18, 172:2, 172:6, 172:14, 173:5 themselves [1] - 30:3 theoretical m - 51:15 theory [1] - 114:24 thereabouts [1] - 68:11 thereafter [1] - 173:12 thereby [2] - 13:8, 158:23 therefore [4] - 21:2, 97:13, 128:12, 130:2 Therise [1] - 117:23 they've [1] - 170:24 third [5] - 28:11, 76:17, 87:3, 111:13, 136:17 thirteen [1] - 112:19 three [11] - 11:2, 45:11, 45:20, 45:22, 46:20, 79:1, 79:2, 81:16, 112:17, 148:18, 171:18 three-bedroom [3] -45:20, 45:22, 46:20 three-bedrooms [1] -148:18 throughout [3] - 30:6, 68:15, 120:12 tied [1] - 70:10 ties [1] - 41:1 tight [1] - 74:22

together [3] - 70:11, 106:13, 169:23 tonight [7] - 5:8, 16:8, 16:13, 22:12, 60:6, 72:2, 101:16 took [2] - 100:10, 138:21 tool [1] - 69:24 top [1] - 137:7 topics [1] - 81:5 tore [1] - 172:17 total [2] - 7:10, 148:18 totally [3] - 83:24, 158:19, 159:5 toward [1] - 123:7 towards [4] - 121:2, 122:22, 137:19, 153:9 tower (1) - 52:7 town [3] - 30:3, 60:14, 164:2 town's [2] - 118:22, 118:23 towns [2] - 28:5, 79:14 township [17] - 26:14, 30:10, 60:4, 66:1, 75:1, 80:3, 82:10, 82:25, 87:16, 118:13, 124:7, 125:8, 126:13, 133:14, 135:18, 136:6, 138:3 Township [7] - 6:6, 12:24, 36:11, 95:5, 95:12, 131:3, 145:6 township's [1] - 136:21 traditional [2] - 26:12, 69:16 traffic [12] - 61:9, 115:7, 115:15, 117:2, 118:2, 118:5, 118:6, 118:12, 118:21, 118:22, 118:23, 160:25 transcript [10] - 6:24, 26:8, 62:1, 72:21, 73:25, 74:24, 88:9, 107:3, 132:1, 132:19 transit [1] - 40:15 Transit [15] - 32:19, 32:23, 33:6, 33:14, 33:25, 34:4, 34:17, 37:2, 37:15, 41:9, 41:14, 41:16, 42:4, 42:13, 115:12 transportation [5] - 13:20, 32:20, 41:4, 41:6, 115:12 travelled [1] - 50:9 traversed [1] - 122:11 trick [1] - 37:20 tried [3] - 39:20, 43:6, 54:12 trouble [1] - 89:10 true [11] - 63:12, 65:1, 65:25, 84:21, 97:11, 97:12, 100:13, 102:13, 140:1,

testifying [13] - 57:4,

tin [1] - 173:6

title [1] - 8:15

titled [1] - 8:22

today [6] - 31:23, 50:7,

today's [1] - 111:8

50:9, 52:21, 146:19, 147:9

140:5, 141:2 trust [1] - 121:19 truth [3] - 3:15, 3:16 try [11] - 14:7, 37:3, 40:20, 50:13, 55:20, 74:17, 74:20, 84:25, 120:25, 166:17, 167:20 trying [27] - 27:21, 31:15, 40:8, 58:10, 68:23, 68:25, 69:22, 70:21, 71:1, 72:1, 72:10, 85:18, 103:24, 107:10, 109:16, 121:9, 121:10, 126:4, 127:11, 127:12, 128:1, 128:2, 138:10, 138:22, 141:25, 147:5, 157:20 Tryon [5] - 119:22, 121:5, 122:6, 122:11 Tuesday [1] - 34:25 turn [2] - 67:5, 70:17 turnpike [1] - 40:19 turns [1] - 121:5 twelve [1] - 112:19 twice [1] - 101:5 two [33] - 5:18, 6:15, 8:2, 8:5, 8:7, 8:8, 8:9, 8:18, 9:12, 9:18, 9:25, 11:2, 11:12, 45:10, 45:21, 67:17, 68:5, 72:7, 78:23, 79:24, 79:25, 80:15, 99:1, 105:15, 112:17, 121:6, 137:22, 140:2, 148:7, 148:17, 148:18, 154:20 two-bedroom [2] - 8:2, two-bedrooms [2] - 9:25, 148:17 type [1] - 79:14 types [1] - 46:18

## U

typically [2] - 3:7, 79:20

U.S [1] - 73:7 ultimate [1] - 14:24 ultimately [1] - 16:10 um-hum [2] - 160:12, 163:24 unanimous [1] - 60:5 unclear [1] - 124:8 under [22] - 4:14, 13:3, 14:6, 19:1, 43:23, 43:24, 55:25, 63:16, 63:17, 63:18, 68:21, 72:12, 76:16, 86:21, 88:22, 134:22, 135:14, 136:1, 136:15, 142:24, 150:23 underlying [3] - 136:14, 158:21, 158:23 undermine [1] - 150:17

underperforming [6] -125:5, 126:18, 131:20, 133:6, 134:3, 138:19 underserved [2] - 42:18 understood [6] - 18:6, 38:22, 56:16, 69:25, 94:18, 123:8 underutilized [1] - 136:21 unfortunately [2] - 5:5, 119:3 unison [1] - 165:21 unit [1] - 46:19 United [1] - 172:11 units [27] - 40:13, 44:23, 45:4, 46:18, 53:18, 66:3, 73:13, 75:3, 75:9, 75:16, 82:1, 84:10, 84:15, 88:13, 89:6, 89:7, 103:13, 148:18, 148:19, 152:4, 152:5, 153:7, 153:9, 158:6, 159:13, 164:6 unless [2] - 23:3, 150:24 unlike [1] - 92:25 unlikely [1] - 28:7 unrelated [1] - 143:6 unusual [5] - 124:24, 131:15, 132:25, 133:22, 138:14 up [20] - 6:23, 18:25, 19:19, 21:21, 23:17, 24:25, 28:16, 30:13, 57:19, 74:21, 108:14, 113:21, 117:25, 121:4, 122:7, 143:25, 152:14, 160:8, 162:25, 166:15 updated [1] - 15:15 upper[3] - 7:12, 8:23, 9:12 urge [1] - 156:4 uses [4] - 115:18, 127:15, 137:22, 137:25 utilization [3] - 14:4, 14:23, 61:4 utilize [1] - 14:12

# V

vacancies [2] - 68:18
vacancy [4] - 70:2, 71:11,
71:12, 72:12
vacant [12] - 70:3, 75:15,
75:16, 124:16, 125:4,
126:17, 131:20, 133:5,
134:2, 134:25, 136:20,
138:19
value [3] - 46:21, 46:24,
86:22
variance [26] - 10:18,
11:13, 14:2, 17:6, 17:21,
21:17, 37:11, 52:20, 66:17,

67:2, 83:13, 88:21, 88:23, 88:25, 89:16, 139:20, 140:16, 142:8, 142:9, 143:22, 143:23, 143:24, 155:23, 162:15, 162:20 variances [18] - 19:5, 52:11, 66:10, 83:3, 83:4, 92:6, 139:18, 140:2, 141:4, 141:12, 142:4, 142:14, 142:17, 142:23, 143:17, 143:18, 155:16, 163:10 variant [1] - 67:10 vehicles [9] - 47:18, 48:5, 48:25, 49:5, 49:8, 49:18, 50:1 vein [1] - 70:4 veracity [1] - 43:16 verified [1] - 95:16 verifies [1] - 91:8 verify [3] - 43:16, 43:22, 140:20 versus [4] - 36:10, 36:13, 142:6 viability [1] - 135:13 viable [1] - 69:1 vice [1] - 33:5 vice-president [1] - 33:5 Vince [2] - 3:10, 18:24 VINCE [4] - 3:18, 18:25, 19:9, 19:17 virtue [1] - 161:25 vitality [4] ~ 67:9, 68:24, 75:22, 75:25 volunteered [1] - 13:7 vote [3] - 16:13, 78:15,

# W

173:22

wait [42] - 39:22, 47:12, 56:24, 70:7, 72:19, 74:9, 75:6, 76:18, 77:4, 81:11, 84:11, 86:9, 87:6, 93:12, 99:6, 100:1, 101:21, 106:6, 111:6, 113:9, 126:24, 144:21, 147:2, 164:16 walk[1] - 58:13 walked [1] - 120:12 walking [1] - 69:17 wall [4] - 10:20, 11:20, 21:11, 21:12 wallets [6] - 67:9, 67:15, 67:20, 67:25, 69:19, 69:23 wants [9] - 23:11, 38:16, 59:23, 60:1, 61:21, 64:15, 65:16, 106:15, 116:7 waste [1] - 55:19 ways [2] - 29:24, 68:25 website [1] - 137:11 Weinberg [2] - 32:18,

welcome [8] - 14:15, 34:5, 90:3, 116:4, 117:21, 119:16, 120:4, 123:1 welfare [1] - 38:25 west [1] - 76:13 West [4] - 119:22, 122:14, 122:15, 122:19 Westwood [2] - 68:16, 68:19 wetrin [2] - 47:11, 48:20 whatsoever [1] - 13:25 whence [1] - 131:21 whereas [2] - 76:17, 102:21 whoa [4] - 31:25, 84:16, 101:20 whole [5] - 3:15, 53:10, 97:19, 135:5, 152:13 wide [5] - 8:4, 19:2, 27:12, 27:16, 76:12 wider [7] - 27:19, 125:3, 126:17, 131:19, 133:5, 134:1, 138:18 widespread (1) - 49:17 willing [6] - 10:11, 15:11, 35:2, 35:5, 151:7, 152:1 windows [1] - 137:8 wisdom [3] - 10:9, 28:23, 29:8 wise [3] - 16:1, 40:2, 40:12 witness [26] - 4:10, 28:10, 29:7, 34:4, 34:9, 34:11, 34:15, 35:19, 35:25, 57:4, 73:23, 82:7, 83:25, 105:12, 107:22, 123:4, 129:20, 130:18, 130:22, 140:10, 140:13, 142:22, 147:23, 166:14, 169:6 WITNESS [182] - 4:17, 6:21, 7:4, 8:8, 8:21, 8:25, 9:4, 9:11, 9:20, 9:24, 10:5, 10:14, 13:10, 17:10, 19:4, 20:7, 20:10, 20:13, 20:17, 20:20, 20:25, 21:12, 21:15, 21:17, 22:14, 24:15, 24:22, 25:1, 25:10, 25:14, 26:17, 26:25, 27:8, 27:21, 29:22, 30:16, 30:24, 31:2, 31:18, 32:7, 32:25, 33:3, 33:7,

33:10, 33:15, 41:21, 42:15,

42:22, 44:8, 44:13, 46:9,

47:25, 49:2, 50:10, 51:14,

52:9, 53:14, 53:22, 58:21,

60:17, 60:23, 62:3, 62:18,

65:25, 68:10, 69:8, 69:12,

71:16, 71:25, 72:10, 75:14,

59:3, 59:7, 59:10, 59:14,

63:4, 64:25, 65:7, 65:20,

76:7, 80:5, 80:9, 80:13, 80:19, 81:13, 81:19, 81:21, 82:11, 83:19, 84:8, 85:10, 85:13, 85:23, 85:25, 86:3, 86:15, 86:19, 87:17, 87:23, 88:4, 88:6, 88:8, 88:14, 88:17, 89:10, 89:20, 89:25, 90:3, 92:20, 92:22, 94:22, 97:12, 98:21, 99:12, 99:17, 101:2, 101:16, 102:17, 102:19, 103:4, 110:13, 114:12, 115:7, 115:13, 115:22, 116:4, 117:5, 117:11, 118:3, 118:8, 118:12, 119:11, 119:14, 119:16, 121:16, 122:3, 122:9, 122:18, 122:23, 123:23, 123:25, 125:18, 126:6, 126:11, 126:20, 127:24, 128:18, 129:1, 129:11, 131:23, 132:2, 132:7, 132:9, 132:14, 132:17, 132:20, 132:25, 133:10, 134:4, 134:10, 134:18, 134:21, 135:23, 136:1, 137:5, 137:13, 137:16, 137:18, 139:2, 139:23, 139:25, 140:4, 144:15, 144:19, 145:11, 145:15, 145:17, 160:24, 162:22, 163:2, 163:19, 165:8 witnesses [1] - 155:6 wondering [7] - 41:4, 45:18, 46:5, 79:12, 117:3, 166:23, 170:23 Wood [1] - 167:9 word [10] - 31:15, 102:22, 105:3, 105:20, 105:21, 105:23, 125:10, 129:4, 162:12 wording [1] - 68:8 words [5] - 67:17, 105:7, 105:10, 107:9, 125:11 works [6] - 25:4, 28:12, 29:2, 29:3, 145:9, 145:10 world [2] - 124:3, 135:21 worry [2] - 14:14, 14:21 worst [1] - 21:18 write [4] - 23:22, 124:25, 131:16, 138:15 writes [2] - 134:24, 135:9 writing [2] - 131:12, 136:4 written [3] - 36:18, 36:23, 37:9 wrote [2] - 35:8, 37:25

# Υ

year [8] - 3:5, 3:8, 3:21, 30:22, 32:24, 47:2, 70:17, 92:23
years [3] - 57:18, 114:19, 150:25
Yitz [1] - 80:10
York [2] - 40:19, 41:7
young [3] - 44:11, 44:12, 44:15
yourself [2] - 63:22, 148:3

# Ζ

zero [1] - 52:13 zone [12] - 65:22, 65:24, 66:2, 82:1, 82:18, 83:2, 89:3, 89:19, 157:12, 159:3, 159:4, 159:16 zoned [1] - 158:4 zones [1] - 157:18 zoning [38] - 3:14, 51:12, 66:8, 66:24, 80:15, 80:18, 80:24, 81:4, 89:3, 89:6, 89:19, 91:25, 92:24, 99:2, 99:18, 99:24, 101:10, 103:14, 104:1, 105:4, 124:13, 125:2, 126:16, 131:18, 133:4, 134:1, 138:17, 155:17, 156:21, 157:17, 157:21, 157:22, 158:16, 158:21, 159:7, 162:2, 162:5, 163:6

Wyckoff [2] - 33:9, 41:15