1	2
1 TOWNSHIP OF TEANEOK	1 INDEX
2 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	2 EXAMINATION BY WITNESS PAGE
3 THURSDAY, MAY 16, 2019	3 MS. BERGER David Juzmeski 6
4 IN THE MATTER OF : TRANSCRIPT OF	Joseph Vince 22 4 MS. BERGER Steven M. Lydon 104
5 APPLICATION AND PUBLIC HEARING : PROCEEDING	5 QUESTIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE Debbie Eliyahu 29,103
6 on Investigation and Adoption of :	6 Juanita Brown 35
7 100 STATE STREET, LLC: ZB2016-08	7 Theresa Edwards 41 James Veach 43
8 BEFORE: : TOWNSHIP OF TEANEOK BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT	8 Paula Rogovin 67 Charles Powers 73
9 THERE BEING PRESENT: 10 JAMES BROWN	Charles Powers 73 9 Tarya Raggio-Ashley 81 Carol Kron 85 10 Alan Sohn 92
10 JANES BROWN JAN MEYER, CHAIRMAN 11 HARVEY ROSEN, VICE CHAIRMAN	10 Alan Sohn 92 John Santaella 96
JERRY BARTA (RECUSED)  12 MONICA HONIS	12 GOOD AND WELFARE Audience Member (Unidentified) 157
ATTF REHMAN 13 JENNIFER PRINCE	James Veach 160 Howard Rose 162,184
14 MARK MERMELSIEIN	Ruth Brower 165 Lilian Lewis 166
15 MARK MADAIO, ESQ.	Linda DeCarlo-Bums 169 Denise Belcher 170
16 BOARD ATTORNEY ROSILAND MCLEAN	Wendy Kaye Davis 172 16 Eric Orgen 173 Sean Hirschhorn 174
17 BOARD SECRETARY	I/ Brian Dulitz 175 Elliot Klapper 176
18 DAN MELFI TOWNSHIP ENGINEER	18 Michael Klatsky 177 Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley 180
19 JOSEPH VINCE	19 Dr. Roland Raggio 182 Charles (Chuck) Powers 185
20 BOARD ENGINEER	20 Midki Shilan 187 Roosevelt Sills 188
21 WENDY BERGER, ESQ. 22 Attorney for the Applicant	21   Keith Kaplan   190   19
23 Reported by: Angela (Angie) M. Shaw-Crockett	23 EXHIBITS
Certified Court Reporter 24 Registered Merit Reporter	FOR ID DESCRIPTION ID EVIDENCE PAGE 24 Exhibit Veach-1 Resolution 66-2015 60 66
25 Certified Realtime Reporter	25 Exhibit Veach-2 Resolution 218-2016 64 66
D. Juzmeski	D. Juzmeski
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to	D. Juzmeski  1 Let the record reflect that
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the  project engineer, David Juzmeski.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,  there are certain procedures. They are	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you press the green light.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,  there are certain procedures. They are  available just for informational purposes only	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the  project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I  just want to know, are there any additional  plans that were amended plans that were  made?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you  press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,  there are certain procedures. They are  available just for informational purposes only  with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the  project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I  just want to know, are there any additional  plans that were amended plans that were  made?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you  press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,  there are certain procedures. They are  available just for informational purposes only  with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are  there extra of these procedures?	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help you in the green.
D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to  continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State  Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole  Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you  are not in full voice, so try to, as best you  can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going  to take the microphone and give one to our  expert and one to myself so we can have  microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also,  anyone welcome, everyone. For those who  have never been to a zoning meeting before,  there are certain procedures. They are  available just for informational purposes only  with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are  there extra of these procedures?	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help you in the green.
CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you are not in full voice, so try to, as best you can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going to take the microphone and give one to our expert and one to myself so we can have microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also, anyone welcome, everyone. For those who have never been to a zoning meeting before, there are certain procedures. They are available just for informational purposes only with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are there extra of these procedures? THE BOARD SECRETARY: Right there on the	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help you in the green. THE WITNESS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you are not in full voice, so try to, as best you can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going to take the microphone and give one to our expert and one to myself so we can have microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also, anyone welcome, everyone. For those who have never been to a zoning meeting before, there are certain procedures. They are available just for informational purposes only with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are there extra of these procedures?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Right there on the podium with the agenda.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's maybe have them moved over to the side so they don't disturb	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the  project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I  just want to know, are there any additional  plans that were amended plans that were  made?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you  press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help  you in the green.  THE WITNESS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Thank you. Good evening,
CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you are not in full voice, so try to, as best you can, keep your voice up. MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going to take the microphone and give one to our expert and one to myself so we can have microphones down by the plans. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also, anyone welcome, everyone. For those who have never been to a zoning meeting before, there are certain procedures. They are available just for informational purposes only with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are there extra of these procedures? THE BOARD SECRETARY: Right there on the podium with the agenda. CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's maybe have them moved over to the side so they don't disturb the proceeding here in the middle of the	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be continuing the presentation with the same witness that we had last time, who is the project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I just want to know, are there any additional plans that were amended plans that were made?  THE WITNESS: Yes. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help you in the green.  THE WITNESS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.  Can you hear me? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the board.
CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're going to continue with the hearing, ZB2016-08, 100 State Street, LLC, presented by Ms. Berger from Cole Schotz, correct?  MS. BERGER: Yes. Good evening. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. I know you are not in full voice, so try to, as best you can, keep your voice up.  MS. BERGER: Yes, I will. And I'm going to take the microphone and give one to our expert and one to myself so we can have microphones down by the plans.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good. Now, also, anyone welcome, everyone. For those who have never been to a zoning meeting before, there are certain procedures. They are available just for informational purposes only with our clerk or I think Rosiland, are there extra of these procedures?  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Right there on the podium with the agenda.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's maybe have them moved over to the side so they don't disturb	D. Juzmeski  Let the record reflect that  Mr. Mermelstein and Ms. Prince, they have  arrived.  Good evening. Okay. Ms. Berger, please  present.  MS. BERGER: Okay. We're going to be  continuing the presentation with the same  witness that we had last time, who is the  project engineer, David Juzmeski.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Before you continue, I  just want to know, are there any additional  plans that were amended plans that were  made?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Your microphone. If you  press the green light.  THE WITNESS: It's on, Mr. Chairman.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the gentleman to help  you in the green.  THE WITNESS: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  THE WITNESS: Thank you. Good evening,

	5 D. Juzmeski	D. Juzmeski
_		
1 2	stand? Here, there, facing the audience? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Where you are, that's	1 facility. 2 This is sheet C8.00. This is ground floor
3	fine.	3 lighting plan. The project has two
4	MR. ROSEN: And any witnesses wish to see	4 different
5	it and can't, come forward.	5 EXAMINATION BY
6	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Could you	6 BY MS. BERGER:
7	speak into the mic, please?	7 Q. Before we go further, do we need to mark
8	MR. ROSEN: Any members of the public that	8 this separate? Has it changed at all?
9	can't see the plans from where you're seated,	9 A. It has not changed. It's the same set of
10	please come closer.	10 plans that were before the board last meeting.
11	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Please move	MR. MADAIO: So let's continue with the
12	the mic close so we can hear.	numbering system we have, which these are all
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can you hear?	part of the 31-page set, known as Exhibit A-2.
14	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, he's	14 And you have indicated this is C.
15	whispering to his friends.	Do I have that correct?
16	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Rosen, pull the	16 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
17	mic closer if you want to speak.	17 MR. MADAIO: C8.00, ground floor lighting
18	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you	18 plan.
19	for complying with the request.	19 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, sir.	A. As I was saying, the lighting proposed for
21	THE WITNESS: Mr. Chairman, the civil	21 this facility there are two different types of
22	engineering plans that have not been updated	22 lights. We have recessed lights for the property
23	since last time we were before you. The last	23 garage and we have building lights. We actually
24	plan that we haven't presented and want to	24 have some bollard lights. On C8.00
25	present tonight is the lighting plan for the	25 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I've got a question. The
	7 D. Lumanki	D Instruction 8
	D. Juzmeski	D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski question was if there were updated plans,	D. Juzmeski  1 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger
2	D. Juzmeski question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?	D. Juzmeski  1 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  2 will need to adjust her microphone.
2 3	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans	D. Juzmeski  1 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  2 will need to adjust her microphone.  3 MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.	D. Juzmeski  1 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  2 will need to adjust her microphone.  3 MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  4 were talking with David, we heard you very
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  lilumination for pedestrians, both walking on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  lilumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing.	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it wouldn't affect the lighting plan. That's why	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this  sheet depicts is the lighting for the individual  parking decks. And you could see that the lighting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it wouldn't affect the lighting plan. That's why I'm asking now.	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this  sheet depicts is the lighting for the individual  arranged parking decks. And you could see that the lighting  is a recessed-style lighting mounted to the ceiling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it wouldn't affect the lighting plan. That's why I'm asking now.  MS. BERGER: Right. No, we are not	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this  sheet depicts is the lighting for the individual  parking decks. And you could see that the lighting  is a recessed-style lighting mounted to the ceiling  of the deck, portraying light downward. And what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it wouldn't affect the lighting plan. That's why I'm asking now.	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this  sheet depicts is the lighting for the individual  arranged parking decks. And you could see that the lighting  is a recessed-style lighting mounted to the ceiling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  question was if there were updated plans, and the answer was "no," correct?  THE WITNESS: The civil engineering plans I'm presenting were not updated.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because you told me last time and I don't know if there was a change made, that there was an ability to add on 25 parking spots on the upper level by tandem parking spots.  Ms. Wendy Berger is standing up. She has something to say, I'm sure.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Myer, we will address that question that you asked. We will address it after we're done finishing with the direct testimony to finish the set of plans and then we'll go back and answer questions that were raised at the last hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Because if the change MS. BERGER: We are not changing. CHAIRMAN MEYER: If there's change in the configuration of the parking, I'm sure it wouldn't affect the lighting plan. That's why I'm asking now.  MS. BERGER: Right. No, we are not	D. Juzmeski  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ms. Berger  will need to adjust her microphone.  MR. MADAIO: Wendy, for a moment when you  were talking with David, we heard you very  clearly, just for a moment. Now I don't think  anyone can hear you.  Stay on that mic and speak much louder.  MS. BERGER: Sorry. We did not change the  plan, but we will readdress your question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  A. To get back to the lighting plan. On the  ground floor concept and on the plans before you, we  have some building onto lighting and some bollard  onto lighting. This lighting will provide  illumination for pedestrians, both walking on  sidewalk and accessing the building from the both  the sidewalk to the front entrances to the building.  On the sheet which is an accompanying  sheet is Sheet 8.01. This is called the lighting  plan for the lower and the upper level. What this  sheet depicts is the lighting for the individual  parking decks. And you could see that the lighting  is a recessed-style lighting mounted to the ceiling  of the deck, portraying light downward. And what

	9 D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	properties because they have deflectors on them. So	1	time.
2	the lighting although we provide substantial	2	BY MS. BERGER:
3	illumination on site, there's no net effect on the	3	Q. And is it your opinion that the lighting,
4	adjacent properties along the perimeter of the	4	as proposed, complies with the ordinance
5	property.	5	requirements of the municipality?
6	That really concludes, you know, the	6	A. I believe so, yes.
7	direct testimony of the lighting. If there's	7	Q. And is it your opinion that the lighting,
8	questions on that, you can ask or I could move on	8	as proposed, will supply sufficient lighting on the
9	to	9	site for safety movement access?
10	MR. ROSEN: Yes. I'd like to go back to	10	A. Yes, it will.
11	the street lighting.	11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Thank you.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	12	Any questions of members of the board for
13	MR. ROSEN: Could you show us and the	13	this witness? Hearing none.
14	audience any particular lighting that's	14	MS. BERGER: I would now like to go into
15	dedicated to the entranceway to the garages?	15	answering some of the questions that were
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. There's four	16	raised by the board at the last hearing that
17	specific building-onto-light fixtures. One in	17	this witness can answer.
18	each corner and one located on either side of	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay, please.
19	the lobby. It's a four-leaf clover building	19	MS. BERGER: If I could?
20	onto lighting that provides illumination for	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sure.
21	both the driveways and the sidewalk along the	21	BY MS. BERGER:
22	frontage.	22	Q. At the last hearing, a question was raised
23	MR. ROSEN: Will those be on all the time	23	about possibly expanding the upper level parking
24	or are they only activated by motion?	24	deck. Have you reviewed that?
25	THE WITNESS: Those will be on all the	25	A. Yes, I have.
	11		12
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	Q. And have you reviewed it yourself and have	1	not supposed to, if you want to get this
2	you reviewed it with others?	2	application through, that the conditions of
3		2	
	A. Yes, I have. Both.	3	completing the parking lot across the street?
4	Q. And could you tell the board what your	3 4	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces
4 5	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?	3	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?
5 6	<ul><li>Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?</li><li>A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the</li></ul>	3 4 5 6	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking
5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?</li><li>A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the</li></ul>	3 4 5 6 7	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.
5 6 7 8	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which	3 4 5 6 7 8	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But
5 6 7 8 9	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath
5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building? MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	completing the parking lot across the street? What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is really a public benefit. If the spaces on site are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that would be accessible to anyone.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is really a public benefit. If the spaces on site are not used, we have the parking cannot come on site	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that would be accessible to anyone.  BY MS. BERGER:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is really a public benefit. If the spaces on site are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that would be accessible to anyone.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. But with regard to the proposed increase,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is really a public benefit. If the spaces on site are not used, we have the parking cannot come on site and use those spaces. I think the spaces CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Excuse me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that would be accessible to anyone.  BY MS. BERGER:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. And could you tell the board what your findings are in that regard?  A. Sure. As requested, we took a look at the applicant's need to extend the upper level to the back rear property line. And the question, which originally was, if you extend there, how many additional spaces you can gain.  The first answer is that is we can potentially gain 25 spaces if we extend it. The negative aspect of it is if we extend that and eliminate the potential municipal lot that we're proposing across the street, I think that is more of a benefit to the community and the reason being this:  We feel that the existing parking on site, as proposed, is sufficient for our building. The parking that we're proposing across the street is really a public benefit. If the spaces on site are not used, we have the parking cannot come on site and use those spaces. I think the spaces	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	completing the parking lot across the street?  What does that have to do with how many spaces you have underneath the building?  MS. BERGER: The requirement for parking is based upon RSIS.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that. But if you intend to increase the spaces underneath the building, I didn't ask you whether or not not go through or cancel the agreement or possibility to develop the parking site across the street. It was only as to the additional parking on the building I was concerned about last time and that's what you answered me.  And I, you know, foresaw some consideration that would increase the overall parking and maybe the public could benefit even more from the parking across the street that would be accessible to anyone.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. But with regard to the proposed increase, have you determined that that's not the best zoning

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski	
1	A. Well, on top of the the extending the	1	Q. So in your testimony, you're saying that	
2	building versus the off-street parking, extending	2	if that deck was extended, there would be a wall	
3	the existing parking to the rear along the property	3	that would be constructed approximately 15 foot high	
4	line, this second floor is elevated. And at that	4	above grade, of cement, surrounding that parking	
5	far corner, you will see an elevator parking deck	5	deck. Is that on three sides?	
6	approximately 15 feet above grade. And I think at	6	A. That's correct.	
7	that close proximity to the adjacent properties, I	7	Q. And that is not in keeping with the	
8	think it comes out as an opposing figure because	8	design, which is to open up the area to light and	
9	it's made out of concrete, which is why I think the	9	air for the surrounding properties?	
10 11	intention of the architect was to provide that step-back in our architectural feature rather than a	10 11	<ul><li>A. That's correct.</li><li>Q. Which is also the design of the building</li></ul>	
12	shaved flat wall along the adjacent property.	12	as a step design?	
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there going to be	13	A. That's correct. If you see intonations	
14	parking behind that wall?	14	(phonetic) within the building where you have the	
15	THE WITNESS: Well, there's existing	15	architect, any modifications of the building come in	
16	residential homes here. I don't know what	16	and out provide architectural interest, I think the	
17	their concept is for parking.	17	way the deck is is in keeping with his design.	
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, but weren't there	18	Q. And with regard to the request for	
19	garages behind	19	additional parking, you would then have additional	
20	MS. BERGER: Those garages have been	20	parking spaces that would be tandem and they would	
21	removed.	21	be for one-bedroom units; is that correct?	
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Right. So they're going	22	A. That's correct.	
23 24	to be used for additional parking behind the	23	Q. And it would also cover what would be the	
25	wall. So okay, whatever. BY MS. BERGER:	24 25	guests, so called guest parking, although the guests have no means of access?	
			The first the first to the firs	
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski	
1	D. Juzmeski A. That's correct.	1	D. Juzmeski	
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2		
	D. Juzmeski A. That's correct.		D. Juzmeski just pan over first at the upper level.	
2	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?	2	D. Juzmeski just pan over first at the upper level. The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan	
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER:	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom	
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that	
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project?	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying? BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead. BY MS. BERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying? BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead. BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that?  A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and quantify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children to play at the building or on site.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and quantify Q. Could you please do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children to play at the building or on site.  Have you looked at the plan in that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and quantify Q. Could you please do that? A. I'm going to be referring to Sheet C4.01.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children to play at the building or on site.  Have you looked at the plan in that regard, as well?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct.  Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying?  BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and quantify Q. Could you please do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children to play at the building or on site.  Have you looked at the plan in that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  A. That's correct. Q. So in your opinion, is it CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you testifying or is he testifying? BY MS. BERGER: Q. In your opinion, is extending the deck a benefit for this project? A. I don't believe that it is and I explained the reasons why. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Can I just go ahead. BY MS. BERGER: Q. There was also a question raised at the last hearing as to provision of areas for bicycles and an area for a motorcycle. Have you looked at that? A. That's correct, I have. I looked at both parking levels. We can accommodate motorcycle parking and covered bicycle parking at both parking levels. I can pull up the site plan and give you the approximate location it would be located and quantify Q. Could you please do that? A. I'm going to be referring to Sheet C4.01. This is the site improvement's plan for the lower	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  just pan over first at the upper level.  The basic far left corner on the plan, there's a hatched area. We can accommodate two motorcycle spaces at that location. And if you pan at the same upper-level parking area to the bottom right, you will also have a hatched area in that location. We can provide a space for two additional motorcycles and approximately five covered bicycle parking spaces at elevation. That's the upper level.  In the lower level, similarly we can provide a next to the lobby area. Now I'm pointing to the lower-level parking area. Right next to the lobby area, since there's no doors in that location, we can provide covered bicycle spaces at that location. Again, require another five bicycle spaces and an additional motorcycle space at that level, as well.  Q. Additionally, at the last hearing, there was a question about room for a place for children to play at the building or on site.  Have you looked at the plan in that regard, as well?  A. Yes, I did.	

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	A. Sure. I'm going to need the architectural	1	Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes. I think there was one
2 3	plan just to illustrate location. Can I see the floor plan, please? The floor plan illustrates the	2 3	more one more. Is that it?
4	flex space and the open space.	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. Any more
5	I'm going to be referring to Sheets A-200.	5	questions, Ms. Berger?
6	This was also a part of the original set that was	6	MS. BERGER: Yes, there was one more
7	submitted. And I just want to point to the center,	7	question, if I could?
8	where it illustrates a tenants common area of	8	THE WITNESS: There's one more request
9	approximately 1200 square feet. The owner has the	9	made. It was regarding speed bumps coming in
10	ability to provide an area that could be dedicated	10	and out of the driveways.
11	to the children that we'll be building in that	11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Rosen.
12	tenants common area.	12	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
13	There's also a flex space on the lower	13	Mr. Rosen, we took a look at that and we
14	level that is open and can be utilized as a	14	could accommodate on both of the driveways
15	children's play area, as well, that's internal to	15	speed bumps. We just have to confer with your
16	the building.	16	engineer what location he wants, that he
17	Q. And is it your opinion that in this	17	prefers them to be.
18	specific site, it's best to have a children's play	18	MR. ROSEN: Inside or outside?
19	area within the building rather than exterior?	19	THE WITNESS: I think it would be
20	A. I agree, yes. I think the lower areas, we	20	partially covered. I think you want to
21 22	want to provide a nice buffering on the side of the building and that area is, you know, possibly	21 22	approach the speed bump right before you approach the stop bar. So you kind of get a
23	15 feet. I think this internal area where you	23	warning that there is a stop sign coming up.
24	have watch over the kids is a better alternative.	24	So we'll just keep parking covered on each
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Anything else,	25	side of the driveway.
		25	side of the differency.
	19		20
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.	1	D. Juzmeski the facility, basically haul it off site.
2	D. Juzmeski	2	D. Juzmeski the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under
2 3	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.	2 3	D. Juzmeski the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that?
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that?  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that?  THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site.     CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under  that building to do that?     MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you.     CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that?     THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it.     CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage?     THE WITNESS: That's correct.     CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct?     THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.     CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight?     THE WITNESS: I am not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors regarding this facility, in particular. And what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight? THE WITNESS: I am not. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So your opinion, whether or not from a planning perspective, an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors regarding this facility, in particular. And what they told us was if there was a substantial storm,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that?  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that?  THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight?  THE WITNESS: I am not.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So your opinion, whether or not from a planning perspective, an additional 25 spaces would benefit this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors regarding this facility, in particular. And what they told us was if there was a substantial storm, this area, which is approximately 4,000 feet, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight? THE WITNESS: I am not. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So your opinion, whether or not from a planning perspective, an additional 25 spaces would benefit this application, is really outside your purview.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors regarding this facility, in particular. And what they told us was if there was a substantial storm,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that?  MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that?  THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight?  THE WITNESS: I am not.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So your opinion, whether or not from a planning perspective, an additional 25 spaces would benefit this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. REHMAN: Sorry. I have a question.  If we can go back to parking, the 25 actual spaces.  Is it a fair assessment that the decision to not go through with the parking is truly for aesthetic reasons, not necessarily the possibility or any safety concerns?  THE WITNESS: I think it's aesthetic is one reason. I think usability of those spaces, you're going to haven tandem spaces for potentially a one-bedroom that they may never use them. And the only reason is for zoning reasons is just for proximity of that building wall and it being elevated right on the property line. I think it's an imposing solid structure elevation.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. There was another question that was raised at the hearing with regard to snow removal. Has that been investigated?  A. Yes. We contacted some contractors regarding this facility, in particular. And what they told us was if there was a substantial storm, this area, which is approximately 4,000 feet, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  the facility, basically haul it off site. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they would be under that building to do that? MS. BERGER: I'm sorry. Mr. Meyer, I couldn't hear you. CHAIRMAN MEYER: They would be able to get under the building to do that? THE WITNESS: Well, the final portion of that operation will be another typical pickup truck because that area is so small, they would plow it, come down the ramp and then do it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Push it out under the garage? THE WITNESS: That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, you're an engineer, correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: And you're testifying as a planner tonight? THE WITNESS: I am not. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. So your opinion, whether or not from a planning perspective, an additional 25 spaces would benefit this application, is really outside your purview.

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	THE WITNESS: From a planning standpoint,	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. But that was not
2 3	yes, I would agree with that.	3	my question. Thank you.  Anything else? Anyone from the board have
4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. ROSEN: If the 25 extra spaces were	4	a question?
5	added, would they be tandem parking?	5	MS. BERGER: I just had one more question.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	6	BY MS. BERGER:
7	MR. ROSEN: So you would have not double	7	Q. With regard to parking, if you did have to
8	tandem parking, you would have triple tandem	8	increase the size of the deck to put 25 spaces,
9	parking?	9	would you then, by RSIS, be allowed to count street
10	THE WITNESS: No, not necessarily. The	10	parking for the meeting the code requirement?
11	extension would happen on the upper level where	11	A. Yes, you could count them at this time.
12	those are all single spaces.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Questions
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sir, from an engineering	13	from identify yourself for the record.
14	standpoint, you could have one-bedroom	14	Also, get a microphone from the board or go to
15	apartments where there could be two cars in	15	the podium to ask questions.
16	that household; isn't that correct?	16	State your name and affiliation.
17	THE WITNESS: I think I'll leave that	17	MR. VINCE: Joseph Vince, Schwanewede Hals
18	answer to our planner because he does have	18	Engineering. There's just a couple of items in
19	statistics and I think in our earlier first	19	the report that I think we just need to go
20 21	meeting, our traffic engineer testified that on	20	OVER.
22	average, you're going based on the census, that there's 1.1 spaces per unit in Teaneck.	21 22	MS. BERGER: And if you could just for the record, state the date of the report.
23	So is it possible? Yes, it's possible.	23	MR. VINCE: Sure. It was a letter written
24	It's also possible that a two-bedroom may only	24	February 21, 2019.
25	need one space.	25	MS. BERGER: Thank you.
25	need one space.	25	Mo. BERGER. Thank you.
+			
	D. Jugmeelsi		D. Jurmaski
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the	1	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin
2	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed	2	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be
2 3	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet	2 3	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future. CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down. You're killing her.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that, Angie?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm  with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm  with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down. You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that, Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. WADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned detention basin on the subject property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. WADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.  So we're recommending that they provide a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned detention basin on the subject property.  So we recommend that the applicant TV	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. WADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.  So we're recommending that they provide a sanitary sewer easement along the frontage of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned detention basin on the subject property.  So we recommend that the applicant TV inspect and repair, as required, the sanitary sewer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. MADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.  So we're recommending that they provide a sanitary sewer easement along the frontage of the property and the TV inspect and line and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned detention basin on the subject property.  So we recommend that the applicant TV	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. WADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.  So we're recommending that they provide a sanitary sewer easement along the frontage of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  MR. VINCE: Item No. 4 discusses that the underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet  (Reporter interruption.)  MR. VINCE: The underground retention basins are proposed within 5 feet of the township and sewer main within 2 feet of the property line. There's a high possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slow.  MR. MADAIO: You're going four times too fast. She's trying to write down what you are saying.  MR. VINCE: I apologize.  MR. MADAIO: Significantly slower for the court reporter.  A. There's a possibility that excavation of the sanitary sewer by the township in the future will not adversely affect the privately owned detention basin on the subject property.  So we recommend that the applicant TV inspect and repair, as required, the sanitary sewer along the length of the property frontages and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	entire length of the proposed detention basin adjacent to sanitary sewer so that the sewer can be excavated in the future.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You've got to slow down.  You're killing her.  MR. VINCE: Oh, I'm sorry.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: You've got that,  Angie?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, I'm okay. I'm with you.  MR. MADAIO: So apparently, there's an underground detention basin near some municipal sewer lines?  MR. VINCE: Yes.  MR. WADAIO: Tell us what you want.  MR. VINCE: We wanted the TV to inspect the sewer and ensure that it's in good condition because if the township excavates the sewer in the future, it is going to impact their privately owned detention basin.  So we're recommending that they provide a sanitary sewer easement along the frontage of the property and the TV inspect and line and repair, if necessary, the township sewer during

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	in the future and excavate it.	1	second-to-last thing is that there is a utility
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, will you	2	pole at the rear of the property along the
3	agree to that?	3	property line. We believe that they serve and
4	MS. BERGER: I'll let our experts say.	4	provide power for the adjacent properties and
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, we concur.	5	it's going to be where the proposed building is
6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next.	6	located. They're going to need to relocate
7	MR. VINCE: The sewer calculations for the	7	those poles and provide the power to the
8	project are over 8,000 gallons a day. So they	8	adjacent property somehow and provide a utility
9	will be required to get a treatment work's	9	easement for that power.
10	approval from the DEP. So	10	THE WITNESS: We will provide continuous
11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: They'll agree to that,	11	electrical service to the adjacent properties.
12	correct?	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you requiring them
13 14	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, Chairman.  MR. VINCE: They'll have to monitor the	13 14	also to put the electrical service and other
15	sewage floor, if necessary, to make sure that	15	utilities underground in the frontage of the building?
16	there's no adverse impact on the township line	16	MR. VINCE: Well, the line is along the
17	which verify capacity.	17	rear yeah, for their building, the electric
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And they also agree to	18	should be required to be underground, yes.
19	that?	19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you agree to that?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, Chairman.	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	MR. VINCE: Will they agree to shore up	21	MR. VINCE: And just the last thing we
22	the adjacent structures along the property	22	touched upon last meeting and for water quality
23	line, as necessary, to build their project?	23	purposes, I've talked to Mr. Juzmeski about the
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, we'll consider this.	24	drainage design and we believe that it can be
25	MR. VINCE: And the last thing	25	designed and technically it be designed
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski technically okay.	1	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the
2	D. Juzmeski technically okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In	2	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever
2 3	D. Juzmeski technically okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve	2 3	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski technically okay. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP. I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an underground manufactured treatment device for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP. I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an underground manufactured treatment device for the water quality on the lot across the street,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP.  I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. VINCE: Thank you. Sorry, I was too
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an underground manufactured treatment device for the water quality on the lot across the street, that the applicant shall be responsible to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP. I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. VINCE: Thank you. Sorry, I was too fast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an underground manufactured treatment device for the water quality on the lot across the street, that the applicant shall be responsible to maintain it through a homeowners association	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP. I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. VINCE: Thank you. Sorry, I was too fast.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Nope, it's okay. Next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  technically okay.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Technically okay. In other words, does the township engineer approve the technical drawings as to the drainage?  MR. VINCE: We've looked at them in concept. There's a few things in the report that they're going to need to be addressed if the board grants the approval. But based on our review of the drainage calculations at this time, we feel that what's being proposed is reasonable and this application, if the board chooses to approve it, anything that's outstanding at this point is technical in nature and can be dealt with between the engineers after the fact.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you're satisfied with the drainage calculations in this application if it would be approved?  MR. VINCE: Yes. The only thing we would want to reiterate is that if there has to be an underground manufactured treatment device for the water quality on the lot across the street, that the applicant shall be responsible to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So that would be the bylaws, so whatever  MR. VINCE: Right. If they can figure out a surface basin, then the maintenance won't be too difficult for the DEPW. But an underground structure does require service contracts and things of that nature and we recommend that the applicant be responsible to maintain that rather than  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Will the applicant be responsible for that?  THE WITNESS: We will comply if required by DEP.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, I think not by DEP. I think it's by what the engineer suggests would be the best solution, because they have an understanding of the surrounding need for this.  THE WITNESS: Chairman, if your engineer requires it, we will provide it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. VINCE: Thank you. Sorry, I was too fast.

	29		30
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	of this witness?	1	their children.
2	MS. BERGER: Any other board	2	Does that mean that it is that there
3	professionals?	3	are that there's no room for children to
4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Anyone from the public	4	play outdoors if parents feel that they can
5	have questions of this witness as to what he	5	competently supervise their children outside as
6	testified to?	6	they would in a park, for example, or in a
7	Ma'am, go ahead. Come. Stand up.	7	playground outside or a sideyard or backyard in
8	Everybody who wants to talk and ask questions	8	a home?
9	only as to this witness, form a line here to my right, your left, and then go to podium,	9 10	THE WITNESS: I believe and I think we could accommodate some area external to the
11	please.	11	building if that was a concern by this board
12	MS. ELIYAHU: Hi.	12	and the residents. We could potentially
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Tell us who you are and	13	accommodate some small area on either side of
14	then you can proceed.	14	the building.
15	MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Good evening. My	15	MS. ELIYAHU: When you say "small area,"
16	name is Debbie Eliyahu. I'm a Teaneck	16	what kind of small area are you talking about?
17	resident.	17	THE WITNESS: Well, we have a 15-foot
18	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Spell it, please.	18	buffer in, approximately, a hundred feet. So
19	MS. ELIYAHU: E-L-I-Y-A-H-U. And the	19	in particular, that's all we need to do. And
20	first thing I want to address is the indoor	20	we have a balance providing sufficient buffer
21	play area, the fact that there's nothing	21	from this building to the adjacent property and
22	outdoors.	22	try to, you know, provide an outdoor area at
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Questions.	23	that location.
24	MS. ELIYAHU: Yes. It's a question. You	24	MR. ROSEN: What's the potential area
25	stated that's safer because parents can watch	25	you're suggesting?
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski	1	D. Juzmeski
1 2	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on	1 2	D. Juzmeski testimony of the traffic engineer that was
2	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in	2	D. Juzmeski testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.
2 3	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on	2 3	D. Juzmeski testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago. MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.
2	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used	2	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago. MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay. Is this information based specifically on
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct?  A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct? A. That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct? A. That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct? A. That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Now, you mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save that admonition and that question for him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct? A. That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Now, you mentioned that you're going by the census for about the number of cars for bedrooms. When was that which census are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save that admonition and that question for him.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. And also, about the issue of the parking spots under the building versus across the street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct?  A. That's correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Now, you mentioned that you're going by the census for about the number of cars for bedrooms.  When was that which census are you talking about, the United States Census?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save that admonition and that question for him.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. And also, about the issue of the parking spots under the building versus across the street.  Are you aware that people who live in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out? THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER: Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct? A. That's correct. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am. MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Now, you mentioned that you're going by the census for about the number of cars for bedrooms. When was that which census are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay. I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save that admonition and that question for him.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. And also, about the issue of the parking spots under the building versus across the street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: About 15 feet in length on either side and maybe approximately 100 feet in depth. But  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is that space used for, moving in and moving out?  THE WITNESS: There is a dedicated loading area. That's why I say it's limited because we have a dedicated loading area and we have some buffered landscaping on that so that 15-foot is much less. It's approximately 10 and that depth, which is less to approximately maybe 50 to 70 feet of usable area.  BY MS. BERGER:  Q. So based upon that size, it was your opinion that having an indoor area was a better zoning alternative, correct?  A. That's correct.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Next question, ma'am.  MS. ELIYAHU: Okay. Now, you mentioned that you're going by the census for about the number of cars for bedrooms.  When was that which census are you talking about, the United States Census?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  testimony of the traffic engineer that was concluded two meetings ago.  MS. ELIYAHU: Have you done any okay.  I need to look into this, but okay.  Is this information based specifically on that area?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What information?  MS. ELIYAHU: The 1.1 cars per CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think this witness is not really the right person to ask. It was the I believe the traffic engineer or planner that can better answer. Mr. Lydon, who's sitting down, he's going to be up later tonight and I think he's the right person you should ask those questions and grill him as much as you want.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. I look forward to it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you should save that admonition and that question for him.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. And also, about the issue of the parking spots under the building versus across the street.  Are you aware that people who live in a

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	systems and learn efficient ways of managing to	1	it out.
2	make exceptional use of the rules so that they	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: But that's okay. I don't
3	can make sure that they always find parking for	3	think it's a question for this witness.
4	their cars?	4	Ms. Berger is dying to say something, but we're
5	I say that as somebody who lived in	5	going to hold her for a second.
6	Manhattan and had exceptional parking at all	6	So I think you're going to ask that
7	times.	7	question also of Mr. Lydon, because I think
8	MS. BERGER: I'm going to just say that I	8	he's smiling and he's waiting for that
9	don't think that he's the appropriate person to	9	question, so he's going to be ready to answer
10	answer that question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think it's a real	10	that question for you.
11 12	question for this engineer. He designs and so	11 12	MS. ELIYAHU: I look forward to it. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Two questions for you.
13	on. He's not really about the real use of the	13	Anything else you'd like to ask this witness?
14	building. I think that comes for someone else	14	MS. ELIYAHU: Is there a time for
15	to testify to.	15	comments?
16	MS. ELIYAHU: Well, it was the issue of	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. At the end of the
17	having the parking under the building versus	17	application, it may be today, it may be in six
18	across the street and whether or not the people	18	meetings. I don't know when it ends. But when
19	who have the cars parked across the street,	19	it ends, you can speak until your heart is
20	whether that would really be for municipal use	20	content almost about what you would like to
21	as opposed to being basically safe for the	21	tell the board why we should deny the
22	residents of the building.	22	application or vote for the application. And
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I hear your point and I	23	that will be the right time to come with your
24	tried to debunk his testimony and	24	comments and you could be sworn in advance when
25	MS. ELIYAHU: And I couldn't really pull	25	you come to the podium by our learned counsel
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to	1	D. Juzmeski would be a benefit to the community and to the
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to		D. Juzmeski would be a benefit to the community and to the
2	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to	2	D. Juzmeski would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents. But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents. But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents. But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening. MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this. MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening. MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask questions of him only.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask questions of him only.  MS. BROWN: To my understanding and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. ROSEN: For your knowledge and anyone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask questions of him only.  MS. BROWN: To my understanding and remembrance so much was said about parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. ROSEN: For your knowledge and anyone else's, the owners of the project have agreed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask questions of him only.  MS. BROWN: To my understanding and remembrance so much was said about parking and such you said something to the effect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. ROSEN: For your knowledge and anyone else's, the owners of the project have agreed if this project were to pass and correct me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski here and then you'll have an opportunity to tell us all what you think about this.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you. Happy to do so and I appreciate your guidance and I'm here to take the oath and tell you what I know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Very good. Next. The lady over there (indicating). Please state your name and good evening.  MS. BROWN: Good evening. My name is Juanita Brown, Teaneck resident. A lot was said about the parking and I'm not sure that I understood it.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So now is the process where you ask questions of this witness only. He's an engineer. So he talked about the design of the parking, he talked about the structure of the building and anything that is an engineering question that he already testified to.  So you're more than welcome to ask questions of him only.  MS. BROWN: To my understanding and remembrance so much was said about parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  would be a benefit to the community and to the visitors or guests of the residents.  But then Ms. Berger well, the question that Ms. Berger said that the residents won't have access to it. So the question is  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think you misunderstood.  MS. BROWN: Maybe.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me tell you what I think I understood. And if Ms. Berger disagrees with me, she can correct me.  MS. BROWN: I just need clarification.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I think she said the following: That the access under the building would be gated or some kind of controlled access. Access to the parking lot across the street is public and would be available for anyone at all times, correct, Ms. Berger?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. ROSEN: For your knowledge and anyone else's, the owners of the project have agreed

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1		1	
1 2	space across the street and make it accessible to the township for public parking. And	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	your address. I'm asking.  MS. LEWIS: I prefer not to.
3	presumably that would be available to the	3	My first question
4	residents of this building, but anybody in the	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Questions for this
5	public could commit access to that space.	5	gentleman.
6	So when you talk about public space across	6	MS. LEWIS: My first question regarding
7	the street, that's what we're referring to.	7	the 25 additional parking spaces, you explained
8	Doesn't exist yet. But, again, if this	8	that you extend the top level of the parking
9	application were approved, the builders have	9	garage?
10	committed to finishing that space and making it	10	THE WITNESS: The chairman asked if we
11	accessible.	11	were to extend it, how many spaces would we
12	MS. BROWN: So it would be accessible for	12	gain?
13	the guests?	13	MS. LEWIS: Okay. And you commented that
14	MR. ROSEN: Correct.	14	you would have to build a concrete wall?
15	MS. BERGER: Just for your edification,	15	THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am.
16	it's 44 parking spots across the street.	16	MS. LEWIS: And you also stated that
17	MS. BROWN: Wonderful.	17	across that wall is a residential property.
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next person.	18	THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am.
19	Please come and stand in line so we can	19	MS. LEWIS: Now, in all probability, did
20	efficiently use our time this evening.	20	you say that it's probable that the residential
21	MS. LEWIS: Good evening. Lilian Lewis,	21	property across that concrete wall may be
22	L-E-W-I-S. I'm a Teaneck resident.	22	windows of the residents facing that concrete
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your address?	23	wall. Is that a probability?
24	MS. LEWIS: Do I have to give my address?	24	THE WITNESS: Repeat that. I didn't hear.
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You don't have to give me	25	MS. LEWIS: Okay. Here is your concrete
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1		1	D. Juzmeski that is just adjacent to us.
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall. I'm asking is it probable is there a		D. Juzmeski that is just adjacent to us. What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and
2	D. Juzmeski wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall. I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there	2	D. Juzmeski that is just adjacent to us. What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski that is just adjacent to us. What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access, remove the pole and put it somewhere else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors rather than outdoors?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility. MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you. My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct. MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access, remove the pole and put it somewhere else? Explain to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors rather than outdoors?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access, remove the pole and put it somewhere else? Explain to me.  THE WITNESS: I will. The engineer was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors rather than outdoors?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. MS. LEWIS: Now, would you agree or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access, remove the pole and put it somewhere else? Explain to me.  THE WITNESS: I will. The engineer was referring to a utility pole that's located in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors rather than outdoors?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. MS. LEWIS: Now, would you agree or perhaps a possibility, since your development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wall. Here's the residents on the other side of concrete wall.  I'm asking is it probable is there a possibility that the residents there, there will be windows there in that residence that are now facing that concrete wall? Is that a possibility?  THE WITNESS: That is a possibility.  MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you.  My second question. Explain to me you said that you would have to move the utility pole if you build this complex and you said that you guaranteed or something like that that the residents would have continuous use of their electrical whatever it is.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. LEWIS: Explain to me how they would have to you would have to remove the access, remove the pole and put it somewhere else? Explain to me.  THE WITNESS: I will. The engineer was referring to a utility pole that's located in the back right corner. That utility pole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  that is just adjacent to us.  What PSE&G would do is install a new pole first before they took that off service and they would transfer the service from the existing pole to the new pole. It would be a delay, maybe an hour or two, but that could be done.  Same thing if you were during a power outage, there's a transfer of repair from the existing to the new.  MS. LEWIS: So we'd possibly have an hour or two hours of inconvenience?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. When would be the homeowner, let them know ahead of time that time when that would occur. So that way they can use them.  MS. LEWIS: The young lady before me asked about two women before me asked about the play area and you stated that it's indoors rather than outdoors?  THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am. MS. LEWIS: Now, would you agree or perhaps a possibility, since your development would have a setback of 9.9 feet in the front,

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	front, is that possibly why there's no play	1	zone and that the moving vans could be in the
2	area for the children?	2	front of the building while people were moving
3	THE WITNESS: I think with respect to the	3	in without impeding traffic in the eastbound
4	setback, it's more of a planning term.	4	because of the wide lanes.
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think Mr. Lydon is the	5	When you stated this, did that take into
6	one that you have to address that question to.	6	account that there's a bus stop right in front
7	I think. Ms. Berger?	7	of the building?
8	MS. BERGER: Yes.	8	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. LEWIS: No other questions.	9	MS. EDWARDS: So can you explain to me
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.	10	how
11	Next question, please.	11	THE WITNESS: Well, actuality, the plan I
12 13	MS. EDWARDS: Theresa Edwards, E-D-W-A-R-D-S, Teaneck resident. Am I allowed	12 13	have in front of me, the one that was represented last time, the bus stop is located
13	to ask questions about the previous testimony	14	at this location. If you have a this is
15	at the last meeting?	15	essentially a truck. The truck is parked a
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry?	16	little further back. The bus can come in and
17	MS. EDWARDS: In the last meeting, you	17	park and then continue wherever they're headed.
18	were talking about the move-in and move-out	18	Same thing with other traffic. They can bypass
19	zone and how the moving vans could be	19	this truck. That's if the moving is being done
20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Could you speak a little	20	by a large truck.
21	slower? because I have a hard time following	21	Most of the units are between, I believe,
22	your question. So if I can't understand, I'm	22	680 to 1200 square feet. We're going to have a
23	not sure she can understand.	23	on-site moving area where a typical single-unit
24	MS. EDWARDS: At the previous meeting, you	24	truck, 30 foot long, besides a few guest trucks
25	were talking about the moving-in and moving-out	25	can kind of come in, park there. The loading
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski could be done without that pattern.	1	D. Juzmeski code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide
2	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.	2	D. Juzmeski code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?
2 3	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a	2 3	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney.  You know what that means. So if you want me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sir, first would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36 or 46, 8-foot spaces. Teaneck requires nine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sir, first would you spell your last name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36 or 46, 8-foot spaces. Teaneck requires nine. If he knows what he's talking about, he should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sir, first would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36 or 46, 8-foot spaces. Teaneck requires nine. If he knows what he's talking about, he should be able to explain to us why Teaneck insists on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sir, first would you spell your last name.  MR. VEACH: V-E-A-C-H.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36 or 46, 8-foot spaces. Teaneck requires nine. If he knows what he's talking about, he should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  could be done without that pattern.  MS. EDWARDS: Yes. I remember that part.  I was more concerned about the long, and so a bus would be able come to up and be flush with the sidewalk?  THE WITNESS: With the curb.  MS. EDWARDS: Without adjudging into the truck. That's your testimony?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. EDWARDS: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.  Ma'am, just move up.  MR. VEACH: Good evening. James Veach,  V-E-A-C-H, Teaneck resident.  The council hasn't asked for that information and there's a reason. And I think people are entitled and I think you should respect their decision not to give their addresses. There's a reason for that.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask your question.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sir, first would you spell your last name.  MR. VEACH: V-E-A-C-H.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  code, a building code. It requires 9-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. VEACH: Why did Teaneck adopt a 9-foot-wide parking space?  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object to that. He would not know why Teaneck adopted anything.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: There is an objection  MR. VEACH: If he's going to testify  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excuse me. Hello. When I speak, you don't. Please. But I'll hear you.  Would you like to comment to the objection? Do you have a reason why you want to I'm going to I'm very inclined to sustain the objection. You're an attorney. You know what that means. So if you want me to hear you on that, let's go.  MR. VEACH: Yes, please. This gentleman is testifying about providing us with either 36 or 46, 8-foot spaces. Teaneck requires nine. If he knows what he's talking about, he should be able to explain to us why Teaneck insists on 9-foot-wide parking. If he even knows if

	D. Juzmeski		46 D. Juzmeski
1	talking about parking spaces.	1	The exception is at the columns, the board
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: No, there's a difference	2	has put it in for that level. That's the only
3	between knowing what the ordinance and what the	3	pinch point. The physical parking space and
4	regulations say and the reason why he's done it	4	the usable space is the D9 by 18. The only
5	that way. That's the difference. And the	5	pinch point, as I said, is that that column
6	objection is sustained. Next question.	6	location is and those column locations are
7	MR. VEACH: Well, we can agree that	7	not located where you and he asked us for
8	Teaneck does require 9-foot-wide parking	8	the vehicle by the doors of the far rear view
9	spaces, correct?	9	passengers.
10	THE WITNESS: That is correct.	10	MR. VEACH: Does Teaneck require
11	MR. VEACH: You're proposing either 36 or	11	9-foot-wide spaces because they're worried
12	46, 8-foot-wide parking spaces, correct?	12	about impeding traffic?
13	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	13	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object again.
14	MR. VEACH: Which is it, 36 or 46?	14	THE WITNESS: I don't know why.
15	THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.	15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: He answered. He doesn't
16	MR. VEACH: These 36 spaces are located in	16	know why. Next question.
17	particular parts of the building?	17	MR. VEACH: And you talked to the township
18	THE WITNESS: They're on both decks.	18	engineer about putting 36 spaces on the 8-foot
19	MR. VEACH: They're on both decks?	19	wide?
20	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	20	THE WITNESS: The township engineer has
21	MR. VEACH: Are they in particular areas	21	reviewed these plans.
22	of both decks?	22	MR. VEACH: And did he explain to you why
23	THE WITNESS: Well, specifically since you	23	Teaneck insists on 9 feet?
24 25	asked, the parking spaces are striped. They're striped. They're not 18. All of them.	24 25	THE WITNESS: He did not explain that.  MR. VEACH: What about across the street?
23	surped. They to not 16. An or them.	23	What about across the street.
	47		
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?	1	D. Juzmeski a pole coming down in the parking space?
2	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.	2	D. Juzmeski a pole coming down in the parking space? THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's
	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as	2 3	D. Juzmeski a pole coming down in the parking space? THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski a pole coming down in the parking space? THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the upper level. At that point, it decreases to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the chair and other people asking why you couldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the upper level. At that point, it decreases to 8-foot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the chair and other people asking why you couldn't add more parking spaces at the rear of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the upper level. At that point, it decreases to 8-foot.  MR. VEACH: In other words, you're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the chair and other people asking why you couldn't add more parking spaces at the rear of the building. Do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the upper level. At that point, it decreases to 8-foot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the chair and other people asking why you couldn't add more parking spaces at the rear of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  Are they 9-foot or 8-foot?  THE WITNESS: They're going to be 9-foot.  MR. VEACH: You're doing 8-foot to get as many parking spaces as you can into the building  MS. BERGER: I'm going to object. It's a statement. It's not a question.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let's hear the question.  Mr. Veach didn't finish his question. We'll let Attorney Veach finish his question and then if you have an objection, you'll make your objection and I'll rule on it like I'm supposed to and then we'll continue.  MR. VEACH: Sir, you're proposing 36 8-foot spaces to get as many parking spaces as you can into that building; isn't that correct?  THE WITNESS: That's incorrect, sir. Like I said earlier, the physical striped parking space from space to space is 8- to 9-foot. The only reason we are asking for a variance for the width is because of the columns from the upper level. At that point, it decreases to 8-foot.  MR. VEACH: In other words, you're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  a pole coming down in the parking space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it's either if you look at most parking deck designs, the poles are intentionally located at the stripe because if they were in the middle of the space, you couldn't park there. So that's the design is intentional to be an added stripe that we have full access.  MR. ROSEN: How many parking spaces are affected by poles being present, therefore reducing it from nine to eight?  THE WITNESS: Thirty-six.  MR. ROSEN: So the rest of the parking, though, are the 9-foot wide, as required? It's only 36 in question, correct? Thirty-six in question that are affected by these poles?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Veach, any other questions?  MR. VEACH: We heard questions from the chair and other people asking why you couldn't add more parking spaces at the rear of the building. Do you recall that?

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	MR. VEACH: You were asked about "could	1	about drainage.
2	you add additional parking spaces."	2	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and I think I answered	3	MR. VEACH: And you have a catchment
4	it.	4	system.
5	MR. VEACH: And I think you said you	5	THE WITNESS: A what?
6	declined to do that. You aren't going to do	6	MR. VEACH: A catchment system?
7	that, right?	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE WITNESS: Because I just gave the	8	MR. VEACH: And that catchment system
9	board alternatives why I feel the best	9	requires that you maintain water quality
10	alternative would be to provide a municipal	10	control.
11	parking lot across the street and what the	11	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
12	negative impacts would be to extend that.	12	MR. VEACH: Across the street, if I recall
13	MR. VEACH: But if you did additional	13	your testimony before, that had not been
14	parking spaces in that building, would they be	14	addressed whether you would have a catchment
15	8-foot spaces or 9-foot spaces?	15	across the street.
16	THE WITNESS: Depending on the pole	16	THE WITNESS: We do have one across the
17	locations again, it's there's going to be	17	street as well.
18	we would be pushing them back. It would be a	18	MR. VEACH: Did you come up with that
19 20	variety of both.  MR. VEACH: There would be 8- and 9-foot	19 20	after your testimony last time?  THE WITNESS: No, sir. It was on the
20	spaces?	20 21	original plans.
21 22	THE WITNESS: At the column locations,	21 22	originai pians.  MR. VEACH: All right. Could you explain
23	that's correct.	23	to us and the people who are here, what do you
24	MR. VEACH: Now, with that testimony, you	24	mean by a catchment system. How does this
25	provided testimony last at the last hearing	25	work?
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	THE WITNESS: DEP requires a design of the	1	nine-unit apartment building there, you would
2	development of this nature. You collect the	2	have left a lot more ground around, correct?
3	rainwater, which means that we have catch	3	THE WITNESS: Repeat that again, sir?
4	basins. We collect it, store it somehow on	4	MR. VEACH: If you're specifically trying
5	site, whether it's an above-ground detention	5	to get 68 units on these three lots. If you
6	basin or through pipes underground or chambers.	6	had only designed the building with nine units,
7	And they require you to release it at a much	7	you would leave a lot of open space around the
8	slower rate than it currently occurs, offsetting the municipal system.	8	building, correct?  THE WITNESS: You would still have to
10	So basically DEP mainly says to actually	10	comply with the DEP requirements regardless of
11	reduce the amount of flow going into the	11	what type of development it would be.
12	municipal system.	12	MR. VEACH: Wouldn't there be a lot less
13	MR. VEACH: So you don't overcome	13	runoff?
14	Teaneck's system?	14	THE WITNESS: Well, this system that we're
15	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	15	proposing improves substantially the runoff
16	MR. VEACH: And you also have to treat	16	from this site, whether it could be a different
17	that water, correct?	17	use, an office building or whatever, this
18	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	18	system that we're proposing dramatically
19	MR. VEACH: How do you treat it?	19	improves the off-site impacts from the site.
20	THE WITNESS: A number of ways. You	20	MR. VEACH: So doesn't the building
21	can DEP has a whole manual guidance	21	itself, the building itself, doesn't it
22	treatment process, as your engineer mentioned.	22	increase the amount of runoff?
23	There's also the different methods, sand	23	THE WITNESS: Again, naturally, because
24	filters. There's a variety of ways.	24	you're increasing the impervious coverage,
	A D AVELOUA TO A A A TO A TO A		
25	MR. VEACH: If you had only designed a	25	which is why we're required to detain it on

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	site.	1	written agreement.
2	MR. VEACH: That's why you need the extra?	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think the question
3	THE WITNESS: Anytime even a	3	could be answered if he knows.
4	single-family home, we need to have a	4	MR. MADAIO: The question is a general
5	catchment, sir.	5	question about what types of
6	MR. VEACH: There's no catchment there	6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you objecting to the
7	now.	7	question?
8	THE WITNESS: That's because the existing	8	MS. BERGER: Do you have any idea?
9	building is outdated. DEP didn't have	9	THE WITNESS: Well, DEP on top of what the
10	standards on that building when built. The DEP	10	requirements are, as far as detention on site
11	standards are much more stringent now, which is	11	for the parking
12	why we have to comply.	12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Stop, stop, stop. And
13	MR. VEACH: Let's go across the street.	13	also the reason I stopped you before is only
14	Again, a catchment system over there and you	14	one person can speak at the time because,
15	have to treat water over there.	15	Angela, the court reporter, only has two hands
16	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	16	and can only take down one person at a time.
17	MR. VEACH: Now, you mentioned something	17	So let's I think you should ask your
18	about the other engineer mentioned something	18	question again. I think it's a good question.
19	about a maintenance agreement.	19	If the witness can answer, he can answer. If
20	What kind of contract would the developer	20	he can't, he can't. Go ahead.
21	have to enter into to treat that water?	21	MR. VEACH: Can you describe
22	THE WITNESS: That's not	22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And if Ms. Berger has an
23	MS. BERGER: That wouldn't be the purview	23	objection to it, she'll state her objection and
24	of this board. It would be the purview of the	24	we'll discuss it. Go ahead.
25	mayor and council. It's a township lot as to a	25	MR. VEACH: First of all, you need a
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas,	1	
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas,		D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff,
2	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?	2	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to
2 3	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right? THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own	2 3	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that,	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right? THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right? THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the maintenance contract?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the maintenance contract? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open, sir.  MR. VEACH: Correct. It's just open space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the maintenance contract?  THE WITNESS: Again, I think I testified to your borough engineer's question that if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open, sir.  MR. VEACH: Correct. It's just open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the maintenance contract? THE WITNESS: Again, I think I testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  maintenance contract for both catchment areas, right?  THE WITNESS: Again, the DEP has their own mandates and they require they prepare a maintenance manual for this for whatever apartments are proposed. On top of that, there's a schedule that has to be followed and they have periodic maintenance, whether there it's 2 inches of rainfall, you come out and check to make sure the system is operating and you have to identify who is the responsible party of that maintenance agreement. That's what they require.  MR. VEACH: Now, the lot across the street, 89 State Street, I believe, the lot across the street, right now, are there any structures on that lot?  THE WITNESS: No, it's vacant.  MR. VEACH: There's not a runoff problem with that offhand, correct?  THE WITNESS: Well, because it's open, sir.  MR. VEACH: Correct. It's just open space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski runoff, so you do have uncontrolled runoff, meaning that when it rains, there's nothing to collect it. There's nothing to stop it from coming into the municipal storm system. When we build it, we're proposing a catchment area. It doesn't have any catchment. It can erode. There's other issues there from having a vacant piece of land.  MR. VEACH: You're proposing a catchment area and a maintenance contract and everything because you're going to pave over the open space?  THE WITNESS: That's correct. And on top of that, by doing that, you improve again, the same thing that we're required to do on that system, we're required to do on the parking lot, is we're required to reduce the amount of runoff, not maintain. We have to reduce it even after approving the municipal system if that runs off.  MR. VEACH: And who pays for the maintenance contract?  THE WITNESS: Again, I think I testified to your borough engineer's question that if

	D. Juzmeski		58 D. Juzmeski
1	whether it's this side, that side, it will be	1	
2	maintained. That's what I testified. If	2	was such arrangement regarding this specific issue?
3	that's required by your board engineer, we	3	MS. BERGER: It is my understanding that
4	would comply.	4	there was no agreement for the applicant to
5	MR. VEACH: Who is "we"?	5	maintain the township lot in the future. The
6	THE WITNESS: "Who is we," what?	6	township employees would be maintaining the
7	MR. VEACH: You said, "we will maintain."	7	township lot as they do for other township
8	THE WITNESS: We as the owners' reps and	8	properties.
9	the developer or the municipality. We are	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. There's your
10	required to maintain we'll maintain that	10	answer.
11	system.	11	MR. VEACH: So let me see if I can clarify
12	MR. VEACH: Are you testifying, sir, that	12	this. Across the street, the catchment area
13	the township may have to take over	13	has to be maintained. Who's going to pay for
14	responsibility for maintaining that catchment?	14	it?
15	THE WITNESS: Sir, I didn't the only	15	MS. BERGER: I think the question was
16	question regarding maintenance was for the	16	asked and answered.
17	water quality advice, not for the system	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I think the township I
18	itself. That's a municipal lot. We don't have	18	think what I understand now is that the
19	responsibility to maintain that. It's a	19	township is going to maintain like any other
20	municipal lot.	20	municipal parking lot. I'm not sure, but
21	MR. VEACH: So, I understand.	21	that's what I hear. Next question.
22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: They have to take	22	MR. VEACH: How much will that cost?
23	responsibility, I don't know.	23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Do you know how much it
24 25	For that, Ms. Berger maybe can answer what	24 25	costs? THE WITNESS: I do not.
25	was the arrangement with the township if there	25	THE WITNESS: 1 do not.
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski	1	D. Juzmeski
1 2			
1 2 3	D. Juzmeski MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not	1 2 3	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a
2	D. Juzmeski MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year?	2	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back
2 3	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.	2 3	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way? MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's engineer, talked about something about he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1. (Exhibit Veach-1 was marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way? MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's engineer, talked about something about he used the word "association" having to pay for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit,  Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1.  (Exhibit Veach-1 was marked for identification, as of this date.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's engineer, talked about something about he used the word "association" having to pay for the maintenance contract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1.  (Exhibit Veach-1 was marked for identification, as of this date.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Show it to Ms. Berger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's engineer, talked about something about he used the word "association" having to pay for the maintenance contract.  Do you know who he was referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1.  (Exhibit Veach-1 was marked for identification, as of this date.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Show it to Ms. Berger first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Could it cost 10,000 a year? CHAIRMAN MEYER: He just said he did not know.  MR. VEACH: Have you ever worked on areas that have similar catchment areas?  THE WITNESS: Similar in what way?  MR. VEACH: Similar, approximately the same size, same amount of runoff?  THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I did a project down the street at 140 State Street.  MR. VEACH: At 140. How much did that maintenance contract cost?  THE WITNESS: I don't have that information.  MR. VEACH: Do you know if there's a contract with the township that would permit the developer to use 89 State Street?  THE WITNESS: I do not know.  MR. VEACH: I believe the engineer testified just after you, the township's engineer, talked about something about he used the word "association" having to pay for the maintenance contract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  MR. VEACH: Do you know anything about a resolution passed by the township council back in 2016 having to do with this property?  THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.  MR. VEACH: How many units does a developer propose to build here?  THE WITNESS: The proposal is for 68.  MR. VEACH: Could I mark as an exhibit, Township of New Jersey Resolution 66-2015 having to do with 100 State Street development?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I'm sorry. What do you want to mark?  MR. VEACH: I want to mark a resolution.  It's Resolution 66-2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Well, you can mark it as an exhibit, not as in evidence. If you have any questions that relates to this  MR. VEACH: I would like to show him this document.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So mark it as Veach-1.  (Exhibit Veach-1 was marked for identification, as of this date.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Show it to Ms. Berger

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You can mark it. In the	1	units, correct?
2	meantime, let's let Ms. Berger take a look at	2	THE WITNESS: Correct.
3	it. I think that's fair and that's how it's	3	MR. VEACH: I'm going to direct your
4	supposed to be done.	4	attention to the second "whereas," which
5	This is an exhibit for identification	5	refers
6	only, not into evidence. If you want to move	6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Why don't you read the
7	it into evidence, you can do that later if it's	7	whereas and then ask the pertinent question.
8	appropriate.	8	MR. VEACH: You're right. That's a good
9	Ms. Berger, step away from the witness.	9	idea.
10	MR. VEACH: I'd like to direct your	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.
11	attention down to the second "whereas."	11	MR. VEACH: "Whereas defendant, 100 State
12	MS. BERGER: I'm going to object because	12	Street, LLC"
13	it's my understanding that this is	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Slower.
14	cross-examination of his direct testimony and	14	MR. VEACH: "Whereas defendant, 100 State
15	we're getting into things that have nothing to	15	Street LLC, has entered into a contract of sale
16 17	do with his direct testimony.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let Mr. Veach ask a	16 17	with 100 State Street Development LLC, to sell the property so as to construct a multi-family
18	question. If it has something to do with the	18	rental residential building consisting of not
19	direct testimony if it relates to this	19	more than 63 residential dwelling units subject
20	ordinance.	20	to the terms and conditions hereinafter set
21	So ask the question and maybe you can	21	forth," and there aren't any conditions.
22	connect it connect it up with the document	22	Do you know why this township resolution
23	you seek to show the witness.	23	says no more than 63 units can be built here?
24	MR. VEACH: I'm correct, aren't I, that	24	THE WITNESS: I do not, sir.
25	your testimony is based on the building with 68	25	MR. VEACH: I'd like to mark another
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1		1	
2	D. Juzmeski document. MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first	2	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it?
2 3	D. Juzmeski document. MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?	2 3	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski document. MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution? MR. VEACH: The first document was a	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski document. MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution? MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.)
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski document. MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution? MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question?
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.  MR. VEACH: Yes. And I would like to mark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it?  MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development.  "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole cost and expense."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.  MR. VEACH: Yes. And I would like to mark as Veach-2, a Resolution 218-2016, 2016,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it?  MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development.  "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole cost and expense."  Now, my question is: Do you know why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.  MR. VEACH: Yes. And I would like to mark as Veach-2, a Resolution 218-2016, 2016, adopted on September 20, 2016, a resolution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole cost and expense." Now, my question is: Do you know why there's no reference to the township's having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.  MR. VEACH: Yes. And I would like to mark as Veach-2, a Resolution 218-2016, 2016, adopted on September 20, 2016, a resolution dealing with the 100 State Street parking lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole cost and expense." Now, my question is: Do you know why there's no reference to the township's having to take care of this catchment area in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  document.  MR. MADAIO: Let's do this. The first document was what, ordinance or resolution?  MR. VEACH: The first document was a resolution passed by the township council and it's Resolution 218-2016.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to the clerk so she can keep the exhibits.  MR. VEACH: I'm sorry. I've got the wrong resolution. It's Resolution 66-2015, adopted by the council on April 7, 2015.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is that Veach-1?  MR. VEACH: That's Veach-1.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Give a copy to Ms. McClean.  MS. BERGER: I have one.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. McClean will keep a copy of exhibits.  MR. MADAIO: And you'd like to mark? I apologize.  MR. VEACH: Yes. And I would like to mark as Veach-2, a Resolution 218-2016, 2016, adopted on September 20, 2016, a resolution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ms. Berger, have you seen it? MS. BERGER: Yes. (Exhibit Veach-2 was marked for identification, as of this date.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question? MR. VEACH: Whereas No. 3. "Whereas" and by the way, this resolution refers to 100 State Street Development LLC as the development. "Whereas as an incentive for the approval of such application for development, the developer has proposed to design, construct, pave, and stripe a parking lot on premises owned by the Township of Teaneck, commonly known as 89 State Street, Teaneck, New Jersey, and also shown and designated as Block 5002, Lot 23, on the tax map of Township of Teaneck and to lay it out and re-stripe angled parking along State Street, all at the developer's sole cost and expense." Now, my question is: Do you know why there's no reference to the township's having

	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	THE WITNESS: I do not know.	1	appropriate space within the building, which is
2	MR. VEACH: It also refers to angled	2	why we count on using all of that space other
3	parking along State Street. What does that	3	than for a buffer.
4	refer to, if you know?	4	MR. VEACH: Thank you.
5	THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
6	resolution, so I don't know what the contents	6	MR. MADAIO: Mr. Veach, I'm assuming you
7	of what that conversation was.	7	want to move those into evidence? They're
8	MR. VEACH: Is the developer also supposed	8	borough documents.
9	to put stripes on the State Street itself?	9	MR. VEACH: I also I think you can all
10	MS. BERGER: I object because he just	10	take notice these are all public records.
11	answered the question.	11	MR. MADAIO: We've marked them for a
12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sustained.	12	purpose. I trust you want them moved into
13	MR. VEACH: The play area. We've heard	13	evidence?
14 15	about the play area. You're trying to reduce the size the site bass [sic], the amount of	14 15	MR. VEACH: I do. And I'd like you to take notice of them.
16	parking space you have on each side of the	16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You have a copy to give
17	building, from 30 to 15, correct?	17	to Ms. McClean marked Veach-1 and Veach-2.
18	THE WITNESS: Correct.	18	(Exhibit Veach-1 and Veach-2 was moved
19	MR. VEACH: So that's why the play area	19	into evidence, as of this date.)
20	would be 15 feet wide?	20	MR. VEACH: I've given them to Ms. Berger.
21	THE WITNESS: Correct.	21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: There's a lady please
22	MR. VEACH: The 15 feet is a length of a	22	come forward.
23	basketball free-throw line, right?	23	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Veach, the ones
24	THE WITNESS: Well, we to answer the	24	you marked, I need to put in the file.
25	direct question, yes. But we feel we provided	25	MR. VEACH: Okay. I will give you my copy
	67 D. Juzmeski		68 D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski	1	D. Juzmeski
1 2	D. Juzmeski because they're easy to print out.	1 2	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a
1 2 3	D. Juzmeski because they're easy to print out. THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.	1 2 3	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.
2	D. Juzmeski because they're easy to print out.	2	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be
2 3	D. Juzmeski because they're easy to print out. THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.	2 3	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski because they're easy to print out. THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area. MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot? THE WITNESS: Partially. MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are related to his issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area. MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot? THE WITNESS: Partially. MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be? THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am? Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area. MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot? THE WITNESS: Partially. MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is. How many feet would it be? THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am? Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So here's how we do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your question?  MS. ROGOVIN: Just to lay that out, what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So here's how we do it. Isn't it true that there is they're reducing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your question?  MS. ROGOVIN: Just to lay that out, what's  currently in place where the parking lot would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So here's how we do it. Isn't it true that there is they're reducing open space? That's how you maybe ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your question?  MS. ROGOVIN: Just to lay that out, what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So here's how we do it. Isn't it true that there is they're reducing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  because they're easy to print out.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: Sounds good to me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MS. ROGOVIN: Good evening. My name is  Paula Rogovin, R-O-G-O-V-I-N. I live in  Teaneck. I'm active in the environmental  movement. I'm saying that because I want to  ask some environmental questions that are  related to his issue.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent.  MS. ROGOVIN: I'm concerned, we're  concerned. I'm concerned  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Did you get  her name?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.  MS. ROGOVIN: about climate change and  in a period of climate emergency.  During this time, every town, every city  needs to preserve as much open space as  possible. When things get paved over, then the  rain is not absorbed.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is your question?  MS. ROGOVIN: Just to lay that out, what's  currently in place where the parking lot would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: It's I think it's a vacant lawn area.  MS. ROGOVIN: It's lawn. Would that be paved over for the parking lot?  THE WITNESS: Partially.  MS. ROGOVIN: So we'd be losing open space there. The building, how what was the width, 30 feet is what the town regulation is.  How many feet would it be?  THE WITNESS: You're talking about the front yard setback, ma'am?  Yeah, the front yard setback at the two corners is 10 feet and it extends to a wider area between the lobby and the entrance area, and the requirement is 30 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: But you're asking for 15 feet  THE WITNESS: On either side, that's correct.  MS. ROGOVIN: On either side. There goes open space again.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So here's how we do it. Isn't it true that there is they're reducing open space? That's how you maybe ask the

	69 D. Lawaski		70
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	it's true, right? Isn't that correct?	1	the custodians, the
2	THE WITNESS: That's correct, Chairman.	2	THE WITNESS: There is not.
3	MS. ROGOVIN: In the parking across the	3	MS. ROGOVIN: That concerns me because
4	street from the building, is there any	4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That assumes that there
5	guarantee that anyone from the from the	5	are custodians, people who come to work at the
6	building would get a parking spot?	6	building.
7	THE WITNESS: From what I've been told,	7	MS. ROGOVIN: Every building has a
8	they're not designated parking spaces for this	8	superintendent and other staff. So I'm just
9	building. There's a municipal lot open for	9	concerned about where people are going to park,
10	the including nonresidents.	10	because it's already a parking nightmare.
11	MS. ROGOVIN: Because people said at the	11	Would each apartment unit get one spot?
12	last meeting, it's a very trafficky area and	12	THE WITNESS: I think there was testimony
13	it's hard to get parking, so sometimes could it	13	at the beginning of the proceedings. I believe
14	be filled entirely with people who don't even	14	that's the case, ma'am.
15	live in the building?	15	MS. ROGOVIN: And if a family had two
16	THE WITNESS: Could the adjacent parking	16	cars, they could not apply for a spot within
17	be filled with people that don't live in the	17	that parking lot?
18	building? I think that's more than likely.	18	MS. BERGER: Ma'am, I don't think this
19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Isn't it true, sir, that	19	witness is the right witness to answer who
20	the building the parking lot across the	20	could apply. The landlord will assign parking
21	street, it's open to anyone?	21	to each of the tenants.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, Chairman.	22	MS. ROGOVIN: And the motorcycles, is that
23	MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. And the parking at	23	considered the one vehicle per unit?
24	the side of the building, is there any are	24	THE WITNESS: No. The question was from a
25	there any designated spots for the employees,	25	board member, can we accommodate motorcycles on
23	there any designated spots for the employees,	23	
	71		72.
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not	1	D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate		D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people
2	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not	2	D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to
2 3	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other	2 3	D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7 -1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the wider space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides? THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.  MS. BERGER: Excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the wider space.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just questions. Ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.  MS. BERGER: Excuse me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That will come at a later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7 -1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the wider space.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just questions. Ask questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.  MS. BERGER: Excuse me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That will come at a later time. You can come back and voice that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7-1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the wider space.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just questions. Ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.  MS. BERGER: Excuse me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That will come at a later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	site and identify that there's open space, not occupied car spaces, that we can accommodate mostly on site without losing any of the other spaces on site.  MS. ROGOVIN: Okay. Do you know how wide an SUV is?  THE WITNESS: It depends. They're around 7 1/2 to 8 feet, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: And wait a minute. 7 1/2 to 8 feet. And how wide is a Camry?  THE WITNESS: It's under 7 feet.  MS. ROGOVIN: And these 8-foot-wide spots would have to accommodate a 7 -1/2-foot SUV?  THE WITNESS: And the SUV that is about a width an 8-foot width. You're talking about the Hummers. Those are the widest, which would not be accessed. But if they were, we do have wider spaces on site to accommodate a larger vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: If you can get if you're lucky enough to be the person who gets the wider space.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just questions. Ask questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  some knee surgery. And there are other people like me. When I get out of my car, I have to open the door pretty wide. And so I'm very concerned about these 8-foot spots that are not what's required by our town.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, let me help you. If you need to open the door wide in order to allow a person the full access to the vehicle, would there be enough space to do that at the proposed location?  THE WITNESS: Yes, there would be, Chairman. As I testified earlier, where the doors are opening, there's a full line and full width. So those are typical municipal-standard spaces. You have plenty of room to exit and enter the vehicle.  MS. ROGOVIN: On both sides?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ROGOVIN: I would just like to appeal to the zoning board to think about the climate change.  MS. BERGER: Excuse me.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That will come at a later time. You can come back and voice that

	D. Juzmeski		74 D. Juzmeski
1	Mr. Powers, you would like to ask some	1	proscribed from being cut on public property on
2	questions of this witness?	2	that property?
3	MR. POWERS: I would. Thank you.	3	THE WITNESS: There are such trees based
4	Charles Powers, Teaneck, P-O-W-E-R-S.	4	on the area this was done as a concept for
5	I want to follow up on something that	5	the meeting. There are some trees that will
6	Ms. Rogovin was asking. You said that the	6 7	have to be removed. However, we're mitigating
7 8	parking lot across the street, which is going to be paved over, is lawn; is that right?	8	that by also adding a substantial amount of vegetation on site.
9	THE WITNESS: It's vacant, it's lawn, it	9	MR. POWERS: And
10	might be some gravel and it's vacant.	10	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold it.
11	MR. POWERS: How many trees are there?	11	Mr. Powers, I have to listen to the CD, the
12	THE WITNESS: I don't believe there are	12	stenographer has to do her job. The laughing
13	any.	13	is not included.
14	MR. POWERS: Absolutely wrong, sir.	14	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: I thought
15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask him a question.	15	it was funny.
16	MR. POWERS: Are you sure that there are	16	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Hold on. If you
17	no trees?	17	have something to say, hold it and come to the
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, let me you	18	mic on your turn.
19	asked a question. You're very good at it.	19	UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: I will.
20	You've done it so many times. If you don't	20	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Okay. Thank you.
21	like the answer, don't argue with the witness.	21	MR. POWERS: Do you know if any of the
22	You ask a question, he answers. He didn't	22	trees that are on that lot are trees which are
23	know. He doesn't know. Maybe he's wrong. You	23	proscribed from being cut on public property by
24	might be right.	24	the township?
25	MR. POWERS: Are there trees which are	25	THE WITNESS: I think it's a municipal
	75		76
	D. Juzmeski		76 D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski lot, so it's a municipality.	1	D. Juzmeski I'm trying to understand the relationship
2	D. Juzmeski lot, so it's a municipality. MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall	2	D. Juzmeski I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting
2 3	D. Juzmeski lot, so it's a municipality. MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?	2 3	D. Juzmeski I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time? Is the position that at least you as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time? Is the position that at least you as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand. Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that the developer would accommodate that additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit structure of the particular type that you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that the developer would accommodate that additional set of spaces that you would achieve or you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit structure of the particular type that you are talking about, the configuration of bedrooms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that the developer would accommodate that additional set of spaces that you would achieve or you are simply recommending that they that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit structure of the particular type that you are talking about, the configuration of bedrooms, et cetera, et cetera; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that the developer would accommodate that additional set of spaces that you would achieve or you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit structure of the particular type that you are talking about, the configuration of bedrooms,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  lot, so it's a municipality.  MR. POWERS: Are there trees which fall under that category?  THE WITNESS: Repeat that again?  MR. POWERS: Are some trees in this town which you are not allowed to cut on public property, are any of those trees on that property?  THE WITNESS: I am not sure.  MR. POWERS: Thank you. I want to go back and make sure I'm a little slow, so I want to try to understand where we really are.  If I understand it, you came in today, you were responding to a series of questions that proposed some significant changes, I believe, in the second deck of the parking lot; am I correct about that?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MR. POWERS: And you went through the entire presentation and I'm not sure where you ended up, whether or not you were denying that the developer would accommodate that additional set of spaces that you would achieve or you are simply recommending that they that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  I'm trying to understand the relationship between what you presented at the last meeting and I watched the whole hearing.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Are you asking if there's a difference between what he presented the last time and this time?  MR. POWERS: Yes, I'd like to understand.  Where are you now in respect to the drawings and the testimony you gave last time?  Is the position that at least you as the engineer are representing the developer on, that you have made no changes whatsoever in the parking arrangement, with the possible exception of additional delineation of space for motorcycles?  THE WITNESS: You're accurate. We have not made any additional changes besides the motorcycle and the bicycles.  MR. POWERS: So we are back to the question of how the RSIS understands the full complement of required spaces for a 68-unit structure of the particular type that you are talking about, the configuration of bedrooms, et cetera, et cetera; is that correct?

	77		78
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let him answer.	1	but I think the minimum usable space would be
2	THE WITNESS: What was the question? I	2	4 by 9.
3	wasn't	3	MR. POWERS: I want to go to the retention
4	MR. POWERS: Are we to understand that	4	area.
5	your testimony from last time is, in fact, the	5	THE WITNESS: Sure.
6	position of you as the engineer and the	6	MR. POWERS: And I'm this is I don't
7 8	developer about what it is that we in Teaneck are being asked and the board is being asked to	7 8	think I've actually understood the drawings that I've observed and I haven't observed them
9	approve.	9	all. The retention area is how much how
10	There's no change from what you proposed	10	many feet below the lower deck that you've now
11	last time with the exception of the	11	added in this new configuration?
12	motorcycles?	12	MS. BERGER: There's no change in the
13	THE WITNESS: There's no change.	13	configuration.
14	MR. POWERS: And how many spaces are left	14	MR. POWERS: No, no. I know. There's no
15	for motorcycles?	15	change from your last testimony. There is
16	THE WITNESS: The motorcycles, we can	16	change from your 2016 application.
17	accommodate approximately six on-site	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Just let him answer the
18	motorcycles, approximately 15 bicycles.	18	question if he knows.
19	MR. POWERS: What are the dimensions of	19	THE WITNESS: I think your question was:
20	those spaces?	20	What was the depth of our retention system?
21	THE WITNESS: Motorcycle spaces, there's	21	MR. POWERS: Yes.
22	no standard RSIS for motorcycles. There are	22	THE WITNESS: The rear elevation on one
23	approximately while you can accommodate a	23	end, I'm pointing to Sheet C6.00. This is the
24	motorcycle with an available width of 4 by	24	depth floor drainage plan.
25	9-foot. We have much larger areas than that,	25	We see the dashed line along the
	50		00
	79 D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1		1	
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right		D. Juzmeski THE WITNESS: Me personally?
2	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is	2	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific
2 3	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is	2 3	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet. MR. POWERS: What is the water table at	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet. MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet. MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point? THE WITNESS: We have technicians that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet. MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point? THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate. MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate. MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank? THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank? THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing. MR. POWERS: So you do not know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Powers, quick question for you: Do you have information to suggest that the trees in the lot are of the type that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?  THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.  MR. POWERS: So you do not know?  THE WITNESS: I do not know at this time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Powers, quick question for you: Do you have information to suggest that the trees in the lot are of the type that should not be cut?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?  THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.  MR. POWERS: So you do not know?  THE WITNESS: I do not know at this time what the water table is.  MR. POWERS: And the relationship of that system to the one that's going to be are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: I made a visual observation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?  THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.  MR. POWERS: So you do not know?  THE WITNESS: I do not know at this time what the water table is.  MR. POWERS: And the relationship of that system to the one that's going to be are you going to be installing the one across the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Powers, quick question for you: Do you have information to suggest that the trees in the lot are of the type that should not be cut?  MR. POWERS: I made a visual observation of it this afternoon. I suspect that at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?  THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.  MR. POWERS: So you do not know?  THE WITNESS: I do not know at this time what the water table is.  MR. POWERS: And the relationship of that system to the one that's going to be are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. POWERS: I made a visual observation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  underground detention system. At the far right corner, the access to the detention system is at elevation 97 and depth is 89 feet, so 80-foot depth. And the pipe size itself is 4 feet. So from grade to the top of pipe is around 4 feet.  MR. POWERS: What is the water table at that point?  THE WITNESS: We have technicians that will geotech this location. That being said, you would be served with a water table with respect to the water detention system if you relied on infiltration. This is an enclosed detention system that will collect it, the runoff, and discharge it at a much slower rate.  MR. POWERS: You said you do not know what it is, it is not sitting in the water tank?  THE WITNESS: We haven't done the testing.  MR. POWERS: So you do not know?  THE WITNESS: I do not know at this time what the water table is.  MR. POWERS: And the relationship of that system to the one that's going to be are you going to be installing the one across the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  THE WITNESS: Me personally?  MR. POWERS: Of course not. Is the developer going to  THE WITNESS: I don't know the specific meaning between mayor and council and township and developer with respect to the construction and installation of that system.  MR. POWERS: There is a developer's agreement to that may have actually expired. It may need to be reviewed, but the but are you  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question.  MR. POWERS: Do you know that developer's agreement?  THE WITNESS: I don't know.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: He does not know anything about it.  MR. POWERS: Thank you.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Powers, quick question for you: Do you have information to suggest that the trees in the lot are of the type that should not be cut?  MR. POWERS: I made a visual observation of it this afternoon. I suspect that at least

	81	82
D. Juzmeski	01	D. Juzmeski
1 I will check with the Shade Tree Advisor	-	MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm not testifying.
2 and find out.	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ask the question.
3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.		MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I need to say
Do me a favor everyone. Turn o	•	something before I say anything else.
5 phones.	5	MS. BERGER: No.
6 MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: My name 7 T-A-N-Y-A, Pagan, P-A-G-A-N, Ra	•	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't think so.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Last time I was here.
8 R-A-G-G-I-O, hyphen Ashley, A-S-I		the attorney did the same thing and did not let
9 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good 6		me speak and my question has to do I did my
10 MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Good	-	homework. I went and looked at the plans, I
11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Question	~	looked at the master plan and it talked about
12 witness.	12	public health.
13 MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I'm go	oing to be 13	So I was trying to set up the question
14 questioning this witness and I'm look		contextually. I'm no lawyer, but I'm trying to
first because I want to make sure you		ask him about the issues surrounding the
16 understand why I'm going to question		15 feet for children and about public issues
17 witness	17	regarding residential crowding.
18 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good.	18	So I was trying to explain contextually
MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: So my backy pediatrics	ground is in 19 20	why I was trying to ask.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: But he's an engineer.
21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: I don't thin		MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: He is an engineer.
22 MS. BERGER: I'm going to object		CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's all he is . He may
23 it's for a purpose of question only.	23	not have the same qualifications as you have.
24 CHAIRMAN MEYER: There's		MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I understand, but I am
25 MS. BERGER: No testimony.	25	going to ask him as the engineer.
D. Juzmeski	83	D. Juzmeski
		D. Juzmeski
1 Sir, do you know of any studies	or have 1	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to	or have 1 he area 2	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that,
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good question	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good que you did perfect.	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 lestion and 8	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good question you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 hestion and 8 9 Thank you. 10	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good que you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 9 Thank you. 10 one step at 11	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 9 Thank you. 10 one step at 11	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 Phank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done.	or have 1 he area 2 Iren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 9 Thank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 her 4 from at a time. 6 he at a time. 6 he at a time. 1 he at a	D. Juzmeski  structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Than THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically,	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 lestion and 8 9 Chank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 lare of any 15 ling. 16 I believe, 17	D. Juzmeski structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorre CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good que you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. To CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, whice	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 9 Chank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 rare of any 15 ling. 16 I believe, 17 h this 18	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built oth units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Than THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 hestion and 8 9 Thank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 harar of any 15 ding. 16 I believe, 17 h this 18 they have 19	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good que you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which building does not have. And I know to specific state standards. But for a face	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 hestion and 8 Phank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 rare of any 15 ling. 16 I believe, 17 h this 18 they have 19 cility 20	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which building does not have. And I know a specific state standards. But for a fact like this, I'm not aware of any.	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 her 4 for at a time. 6 hy. 7 hestion and 8 hank you. 10 hestion and 12 hank you. 11 hestion and 12 hank you, sir. 14 herare of any 15 hing. 16 hing. 16 his helieve, 17 his his 18 his hey have 19 cility 20 21	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with as many units as you are proposing in such a
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good que you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. To CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential built Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which building does not have. And I know specific state standards. But for a fact like this, I'm not aware of any. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Let	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 lestion and 8 9 Chank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 larare of any 15 ding. 16 I believe, 17 h this 18 they have 19 cility 20 me ask the 22	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with as many units as you are proposing in such a small square area, again, are you aware of any
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good question you did perfect.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. To CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up question then continue until he's done.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential built Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which building does not have. And I know a specific state standards. But for a fact like this, I'm not aware of any.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Let question differently. As an engineer,	or have 1 he area 2 lren in 3 er 4 5 n at a time. 6 y. 7 nestion and 8 Phank you. 10 one step at 11 estion and 12 13 k you, sir. 14 rare of any 15 ling. 16 I believe, 17 h this 18 they have 19 cility 20 21 me ask the 22 are you 23	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with as many units as you are proposing in such a small square area, again, are you aware of any studies, any planning studies in communities
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding to requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built other units  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorre CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good question you did perfect.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. To CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up question then continue until he's done.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aware requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, which building does not have. And I know specific state standards. But for a fact like this, I'm not aware of any.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Let question differently. As an engineer, aware of any studies that address the	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 her 4 from at a time. 6 he at a time. 6 he at a time. 6 he at a time. 10 he at a time. 11 he at a time. 11 he at a time. 12 he at a time. 14 he at a time. 15 he at a time. 16 he at a time. 17 he at a time. 18 he at a time. 19 he at	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with as many units as you are proposing in such a small square area, again, are you aware of any studies, any planning studies in communities such as Teaneck, where you have, you know, more
Sir, do you know of any studies you looked at any studies regarding t requirements for a play area for child such a structure? Have you built othe units  CHAIRMAN MEYER: One question MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Sorr CHAIRMAN MEYER: It's a good qu you did perfect. MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. T CHAIRMAN MEYER: So let's take a time and you can ask a follow-up que then continue until he's done.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank THE WITNESS: Ma'am, I'm not aw requirements for any residential build Those requirements are specifically, for a dedicated day-care center, whice building does not have. And I know to specific state standards. But for a fact like this, I'm not aware of any.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Okay. Let question differently. As an engineer, aware of any studies that address the	or have 1 he area 2 hren in 3 her 4 from at a time. 6 he at a time. 6 he at a time. 6 he at a time. 10 he at a time. 11 he at a time. 11 he at a time. 12 he at a time. 14 he at a time. 15 he at a time. 16 he at a time. 17 he at a time. 18 he at a time. 19 he at	structure like you are proposing, of how much square area you should allocate given the number of children you might expect in this kind of a building?  THE WITNESS: I think our planner has the direct information just about what you asked with respect to the children and all of that, and I think he would be more appropriate to answer your question.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Is he here this evening?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: We'll revisit that question. Thank you, sir.  And, again, I don't know if this is appropriate for you as an engineer or for the gentleman who's sitting here.  In terms of residential crowding and the impact on the mental health and the well-being of a community, when you put a structure with as many units as you are proposing in such a small square area, again, are you aware of any studies, any planning studies in communities

D. Juzmeski	
that? And, again, I'm asking you as engineer 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Hello.	
2 looking at the master plan of Teaneck, as well 2 MS. KRON: Hello. Because I didn't h	ear
3 as what we're supposed to do when we grant 3 the word mentioned, when you	
4 variances looking at public health. 4 THE BOARD SECRETARY: Pull the mic 5 THE WITNESS: Again, ma'am, I wish I could 5 little bit. Yes, thank you.	ар а
5 THE WITNESS: Again, ma'am, I wish I could 6 answer that, but I think it's more the purview 6 MS. KRON: Because I didn't hear the w	ard
7 of the planner looking at the master plan. 7 mentioned, when you were talking about park	
8 CHAIRMAN MEYER: She's asking you do you 8 spaces and you were talking about the 8-foc	-
9 know or not? 9 9-foot, how many of these spaces are designated as the space of th	
10 THE WITNESS: I do not. 10 handicapped?	
11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next question. 11 THE WITNESS: We can refer to a draw.	ng,
MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank you, sir. So if 12 ma'am. We have two handicapped spaces	per
it is this gentleman's purview, may I ask that 13 floor, four total. And that complies with the	e
those questions be answered later or do you 14 ADA standards, requirement.	
15 need me to ask? 15 BY MS. BERGER:	
16 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Of course. You will have 16 Q. And what are the size of those spaces	
an opportunity to ask questions after his  17  A. Those spaces are full, unimpeded 9 by	
18 testimony is over. 18 MS. KRON: 9 by 18. So 9-foot wide	:?
19 MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: Thank you, sir. And I 19 THE WITNESS: Actually, the size, 20 look forward to talking to you. 20 themselves, can accommodate the van access:	bla
20 Hook forward to taiking to you.  21 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. You want to say  21 as well, which is also a requirement.	oie
22 something, ask questions? 22 MS. KRON: So those spaces have to	he
23 MS. KRON: Yes, good evening. My name is 23 9-foot?	oc .
24 Carol Kron, K-R-O-N. and I'm a resident of 24 THE WITNESS: They have to be a minimum	m 8
25 Teaneck. 25 actually, with an aisle of 8-foot, as well, to	
D. Juzmeski B7 D. Juzmeski	
D. Juzmeski D. Juzmeski	e a
D. Juzmeski D. Juzmeski on-site management would have to accommoda	
D. Juzmeski D. Juzmeski  on-site management would have to accommodate the commodate of the	t
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  on-site management would have to accommoda  requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible  person if those four spaces are occupied. I	t ns.
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible person if those four spaces are occupied. I would have to accommodate them by other meaning and accessible would have to accommodate them by other meaning and accessible person if those four spaces are occupied. I would have to accommodate them by other meaning and accessible would have to accommodate them by other meaning accessible would have to accommodate them by other meaning and accessible would	t ns.
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate them by other mean and accessible and accessible are not accommodate them by other mean accessible and accessible are not accommodate them by other mean accessible and accessible are not accommodate them by other mean accessible are not accessible are not accommodate them by other mean accessible are not accessible	t ns. ı't
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate one occupied. It of the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if those four spaces are occupied. It of the person is the person if the person is the person is the person is the person is	t ns. n't with one
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate them by other means are not says and so the stretchers are not says and so the stretchers are not says and says are not says are not says and says are not says are not says and says are not says are not says and says are not says are not says are not says and says are not says are n	t ns. n't with one
D. Juzmeski  Park In Standards accommodate them by other mean accommodate them b	t nns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate occupied. If a would have to accommodate them by other means of the stretchers I do would have to accommodate them by other means. I do would have to accommodate them by other means. I do would have to accommodate them by other means. I do understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply to current ADA standards. There is room, if meeded additional space to make it ADA, a well.  THE WITNESS: Four total.  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  Non-site management would have to accommodate them by other means. I do would have to accommodate them by other	t nns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate them by other measure of the stretchers are occupied. It is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  Sefoot. The handicapped spaces would be  Sefoot. The handicapped spaces would be  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after building is built and everything is marked?	t nns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate them by other mean.  Justice Subject of the stretchers I do  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply our current ADA standards. There is room, if  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  A greefour?  Puzzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  No. KRON: And them by other mean  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply our current ADA standards. There is room, if  needed additional space to make it ADA, a well.  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after  MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  10 MS. KRON: And that happens after  How does that work?	t ns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible a person if those four spaces are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are nine and accessible a would have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is accommodate them by other measurements. Spaces are not a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to accommodate them by other measurements. It is a mould have to	t ns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible a person if those four spaces are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other means are not as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not as would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. Would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other means. It would have to accommodate them by other mean	t ns. n't vith one s
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommoda  requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible  is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  tunderstand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's	t ms.  n't   with   one   s   the
D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible person if those four spaces are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other merest management would have to accommodate them by other merest m	t ms.  n't   with   one   s   the
D. Juzmeski  On-site management would have to accommodate them by other mean  person if those four spaces are occupied. I  Would have to accommodate them by other mean  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  Understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply to current ADA standards. There is room, if  NS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  NS. KRON: And that happens after  MS. KRON: And that happens after  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  How does that work?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  How does that work?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  MS. KRON: Which means what does that the does hat the mould have to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what does that the mould have to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what does that the management would have to accommodate it.	t ms. n't vith one s the
D. Juzmeski  be that accessible. We exceed both those  requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible  is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  8-foot. The handicapped spaces would be  9-foot.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  meded additional space to make it ADA, a are four?  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  MS. KRON: Mad be exceed both those  1 on-site management would have to accommodate  would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate them by other medels are occupied. It would have to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: And that happens after building is built and everything is marked? THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend there's a need, they have the ability to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what does that number increase?  MS. KRON: Which means what does that number increase?  "ability to accommodate"	t ms. n't vith one s the
D. Juzmeski  be that accessible. We exceed both those requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not Sefoot. The handicapped spaces would be Sefoot. The handicapped spaces would be Sefoot. The WITNESS: That's correct. MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there are four?  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: Total. THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. KRON: Total. THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. KRON: And so does that number depend on who eventually occupies the building? And tif there are more residents occupying the building that need handicapped spaces, then does that number increase? THE WITNESS: That standard is identified designated by the Department of Justice, that quantity. What they do on site  D. Juzmeski on-site management would have to accommodate on-site management would have to accommodate it. on-site management would have to accommodate it. on-site management would have to accommodate them by other mea to accommodate it. THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply: Current ADA standards. There is room, if maked? Well. THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after building is built and everything is marked? THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's there's a need, they have the ability to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what d maked? THE WITNESS: That standard is THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have	t ms. n't vith one s the
D. Juzmeski  be that accessible. We exceed both those requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not S-foot. The handicapped spaces would be S-foot. The handicapped spaces would be THE WITNESS: That's correct. MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there are four?  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: The WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: The WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: The WITNESS: Four total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend on who eventually occupies the building? And tif there are more residents occupying the building that need handicapped spaces, then does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is identified designated by the Department of Justice, that quantity. What they do on site as far as management to accommodate a person  Ton-site management would have to accommoda.  non-site management would have to accommodate a person  non-site management would have to accommodate it.  on-site management would have to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do would have to accommodate them by other mea  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do MS. KRON: And the stretchers I do understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: At this time, we comply: current ADA standards. There is room, if meeded additional space to make it ADA, a well.  MS. KRON: And that happens after building is built and everything is marked? THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have identified designated by the Department of Justice, that quantity. What they do on site as far as management to accommodate a person  THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have	t ms. n't vith one s the
D. Juzmeski  be that accessible. We exceed both those  requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible  is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do understand. What does that mean?  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  are four?  THE WITNESS: Four total.  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  there are more residents occupying the  building that need handicapped spaces, then  does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is  THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have  necessary area to provide additional ADA space  if they were required. At this time, they're  as far as management would have to accommodate a person  on-site management would have to accommodate it.  on-site management would have to accommodate it.  on-site management would have to accommodate in.  mould have to accommodate incommodate a person  person if those four spaces are occupied. If would have to accommodate incompodate it.  D. Justice, that quantity. What they do on site  a meaded additional space to make it ADA, a well.  MS. KRON: And that happens after  building is built and everything is marked?  How does that work?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  there's a need, they have the ability to accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is  THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have  necessary area to provide additional ADA spaces if they were required. At this time, they're  as far as management to accommodate a person  with those  MS. KRON: Right. Because it's not	t ms. n't vith one s the f
be that accessible. We exceed both those requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible sis nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do understand. What does that mean? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after MS. KRON: And that happens after MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: Which means what does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have necessary area to provide additional ADA spa identified designated by the Department of Justice, that quantity. What they do on site as far as management would have to accommodate it. MS. KRON: And so does that number depend THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have necessary area to provide additional ADA spa identified designated by the Department of MS. KRON: Right. Because it's not occupied by identifying people who might	t ms. n't vith one s the f
D. Juzmeski  be that accessible. We exceed both those  requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible  is nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not  8-foot. The handicapped spaces would be  9-foot.  THE WITNESS: That's correct.  MS. KRON: Thank you. And you said there  are four?  THE WITNESS: Four total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And that happens after  building is built and everything is marked?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend  on who eventually occupies the building? And  if there are more residents occupying the  building that need handicapped spaces, then  does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is  if there are management would have to accommodate a person  would have to accommodate them by other mes  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  would have to accommodate them by other mes  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do  mounderstand. What does that mean?  Current ADA standards. There is room, if  needed additional space to make it ADA, a  well.  MS. KRON: And that happens after  building is built and everything is marked?  How does that work?  THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's  there's a need, they have the ability to  accommodate it.  MS. KRON: Which means what d  "ability to accommodate"  "ability to accommodate a person  if they were required. At this time, they're  occupied by identifying people who might  handicapped, right?	t ms. n't with one s he f ess he ces
be that accessible. We exceed both those requirements. Spaces are nine and accessible sis nine, as well.  MS. KRON: So then all spaces are not MS. KRON: So the stretchers I do understand. What does that mean? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Four total.  MS. KRON: And that happens after MS. KRON: And that happens after MS. KRON: Total.  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: And so does that number depend MS. KRON: Which means what does that number increase?  THE WITNESS: That standard is THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have necessary area to provide additional ADA spa identified designated by the Department of Justice, that quantity. What they do on site as far as management would have to accommodate it. MS. KRON: And so does that number depend THE WITNESS: Meaning, we have necessary area to provide additional ADA spa identified designated by the Department of MS. KRON: Right. Because it's not occupied by identifying people who might	t ms. n't with one s he f ess he ces

	89		90
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	again, the Department of Justice sets standards	1	MS. KRON: I understand ADA.
2	based on how many spaces you provide. We're	2	MR. ROSEN: That's what they're testifying
3	providing up to 100, and the requirement is	3	to.
4	four. So we provided four spaces.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Ma'am, if I can help you.	4	MS. KRON: So I'm just curious as a matter
5	- ·	5	of curiosity, having a mother that I just had
6 7	I think the engineer can tell you if I'm incorrect.	6 7	to wheel into a handicapped spot. Because there's a lot of space needed for a wheelchair,
8	THE WITNESS: If you could speak a little	8	accessible and all of that.
9	louder.	9	MR. ROSEN: That's all required by
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes, I will speak louder.	10	guidelines.
11	There are standards by the state that are	11	MS. KRON: I just want to clarify.
12	required for building multi-family units. And	12	If more than four cars are needed by
13	as far as I understand, the proposed amount of	13	people who are handicapped, then what the
14	handicapped or these spaces are in accordance	14	developer is saying is that after the building
15	with the law. And the plans have been reviewed	15	of the parking area, that they will make
16	by the building department and have also made	16	accommodations for those extra people who need
17	sure that they're in compliance with the law.	17	a handicapped spot.
18	MS. KRON: Right. So the law must be	18	MR. ROSEN: I don't think the owner has
19	dependent on some kind of study that says four	19	testified to that.
20	per building of this size?	20	MS. KRON: Okay. So I just want to be
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Exactly. Per some form	21	clear. So if there are more than four people,
22	as you said. If it's 68 units, they have to	22	you do not have accommodations for extra
23	provide four handicapped spaces.	23	handicapped spots, as of now?  THE WITNESS: As of now, because I don't
24 25	MR. ROSEN: It's called ADA and it's a federal code.	24 25	
23	rederal code.	23	know how many more that are needed. They're
	01		02
	91 D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	D. Juzmeski 91 not illustrated.	1	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski not illustrated.		D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if
2	D. Juzmeski not illustrated. MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?	2	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that
2 3	D. Juzmeski not illustrated. MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need	2 3	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski not illustrated. MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying? THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now. MS. HONIS: If that is the case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now. MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now. MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now. MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now. MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from? MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask. What is the state of water runoff on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain extent. If it turns out the building is occupied by 50 percent of people who are handicapped, you don't have the ability to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask. What is the state of water runoff on the merged 100 State Street, the 5004 Lots 3, 4,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain extent. If it turns out the building is occupied by 50 percent of people who are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask. What is the state of water runoff on the merged 100 State Street, the 5004 Lots 3, 4, and 5?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain extent. If it turns out the building is occupied by 50 percent of people who are handicapped, you don't have the ability to  MS. BERGER: No, no. No one wants to make that representation, but there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask.  What is the state of water runoff on the merged 100 State Street, the 5004 Lots 3, 4, and 5?  THE WITNESS: The property itself, there's no infrastructure because it is outdated. The majority of the water just runs over the land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain extent. If it turns out the building is occupied by 50 percent of people who are handicapped, you don't have the ability to  MS. BERGER: No, no. No one wants to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask. What is the state of water runoff on the merged 100 State Street, the 5004 Lots 3, 4, and 5?  THE WITNESS: The property itself, there's no infrastructure because it is outdated. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  not illustrated.  MS. KRON: And also that the developer will provide that if more than four people need handicapped spots. Is that what you're saying?  THE WITNESS: I think the attorney can answer that.  MS. BERGER: We said that if there is an extra need, there is room that was testified by the engineer to modify spots if the developer finds that it's necessary.  MS. KRON: If there's room?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  MS. KRON: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're welcome.  MS. KRON: Thank you for speaking louder, too.  MR. REHMAN: I wanted to clarify. I think it's important. Regarding making room for extra handicapped, that's only up to a certain extent. If it turns out the building is occupied by 50 percent of people who are handicapped, you don't have the ability to  MS. BERGER: No, no. No one wants to make that representation, but there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski accommodate a space a little larger, if necessary. And if the developer found that there were permanent residents that needed that, there would be ability to do so.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay.  MS. BERGER: We can't speculate now.  MS. HONIS: If that is the case, Ms. Berger, approximately how many extra spaces could be made handicapped accessible and how many regular spaces would that now detract from?  MS. BERGER: I wouldn't know, but we could look up that answer and try to give it to you.  MS. HONIS: Thank you.  MR. SOHN: Alan Sohn, S-O-H-N. 752 Cottage Place.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening.  MR. SOHN: Good evening. If I may ask.  What is the state of water runoff on the merged 100 State Street, the 5004 Lots 3, 4, and 5?  THE WITNESS: The property itself, there's no infrastructure because it is outdated. The majority of the water just runs over the land

	93		94
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	somewhat vacant that goes directly to State	1	MR. SOHN: Where would that water
2	Street or it runs off to the perimeter buffers.	2	detention system be located at 100 State
3	MR. SOHN: And at 89 State Street, 502 Lot	3	Street?
4	23 where the proposed parking lot would go?	4	THE WITNESS: It is what I showed you
5	THE WITNESS: We haven't at this point	5	earlier, this is again Sheet C6.0, is located
6	in the design, we only prepared a concept plan.  MR. SOHN: Will the water runoff situation	6 7	along the perimeter of the building.  MR. SOHN: So the water detention will be
8	be aggregated by the covering over with lot	8	provided on site of 100 State Street and on
9	coverage as those two sites merge 100 State	9	site for 89 State Street?
10	Street and 89 State Street?	10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
11	THE WITNESS: Repeat that again? I'm	11	MR. SOHN: Do you have a plan based on
12	sorry. I didn't hear you.	12	re-striping State Street as required by the
13	MR. SOHN: Will the water runoff situation	13	developer's agreement?
14	be aggravated by the addition of the impervious	14	THE WITNESS: I do not have.
15	coverage as it will combine lots 100 State	15	MR. SOHN: Again, the developer's
16	Street at the parking at 89 State Street?	16	agreement, which was included behind Resolution
17	THE WITNESS: It will not.	17	218-2016, which was approved on September 20,
18	MR. SOHN: Inherently based on just adding	18	2016 and, again, Mr. Madaio has that as Exhibit
19	coverage.	19	A-1, specifies that the developer shall
20	THE WITNESS: Repeat that?	20	(Reporter interruption.)
21	MR. SOHN: Based on covering water that	21	and continues to lay out and stripe
22	would increase	22	ample parking along State Street subject to the
23	THE WITNESS: No, because we are	23	approval of the township engineer.
24	addressing the increase in impervious coverage	24	THE WITNESS: Again, sir, I'm not privy to
25	by providing the detention systems.	25	that resolution. I'm not aware of it. Maybe
	05		06
	95 D. Juzmeski		96 D. Juzmeski
1		1	
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski when I return, we can address that.		D. Juzmeski we ask questions of the witness. This is the
2	D. Juzmeski when I return, we can address that. MS. BERGER: And just for the record	2	D. Juzmeski we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.
2 3	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please. MS. BERGER: Can you hear now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working? MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please. MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working? MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please. MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working? MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please. MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working? MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure. MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please. MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds. Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working? MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So that's why it was changed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were asked by others, so I will not bore the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So that's why it was changed.  MR. SOHN: Ms. Berger, with all due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were asked by others, so I will not bore the board or the audience by repeating them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now?  MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So that's why it was changed.  MR. SOHN: Ms. Berger, with all due respect, I think you're reading into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were asked by others, so I will not bore the board or the audience by repeating them.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. SANTAELLA: Ms. Kron just asked a question about handicapped parking, something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now?  MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So that's why it was changed.  MR. SOHN: Ms. Berger, with all due respect, I think you're reading into the context of the agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were asked by others, so I will not bore the board or the audience by repeating them.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. SANTAELLA: Ms. Kron just asked a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	when I return, we can address that.  MS. BERGER: And just for the record MR. MADAIO: The microphone and talking directly into it.  MS. BERGER: Sure.  MR. MADAIO: You're still not talking directly into it. Loud. Please.  MS. BERGER: Can you hear now? MR. MADAIO: Again, it worked perfectly a half hour ago for 30 seconds.  Is there anybody who can address that issue of why that microphone is not working?  MS. BERGER: With regard to that, we'll present testimony later. But the re-striping is going to be required when we did not have as many parking spots on site. So by putting two levels of parking on site, there was no need for the re-striping, and those spots are available for the public on the street. So that's why it was changed.  MR. SOHN: Ms. Berger, with all due respect, I think you're reading into the context of the agreement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, let's not get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	D. Juzmeski  we ask questions of the witness. This is the time.  MR. SOHN: It was Ms. Berger's statement.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that, but take it for what it is and move on.  MR. SOHN: Have your statements about traffic flow and its impact on State Street reflect the re-striping and angled parking to be added as required onto the agreement?  THE WITNESS: I didn't testify to traffic flow and the re-striping.  MR. SOHN: Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Sohn.  I think you were already up here asking questions. The gentleman in green.  MR. SANTAELLA: My name is John Santaella,  S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A. I live at 154 Pinewood Place for 42 years.  Now, most of the questions I had were asked by others, so I will not bore the board or the audience by repeating them.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. SANTAELLA: Ms. Kron just asked a question about handicapped parking, something

	97 D. Juzmeski		98 D. Juzmeski
1	The question was answered. There could be	1	MR. SANTAELLA: There's only a limited
2	additional handicapped parking built. That's	2	amount of space there. If you add an
3	not a definitive answer. It's a possible	3	additional handicapped spot, that takes away
4	answer.	4	from the existing space.
5	But by the same token, if such additional	5	THE WITNESS: I testified earlier, we have
6	handicapped parking would be created, it would	6	these areas on site that are striped that we
7	impact existing parking and lessen it.	7	could potentially move some spaces to
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Correct. There was a	8	accommodate additional space. That's what I
9	question from the members of the board who had	9	was referring to. Not that I would be
10	the same question and that was answered in the	10	eliminating any existing spaces.
11	affirmative.	11	MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Now, I know this
12	MS. BERGER: Can you answer it? THE WITNESS: Can I answer that, Chairman?	12	question was asked by the gentleman. There's a
13	·	13 14	question to be answered by either the architect or the developer. Why aren't they here? That
14 15	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sure.  THE WITNESS: If this were approved, we're	15	I address the board.
16	required to provide 100 spaces. We could not	16	MR. MADAIO: They're here.
17	eliminate spaces without coming back to this	17	MR. SANTAELLA: The representative of the
18	board if we needed additional ADA spaces.	18	developer is here. Can she really answer for
19	So no, we would not eliminate existing	19	the developer or can she only guess what the
20	spaces to accommodate ADA spaces. If we had	20	applicant might do?
21	the room, we would. If we needed additional	21	MR. MADAIO: There are many other
22	spaces, we can convert to ADA and we'd have to	22	witnesses here this evening. If there were
23	come back to this board, ask for additional	23	very specific questions about a witness, a
24	variances. We can't just take spaces away to	24	witness who testified earlier, we could have
25	accommodate ADA.	25	them brought back if they're not here tonight.
	99 D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1		1	
1 2	D. Juzmeski	1 2	D. Juzmeski
	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although	2 3	D. Juzmeski was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The
2 3 4	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic	2 3 4	D. Juzmeski was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."
2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?	2 3 4 5	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is
2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded	2 3 4 5 6	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that
2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.	2 3 4 5 6 7	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough.  Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?  MR. MADAIO: What question are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's unsure about, it reflects on how we perceive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?  MR. MADAIO: What question are you referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's unsure about, it reflects on how we perceive his testimony to be credible, less credible,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?  MR. MADAIO: What question are you referring to?  MR. SANTAELLA: You were asked about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's unsure about, it reflects on how we perceive his testimony to be credible, less credible, forthcoming. And that all comes into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?  MR. MADAIO: What question are you referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's unsure about, it reflects on how we perceive his testimony to be credible, less credible, forthcoming. And that all comes into the evaluation of whether or not eventually this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  But right now what we're doing is asking questions of this witness. So there's not really an issue about the architect, although the planner is here, I think there's a traffic person here or who else?  MS. BERGER: My planner. We concluded with our traffic expert and our architect.  MR. MADAIO: So architectural testimony is over. Traffic testimony is over. Engineering testimony is back and planning testimony is next.  MR. SANTAELLA: Okay. Fair enough. Now, numerous questions were asked earlier, would there be or would there not be. Your answer was "there could be."  Now, English is not my first language and I know that the words "would" and "could" are not interchangeable.  THE WITNESS: Can you be more specific?  MR. MADAIO: What question are you referring to?  MR. SANTAELLA: You were asked about access to the drawings aren't there right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	D. Juzmeski  was the question was in the form of "would," and the answer was "could." Another question was, Did the architect provide four? The response was "I think he did."  MS. BERGER: My client my witness is under oath and he's answering to the best of his ability under oath.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning that at all. He does not answer the question that he's asked.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's exactly the point. If he doesn't answer to either our satisfactory or to your satisfactory, that weighs the evidence and the credibility of the witness.  MR. SANTAELLA: I'm not questioning his credibility.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's how the process works. If he can't answer straight on something or there may be something that he's unsure about, it reflects on how we perceive his testimony to be credible, less credible, forthcoming. And that all comes into the

	101		102
	D. Juzmeski		D. Juzmeski
1	case. You can make a list. Let me give you	1	the end of the case.
2	some advice. And that this witness, he wasn't	2	Ma'am, you had the follow-up question?
3	sure about this, and therefore you shouldn't	3	MS. LEWIS: Thank you. Lilian Lewis,
4	take his credibility for granted. And, you	4	L-E-W-I-S. Regarding I don't know if I
5	know, you recall the testimony. That's the	5	wrote it down correctly. Something about 8,000
6	process.	6	gallons, you said, about sewage. Can you
7	And you'll have the opportunity to come to	7	explain that again?
8	all the meetings, take notes, copious notes.	8	THE WITNESS: I think what your engineer
9	And you can attack the whole thing at the end	9	was referring to the sewage we generated by
10	going through all the testimony.	10	this building. Anything that exceeds 8,000
11	MR. SANTAELLA: Thank you. I'm not	11	would have to get approved by the DEP. And he
12	questioning the gentleman's credibility at all.	12	was asking that we submit to them and we agreed
13	Except that when he answers "I think," he's	13	to.
14	answering for something that he thinks the	14	MS. LEWIS: So you anticipate more than
15	architect may have and that's something we have	15	8,000 gallons of sewage per day?
16	to take into consideration. And yes, it is.	16	THE WITNESS: That's correct, ma'am.
17	And I will hold you to that.	17	MS. LEWIS: Based on 68 units?
18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: We'll question	18	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
19	credibility of any witness that comes the same	19	MS. LEWIS: And given the nine units
20	way as the credibility of the people that make	20	you're permitted to have, then of course you
21	statements at the end, you know, why, how, who.	21	would not exceed this 8,000. It's potentially
22	The same thing. We'll also take all of that	22	less than 8,000
23	into account.	23	THE WITNESS: It's a calculation. So it
24	MR. SANTAELLA: Thank you again.	24	would be less.
25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Keep that in mind until	25	MS. LEWIS: Even if you decreased it from
	103		104
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon 68 down to 20 units, it probably would not	1	S. Lydon MS. BERGER: No.
1 2	S. Lydon	1 2	S. Lydon
	S. Lydon 68 down to 20 units, it probably would not		S. Lydon MS. BERGER: No.
2	S. Lydon 68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?	2	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a
2 3	S. Lydon 68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit? THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation	2 3	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?
2 3 4	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.	2 3 4	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.	2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break? THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are	2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON P P AICP,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.)  CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please.  MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates.  MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON P P AICP, called as a witness, having first been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON P P AICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a  break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PP AICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior associate with Burgis Associates. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PP AICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior associate with Burgis Associates. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon? STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior associate with Burgis Associates. That's B-U-R-G-I-S. We're located in Westwood, New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That concludes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PP AICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior associate with Burgis Associates. That's B-U-R-G-I-S. We're located in Westwood, New Jersey. I'm here to offer planning testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  68 down to 20 units, it probably would not exceed the 8,000 gallons per unit?  THE WITNESS: Right. It's an equation based on nine units.  MS. LEWIS: Due to the excessive units.  Thank you.  MS. ELIYAHU: Very quick follow up.  Debbie Eliyahu, E-L-I-Y-A-H-U.  With regard to motorcycle parking, are they the 4 by 9 next to each other, they're not, like, one behind each other?  THE WITNESS: They're I'll show you.  At the upper level, they will be next to each other. And in this area, there will be one in front of another. You have parallel spacing on the street, you've got one in front of the other. That's how that configuration will be at that location.  MS. ELIYAHU: There will be room to move out without knocking another motorcycle over?  THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.  MS. ELIYAHU: Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That concludes the public's question of this engineer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: No. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Does Angie need a break?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. (At 8:57 p.m. a recess was taken.) (At 9:10 p.m. the meeting resumes.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: All here. Ms. Berger, call your next witness, please. MS. BERGER: Yes. My next is our planner, Steve Lydon, from Burgis Associates. MR. MADAIO: Mr. Lydon?  STEVEN M LYDON PPAICP, called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: MR. MADAIO: You are Steven Lydon. Spell your last name and your affiliation and spelling of your affiliation. THE WITNESS: Sure. My last name is spelled Lydon, L-Y-D-O-N. I'm a senior associate with Burgis Associates. That's B-U-R-G-I-S. We're located in Westwood, New Jersey. I'm here to offer planning testimony as a licensed planner.

	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
	S. Lydon		·
1	MR. MADAIO: Your microphone is working,	1	Municipality where I was also the zoning officer.
2 3	Wendy. His is not. So I know you wanted to say something, but what you said, let's	2 3	And for the last 18 years or so, I have been with Burgis & Associates, either testifying in front of
4	transfer so that maybe we can hear Mr. Lydon.	4	boards like this one or sitting with boards in a
5	MS. BERGER: Yes.	5	couple of the municipalities or testifying in court.
6	EXAMINATION	6	Q. And did you also have experience with the
7	BY MS. BERGER:	7	county planning office?
8	Q. Mr. Lydon, there are many people here from	8	A. Yes, I did, for about three years at the
9	the township who might not have attended other	9	beginning of my career.
10	meetings and they do not know your qualifications,	10	MR. MADAIO: Unless there's more reason to
11	experience, and background, although you have	11	hear Mr. Burgis' qualifications, Mr. Burgis is
12	testified here before.	12	obviously a qualified and professional expert.
13	Could you please go over that for them.	13	Mr. Lydon is, obviously, a qualified expert in
14	A. Certainly. I'm a Rutgers University	14	the field of planning.
15	graduate. I have a degree in environmental planning	15	MS. BERGER: Thank you.
16	and design. After graduation, I became a licensed professional planner. I hate to say this, but in	16	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17 18	late 1980s. It did exist.	17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. Let's go. BY MS. BERGER:
19	I have held my license ever since then.	19	Q. Mr. Lydon, with regard to the project in
20	Mr. Madaio, please don't do the math. I'm also	20	question, have you had an opportunity to view the
21	certified by the American Institute of Certified	21	site?
22	Planners. That's sort of the national affiliation	22	A. Yes, I have.
23	for planners. I have been on the Board of Regional	23	Q. And have you had an opportunity to review
24	Planning Agency. I have been a planning director	24	the plans and the application that was submitted?
25	for about 11 years for the Morris County	25	A. Yes.
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon Q. Including the request for numerous	1	S. Lydon was required subjected to sale when the township
2	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?	2	S. Lydon was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put
2 3	S. Lydon Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct? A. That's correct.	2 3	S. Lydon was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.
2 3 4	S. Lydon Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Could you please tell the board your	2 3 4	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so
2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the	2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at
2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the
2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion,	2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans	2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit unusual. We always say each lot is unique. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction because in order to be granted a D5 or a D6 variance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit unusual. We always say each lot is unique. This one is especially unique because there's a deed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction because in order to be granted a D5 or a D6 variance by this board, we do not need to show special
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit unusual. We always say each lot is unique. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction because in order to be granted a D5 or a D6 variance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit unusual. We always say each lot is unique. This one is especially unique because there's a deed restriction placed on the property by the Township	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction because in order to be granted a D5 or a D6 variance by this board, we do not need to show special reasons. For the D1 variance we would. The D5 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  Q. Including the request for numerous variances; is that correct?  A. That's correct.  Q. Could you please tell the board your findings with regard to review of the area, the application, the plans?  A. Sure. In order to come to the conclusion, in addition to going to the site, the site that I was familiar with, I also reviewed the master plans that the planning board of Teaneck have adopted.  I have also reviewed the re-examination reports that the planning board has adopted, and of course I've reviewed the zoning ordinance adopted by the mayor and council.  Q. And have you also reviewed the planning report issued by this board's planner?  A. Yes, I have. There are two things sort of preemptive I want to mention before we get into the typical planning testimony.  The first one, this lot is a little bit unusual. We always say each lot is unique. This one is especially unique because there's a deed restriction placed on the property by the Township of Teaneck in the 19 early in the 1960s. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  was required subjected to sale when the township sold it and required the use of the property be put to office or medical office space.  And on the one hand, that was not so unusual, but it is because the zoning ordinance at the time had it in the RM zone. So even though the property was zoned for multi-family housing, it was sold to a particular doctor with the understanding it would be zoned as an office building. And we'll talk about that in a little bit later.  The other thing I want to mention to the board is someone from here with applicants seeking a D1 variance. That's the traditional use variance.  We're not seeking that today because we're in the RM zone, and multi-family dwellings are permitted in the RM zone.  So what we're seeking is a D in parlance of the industry, a D5 and D6 variance. One is to exceed the density and one is to exceed the allowable building height. It's a huge distinction because in order to be granted a D5 or a D6 variance by this board, we do not need to show special reasons. For the D1 variance we would. The D5 and the D6 variance, I'm not sure again for the 455D-70,

109 S. Lydon S. Lydon

1 to show that the property can support the

3

5

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 development irregardless of the fact that it's

taller than the ordinance will allow, and we have to 4

be able to demonstrate that the property can handle the additional density over and above what the

6 ordinance would allow. That's a far different 7 standard than special reasons.

There's earlier testimony at earlier hearings. The property is on the south side of State Street, about 230 feet east of Queen Anne Road. It's fairly large. It's about eight-tenths of an acre. It's rectangular. It's 115 feet deep and 300 feet in width along State Street. And

that's sort of an interesting dimension for a lot.

Typically -- and I'm not sure where you all live, but typically your lot is deeper than it's wide. A typical traditional city lot is 50 feet wide, 100 feet deep. So it's twice as deep as it is wide. Here, that's sort of -- that math is sort of flipped because we have a pretty wide lot, but not a very deep lot.

And if you look at traditional housing in the cities, especially older housing, the lot sort of became a framework for the dwelling, if it's a dwelling, that was constructed on the property. So you have houses which are relatively somewhat

110

112

1

2 narrow, but longer. They followed what -- and

3 allowed what the property gave them. So it's no 4 surprise that this property in this proposed

5 development is doing the same thing. The building

is wider than it seems because that's what the lot 7 provides.

8 The other thing that's interesting about this property is what's currently on it. It's an

9 10 old obsolete -- and I call them "dead" office

11 building. It is a U-shaped building with a --

12 connected by a second-story balcony or walkway.

It's a separate location. We do a lot of work for

14 Hartz. They're tearing down buildings they built --

15 office buildings they built in the mid '80s because

they're obsolete. This building is apparently built

in 1963, '64. It's an obsolete building. It's been 17

abandoned by the marketplace. It is part of the 19

fabric of the neighborhood, however, and I think

20 there will be testimony that wraps that around later 21

22

9

One of the big difficulties of this 23 building as well, as I spent some time earlier with

24 the engineer talking about handicapped parking

spaces and I'm sure the architect talked about

111

S. Lydon

accessible means of travel. This is a two-story office building which sees a lot of medical patients and which has an elevator. So it's not functional in today's world. It's not marketable.

I'm sure you've heard they're building a five-story building. We've all heard testimony about 64 units that are proposed. Part of that includes the affordable housing set-aside, which I will get to later.

We're proposing 100 parking spaces on site, and 44 in the new municipal parking lot that the applicant is proposing in conjunction with the township to build across the street.

Our testimony is the parking stalls that we have proposed on site, 100 stalls, adequately and sufficiently serves the 68 dwelling units proposed. Our testimony is those 44 parking spaces that we're proposing across State Street will be -- serves the general public, which could include people coming to the subject building, probably not. And most of the time will serve the general residents of the area.

I mentioned earlier that we are here with a proposed permitted use, multi-family housing. We are not seeking the D1 variance. To give you a little warning, I'll probably say that three more

S. Lydon

times it's so important.

1 2

Even though we are not here with a D1

3 variance, I think it's relevant for this board to

4 hear what the master plan says for the community. 5 And there was a master plan that was prepared by the

planning board in 2007. It explicitly called for 6

7 encouragement and expansion of multi-family housing

8 where there's no detriment to impacts on certain

neighborhoods. It says single-family neighborhoods.

10 And the reason for it, the planning board 11 determined and realized that putting additional new multi-family housing where there already is existing 13 multi-family housing is good planning practices for 14 the township. What they specifically sought to avoid was putting multi-family houses in single-family districts. We're surrounded by multi-family housing. They want to encourage 17 multi-family housing in this area. 18

19 They also seek to strengthen the vitality 20 of commercial districts. This plan was written in 21 2007. Since then, some can put Amazon as the 22 universe. I don't know how it's doing in Mars, but 23 on Earth, it's doing pretty darn good. And if you 24 drive up and down main streets, not just Teaneck and Cedar Lane, but on Westwood Avenue in Westwood where 113

11

12

13

14

1

offices are, and Franklin Avenue we're building,

2 there are a lot of vacancies, brick-and-mortars.

S. Lydon

And a lot of planners are spending a lot of time

trying to repopulate those spaces.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

But when will they do it? Quite frankly, there's no mystery. You put wallets on the street. You encourage housing in close proximity to the stores and restaurants and commercial spaces that have been built throughout the county. This does that.

In the earlier part of my testimony, I said we're about 230 feet from Queen Anne Road. It's a beautiful little shopping area. It's got a wide road. It's got pedestrian crossings. It has an uninterpreted sidewalk and rear yard. It's a good place to encourage pedestrian shoppers.

People, for whatever reason, most of them have an incentive to go. The way to address that, first and foremost, is to put feet on the street, wallets on the street, put people in close proximity to them. The other thing you do is you expand the community used in those zones and you find new use to replace the hardware store that left or the card shop that's gone.

So I've got to believe that when the

master plan talked about putting land uses like

S. Lydon

114

116

2 multi-family houses in a place like this and other

3 places where there's surrounding multi-family, it

4

was because of the consistency and compatibility

5 between the new uses and the old uses. And it's

6 also because those places in Teaneck, by and large, 7 have developed close to commercial areas. In this

8 case, the 230 feet from Queen Anne Road, we're a few

9 more feet from Teaneck Road. In the middle or

10 between two commercial areas.

> One of the recommendations besides additional one-family was the need to respond to the marketplace and changing the needs and encouraging field developments.

15 The other problem besides confronting contemporary planning besides the Amazon effect, is 16 17 the 1980s and '90s, we built lots of office space.

18 Now we need to figure out how to repopulate those 19 office spaces because, as I mentioned Amazon

20 earlier, they didn't go to suburban Parsippany,

21 Wayne, Mahwah, New Jersey. They wanted to go to

22 Long Island City, New York. Google is over there.

23 So we have a problem with a lot of office space that

24 is technologically obsolete. It's very difficult

and expensive if you can provide ADA facilities and

## 115

## S. Lydon

then the marketplace doesn't warrant extra suburban office space.

So the master plan saw this as an issue in 2007 and said we need to respond to those marketplaces.

It's relevant to this application because there is a vacated, obsolete, older office building, dead office building on this site. And the 2007 national plan recommends that the township address that and address it through zoning and planning.

In 2011, the re-examination report. The re-examination report is sort of a weird piece to certain people. Even the planning professionals can barely understand them. They're not -- they don't stand in and stand for master plan. They sort of evaluate the master plan several years after it was first created to see if it's still relevant or if it needs to be tweaked or needs to be tossed.

And in the 2011 re-examination report, one of the major problems that was identified was the substandard housing stock and the need for more housing. We have a substandard office building, no longer viable, but we are providing additional housing. We've noted on the existing conditions a need for underutilized sites to be redeveloped to

## S. Lydon

reduce the tables. That's this site in a nutshell.

It's exactly what this applicant is proposing and

3 what's before this board tonight.

4 In 2017 -- I know 2014, because it really 5 dealt with a different portion of the township, but in 2017, the master plan or the planning board saw 6 7 fit to prepare a new land use element, and in that

8 identified range of problems was in finding

9 non-residential real estate base, the Amazon effect,

10 and the empty office spaces. It also identified

11 outdated zoning and the need to provide affordable

12

housing. 13 One of the big problems identified in that 14 master plan land use element was prevalence of vacant dead office space creating a negative impact to the surrounding neighborhoods. That's this site. 17 They could have been writing about this particular site. And it goes on after it acknowledges and it 18 19 mentions the difficulty these office buildings can 20 create. It says -- and this is, I think, unusual language in the master plan. And we write and read

21

22 a lot of master plans. It said, "It was incumbent.

There was a responsibility upon Teaneck to evaluate

24 zoning policies to include a wider range of

re-scenarios for vacant and underperforming office

117 118 S. Lydon S. Lydon 1 properties." That's pretty strong language. It 1 40,000. 2 2 puts affirmative burden on -- it suggests there's an Your rental vacancy rate is 0.6 percent. You don't have any vacant rental housing in this affirmative burden on the township to address them 3 3 4 and address the sites that fit our site to a T. 4 community. According to the US Census, American 5 It also notes there's a major demographic 5 Community Survey, 5 percent is considered normal, 6 shift underway creating tremendous demand for 6 healthy, operating ratio or percentage. 7 multi-family housing. And I don't know what you 7 Five percent of people can move in and move out. 8 want to call the young people out there, 8 People can sell homes or rent properties for a --9 Millennials, Generation Y, Generation X, call them what's considered market reasonable price. Five percent. You have 0.6 percent. That shows there's 10 what you will, they're a generation that doesn't own 10 things. They stream everything. 11 a tremendous housing -- rental housing shortage in 11 12 They don't own cars, they Uber. They 12 Teaneck and is currently down. don't want to be bound, to a large degree, to buy a 13 CHAIRMAN MEYER: What date is that report? 13 THE WITNESS: 2007, Mr. Chairman -- 2017. 14 house. So the demand for rental housing has gone 14 15 through the roof. And I'm just wondering. There's 15 I'm sorry. 2017, the rental vacancy rate was a need for rental housing. I hear it all the time. 16 16 .6 percent. I hear testimony about it all the time. There's a 17 A. The census, of course, counts things. 17 18 real thing in Teaneck. There's a demand for 18 They count everything. The average household size 19 19 in a rented, occupied unit in 2017 in Teaneck was housing. 20 So I went to the American Community Survey 20 2.38 people. Somewhere, there's a theory that 21 with the US Census Population, the US Census 21 apartments are overwhelmed with people and there are 22 people living everywhere in apartments. That may 22 Publication, and they do surveys. And one for 2017 23 have been true one time in the past. It is not true 23 has some interesting facts in it. It says that Teaneck has now 14,000 housing units. It's a pretty 24 today in Teaneck. Your average apartment has 2.38 big number. The population is just a hair under 25 residents. Some with more. 119 120 S. Lydon S. Lydon CHAIRMAN MEYER: What size apartment, one 1 master plan land use element that recommended that 1 2 2 those sites be replaced with quality, new, bedroom? 3 THE WITNESS: It's --3 multi-family rental housing, we're proposing 68 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Or average-average? 4 4 units of rental housing. There is need to encourage 5 THE WITNESS: It's an average across the 5 the expansion of multi-family housing. 6 6 It also goes on and says that if township. 7 A. So that's a reference that the major 7 multi-family housing was constructed in Teaneck, it demographic shift that's transforming Teaneck and 8 8 would also help fulfill another master plan goal of 9 was discussed in the 2017 land use element and is 9 the product and the fair share of affordable 10 collaborated by census data. 10 housing. 11 Going back to the 2017 master plan land 11 It specifically talks about 10 percent 12 use element for the amendment. I told you earlier 12 affordable housing set-aside. And I know the board this suggested that there was a responsibility upon 13 is familiar with set-asides. What that means is the 13 14 the township to gather the zoning policies. They 14 developer would deed restrict 10 percent of units, made a recommendation. There was a way for the some one-bedroom units, some two-bedroom units, and 15 township policy to act. They recommend that Teaneck 16 some three-bedroom units for qualified low-income 17 capitalize on opportunities to replace -- I'm sorry. 17 housing for households. And the size of the Let me try again. They recommended that Teaneck bedrooms would be the first among family sizes. So 18 18 capitalize on opportunities to replace or redevelop 19 a one-person household doesn't end up with a 19 aging obsolete office buildings with high-quality 20 three-bedroom rental apartment. 21 21 new multi-family rental housing, provide a more So the land use recommendation from two 22 diverse, quality housing, while simultaneously 22 years ago was to have 10 percent set aside. We're 23 providing for significant new tax ratable. 23 proposing 15 percent. So 15 percent is more than 24 24 what your master plan recommends. That land use Once again, we have on this site that aging obsolete office building. With the 2017 25 element of --25

121 122 S. Lydon S. Lydon 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: One second. Talking 1 are income guidelines published by or 2 2 about the COAH low-income housing. Wasn't republished by the state where if you're too 3 3 low income, you don't qualify. And then they there a requirement by virtue of the settlement 4 with Teaneck and the state that you're required 4 have limits as to how much you make or have had 5 5 in the past and then you don't qualify either. to put away 15 percent? CHAIRMAN MEYER: And it's not administered THE WITNESS: Well, that's a requirement 6 6 7 within the township. Neither the planning 7 by the developer or the builder. It's a 8 board nor the Board of Adjustment are a party 8 third-party entity that will administer and 9 to that, and I do not believe that the zoning 9 give out to approve these low-income 10 10 ordinances implement that. households, correct? 11 And, Mr. Madaio, you can explain better 11 THE WITNESS: You're absolutely right, 12 than I. But basically, the ordinances that are 12 Mr. Chairman. The township will most likely --13 in place when the application is filed are the 13 I say "most likely" because I haven't done it 14 14 ones that count, are the ones that are viewed. vet. But my experience with other 15 And as of now, to the best of my 15 municipalities, they'll hire something called 16 knowledge, Teaneck has not adopted any 16 an "administrative agent." My client will pay 17 ordinance which would provide for us to provide 17 for an administrative agent to work on this 18 any affordable housing. The master plan, 18 project. It won't be the township. And those 19 though, in 2017 recommended that we do a 19 administrative agents are all approved by the 20 10 percent set-aside. We're proposing to do a 20 Department of Community Affairs. 21 15 percent set-aside. 21 They will take all the applications for 22 22 And for those who are concerned, for some the affordable housing units, they will 23 23 members of the board who may be concerned that interview all households, they will determine 24 that means that you have free-for-all 24 which ones qualify and which ones don't. And 25 25 apartments, it doesn't mean that at all. There they will provide a list of eligible 123 124 S. Lydon S. Lydon CHAIRMAN MEYER: So there two, six and 1 households. They will probably do a lottery 1 2 and they will determine who's selected to be in 2 two? 3 the affordable housing units. 3 THE WITNESS: Two, six and two, 4 4 The developer writes the check and the Mr. Chairman. So one, two, and three. 5 5 MR. ROSEN: Sixty-eight units, 15 percent township, quite frankly, doesn't want to be 6 involved because it's a bureaucratic nightmare. 6 is 10.2. What happened to the .2. It goes 7 They want to hand it off to an administrative 7 into a fund? 8 8 THE WITNESS: Mr. Rosen, Teaneck has agent. 9 9 adopted the ordinance. We'll probably be asked BY MS. BERGER: 10 Q. Just for the record and for those who 10 to make a contribution for two-tenths of the weren't here earlier, could you give the breakdown 11 11 for the proposed affordable housing units? 12 12 The administrative affordable housing 13 A. Yes. Just like everything else, dealing 13 trust fund, which is maintained by the township 14 with affordable housing in New Jersey is very 14 and used to basically rehab existing housing bureaucratic. The requirements are that two -- in 15 units in townships that are operated by low- or 15 this case for 68 units, overall development of the 16 moderate-income households. 17 property. We're proposing two units have to be 17 MR. ROSEN: Thank you. 18 three-bedroom units. Two units, but no more than 18 A. Very briefly, I'm going to go over the 19 two units, can be one-bedroom units. And the 19 2017 master plan. But it talks about three key 20 balance, which in the case is six -- no, that's not 20 features that they want all multi-family housing 21 21 it. No. Six two-bedrooms. So there will be two units to address. They wanted -- special attention 22 one-bedroom affordable housing units if this 22 should be paid to facades of new buildings. We have application is approved. There will be six complied with that recommendation. You see the 24 24 two-bedroom affordable housing units; and there will architect described it and presented the 25 be two three-bedroom units. architectural plans. The building is beautiful.

S. Lydon	S. Lydon
1 Affordable housing. It recommends	1 provide for 15 percent of affordable housing.
2 MR. ROSEN: When you talk about facades,	2 And I saved the best one for last.
3 you're talking about the architectural	3 Parking requirements. This is a document that the
4 appearance of the facade, right?	4 planning board adopted in 2017. It reserves that
5 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	5 newer multi-family developments will demand a much
6 MR. ROSEN: Subject to whose guidelines,	6 smaller supply of off-street parking than what the
7 to whose judgment, to	7 residential site improvement standards would
8 THE WITNESS: Ultimately, I suspect to the	8 otherwise recommend.
9 board's judgment. We New Jersey, except for	9 And there's a lot of misunderstanding
maybe Cape May, we do have guidelines. There	10 about residential site improvement standards when it
are guidelines. I've seen a lot of	11 comes to parking. The state of New Jersey, as small
multi-family housing projects go up.	12 as they are, is a big juror state. Even people at
This building strives for a more open	13 DCA who wrote the residential site improvement
facade and use of colors, and setting the	14 standards, recognized that one size doesn't fit all.
facades in different setbacks I think is quite	So although when it came to engineering
16 attractive.	<ul><li>designs, we've heard about sanitary sewer line and</li><li>we've heard about stormwater lines. If you know how</li></ul>
17 MR. ROSEN: Again, that's subject to the review of this board that you meet the	17 we've heard about stormwater lines. If you know how 18 much area you have to accommodate or how many units
review of this board that you meet the guidelines and the facade has to be	19 you're dealing with, you can figure out how big the
20 aesthetically pleasing.	20 pipe needs to be. And it doesn't matter if that
21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	21 pipe is new, Teaneck or Atlantic City, its engineer,
22 A. The second thing, it said that all	22 it's a slope. Parking is different. So they made
23 multi-family housing developments should have	23 the parking recommendations.
24 affordable housing set aside. We talked about that	24 So the 2017, your planning board, said
25 earlier. We're going to do about 50 percent and	25 that housing the multi-family housing in Teaneck
S. Lydon	S. Lydon
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying
S. Lydon	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck.
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck?
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by  9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by  9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census  10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units.
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by  9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census  10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of  11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to  12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars.  13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by  9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census  10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of  11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to  12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars.  13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for  14 43 percent of the housing stock.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late,
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street  2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement  3 Standards, would otherwise recommend.  4 And it went on to say why. It's the  5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential  6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking  7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document.  8 This observation and recommendation by  9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census  10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of  11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to  12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars.  13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for  14 43 percent of the housing stock.  15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right?
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right? 18 THE WITNESS: .06.
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right? 18 THE WITNESS: .06. 19 MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right? 18 THE WITNESS: .06. 19 MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway.	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right? 18 THE WITNESS: .06. 19 MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30 20 apartments in all of Teaneck?
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway. 21 What they're really referring to are apartments.	rental units there are. And I'm saying there's since 2017, there have been a couple new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. But in 2017, how many rental units do you have those figures for what they are in Teaneck?  THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing units.  MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back to your statement of the rental vacancies .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that right?  THE WITNESS: .06. MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30 apartments in all of Teaneck? THE WITNESS: Yes.
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway. 21 What they're really referring to are apartments. 22 MR. ROSEN: Did you say how many rental	rental units there are. And I'm saying there's since 2017, there have been a couple new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. But in 2017, how many rental units do you have those figures for what they are in Teaneck?  THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing units.  MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back to your statement of the rental vacancies  fo percent I can't do the math, too late, fo percent of approximately 3500 rental units in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that right?  THE WITNESS: .06. MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30 apartments in all of Teaneck? THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. ROSEN: You think that's an accurate
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway. 21 What they're really referring to are apartments. 22 MR. ROSEN: Did you say how many rental 23 units there are in the town?	rental units there are. And I'm saying there's since 2017, there have been a couple new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. But in 2017, how many rental units do you have those figures for what they are in Teaneck?  THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing units.  MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back to your statement of the rental vacancies  fo percent I can't do the math, too late, fo percent of approximately 3500 rental units in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that right?  THE WITNESS: .06. MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30 apartments in all of Teaneck? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ROSEN: You think that's an accurate figure?
S. Lydon  1 will remain a much smaller supply of off-street 2 parking than one RSIS, Residential Site Improvement 3 Standards, would otherwise recommend. 4 And it went on to say why. It's the 5 occupants of the newer multi-family residential 6 developments. Again, Teaneck has much lower parking 7 demands than carved out in the RSIS document. 8 This observation and recommendation by 9 your planning board is borne out by the 2017 census 10 data I referred to earlier. Forty-three percent of 11 your housing stock has one or no cars available to 12 it. They said "vehicles." I'll call them cars. 13 That is township-wide. One or zero cars for 14 43 percent of the housing stock. 15 Now, as a planner who's been in this field 16 a long time, I will suggest to you in fact, I 17 will tell you that when they say 43 percent of the 18 housing stock, they're not saying there's a 19 four-bedroom house someplace on Chadwick Lane that 20 doesn't have a garage or parking a driveway. 21 What they're really referring to are apartments. 22 MR. ROSEN: Did you say how many rental 23 units there are in the town? 24 (Reporter interruption.)	S. Lydon  1 rental units there are. And I'm saying 2 there's since 2017, there have been a couple 3 new buildings that have gone up on Queen Anne 4 and on Windsor Road and now on in Teaneck. 5 But in 2017, how many rental units do 6 you have those figures for what they are in 7 Teaneck? 8 THE WITNESS: In Teaneck in 2017, there 9 were 3,542 apartments. To give you the flip 10 side, there were 9,774 owner-occupied housing 11 units. 12 MR. ROSEN: So you're saying going back 13 to your statement of the rental vacancies 14 .6 percent I can't do the math, too late, 15 .6 percent of approximately 3500 rental units 16 in Teaneck go up are probably vacant; is that 17 right? 18 THE WITNESS: .06. 19 MR. ROSEN: That's 1 percent or about 30 20 apartments in all of Teaneck? 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 MR. ROSEN: You think that's an accurate 23 figure? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. You didn't ask, but

	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	ownership the owner residential units	1	THE WITNESS: I don't have that number
2	it's getting late. You're right, Mr. Rosen.	2	right in front of me. I think a thousand may
3	Units that are owned by their occupants, the	3	be high, but even if it was a thousand units,
4	vacancy rate for them is only 2.6 percent.	4	it's probably in that range. With the number
5	That means your housing market is twice as	5	of vacant units in the community, you still
6	tight as a normally functioned operating	6	have a very low number of vacant units. I
7	housing line on the ownership ones. On the	7	think the rest is somewhere in the 500 range is
8	for-sale ones, there's not one apartment	8	pretty close.
9	available in those units.	9	A. So getting back to the parking
10	MR. ROSEN: This is a valuable exercise	10	requirements and the recommendations of the master
11 12	for us to know exactly what this breakdown is.  THE WITNESS: To put these numbers in	11 12	plan and the report from the Census Bureau, Teaneck's traffic and parking consultant has
13	context, I think these numbers may have changed	13	reviewed development and it's concluded that the
14	in 2015. And I think they will probably be	14	amount of the proposed parking is sufficient for the
15	reviewed by the planning board when they made	15	anticipated demand.
16	some of the recommendations on all family	16	That tells me two things: One, the
17	housing. It recognized that there is a	17	applicant has provided sufficient parking and your
18	shortage not just to affordable housing in	18	traffic and parking consultant is agreed with the
19	Teaneck, but of ownership housing and rental	19	planning board's 2017 document that when it comes to
20	housing.	20	the multi-family housing, less parking is better
21	MR. ROSEN: And one more question, sir.	21	than more parking.
22	I'd ask for projects that are either recently	22	BY MS. BERGER:
23	completed or entering construction. Would you	23	Q. And is that are you referring to the
24 25	say that's 1,000 units that are under construction now?	24	Stonefield Engineering design report of
25	construction now?	25	February 2019?
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a	1	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably
2	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed	2	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a
2 3	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support	2 3	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today,
2 3 4	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.	2 3 4	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow
2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of	2 3	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.
2 3 4	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.	2 3 4 5	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow
2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not. I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required. And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not. I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking. We believe this applicant is proposing a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required. And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.  THE WITNESS: Two things. And I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.  By providing parallel by going from parallel parking to angled parking, the number of stalls would greatly increase.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.  THE WITNESS: Two things. And I think that in time this will be part of the important factor. A lot of the developments that older Teaneck, just like as the stormwater management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.  By providing parallel by going from parallel parking to angled parking, the number of stalls would greatly increase.  MR. ROSEN: I thought Ms. Berger corrected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.  THE WITNESS: Two things. And I think that in time this will be part of the important factor. A lot of the developments that older Teaneck, just like as the stormwater management controls, did not provide for on-site parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.  By providing parallel by going from parallel parking to angled parking, the number of stalls would greatly increase.  MR. ROSEN: I thought Ms. Berger corrected the record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.  THE WITNESS: Two things. And I think that in time this will be part of the important factor. A lot of the developments that older Teaneck, just like as the stormwater management controls, did not provide for on-site parking of residents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.  By providing parallel by going from parallel parking to angled parking, the number of stalls would greatly increase.  MR. ROSEN: I thought Ms. Berger corrected the record MS. BERGER: Yeah, I think that the town
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  A. Yes. In fact, there is there's a second page. Yes, on Item No. 6. The proposed municipal parking lot would be sufficient to support the RSIS guest parking requirement.  So, again, it's to minimize the amount of parking.  MR. REHMAN: Sorry to interrupt. I get the studies. It suggests Teaneck may not need as much parking as may otherwise be required.  And I guess I get that's what it says on paper.  How do you reconcile that with everyone in this room who actually lives here who would probably disagree with it because it sounds like the biggest concern is parking?  (Applause.)  MR. REHMAN: I'm just trying to reconcile it with the reality of what people seem to be complaining about.  THE WITNESS: Two things. And I think that in time this will be part of the important factor. A lot of the developments that older Teaneck, just like as the stormwater management controls, did not provide for on-site parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon hundreds of units in this area, there probably is a deficit. Forget "probably." There is a deficit of on-site parking that exists today, it exists yesterday, and will exist tomorrow whether this application is approved or not.  I'm under oath. I'm not going to tell you anything else. How did we get there? We got there because certain properties didn't provide any on-site parking.  We believe this applicant is proposing a partial solution to that. We're proposing 44 spaces across the street, which will generally be available to the public. We're increasing the supply of the public parking. You've heard that the resolution requires that we re-stripe State Street. Right now it's parallel parking spaces, that would be 70 or so. And then a wide drive aisle both east and west.  By providing parallel by going from parallel parking to angled parking, the number of stalls would greatly increase.  MR. ROSEN: I thought Ms. Berger corrected the record

	133		134
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	site, the two levels of parking. It's my	1	A. There are 33 street State Street.
2	understanding that the township did not want	2	There are 33 on-street parking spaces on the north
3	the change in striping. But that was that	3	side and there are 34 on-street parking spaces on
4	thought was when there was not two levels of	4	the south side of State Street.
5	parking on the site and the 100 spots	5	MR. ROSEN: Of this property?
6	MR. ROSEN: No, I understand. I want to	6	THE WITNESS: No, on the street.
7	make sure we're clear on what's being required and what's not.	7 8	MR. ROSEN: On the entire street. From
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: On one side of the street	9	Queen Anne Road THE BOARD SECRETARY: Okay. Use the mic,
10	is angled parking, right, Mr. Lydon? On the	10	please.
11	north side of the street on State Street, there	11	MR. ROSEN: From Queen Anne Road to
12	is, in fact, angled parking?	12	Teaneck Road, you're saying that there are 33
13	THE WITNESS: I was out there the other	13	spaces?
14	day, Mr. Chairman. I believe the parking may	14	THE WITNESS: On the north side of State
15	have been angled at one time. Yes, there is	15	Street, and there are 34 on-street parking
16	staggered parking.	16	spaces on the south side of State Street.
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I drive there	17	MR. ROSEN: Seems off. But if that's what
18	at least three times a day. But not on the	18	it is, that's what it is. Thank you.
19	sidelines.	19	THE WITNESS: You're right. When you look
20	THE WITNESS: Well, north and south.	20	at it, there are long sections of State Street
21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Only on the end parking	21	developed parking on the east or west side.
22	towards Teaneck Road.	22	But the spaces that are there are indicated.
23	BY MS. BERGER:	23	MR. ROSEN: Okay.
24	Q. And just while you're talking about it,	24	THE WITNESS: So get back to your other
25	how many street spots are there now?	25	question. What's happening now, and I don't
	135 S. Lydon		S. Lydon
	·	1	·
1	know I didn't do the demographic study who's living along State Street, what the household	1	going to drive parking demand much further
2 3	composition is, but the new developments in	2 3	south. And these numbers that are rolling around today are incredibly high in eight
4	Jersey City and Hoboken, which are not Teaneck,	4	
5	are providing, at most, one parking space per	5	years. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Lydon, I'll let you
6	unit, regardless of the number of bedrooms.	6	continue the testimony in a little bit. I
7	And oftentimes you're providing .75, .85.	7	think we need to change the tape.
8	Because the younger generation is	8	(A pause/break in the proceeding at 9:57
9	MR. REHMAN: Clarification. The studies,	9	p.m.)
10	they don't take into account any existing	10	MR. POWERS: Good and welfare.
11	backlog of the parking? I think that's what	11	At approximately 9:00.
12	you said, right? It doesn't look at designs,	12	According to the code.
13	design flaws for past buildings. It's just	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Powers, please be
14	saying that if everything was perfect, a town	14	quiet. Otherwise, you'll be removed. Please
15	like Teaneck, we require less parking than	15	be quiet.
16	THE WITNESS: That's a fair assessment.	16	How are we doing with the tape?
17	And just to carry the thought a little further.	17	(Back on the record at 10:03 p.m.)
18	We are not that far away from buses and	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Let me just make out some
19	vehicles. My prediction. You're going to have	19	approximation of how long your direct is going
20	atomic vehicles at the surface. You're going	20	to continue.
21	to go to dinner. You call for a car and say, I	21	MS. BERGER: It's probably going to be
22	need a car at 6:45 p.m. on a Friday. It comes	22	another hour, would you say?
23	to your house and takes you to the restaurant.	23	THE WITNESS: I hope not.
24	It picks you up in two hours and takes you	24	MS. BERGER: Maybe 40 minutes.
25	back. It's going to take a while, but that's	25	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Forty minutes more?

	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
_	•		
1	MS. BERGER: Yeah, it could be, you know.	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Take your time. I want
2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. I don't think	2	you to take your time. Question, applicant,
3 4	we're going to finish your direct tonight, but I think if you want to take another look in 15	3 4	people have questions for the witness.  Everybody gets to take their time. I'm not
5	minutes?	5	speeding up nothing.
6	MS. BERGER: I'll let Mr. Lydon go and if	6	THE WITNESS: To get back to what
7	I feel he should stop at a certain point.	7	Mr. Rosen asked me about, we talked about new
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: When there's a good place	8	development going on. It's interesting what
9	to stop so you can pick up next time, I think	9	you said what you did. Because in the 2017
10	that would be a good idea. And then we'll, I	10	land use element, notes reasonable family
11	guess, bring him back.	11	housing improvement. It says, there remains a
12	MS. BERGER: Correct.	12	need to identify further opportunities for the
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I also have the engineer	13	private market to develop vacant or
14	left.	14	underutilized sites to help in turning around
15	MS. BERGER: Yes.	15	the tax base.
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I also have a question	16	MR. ROSEN: Well, what would have been
17	for him before he leaves tonight. I want to	17	improved by that time
18	recall him. But let's not take you out of	18	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mic, Mr. Rosen.
19	where you want. So you continue until you find	19	MR. ROSEN: What would have been improved
20	a break where you can break and we'll put you	20	by that time, the new building on Palisade
21	on next time again. But I just need to ask the	21	Queen Anne Road which was under construction?
22	engineer a few questions before and then we'll	22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	go to good and welfare.	23	MR. ROSEN: Avalon
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Sir, please. I'm sorry.
25	know it's getting a little late.	25	In the back there. I don't know what you're
	120		140
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down.	1	
1 2	S. Lydon	1 2	S. Lydon
	S. Lydon  handing out. Could you please sit down.  Please. Unless you want to hand me something?  MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of		S. Lydon purview
2	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something?	2	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board
2 3	S. Lydon  handing out. Could you please sit down.  Please. Unless you want to hand me something?  MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of	2 3	S. Lydon  purview  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about
2 3 4	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.
2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site.	2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S. Lydon  purview  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe. MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.  Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.  So the Department of Education's website,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe. MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children. So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.  MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.  Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.  So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.  MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children. So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year. In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.  MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.  Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.  So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe. MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to? THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Rosen. And they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.  Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.  So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year.  In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of the decade, 4,162 students were enrolled in Teaneck schools.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.  MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education.  Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children.  So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year.  In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of the decade, 4,162 students were enrolled in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe. MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to? THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Rosen. And they talk about turning around the tax base. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children. So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year. In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of the decade, 4,162 students were enrolled in Teaneck schools. CHAIRMAN MEYER: How many again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon handing out. Could you please sit down. Please. Unless you want to hand me something? MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books? THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site. MR. ROSEN: Which is not built. THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe. MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to? THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Rosen. And they talk about turning around the tax base. And I know it's not an issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children. So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year. In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of the decade, 4,162 students were enrolled in Teaneck schools.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: How many again? THE WITNESS: 4,162 students.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  handing out. Could you please sit down.  Please. Unless you want to hand me something?  MR. ROSEN: I just want to get a sense of what that opinion was what was on the books?  THE WITNESS: One of them would have been 140 State Street, just to the west of this particular site.  MR. ROSEN: Which is not built.  THE WITNESS: Which is not built. But was approved. 1500 Teaneck Road was not approved yet. The building you mentioned, Avalon. I think one on Palisade which is an eight-story building, that had been approved. And I believe there was one south, down by Bogota maybe.  MR. ROSEN: So the planning board in 2017, understanding what was already under construction or was already on the drawing board, still saw the need for additional rental housing. Is that what you're testifying to?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Rosen. And they talk about turning around the tax base. And I know it's not an issue  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Outside of our purview.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  purview CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's the planning board issue. You're a planner. You can talk about it, but it's not really taken from a land use zoning perspective into our deliberation and consideration.  THE WITNESS: You're right, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. I love that. THE WITNESS: So I started to think about some of the impacts that these developers that we're talking about might have on the township and, specifically, the Board of Education. Because that's always an issue we hear about. As planners, we hear about traffic and school-age children. So the Department of Education's website, they'll tell you the official enrollment levels for each school year. In the year 2009-2010, so the beginning of the decade, 4,162 students were enrolled in Teaneck schools.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: How many again? THE WITNESS: 4,162 students.  BY MS. BERGER:

141 142 S. Lydon S. Lydon 1 A. That was 2009-2010. 1 house with four bedrooms or a low-rise 2 MR. ROSEN: That's the entire Teaneck 2 apartment building or a high-rise apartment 3 3 public school system? building. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. The enrollment for the 4 Dr. Liskin, a graduate of Rutgers, Newark, 5 2016-2017 school year, which was the most 5 New Jersey, did a study back in 1987. And it 6 recent one published, is 3,621 students. 6 was for the North Jersey area, the northern 7 So if my math is correct, this decade has 7 portion of the country. It quickly became out 8 seen a reduction in student enrollment of 541 8 of date and there was a need in New Jersey for 9 students. And it's been a pretty steady 9 a more specific study. 10 10 So using the 2000 data, in 2006, they decline. So that tells me the --11 MR. ROSEN: Again, that's public school, 11 published what they called "Who Lives in New not including parochial school or charter 12 12 Jersey Housing." And it was 40 or 50 pages of 13 school? 13 charts of different types of housing. He wrote 14 14 it down, single family, low-rise multi-family, THE WITNESS: That's correct. 15 MR. ROSEN: Public school system. High 15 garden apartments, mid-rise/high-rise. He also 16 school, junior school, and -broke it down by size. One-bedroom, 16 17 THE WITNESS: And preschool, yes. So 17 two-bedroom, three-bedroom or whatever. He 18 since then, it started. The Teaneck enrollment 18 also wrote it down by ownership versus rents. 19 has dropped by 541 students, which leads me to 19 And we found quickly in the industry that the 20 my next thing, because people always want to 20 projections did not involve any listings, 21 know, well, who's going to live in this 21 publication, or policy, certainly in the 22 22 Northern New Jersey area. building? And we don't know if it's going to 23 23 be Bob Smith or somebody else, but we have a So finally last November, Dr. Liskin got 24 pretty decent idea by published data how many 24 back to work and did a new study. And we're 25 25 people are going to live in the single-family proposing a marketplace and we're proposing 143 144 S. Lydon S. Lydon 1 affordable units and we have certain bedroom school-age children. 1 2 distribution. I did two studies. I did two 2 CHAIRMAN MEYER: School age. 3 analyses: 3 THE WITNESS: School-aged children. So One was for the market rat ing and how many 4 4 then I looked at the estimates, the projections 5 5 units we have, and we know what that for the low- to moderate-income housing units, 6 distribution is: and I did the second one for 6 the affordable housing units. There you have 7 the affordable ones, the affordable units 7 ten units, and he projects based on the two 8 8 because people always say, well, that doesn't one-bedroom units, the six two-bedroom units, 9 include all of the affordables that we have. 9 and the two three-bedroom units, that there'd 10 It has 27 kids in there. 10 be a total of 2.59, let's call it 2.6, 11 The market rate housing and there's 58 11 school-age children living in those units. So I take that as I submit and I look at 12 units ago -- it's a long time since I put that 12 13 number out -- based on Dr. Liskin's 13 the decline in enrollment. And I don't think 14 documentation, which was published in 2017, 14 school-age population is going to be a problem. 15 2018. And bedrooms, 22 one-bedroom; 32 15 MR. ROSEN: You're predicting based upon 16 two-bedroom units; and 40 three-bedrooms units, 16 government practice and the population, as 17 he then anticipated 3.14 school-aged children 17 predicted, to be in both the affordable and 18 to live in the development. So next I turn --18 non-affordable, that there's not going to be CHAIRMAN MEYER: The whole development? 19 19 six school-age children in this 68-unit 20 THE WITNESS: The 58 units. 20 development? CHAIRMAN MEYER: School-aged children, 21 21 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 22 22 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Rosen? only four kids? 23 THE WITNESS: 3.14. 23 MR. ROSEN: And that will also extrapolate 24 24 CHAIRMAN MEYER: 3.1 kid. school enrollment. I think the point you're 25 THE WITNESS: 3.14 children. So 25 making -- I don't want to put words in your

	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	mouth that Teaneck public schools are	1	point out in the negative criteria is that this
2	currently underserved and that could easily	2	building on the dead office facility of the
3	handle any increased school-age children. Is	3	site, is not going to overtax the school
4	that what you're implying?	4	district.
5	THE WITNESS: That type of building, yes.	5	I also don't believe it's going to overtax
6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is there a zone concern	6	the township in the sense of population growth.
7 8	from a zoning law perspective, something that we as the zoning board have to take into	7 8	You've got, let's say, 40,000 people in town.  The average rental occupied unit has 2.38
9	consideration in disapproving and approving an	9	living people in there. I don't think a
10	application, what the impact is on the school	10	handful, roughly, say, 150 people, 200 people
11	system, I don't think so.	11	are going to have an oversized impact in the
12	THE WITNESS: I think you can.	12	community according to the analysis.
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: I can, but am I required	13	And the traffic, it's interesting if you
14	to?	14	view the traffic studies. Traffic on State
15	THE WITNESS: No. No, you're not. The	15	Street has gone down since the earlier
16	planning board, when they do the master plan	16	application. The count which you had in 2016,
17	and the mayor and council and maybe zoning need	17	the count which you had in 2017, you're going
18	to take into consideration.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: That's two different	18	to have variances that are going to go down,
19 20	things. We're a quasi statutory board. We	19 20	which suggests the issue about the parking has also gone down.
20	have our obligations and requirements and	20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: It may, it may not.
22	things we must do and things we must not do.	22	THE WITNESS: Well, we know the counts
23	THE WITNESS: At some point in my	23	went down.
24	testimony, I'm going to get to the negative	24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: That doesn't mean the
25	criteria. And one of the things I want to	25	people actually park there. It may mean that
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other	1	S. Lydon officers here, as well. And they're also
2	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other ways.	2	S. Lydon officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and
2 3	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other ways. THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they	2 3	S. Lydon officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.
2 3 4	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are	2 3 4	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one
2 3 4 5	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other ways. THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they	2 3	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the
2 3 4	S. Lydon less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.	2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one
2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is designed with appropriate elevators.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say typically to the plan use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding—and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is designed with appropriate elevators.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say typically to the plan use policy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding—and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is designed with appropriate elevators.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that.  THE WITNESS: So that's a big plus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say typically to the plan use policy.  There are a host of things where mayor and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding—and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is designed with appropriate elevators.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that.  THE WITNESS: So that's a big plus.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: So you can wait with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say typically to the plan use policy.  There are a host of things where mayor and council need to review. First and foremost is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  less people drive through there or go other ways.  THE WITNESS: That's true. One thing they do do is take the multiple buses that are available.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: In other words, there are a lot of factors one can extrapolate that would result in less traffic on State Street, right?  THE WITNESS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would agree with that statement.  MS. BERGER: Mr. Chairman, do you think this is the appropriate time to stop so you can have the engineer brought back to answer your question?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. There was one question I had to ask Mr. Lydon regarding—and what about police, ambulance, fire department?  THE WITNESS: I believe the building is designed with appropriate elevators.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I understand that.  THE WITNESS: So that's a big plus.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  officers here, as well. And they're also interested in knowing that. So fire, TVAC, and police department issue impact.  MR. REHMAN: Mr. Lydon, I just have one question related to it sounds like the master plan makes this compelling argument for a development of this type. I'm just wondering, based on your experience, do you know why, when there is such a compelling argument for this type of development, why towns don't change the ordinances so that it can be used as opposed to having dead areas.  Just based on your general experience, why don't towns just follow through and make them relevant?  THE WITNESS: That's a very good question.  And I think part of it is that the planning board is partly responsible for doing the master plan. And they have certain things they would like to say typically to the plan use policy.  There are a host of things where mayor and

	149		150
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	things to happen. One, to build a consensus;	1	going to be adjourned to maybe then
2	and two, to go through the process.	2	adjourned to June 20, at that time. It depends
3	And on that point, the affordable housing	3	on the availability of your experts and your
4	buildings in New Jersey is 75. In 2015 the	4	calendar and everybody else's calendar.
5	court gave towns six months of rent housing	5	So for now, it's the June 6, without
6	plans to get them approved by the courts.	6	further notice to the public, and it's going to
7	They're still doing it.	7	be most likely in the that meeting most
8	It's not a fast process. And because two different boards are involved, it takes longer.	8 9	likely will be in the regular chambers where we normally are in the township chambers.
10	And that's why. That's part of the reason why	10	If the meeting gets moved or if this
11	there is a variance procedure available to	11	matter is going to go on June 6, we might be
12	developers.	12	back here. If this matter is going to go to
13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Just need to	13	June 20, if the school is available, we don't
14	note for the record, Ms. Honis has certified	14	know, do we?
15	that she has read the two transcripts regarding	15	So it's not available.
16	this application on for meeting on	16	THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Chairman, I can
17	February 21 and April 4. And Mr. Rehman has	17	find out Monday. It could be the library.
18	certified that he has gone over the transcript	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Library. You will find
19	from January 17, 2019 regarding this matter.	19	out. There will be a notice about that. But
20	So that's on the record. Okay.	20	it's not going to be a notice in the paper so
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and	21	far.
22	the board.	22	I make a motion to have this matter moved
23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You're not excused except	23	for calendar purposes or at least all needing
24	until the next meeting, and which I think we're	24	to be heard June 6 in the regular chambers, or
25	going to schedule this matter for June 6. It's	25	we'll designate a place without further notice.
	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	S. Lydon	1	S. Lydon
1 2	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?	1 2	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be?
2	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon	2	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If
2 3	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.		S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the
2	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon	2 3	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If
2 3 4	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved.	2 3 4	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?
2 3 4 5	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be	2 3 4 5	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated
2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.	2 3 4 5 6	S. Lydon you call it? How many feet would that be? MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line? CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved
2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.	2 3 4 5 6 7	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January.  It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January.  It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on. Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If  I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21. THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on. Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January.  It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification.  Thank you for that clarification.  I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on.  Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on. Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level of parking, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January.  It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification.  Thank you for that clarification.  I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on.  Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification.  Thank you for that clarification.  I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on.  Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level of parking, correct?  MR. JUZMESKI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the dimensions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  MR. JUZMESKI: So approximately 15~1/2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on. Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level of parking, correct?  MR. JUZMESKI: Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  MR. JUZMESKI: So approximately 15~1/2 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January. It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke. CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification. Thank you for that clarification. I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on. Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level of parking, correct?  MR. JUZMESKI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the dimensions from where the front line of the parking spot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  MR. JUZMESKI: So approximately 15~1/2 feet.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Not from the wall. From
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  MS. BERGER: 7:00 p.m., correct? CHAIRMAN MEYER: 7:00 p.m. or soon thereafter.  MR. ROSEN: So moved. CHAIRMAN MEYER: Most likely it will be June 20.  MS. BERGER: Just for clarification on the record, our first hearing was not in January.  It was in February 21.  THE BOARD SECRETARY: February 21, yes. I misspoke.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: I am sorry. February 21, that goes for Mr. Rehman's certification.  Thank you for that clarification.  I have a question for the engineer. Let's put him back on.  Sir, do you recall that I raised the issue last time with respect to adding the 25 spaces at the rear of the property on the first level of parking, correct?  MR. JUZMESKI: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN MEYER: What is the dimensions from where the front line of the parking spot now to the end of the rear had you pushed it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S. Lydon  you call it? How many feet would that be?  MR. JUZMESKI: Let me just understand. If I pushed this wall from the current wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. Where you indicated you have 25 additional spots if you had moved that wall backwards to the rear of the line, from the other direction. From the original spot to the end of that wall, you said if I don't if I'm not mistaken, you said there's more spaces for two cars; is that correct?  There was a greater length that you would house would be able to park two cars there.  MR. JUZMESKI: You asked if we can do tandem parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes. How long is that whole tandem?  MR. JUZMESKI: From this wall to the property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.  MR. JUZMESKI: So approximately 15~1/2 feet.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Not from the wall. From the beginning of the space, from the original

	S. Lydon		S. Lydon
1	·	1	·
1	MR. JUZMESKI: From this space to the	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: You build a half wall,
2 3	property line?  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Yes.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	but it would be  MR. JUZMESKI: I don't know, Mr. Chairman.
4	MR. JUZMESKI: It's about 30 or 40 feet.	4	There's a deck. I can't see parking just out
5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: And how long is each	5	in the open.
6	parking space?	6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Would you be able to
7	MR. JUZMESKI: Each space is 9 feet long.	7	behind the wall, if you pull it in a little
8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So it would be more than	8	bit, would there be room for plantings to
9	standard parking, correct?	9	lessen the impact of the concrete wall to the
10	THE WITNESS: Well, you have to	10	public?
11	accommodate a full space, a 9-by-18 space. We	11	MR. JUZMESKI: No, we would be right on
12	would have to modify some of these striping	12	the property line. There's no room.
13	along the front so we can get 18 and 18.	13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Is it possible to move in
14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: So we're maybe 2 feet	14	a little bit?
15	short?	15	MR. JUZMESKI: Again, to move it that way,
16	MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct.	16	we have a low level, but we'd be right on the
17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Now, the wall in the back	17	property line.
18	that you talk about, does that have to go all	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: All right. Thank you.
19	the way up or could it be half the wall?	19	MR. JUZMESKI: That you, Chairman.
20	MR. JUZMESKI: It's basically going to be	20	MR. BROWN: I have a question. I think
21	a parapet wall for safety of the vehicles, and	21	there was a fair amount of misunderstanding
22	basically the structural support of the deck	22	about the 8-foot parking spaces versus the
23	itself. So it's not necessarily a full wall.	23	9-foot. Out of the total of 18 feet, can you
24 25	It would be a family designed it in there,	24 25	tell us how much of the 8-foot-wide parking
23	obviously, but	23	spaces, what the length of 8-foot-width is?
	155		150
	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1		1	
1 2	Good and Welfare	1 2	Good and Welfare
	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?		Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and
2	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just	2	Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and clients.
2 3	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only	2 3	Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and clients. THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure
2 3 4	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces	2 3 4	Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking	2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COOD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COO AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COO AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Brown.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COOD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time for you to speak about this application. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Brown.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That concludes for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time for you to speak about this application. We can't take it and admit it as evidence, okay? Understand that. Okay.  Mr. Madaio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Brown.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That concludes for tonight, at least for 100 State Street.  We're going to go to good and welfare.  Ms. Berger, why don't you see if you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time for you to speak about this application. We can't take it and admit it as evidence, okay? Understand that. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Brown.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That concludes for tonight, at least for 100 State Street.  We're going to go to good and welfare.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time for you to speak about this application. We can't take it and admit it as evidence, okay? Understand that. Okay.  Mr. Madaio.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  MR. JUZMESKI: The length?  MR. BROWN: So if it's 18 feet, you just said the striping is still 9 feet. It's only at the rear of those spaces that's 8 feet, right?  MR. JUZMESKI: I'll explain. All spaces are 18-foot length, all. The striped parking spaces, if I'm pointing if I'm looking at sheet C4.01, these parking striping from stripe to stripe is 9-foot wide. At the individual column supports, it's about a 2-by-2 column. At that area, the stripe the available space reduces by about a foot on this vehicle side and on the opposite vehicle side. So for a very short period  MR. BROWN: There's 2 feet out of the total of 18, it's 8 feet wide. The rest of that space is 9 feet.  MR. JUZMESKI: That's correct. Thank you, Mr. Brown.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Okay. That concludes for tonight, at least for 100 State Street.  We're going to go to good and welfare.  Ms. Berger, why don't you see if you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  hang around for all of that, experts and clients.  THE COURT REPORTER: Just to make sure before you leave, you will need good and welfare?  MS. BERGER: Yes.  (Time noted: 10:27 p.m.)  COOD AND WELFARE  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Please limit the comments to what you're going to talk about to two to three minutes.  MR. ROSEN: Reminder again for those of you who intend to speak about this project in your good and welfare remarks, you're not being sworn in. We don't have the opportunity to ask you questions nor will the attorney. So I would suggest you are free to make whatever statement you want, but this is not the time for you to speak about this application. We can't take it and admit it as evidence, okay? Understand that. Okay.  Mr. Madaio.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's my

	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	MR. ROSEN: Yes, you can. But I'm making	1	anyone says on good and welfare about this
2	the point for your own edification, your own	2	application, you are allowed to hear the words,
3	knowledge, that this is not a good or	3	board members, but you cannot take it into
4	appropriate time to talk about this application	4	consideration in your review of the
5	because we can't take it as evidence.	5	application.
6	Normally in our process when you will be	6	So, board members, we cannot supersede the
7	asked for your comments about this application,	7	ordinance, nor can we stop people from enjoying
8	we will swear you in the way the process goes.	8	the right to speak about this or anything else.
9	So if you intend again to speak about this	9	But the public and the board should understand,
10	application, it's not a good idea.	10	anything that is said about this application
11	CHAIRMAN MEYER: If you want to talk about	11	from this moment forward, is not only not
12	this application at the end of the case, there	12	included in the record of the application, it
13	will be an opportunity to speak about it.	13	cannot be considered as evidence by the board
14	Okay.  MR. MADAIO: Let me make sure that we make	14	members. It is meaningless for the purposes of
15 16	it for the record what I believe the analysis	15 16	the application.  However, if you want to say it,
16 17	of the law should be. This board understands	16	understanding that the board cannot consider
18	there's a municipal ordinance regarding good	18	it, we absolutely honor the good and welfare
19	and welfare and we intend to comply with that	19	ordinance. I think that is why several board
20	ordinance.	20	members have said to you, whatever you'd like
21	The public and the board should understand	21	to say now, it would be a million times more
22	that there's also a Municipal Land Use Law that	22	valuable in the public hearing part at the end
23	says that this board cannot take any testimony	23	when you can say anything you want and it has
24	outside the scope of the public hearing. The	24	to be considered as evidence.
25	public hearing just ended. Anything that	25	But we can't stop you from saying it.
	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	Good and Welfare	1	Good and Welfare
1 2	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.	1 2	Good and Welfare to the homeowners? What happens to the
1 2 3	Good and Welfare	2	Good and Welfare to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an
2	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're		Good and Welfare to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly,
2 3	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.	2 3	Good and Welfare to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an
2 3 4	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time	2 3 4	Good and Welfare to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far	2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units
2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building	2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years. I know there's an attitude of congestion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.  I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.  I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.  I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.  I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider space, but to consider human beings. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you about Teaneck.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years. I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider space, but to consider human beings. I think we're being overlooked. The overall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you about Teaneck.  You may recall that during his remarks, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years. I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider space, but to consider human beings. I think we're being overlooked. The overall development changes the nomenclature of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you about Teaneck.  You may recall that during his remarks, he talked about a 2007 master plan and he talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years. I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider space, but to consider human beings. I think we're being overlooked. The overall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you about Teaneck.  You may recall that during his remarks, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  Board members, you are instructed to ignore.  Okay. That is my take on the law.  UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're going to ignore? Because this is my first time coming. I don't know how we've come this far that we can avoid a master plan, a building that is proposed to be 86 point 200 feet high [sic] and it is that's proposed, but the building height is 35 feet proposed, supposed to be.  I don't know how we come to this for a period in Teaneck to allow developers to dictate to us how we are supposed to live in our town.  I've been here, a resident for 44 years.  I know there's an attitude of congestion, particularly in the northeast corner where I live, and it's crowded me out and my family.  I should ask the board not to consider space, but to consider human beings. I think we're being overlooked. The overall development changes the nomenclature of our town. We can no longer be called a township.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  to the homeowners? What happens to the seniors? And we're supposed to be an age-friendly town? This is very unfriendly, this development.  And as far as the affordable housing units are concerned, to me that's just particularly 10 percent of the housing units. It's a joke.  I'm asking you to reconsider what you're doing in this township. It's very uncomfortable to live here. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.  MR. MADAIO: Board members, you understand my instructions regarding anything that was said about an application.  MR. VEACH: These comments are going to be general. James Veach, V-E-A-C-H.  These are general comments, but I'm going to key off the expert, quote/unquote, planner that the developer brought here to talk to you about Teaneck.  You may recall that during his remarks, he talked about a 2007 master plan and he talked about a 2017 re-examination of the master plan

161 162 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 In 2007, we had hundreds of residents who 1 setback in the front. Spare a tree or two. 2 spent thousands and thousands of hours drafting 2 Leave a square inch of open space. Could he 3 a master plan. The re-examination is something 3 make enough money that way? Thank you. 4 conducted and cooked up by Joey Bodner and the 4 THE BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Veach, don't 5 planning board. Re-examination, it reames my 5 forget to give me the exhibits back. 6 art of the deal. They're pushing raters. MR. VEACH: Coming there, Roz. 6 7 They're saying build wherever you can. That's 7 MR. MADAIO: Any of that regarding the 8 not the master plan. The master plan is 2007. 8 pending application not bearing the public 9 I don't understand why anyone won't address 9 hearing on the application has to be ignored by 10 10 this question. 11 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Mr. Rose. Why can't the developer live with the 11 12 zoning code? This gentleman asked an excellent 12 MR. ROSE: My name is Howard Rose, 13 question. If the township council wants to 13 R-O-S-E. I want to thank you, Chairman Meyer, 14 as well as the board, for your time and turn Teaneck into Hackensack, why don't they 14 15 just change all the zoning laws? Why don't 15 service. It's appreciated. And you keep it 16 they just say redo spot zoning in Teaneck. We 16 interesting. 17 don't bother the zoning laws. We let the 17 And I have a couple of concerns that are 18 planning do whatever they want to do. Why 18 general. One which is microphone utilization. 19 don't they do that? Or I think that has to be 19 We all know that the microphones are terrible 20 20 for you, for the planning board -- or let me a state law. 21 These zoning codes are hard to change. So 21 first mention. I'm a member of the planning 22 22 why can't this developer make enough money, if board, I'm a member of the Board of Education 23 23 he follows the zoning code? Couldn't he make and I'm not here representing either. I'm here 24 enough money with nine units? Keep the 30-foot 24 representing myself as an active member of the 25 25 setbacks on both sides. Keep the 30-foot community who works, plays, and volunteers for 163 164 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 the community. 1 it's a concern. 2 At any rate, regarding microphones. We 2 Because zoning ordinances, master plan, 3 know they're terrible. I don't understand. I 3 all tie in together. And the objective, I 4 remember when we bought the last ones. Instead 4 think, of anyone who's doing any kind of a 5 5 of buying ones that are headsets, they function request for a variance is that they're trying 6 with the head moving. Instead, many of us --6 to get a project done. And I appreciate that. 7 I'm not castigating; I'm just criticizing. 7 And I go with the optimism that they're trying 8 There's a difference. You sit with the 8 to do the best as possible. They want to make 9 9 a reasonable profit. They want to maximize microphone 3 feet away from you. You're 10 reminded. You bring your lips towards it. You 10 profit and they want to adhere to the rules and 11 almost have to kiss these microphones and then 11 regulations as much as possible. And the result is sometimes, Whoa, wait a second. What 12 you relax and two minutes later you're not 12 13 heard and it's a problem. So that's why you 13 you're proposing doesn't measure up to what we 14 get the reminders and I hope things change and 14 expect, what we require, consequently the need 15 I hope the council will manage to buy something 15 for a variance. 16 new that's workable and usable and functional. 16 I hope that when you consider this, you 17 At any rate, one of the things I'm 17 consider not just what you're hearing from paid 18 concerned about, and I pose the question to 18 professionals, from people who are putting 19 both council, as well as to this board, as well 19 forth these projects, but you also give strong 20 as members of the planning board regarding 20 consideration to the public. 21 21 knowledge of the master plan. Some of the And regarding the public, I read an 22 answers that I received were less than 22 article, wrote a letter to the editor in the 23 desirable. Some people had read it, some 23 Suburbanite from last week, who remembered not

24

25

identifying ourselves as a member of the

planning board, nevertheless spoke about an

24

25

people read portions of it, some had not read

it at all, some were planning to read it, and

	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	item and indicated, well, it says 25 people who	1	have to support the financial well-being of the
2	were making statements.	2	State Street district. Hopefully, you
3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Rose, your two	3	represent all of the residents of Teaneck and
4	minutes are up.	4	will come to an educated and fair decision.
5	MR. ROSE: Thank you.	5	And I hope that all of you have visited this
6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: State your name for the	6	area that we're talking about and not just a
7	record.	7	rendering of it. I hope that you do not sell
8	MS. BROWER: Good evening. My name is	8	Teaneck to investors and think about the people
9	Ruth Brower. I'm a member of East Burlington	9	who lived there for some time. Thank you.
10	Neighborhood Association and a very longtime	10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much.
11	resident of Teaneck. I've seen many changes.	11	State your name for the record.
12	B-R-O-W-E-R.	12	MS. LEWIS: Lilian Lewis, L-E-W-I-S.
13	Many residents should remember the	13	As one councilman says over and over
14	steering of home buys of color to the northeast	14	again, when you come to these hearings, the
15	section of Teaneck. Now, a repeat of this	15	Board of Adjustment should have the planning
16	injustice has many similarities through	16	board in one hand and the variance in the
17	systematic discrimination by the dumping of all	17	another and see how they compare.
18	of these rental apartments and the unrealistic	18	I want to first read from the State and
19	granting of variances to these builders.	19	Land Use Law, Article 9.
20	And articles on the Suburbanite, Thursday,	20	It says, "No variance may be granted under
21	May 2nd, issue, make mention of the impact	21	the terms of this section, including a
22	study to be done. A quote from that article,	22	variance, including an inherently beneficial
23 24	the report may show, this will completely revitalize the State Street district.	23	use without a showing that such variance can be granted without substantial detriment to the
25	Our homes and our lifestyles should not	24 25	public good and without substantially impairing
23	our nomes and our mestyles should not	23	public good and without substantially impairing
	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	Good and Welfare	1	Good and Welfare
1 2	Good and Welfare the intent and the purpose of the zoning	1 2	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of
	Good and Welfare	1 2 3	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.
2	Good and Welfare the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."	2	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of
2 3	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part,	2 3	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods. So when he was talking about just the land
2 3 4	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the	2 3 4	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods. So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage	2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods. So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant
2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use	2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods. So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods. So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of course, the 2007, again proposed again in 2017	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back to, send the parking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of course, the 2007, again proposed again in 2017 re-examination, those preserved the character	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back to, send the parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three minutes are up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of course, the 2007, again proposed again in 2017 re-examination, those preserved the character of existing low-density residential, exposing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back to, send the parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three minutes are up. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of course, the 2007, again proposed again in 2017 re-examination, those preserved the character of existing low-density residential, exposing the predominant character of the township.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back to, send the parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three minutes are up. Thank you.  MS. LEWIS: Thank you. I'll be back next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the intent and the purpose of the zoning planning, zoning ordinance."  I'm going to go down to the next part, 40:55D-2. Purpose of the Act. It is the intent and purpose of this Act to encourage municipal action, to guide the appropriate use or the development of all lands in this state in a manner which would promote the public health, that means having the outdoor play area for the children; safety, not overcrowding area, moral and general welfare. And a need to promote the establishment of appropriate population densities and concentrations that will contribute to the well-being of persons, neighborhoods, communities, and regions and preservation of the environment. That is keeping green space.  Going down to the master plan as the planner just he picked and chose what he wanted to read. This was proposed of course, the 2007, again proposed again in 2017 re-examination, those preserved the character of existing low-density residential, exposing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  handed over this renew character of established neighborhoods.  So when he was talking about just the land being able to sustain the 68 units, but this was talking about preserving the predominant character of the township. And the predominant character of this township are single-family housing, not multi-family housing.  The other problem I mentioned, he was talking about the .6 percent vacancy of the 35,000 where was that 35,000 he stated I can't find the number. But anyway, but when you calculate .06 percent [sic], it comes out to about two units of vacancy. Well, do me a favor, all of you, submit that over to Teaneck Road to DeGraw and Teaneck Road, and look at that complex there and count the number of vacancies. I assure you, it's more than two vacancies.  And the other thing last thing, going back to, send the parking.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Three minutes are up. Thank you.

169 170 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Appreciate 1 mile. And what that means is that the 2 2 it. northeastern section of town is more congested, 3 MS. DECARLO-BURNS: Hello. Linda 3 more populated than the rest of Teaneck. 4 DeCarlo-Burns, D-E-C-A-R-L-O-B-U-R-N-S. East 4 So to say that the northeast section of 5 Votee Neighborhood Association. It covers 5 town should bear additional density without 6 roughly from Queen Anne to Teaneck, Route 4. 6 weighing the impact to the residents in the 7 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. 7 same way that you've weighed the impacts to the MS. DECARLO-BURNS: At our last meeting, 8 8 neighbor of that wide driveway, it seems 9 right before you heard the State Street case, 9 unbalanced. That's all I have to say. 10 10 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. you had another case before you which was 11 regarding the expansion of driveway. And you 11 MS. BELCHER: Good evening. Denise 12 were questioning that person really, really 12 Belcher. I'm a resident and a business owner 13 carefully. And you were very concerned about 13 here in town. B-E-L-C-H-E-R. 14 the impact to the neighbor of this wide 14 In full disclosure, I do also serve on the 15 driveway. 15 planning board and I am not here representing 16 Compare and contrast. Here in the 16 them in any way. But as a resident and a 17 northeastern section of Teaneck, these 17 business owner, I also live in the north 18 variances that you're granting are impacting 18 Teaneck Road area of town. 19 our lives in ways that only people who live 19 I just am a little concerned about what's 20 here are trying to tell you and suggest it and 20 happening from the vantage point of many of the 21 we have no resource to support it. 21 things they have been already been said, mainly 22 22 congestion. According to the city data, the northeast 23 23 section of town has approximately 8,000 people I know that there was quite a bit of 24 per square mile. But all of Teaneck, the rest 24 discussion about parking and provisions that 25 25 of Teaneck has about 6,000 people per square the developer is making. But for someone that 171 172 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 owns a home in town, we see constantly people 1 it truly says. There were a lot of things that 2 parking on streets along Teaneck Road and many 2 were not mentioned. 3 of them probably may be Teaneck residents, but 3 And lastly, I just want to mention that 4 they live in other areas of town, running to 4 the Stonefield Engineering report that he 5 5 New York City transit buses. And that creates referenced, what other impact does it have, 6 a major congestion problem. It even prevents 6 such as the impact not just on parking, fire 7 some of us from pulling in and out of our 7 and police, but what impact does it have on our 8 8 hospital and schools. I don't really feel that driveways. 9 9 the report he gave on the schools was So that being said, I know the 10 developer -- it sounds like he's trying to make 10 comprehensive enough. He cites from Rutgers 11 provisions for parking, but I'm really 11 professors and reports, but how truly does it concerned about what additional 68 units --12 12 reflect on our schools. 13 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Your three these 68 units will have upon north Teaneck 13 14 Road. So I ask that you take that into 14 minutes are up. 15 consideration. 15 MS. BELCHER: Thank you very much for your 16 I know that the planner mentioned D5 and 16 time. D6 variance. He mentioned that property -- the MR. MADAIO: Board members, to the extent 17 17 18 property can support the variance. I really 18 that that was directed at any application and not during the public hearing, you cannot 19 question, can it really support 12 variances to 19 20 the extent that they are requesting. 20 consider any of those matters. 21 21 Also, I just want to mention that the --MS. DAVIS: Hi, my name is Wendy Kaye 22 he mentioned that the demand for rental housing 22 Davis, D-A-V-I-S. I wanted to speak in favor 23 based upon the master plan. Well, I encourage 23 of the proposed development. I know you need 24 24 everyone to read the master plan. And in to ignore everything I say. 25 particular, the re-evaluation in 2017 and what 25 MR. MADAIO: Yes, indeed.

	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	MS. DAVIS: But the dead building on State	1	If you drive around this town, many of the
2	Street has been a black hole in an otherwise	2	apartment buildings are old, they are rundown,
3	vibrant heart of Teaneck, and I would love to	3	they are falling apart. I've had the privilege
4	see multi-family residents to help bring more	4	of being a life member of the ambulance corps.
5	people in the area and revitalize what is	5	I've been in multi every single building in
6	really an eyesore. Thank you.	6	this town at one point or another. Many of
7	MR. MADAIO: If you would like that to	7	them are not easy to navigate, to get into.
8	count, you will have to say that during the	8	They don't have elevators, they're old, they're
9	public hearing.	9	crumbling, they're falling apart.
10	MS. DAVIS: Thank you.	10	So if we have someone who wants to make an
11	MR. ORGEN: Hi, good evening. I'm Eric	11	investment in the township, to put up a
12	Orgen, O-R-G-E-N.	12	building, to put up a house, put up a store,
13	So I've been a Teaneck resident going on	13	put up a restaurant, 7-Eleven, whatever it is,
14	21 years and I'll say this. If you're a	14	if they're going to put money into it and make
15	developer, you've got to be crazy not to build	15	that investment, we should, as a board and
16	in this town because the people here are so	16	town, support any efforts here. Thanks.
17	awesome. But the times have changed and it	17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thanks. Next person.
18	can't be the way it used to be.	18	MR. HIRSCHHORN: Sean Hirschhorn,
19	I've seen my property taxes more than	19	H-I-R-S-C-H-H-O-R-N.
20	triple in the 20 years that I've owned my home	20	MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you
21 22	here in Teaneck. And anyone who's willing to make an investment to make the town better to	21 22	say about the application we have to ignore.  MS. DECARLO: That's fine. As someone
23	provide more and do more and to modernize where	22	who's attended planning board meetings and
23 24	we are today, should be welcome in any kind of	24	zoning meetings, I think the township continues
25	development whatsoever.	25	to develop a true downtown area with ample
23	de relophien whatsoever.	23	to develop a due do wino wir area with ample
	175		176
	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	Good and Welfare parking. That being said, about eight years	1	Good and Welfare current owners of the projects that I the
2	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee',	2	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with
2 3	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and	2 3	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new
2 3 4	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the	2 3 4	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the	2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State
2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were	2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore. You still want to say it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much. State your name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R.  So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much. State your name for the record.  MR. DULITZ: Brian Dulitz, D-U-L-I-T-Z. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved both by adding money into different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much. State your name for the record.  MR. DULITZ: Brian Dulitz, D-U-L-I-T-Z. I lived in the Warren apartments for four years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R.  So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved both by adding money into different infrastructures, but also having private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much.  State your name for the record.  MR. DULITZ: Brian Dulitz, D-U-L-I-T-Z. I lived in the Warren apartments for four years and I can attest to everything that man just said. And I think the new development and the new development is going on will both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved both by adding money into different infrastructures, but also having private individuals invest into the community through development. I think it needs to be done in a smart way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much.  State your name for the record.  MR. DULITZ: Brian Dulitz, D-U-L-I-T-Z. I lived in the Warren apartments for four years and I can attest to everything that man just said. And I think the new development and the new development is going on will both create a new project, which will allow not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper.  MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R.  So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved both by adding money into different infrastructures, but also having private individuals invest into the community through development. I think it needs to be done in a smart way.  I think this project sits in between two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  parking. That being said, about eight years ago, my wife and I at that time my fiancee', were looking at apartments in Teaneck and Washington Heights. And comparing the aesthetics of the apartments compared to the costs, the Washington Heights apartments were significantly better. I think in adding to the apartments in town would force landlords and owners to improve the existing buildings and create better accommodations for its own residents as well as improving in general, Teaneck.  That being said, I also think that State Street is a very wide street, that perhaps it should be considered making it two lanes each way. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Very much.  State your name for the record.  MR. DULITZ: Brian Dulitz, D-U-L-I-T-Z. I lived in the Warren apartments for four years and I can attest to everything that man just said. And I think the new development and the new development is going on will both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  current owners of the projects that I the properties that I live in have to compete with what's new out there. And I think that the new competition is great for Teaneck.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next. State your name for the record.  MR. KLAPPER: Elliot Klapper. MR. MADAIO: You understand anything you say about the application, we have to not listen to you. We have to ignore.  You still want to say it?  MR. KLAPPER: Yes. K-L-A-P-P-E-R. So I just wanted to come out also and thank the board members for your time and service. I know it's not easy staying out here late and listening to everybody.  I think that it's smart for towns to look forward and to look how a town can be improved both by adding money into different infrastructures, but also having private individuals invest into the community through development. I think it needs to be done in a smart way.

	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	area that should be developed. With these type	1	Recently, the Regional Planning
2	of developments, I think that if you invest in	2	Association Consortium of 33 counties that make
3	your town, the town will continue to grow and	3	up
4	creates jobs and opportunities for people.	4	(Reporter interruption.)
5	More people will want to live here. More	5	MR. KLATSKY: I want to share something
6	people will come to the town who want nicer	6	with you from a document released by the
7	things, nicer homes.	7	Regional Planning Association. So the Regional
8	As the person before me said, it will	8	Planning Association.
9	cause all the other apartments in the area to	9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Not so fast because the
10	be renovated and make them want to do these	10	court reporter has to take it down.
11	things, as well. I think if you look at the	11	MR. KLATSKY: So the Regional Planning
12 13	development in a smart way and approve things that makes sense, it's really, really good for	12 13	Association over the past few years has recognized that there's been a critical housing
14	the town and all their events there is in the	14	shortage in our region. Our region has about
15	town.	15	22 million people.
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Next person, please.	16	Over the past decade, 4.6 million of those
17	MR. KLATSKY: My name is Michael Klatsky,	17	people have come of age. That's 23 percent of
18	K-L-A-T-S-K-Y. So tonight I want to share	18	the total population is between the ages of 20
19	something with the board that doesn't	19	and 34 right now and they're looking for a
20	necessarily relate to this application. I	20	place to live.
21	recognize I recognize that you cannot	21	We're also fortunate that many people are
22	consider anything that I speak about for the	22	comfortable aging in place, which means that
23	application tonight. So I want to provide you	23	there are no housing opportunities because all
24	with high-level context of what's happening in	24	of the houses are taken. And all of the
25	the planning world that we're inside of.	25	people those 4.6 million people are children
	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	Good and Welfare	1	Good and Welfare
1	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist,	1 2	Good and Welfare in the same distance to the north to Ayers
2	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the	2	Good and Welfare in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't
2 3	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced	2 3	Good and Welfare in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck
2 3 4	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and	2 3 4	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen
2 3	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.	2 3	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and	2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.
2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy	2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble
2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire	2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings. My point here tonight is that this area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the 1940s, who decided that it would not be worthy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley. I delayed saying anything about this, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the 1940s, who decided that it would not be worthy to put a street connecting to Bogert Street,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley. I delayed saying anything about this, but when I watched the attorney being escorted out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the 1940s, who decided that it would not be worthy to put a street connecting to Bogert Street, which is the street in east Votee neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley. I delayed saying anything about this, but when I watched the attorney being escorted out of here with the police officer, I felt I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the 1940s, who decided that it would not be worthy to put a street connecting to Bogert Street, which is the street in east Votee neighborhood. Which means that people do not have anything to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley. I delayed saying anything about this, but when I watched the attorney being escorted out of here with the police officer, I felt I needed to say something.  At the last meeting, the lawyer was very rude to me. First she was in my private space,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that grew up in the homes that currently exist, which means we have a huge deficit over the past decade. The entire region has produced 300,000 homes; 150,000 in New York City and about 100,000 in North Jersey.  I would tell you as a planner, I'm happy to be a planner. I did a lot of work with the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council with the North Jersey Transportation Fire NJDOT, New York City DOT, New York State DOT and so on. But you're not here to hear about that tonight. You're here to hear about the need for housing in the lower region.  Now, zooming into our region, the area in question is known as "the plaza." You all know about it. It's where all of the apartment buildings are. West Englewood Avenue has no connection, thanks to the developers in the 1940s, who decided that it would not be worthy to put a street connecting to Bogert Street, which is the street in east Votee neighborhood. Which means that people do not have anything to do with the folks in the east Votee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in the same distance to the north to Ayers Court, it's a two-minute walk. So that doesn't happen. Because if you're walking Teaneck Road, with speeding cars and buses, or on Queen Anne Road, where there's parking lots by bubble dreams and gas station and office buildings.  My point here tonight is that this area exists in somewhat of a bubble. The northeast  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Time is up. Sorry. MR. MADAIO: Anything on that which was in any way construed as testimony about the application, unfortunately you have to ignore or unfortunately it doesn't matter.  And if anybody wants to say anything up here, you can.  MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: I won't say anything about it. Tanya Pagan Raggio-Ashley. I delayed saying anything about this, but when I watched the attorney being escorted out of here with the police officer, I felt I needed to say something.  At the last meeting, the lawyer was very

181 182 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 of the meeting, I don't know if it's because 1 gets a police escort? That tells me that she 2 2 she was anxious, she picked up her stuff and thinks that I'm worthless. And you know what? 3 she walked smack into me like this 3 I don't know if I'm going to come back another 4 (indicating). She hurt me. Okay. 4 time, because I came back here to speak, I 5 I had surgery, back surgery years ago. I 5 asked my question, I was supposed to be able to was up all night. I feel like today what approach the gentleman from the planning -- the 6 6 7 happened -- and maybe wasn't her intention --7 planner and he did it and I didn't get a chance 8 was something that we call implicit bias. 8 to. And I'm not supposed to be here. I'm 9 She was walked out of here with a police 9 supposed to be on vacation with my family the 10 escort. At the end of that meeting, one of the 10 next time it's scheduled. 11 plainclothes policemen -- and let me say that I 11 But more importantly, I just want to make 12 love our Teaneck policemen and I want to say 12 sure no other Teaneck person is treated the way 13 13 I was and devalued the way I was while someone thank you. 14 14 who behaved badly was valued. That's all. (Applause.) 15 MS. RAGGIO-ASHLEY: At the end of our last 15 Thank you. 16 meeting, one of the plainclothes policeman said CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next person 16 17 to me, Do you want to file a complaint? And I 17 please. 18 didn't. I should have. I heard somebody said 18 DR. RAGGIO: Good evening. My name is 19 I should have. And you know why I didn't? 19 Roland Raggio. I'm a resident of Teaneck. 20 Because I live in Teaneck. I want to make this 20 There's been a lot of discussion this evening 21 work. I'm actually not against that building. 21 about demographics, about ratables, about 22 22 I'm just against how tall it is and I'm not -parking spaces. But what hasn't been discussed 23 23 is that the northeast section of Teaneck has that's the only reason why I came last time. 24 But for me, for that to happen to me and 24 been a longstanding middle-class community 25 25 my family has been here for 50 years, and she populated by primarily people of color, people 183 184 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 of color that have been here for a long time. 1 Mr. Meyer, addresses people. Disrespectful, 2 And the northeast section of Teaneck is 2 rude, and dismissive. There's no place for 3 having to bear the burden of this 3 this in our community. 4 4 hyper-expansion. The town can't accommodate (Applause.) 5 5 it. They're trying to twist and turn so DR. RAGGIO: And something really should 6 someone can make a profit. So people that 6 be done about this. In all the years -- and 7 can't find housing that want to be here can 7 I'm almost 60 years old, I don't think I've 8 8 ever seen something as deplorable as your squeeze in here. 9 We have multiple schools in this area. We 9 behavior, Mr. Meyer. It's absolutely 10 have children that have to cross streets. You 10 atrocious. 11 have developers saying, oh, well, the 11 (Applause.) population is going down. The population is 12 12 DR. RAGGIO: And with that being said, 13 going down throughout the country. But that 13 there are other things that can be done with 14 doesn't mean that the existing children that 14 100 State Street. Think about the people in 15 are here don't deserve to have an opportunity 15 this community. Provide services for the 16 to cross streets safely, to get back and forth people in this community. 16 17 to school safely. 17 You're not taking care of the residents 18 We're talking about a decrease in 18 who are already here that you want to continue 19 population within the public school system. We 19 to expand and expand. And you're doing it off 20 have not addressed the increase in the student 20 the backs of the people that are already here. 21 21 population in nonpublic schools that also need If you want to expand Teaneck, then 22 to be transported safely. 22 distribute that burden across the entire town, I would also like to talk about the way 23 23 not just one section of it. Thank you. 24 24 these meetings are handled. It is absolutely (Applause.) MR. ROSE: Howard Rose. Could we have all 25 25 deplorable to see the way the chairman,

185 186 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 of the board members turn off their machines 1 occupancy was granted. 2 and focus on what's being said here? 2 That property came back to you in 2015 for 3 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Go ahead, Mr. Powers. 3 a major change even after the developer's 4 MR. POWERS: Chuck Powers, Teaneck. I 4 agreement. It came back to you in 2017 for a 5 want to talk for a minute about something that 5 height increase. And guess what, it is 2019, 6 6 three years after that, was to further complete you folks did in 2013. 7 It was in the fall of 2013, you went 7 it under the developer's agreement unless there 8 through a long process in which the 8 was a re-evaluation by this body and by the 9 applicant/developer told you a bunch of 9 council to put it back on the board. 10 different things about his own problems and go 10 My point about this is that whole business 11 ahead and cleaning out the piece of property 11 of providing dramatic variances has a very 12 that was sold light industrial. And he wanted 12 strange output in terms of what's happened in 13 to put 128 residential properties on a 13 this town. 14 light-industrial property. And you folks went 14 I drove by there. There's 60 -- they 15 through a long process and it's unclear to me 15 say -- it's a 60-car parking lot on it. And 16 why that change of use was actually permitted, guess what? There are eight cars there. We 16 17 except that the developer chose not to take his 17 only have four of those seven or eight -- it's 18 application to the planning board and then to 18 a little unclear -- seven or eight residential 19 the zoning process and get the thing zoned as 19 levels approved for even temporary occupancy. 20 if it's a residential property. And you folks 20 The other four aren't approved yet. And 21 went ahead and finally approved, and in May of 21 there's also kind of redevelopers. The town 22 22 2014 council passed the developer's agreement, knows that. 23 23 which had a two-year limit on how long it would It's important for you folks to think 24 be before that had to be completed, and the 24 about how it could be that something you 25 25 occupancy completed and the way in which the approved in 2013 has proven to be so much in 187 188 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 violation of the agreement of the town. 1 So I don't know how fast you're going to CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much. 2 2 sell those apartments, but, again, you really 3 Next, please. 3 have to consider things that are going on in 4 4 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Good evening. town. And the residents are really very 5 5 MS. SHILAN: Micki Shilan, Teaneck. unhappy with what's going on. 6 S-H-I-L-A-N, M-I-C-K-I. They call me Shilan 6 So think about it. The railroads, the 7 but it's really Shilan (pronunciation). 7 noise, the potholes. If you keep building, 8 Anyway, I've been living in this town for 8 we're going to sink. I'll tell you that. 9 over 50 years and I've seen many changes. 9 Thank you. 10 Right now I represent a couple of thousand 10 (Applause.) CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much. 11 seniors that are living in this town and don't 11 know where to go if they sell their homes. 12 12 Next person, please. 13 They find that the buildings that are 13 MR. SILLS: Hello. My name is Roosevelt 14 going up, the rentals, are very high. 14 Sills, S-I-L-L-S. 15 Remember, we remember years ago what things 15 I've been in Teaneck 39-plus years and 16 cost and they still feel that way. 16 this is a place that I love. I often tell on 17 One of the other things is I spend a lot 17 the community scholarship board, I go to the 18 of time at the senior center, Palisade Avenue, 18 high school and talk to the children. I told 19 and I listen to those trains going by, idling, 19 them I was in the military 30 years. I tell 20 going by. You can't talk. And how many of 20 everywhere in the world how well Teaneck is so 21 21 them -- you have two major developments right highly spoken of. 22 by the railroads. And you think people are 22 I'm here to say I'm not against -- I'm 23 going to come in and drive around the streets 23 actually development friendly. But one thing 24 24 in those potholes and say, wow, I'm going to I'm concerned about is that our master plan is, 25 live near the railroad. 25 to me, means safety and also means quality of

189 190 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 life. 1 MR. KAPLAN: Keith Kaplan, K-A-P-L-A-N. I 2 2 want to thank the attorney and the Chair for I have lived in an area -- I have lived in 3 an area there have been crisis where many, many 3 laying out the rules for people, and I know 4 people in a small -- in such a small area where 4 there's been some confusion in the past. 5 there was a crisis, they had to move people 5 I want to tangentially touch on the area. 6 out. And I thought that I'd recommend to our 6 I want to talk about something that Mr. Rose 7 leadership -- I was in leadership for many 7 brought up about people don't really understand 8 years in the military -- that you need to take 8 the master plan in its total context. And I'm 9 a look at our evacuation plan. If something 9 one of the people that read the master plan and 10 really happened in the northeast with a large 10 I read the amendments and passed many of the 11 number of people, whether fire or something 11 re-examinations when I was on the planning 12 crazy happened on the train tracks or whatever, 12 board. 13 and have to move these people too, it would be 13 And the context of the master plan 14 the most compact area in the northeast. 14 dovetails with the zoning that the town has 15 We need to look at that and consider the 15 passed since we were incorporated in 1895. I 16 quality and safety, as one of my number one won't bore you with the entire history, but 16 17 priority. And I'm also speaking as a resident. 17 from the '30s to the '40s, this area, in CHAIRMAN MEYER: What branch of service? 18 18 particular by State Street, was sold, parsed 19 MR. SILLS: Army, Sergeant Major. 19 off, and zoned. 20 MR. MADAIO: Mr. Sills, if you want to 20 In '48, when the original zoning at issue 21 come back the next time this is on or when the 21 here was brought up, the owner on the north 22 22 hearing is over, you can talk as part of the side, Mr. Stanley Ford, came forward and he 23 23 hearing, it will make its way in the public didn't understand why the zoning was being put 24 record. 24 at this level when the apartments just down the 25 25 MR. SILLS: Thank you, sir. block, if you go by the news place, are already 191 192 Good and Welfare Good and Welfare 1 five stories high. He owned the property and 1 all, if every property fit neatly, we don't 2 felt it would be a problem for him. 2 need the zoning board. We can just look at 3 This is what the council said when they 3 whatever zone it is, we can look at -- what 4 4 were passing Ordinance 878. And it's an we're trying to do here is meet the rules of 5 5 important ordinance and I'll get to that reason the master plan, which state that in 6 in a second. He said if we didn't have 6 multi-family zones, we use the multi-family 7 apartments in town -- this is Councilman Putan 7 zone approach as to shifting multi-family zones 8 (phonetic). This is a quote. He thought it 8 into other sections of town. 9 would benefit the town as a whole. Also if the 9 Now, Dr. Hagathy, who's also on the 10 ordinance is discriminatory, relief could be 10 council, thought the fact that the council had 11 found in the courts. 11 established in this ordinance that the Board of Adjustment indicated that they did not expect 12 The mayor, Mayor Brett, stated that this 12 13 ordinance was probably not perfect, but that it 13 it would be perfect and problems would be 14 was a step in the right direction, that the 14 brought --15 council were honestly trying to do what's best 15 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much. 16 for the township as they see it and if it does MR. KAPLAN: That is the context of the 16 17 need a revision, there's a Board of Adjustment 17 regional ordinance of September 7, 1948. 18 18 CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you very much. and the courts. 19 This Ordinance 878 not only accepted 19 MR. SPEARS: Joshua Spears, longtime 20 zoning, but it created the Board of Adjustment 20 resident of Teaneck, S-P-E-A-R-S. 21 21 for Teaneck. And specifically the zoning, Granted, I know I can't address directly 22 which was contemplated in the context of the 22 the things from the hearing, but I will be back next month to do this again. 23 master plan that was brought up, specifically 23 24 24 talks about the idea that certain properties CHAIRMAN MEYER: Excellent. 25 are not going to fit neatly into zoning. After 25 MR. SPEARS: But I caution you about one

	Good and Welfare		Good and Welfare
1	thing. Something my dad told me years ago. He	1	plan. I know the 2017 master plan because I
2	said "thieves can lie and liars can thieve."	2	sat here and I wrote it. I sat there and I
3	Be careful where it is you get your facts	3	wrote it until 2:00 in the morning.
4	from. With the ADA, I used to sit on the board	4	It was also in 2007, shared by Joey
5	in town when the ADA first came out years back,	5	Bodner. Mr. Rose, myself sat on the board. So
6	decades ago. And I just looked it up while I	6	to sit here and say ignore the 2017 master
7	was sitting back here. The size of those	7	plan, which was a Bodner show, he also wrote
8	parking spaces are not correct. You can look	8	the 2007 master plan.
9	it up yourself.	9	And I urge you, if you have more time
10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Take it up with the	10	tonight because it's only been a few
11	planner next time.	11	minutes to read the 2017, which is ten years
12	MR. SPEARS: 2015, 2010, The Department of	12	after the 2007. Ten years in New Jersey, where
13	Justice, ADA Regs, Section 208 and 502. It	13	almost every single municipality has built
14	gives you the size of parking spaces. That's	14	multi-family housing. 2017 was a different
15	all I have to say for now.	15	world in 2007. Read the 2017. Read the 2007.
16	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Please bring	16	It will talk about what really needs to be in
17	it up with the planner. That would be smart.	17	Teaneck.
18	MR. SPEARS: I will.	18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Next person.
19	MR. SCHWARTZ: Mark Schwartz. I'll be	19	This is last person for the night.
20	quick. S-C-H-W-A-R-T-Z.	20	MR. OSTROTH: Yes. I'm Jeff Ostroth,
21	I wanted to speak to his comment about	21	O-S-T-R-O-T-H.
22	to I don't believe the word is "ignore," but	22	I remember seeing a TV show a while ago
23	don't pay attention to the 2017 master plan	23	where a lawyer ends on trial, makes some
24	re-examination and show me a modern show.	24	statement and the judge said, "The jury is
25	Focus on the 2007. I know the 2007 master	25	instructed to ignore everything that was said."
	Good and Welfare		196
1	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it	1	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to
2	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore	2	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.
2 3	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.	2 3	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if
2 3 4	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream,
2 3 4 5 6	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream
2 3 4 5	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from
2 3 4 5 6 7	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciences.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciencess and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. That was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. That was the last person. I said the last person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. That was the last person. I said the last person.  MR. ROSEN: We want to go home too, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. That was the last person. I said the last person.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Good and Welfare  The lawyer turned to his client he said it would take brain surgery for them to ignore everything.  That was a cynical lawyer, but there's an kernel of truth. And the parts of the pompousness of the board attorney's instruction to ignore it.  This is not this is not evidence that's being entered. These are expressions of opinion and expressions of feeling. And I would hope that it will go into your ears and into your consciousness and into your consciences.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you.  MR. OSTROTH: As far as the thing about yes, we don't we know there's a purpose of variances. It is to adjust for these little problems. These are wholesale variances, extreme variances. They are not intended to adjust. They are intended to nullify the master plan.  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. That was the last person. I said the last person.  MR. ROSEN: We want to go home too, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN MEYER: Thank you. Motion to adjourn.  MR. SOHN: The question is, what if everybody does that? If everybody goes to Bischoff's and orders rum raisin ice cream, some people will get ice cream  CHAIRMAN MEYER: Mr. Sohn, please stop. The meeting is over. Mr. Sohn, step down from the microphone.

	197	
1		
2 3	CERTIFICATE	
4 5		
6	I hereby certify that the proceedings herein are	
7 8	from the notes taken by me in this matter of the	
9	aforementioned case; and that this is a correct transcription of the same.	
10 11		
12		
13 14		
15	Cartified Court Percerter	
16 17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		

(Time noted: [2] 156/6 196/9 BY MS. **BERGER:** [17] 6/5 10/1 10/20 12/21 13/24 15/4 15/10 19/16 22/5 31/12 86/14 105/6 106/17 123/8 130/21 133/22 140/23 **CHAIRMAN MEYER: [263]** DR. RAGGIO: [3] 182/17 184/4 184/11 **MR. BROWN:** [3] 154/19 155/1 155/15 MR. DULITZ: [1] 175/18 MR. **HIRSCHHORN: [1]** 174/17 MR. JUZMESKI: **[17]** 151/20 152/1 152/13 152/17 152/20 152/25 153/3 153/6 153/15 153/19 154/2

154/10 154/14 154/18 154/25 155/5 155/18 MR. KAPLAN: **[2]** 189/25 192/15 MR. KLAPPER: **[2]** 176/6 176/11 MR. KLATSKY: **[3]** 177/16 178/4 178/10 MR. MADAIO: **[33]** 6/10 6/16 8/2 23/11 23/15 24/10 24/14 54/3 63/1 63/18 66/5 66/10 95/2 95/5 95/8 98/15 98/20 99/7 99/19 104/10 104/15 104/25 106/9 157/14 160/11 162/6 172/16 172/24 173/6 174/19 176/7 90/8 90/17 124/4 180/10 189/19 MR. ORGEN: [1] 173/10 MR. OSTROTH: **[2]** 194/19 195/14 MR. POWERS: **[31]** 73/2 73/10 73/13 73/15 73/24

74/8 74/20 75/1 75/4 75/9 75/18 76/6 76/18 77/3 77/13 77/18 78/2 78/5 78/13 78/20 79/6 79/15 79/18 79/21 80/1 80/7 80/12 80/17 80/22 136/9 185/3 MR. REHMAN: **[7]** 18/25 80/18 91/16 131/6 131/15 135/8 148/3 MR. ROSE: [3] 162/11 165/4 184/24 **MR. ROSEN: [52]** 5/3 5/7 9/9 9/12 9/22 18/17 21/3 21/6 30/23 36/21 37/13 48/8 48/12 48/17 89/23 90/1 124/16 125/1 125/5 125/16 127/21 127/24 128/11 128/18 128/21 129/9 129/20 132/21 133/5 134/4 134/6 134/10 134/16 134/22

MR. ROSEN:
<b>[15]</b> 138/15
138/18 138/22
139/2 139/7 139/15
141/1 141/10
141/14 144/14
144/22 151/3
156/12 156/25
195/23
MR.
<b>SANTAELLA:</b>
<b>[11]</b> 96/15 96/22
97/25 98/10 98/16
99/11 99/21 100/7
100/14 101/10
101/23
MR.
SCHWARTZ: [1]
193/18
MR. SILLS: [3]
188/12 189/18
189/24
MR. SOHN: [16]
92/14 92/17 93/2
93/6 93/12 93/17
93/20 93/25 94/6
94/10 94/14 95/20
96/2 96/5 96/11
196/2
MR. SPEARS: [4]
192/18 192/24

193/11 193/17 MR. VEACH: **[91]** 43/12 43/22 43/24 44/3 44/8 44/18 45/6 45/10 45/13 45/15 45/18 45/20 46/9 46/16 46/21 46/24 47/2 47/13 47/23 48/20 48/25 49/4 49/12 49/19 49/23 50/2 50/5 50/7 50/11 50/17 50/21 51/12 51/15 51/18 51/24 52/3 52/11 52/19 53/1 53/5 53/12 53/16 54/20 54/24 55/13 55/18 55/22 56/8 56/20 57/4 57/6 57/11 57/20 58/10 58/21 58/25 59/3 59/6 59/10 59/14 59/18 59/25 60/4 60/7 60/12 60/17 60/24 61/9 61/23 62/2 62/7 62/10 62/13 62/24 63/3 63/8 63/12 63/20 64/6 65/1 65/7 65/12 65/18 65/21 66/3 66/8

66/13 66/19 66/24 160/14 162/5 **MR. VINCE:** [18] 22/16 22/22 22/25 23/4 23/14 24/5 24/13 24/15 25/6 25/13 25/20 25/24 26/15 26/20 27/4 27/18 28/2 28/21 MS. BELCHER: **[2]** 170/10 172/14 MS. BERGER: **[68]** 3/4 3/8 4/5 7/11 7/18 7/23 8/7 10/13 10/18 12/5 13/19 18/1 18/5 20/3 22/4 22/20 22/24 25/3 29/1 33/7 36/19 37/14 41/7 44/5 46/12 47/5 53/22 54/7 58/2 58/14 61/11 63/15 64/2 65/9 70/17 72/21 78/11 81/21 81/24 82/4 91/6 91/11 91/22 92/5 92/11 95/1 95/4 95/7 95/12 97/11 99/5 100/4 103/25 104/8 104/24 105/4

MS. BERGER:... **[12]** 106/14 132/23 136/20 136/23 136/25 137/5 137/11 137/14 147/10 150/25 151/6 156/5 MS. BROWER: **[1]** 165/7 **MS. BROWN: [6]** 35/8 35/21 36/7 36/11 37/11 37/16 **MS. DAVIS: [3]** 172/20 172/25 173/9 MS. **DECARLO-BUR NS: [2]** 169/2 169/7 **MS. DECARLO: [1]** 174/21 MS. EDWARDS: **[7]** 41/11 41/16 41/23 42/8 43/1 43/6 43/9 MS. ELIYAHU: **[18]** 29/11 29/14 29/18 29/23 30/14 31/19 32/2 32/7

32/16 32/20 33/15

33/24 34/10 34/13 35/2 103/6 103/18 103/21 **MS. HONIS: [2]** 92/6 92/13 MS. KRON: [23] 85/22 86/1 86/5 86/17 86/21 87/3 87/7 87/10 87/12 87/22 88/3 88/9 88/15 88/21 89/17 89/25 90/3 90/10 90/19 91/1 91/10 91/12 91/14 MS. LEWIS: [22] 37/20 37/23 38/1 38/5 38/12 38/15 38/18 38/24 39/8 39/17 40/10 40/16 40/21 41/8 102/2 102/13 102/16 102/18 102/24 103/4 166/11 168/23 MS. **RAGGIO-ASHLE Y: [19]** 81/5 81/9 81/12 81/18 81/25 82/2 82/6 82/20 82/23 83/6 83/9 83/13 83/21 84/9

84/12 85/11 85/18 180/16 181/14 **MS. ROGOVIN: [22]** 67/3 67/10 67/15 67/22 68/2 68/5 68/15 68/19 69/2 69/10 69/22 70/2 70/6 70/14 70/21 71/4 71/8 71/11 71/19 71/24 72/16 72/18 MS. SHILAN: [1] 187/4 THE BOARD **SECRETARY: [17]** 3/19 5/15 24/6 29/17 43/20 43/23 66/22 67/1 74/9 74/15 74/19 86/3 134/8 138/17 150/15 151/9 162/3 THE COURT **REPORTER:** [4] 24/8 67/14 104/3 156/2 THE WITNESS: [251] UNIDENTIFIED **AUDIENCE MEMBER:** [9] 5/5 5/10 5/13 5/17

UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: [5] 7/25 74/13 74/18 156/23 159/2  '30s [1] 190/17 '40s [1] 190/17 '48 [1] 190/20 '64 [1] 110/17 '80s [1] 114/17	118/2 118/10 08 [2] 1/7 3/2  1 1 percent [1] 128/19 1,000 [1] 129/24 1.1 [2] 21/22 32/8 1/2 [3] 71/8 71/9 152/21 10 [1] 31/10 10 feet [1] 68/13 10 percent [5] 120/11 120/14 120/22 121/20 160/7 10,000 [1] 59/1 10.2 [1] 124/6 100 [20] 1/7 3/2 60/10 62/11 62/14 62/16 63/24 64/9 89/3 92/20 93/9 93/15 94/2 94/8 97/16 111/10 111/15 133/5 155/22 184/14 100 feet [2] 31/2 109/18 100,000 [1] 179/5 104 [1] 2/4 10:03 [1] 136/17 10:27 [1] 156/7	11 [1] 105/25 115 feet [1] 109/12 11:21 [1] 196/10 12 [1] 171/19 1200 [2] 17/9 42/22 128 [1] 185/13 14,000 [1] 117/24 140 [3] 59/10 59/11 139/6 15 [5] 14/3 65/17 77/18 137/4 152/21 15 feet [7] 13/6 17/23 31/1 65/20 65/22 68/17 82/16 15 percent [6] 120/23 120/23 121/5 121/21 124/5 126/1 15-foot [2] 30/17 31/9 15-minute [1] 179/24 150 [1] 146/10 150,000 [1] 179/4 1500 [1] 139/10 154 [1] 96/17 157 [1] 2/12 16 [1] 1/3 160 [1] 2/12
--	---	--

1	<b>1960s [1]</b> 107/24	194/15 194/15
<b>165</b> [1] 2/13	<b>1963</b> [1] 110/17	2009-2010 [2]
<b>166</b> [1] 2/14	<b>1980s [2]</b> 105/18	140/19 141/1
<b>169</b> [1] 2/14	114/17	<b>2010 [3]</b> 140/19
<b>17</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 149/19	<b>1987</b> [1] 142/5	141/1 193/12
<b>170</b> [1] 2/15	2	<b>2011</b> [2] 115/11
<b>172</b> [1] 2/15	<b>2 feet [3]</b> 23/7	115/19
<b>173</b> [1] 2/16	153/14 155/16	<b>2013</b> [3] 185/6
<b>174</b> [1] 2/16	2 inches [1] 55/9	185/7 186/25
<b>175</b> [1] 2/17	2-by-2 [1] 155/11	<b>2014</b> [2] 116/4
<b>176 [1]</b> 2/17	<b>2.38</b> [3] 118/20	185/22
<b>177</b> [1] 2/18	118/24 146/8	<b>2015</b> [9] 2/24 60/9
<b>18 [9]</b> 45/25 46/4	<b>2.59</b> [1] 144/10	60/14 63/10 63/11
86/17 86/18 106/2	<b>2.6</b> [1] 144/10	129/14 149/4 186/2
153/11 153/13	2.6 percent [1]	193/12
153/13 155/17	129/4	<b>2016</b> [10] 2/25
<b>18 feet [2]</b> 154/23	<b>20 [8]</b> 63/23 94/17	60/3 63/6 63/22
155/2	103/1 150/2 150/13	63/22 63/23 78/16
<b>18-foot</b> [1] 155/7	151/6 173/20	94/17 94/18 146/16
<b>180</b> [1] 2/18	178/18	2016-2017 [1]
<b>182</b> [1] 2/19	200 [2] 17/5	141/5
<b>185</b> [1] 2/19	146/10	<b>2017</b> [ <b>33</b> ] 116/4 116/6 117/22
<b>187</b> [1] 2/20	<b>200 feet [1]</b> 159/7	118/14 118/15
<b>188</b> [1] 2/20	<b>2000</b> [1] 142/10	118/19 119/9
<b>1895</b> [1] 190/15	<b>2006</b> [1] 142/10	119/11 119/9
<b>19</b> [1] 107/24	<b>2007</b> [ <b>16</b> ] 112/6	121/19 124/19
<b>190</b> [1] 2/21	112/21 115/4 115/8	126/4 126/24 127/9
<b>192</b> [1] 2/21	118/14 160/22	128/2 128/5 128/8
<b>194</b> [1] 2/22	161/1 161/8 167/21	130/19 138/9
<b>1940s</b> [1] 179/19	193/25 193/25	139/16 141/5
<b>1948</b> [1] 192/17	194/4 194/8 194/12	137/10 141/3

2	<b>2:00</b> [1] 194/3	47/14 48/15
<b>2017</b> [12] 143/14	<b>2nd</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 165/21	<b>37,102</b> [1] 2/6
146/17 160/23	3	<b>39-plus [1]</b> 188/15
167/21 171/25		4
186/4 193/23 194/1	<b>3 feet [1]</b> 163/9	
194/6 194/11	<b>3,542</b> [1] 128/9	4 by [1] 78/2
194/14 194/15	<b>3,621</b> [1] 141/6	4 feet [2] 79/5 79/6
<b>2018</b> [1] 143/15	<b>3.1</b> [1] 143/24	4,000 feet [1]
<b>2019</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 1/3 22/24	<b>3.14</b> [ <b>3</b> ] 143/17	19/24
130/25 149/19	143/23 143/25	<b>4,162</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 140/20
186/5	<b>30</b> [6] 42/24 65/17	140/23
<b>208</b> [1] 193/13	95/10 128/19 153/4	
<b>208</b> [1] 193/13 <b>21</b> [6] 22/24	188/19	178/16 178/25
149/17 151/9	<b>30 feet [3]</b> 40/25	<b>40</b> [ <b>3</b> ] 136/24
151/10 151/12	68/8 68/15	142/12 143/16
173/14	<b>30-foot</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 161/24	<b>40 feet</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 153/4
	161/25	<b>40,000</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 118/1
<b>218-2016 [4]</b> 2/25 63/6 63/22 94/17	<b>300 feet [1]</b> 109/13	
	<b>300,000</b> [1] 179/4	<b>40:55D-2</b> [1] 167/4
22 [2] 2/3 143/15	<b>31-page</b> [1] 6/13	41 [1] 2/7
22 million [1]	<b>32</b> [1] 143/15	<b>42</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 96/18
178/15	<b>33</b> [ <b>4</b> ] 134/1 134/2	43 [1] 2/7
<b>23 [3]</b> 64/18 93/4	134/12 178/2	43 percent [2]
178/17	<b>34</b> [ <b>3</b> ] 134/3	127/14 127/17
<b>230 feet [3]</b> 109/10	15 1/15 1/0/17	<b>44 [5]</b> 37/16
113/12 114/8	<b>35</b> [1] 2/6	111/11 111/17
<b>25 [10]</b> 7/7 11/12	<b>35 feet [1]</b> 159/9	132/11 159/15
19/2 20/23 21/4	<b>35,000 [2]</b> 168/11	455D-70 [1]
22/8 38/7 151/18	168/11	108/24
152/6 165/1	<b>3500</b> [1] 128/15	<b>46 [3]</b> 44/21 45/12
<b>27</b> [1] 143/10	<b>36</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 44/20 45/11	45/14
<b>29,103</b> [1] 2/5	45/14 45/16 46/18	

5 percent [1] 118/5 50 [4] 31/12 142/12 181/25 187/9 50 feet [1] 109/17 50 percent [2] 91/21 125/25 500 [1] 130/7 5002 [1] 64/17 5004 [1] 92/20 502 [2] 93/3 193/13 541 [2] 141/8 141/19 58 [2] 143/11 143/20 6 6 6,000 [1] 169/25 60 [3] 2/24 184/7 186/14 60-car [1] 186/15 63 [2] 62/19 62/23 64 [2] 2/25 111/7 66 [2] 2/24 2/25 66-2015 [4] 2/24 60/9 60/14 63/10 67 [1] 2/8 68 [12] 52/5 60/7	103/1 111/16 120/3 123/16 168/4 171/12 171/13 68-unit [2] 76/21 144/19 680 [1] 42/22 6:45 [1] 135/22  7 7 feet [1] 71/11 7-1/2-foot [1] 71/13 7-Eleven [1] 174/13 70 [2] 108/24 132/17 70 feet [1] 31/12 73 [1] 2/8 75 [1] 149/4 752 [1] 92/15 7:00 p.m [2] 151/1 151/2  8 8 feet [4] 71/8 71/10 155/4 155/17 8,000 [8] 25/8 102/5 102/10 102/15 102/21 102/22 103/2 169/23	47/15 47/23 49/15 71/15 72/4 86/8 86/25 87/5 154/22 8-foot-wide [3] 45/12 71/12 154/24 8-foot-width [1] 154/25 8.01 [1] 8/19 80-foot [1] 79/4 81 [1] 2/9 85 [1] 2/9 86 [1] 159/7 878 [2] 191/4 191/19 89 [7] 55/15 59/17 64/16 93/3 93/10 93/16 94/9 89 feet [1] 79/3 8:57 [1] 104/5 9 9 feet [4] 46/23 153/7 155/3 155/18 9,774 [1] 128/10 9-by-18 [1] 153/11 9-foot [14] 47/1 47/2 47/19 47/25 48/14 49/15 49/20 77/25 86/9 86/18
<b>67</b> [1] 2/8	102/22 103/2	48/14 49/15 49/20

9	30/16 31/1 31/21	131/18 133/24
9-foot-wide [5]	31/24 32/21 33/13	138/7 138/7 139/22
44/1 44/5 44/24	34/20 35/2 35/11	140/3 140/9 140/11
45/8 46/11	35/15 35/16 35/23	140/13 140/14
<b>9.9 feet</b> [1] 40/24	37/6 40/18 40/18	146/19 147/17
<b>92</b> [1] 2/10	41/14 41/18 41/25	150/19 153/4
<b>96</b> [1] 2/10	43/3 44/20 44/22	153/18 154/22
<b>97</b> [1] 79/3	45/1 46/12 46/18	155/11 155/13
<b>9:00</b> [ <b>1</b> ] 136/11	46/25 49/1 50/1	156/11 156/14
<b>9:10</b> [1] 104/6	53/18 53/19 54/5	156/20 157/4 157/7
<b>9:57</b> [1] 136/8	59/21 59/21 60/1	157/9 157/11
	65/14 67/16 68/10	157/13 158/1 158/8
<u>A</u>	70/9 71/14 71/15	158/10 160/14
a purpose [1]	72/4 72/20 75/17	160/20 160/22
195/16	76/23 77/7 80/17	160/23 163/18
<b>A-1</b> [1] 94/19	82/11 82/15 82/16	164/25 166/6 166/8
<b>A-2</b> [1] 6/13	84/6 86/7 86/8 96/6	168/3 168/5 168/10
<b>A-200</b> [1] 17/5	96/24 98/23 99/3	168/14 169/13
A-S-H-L-E-Y [1]	99/22 100/20 101/3	169/25 170/19
81/8	102/5 102/6 105/25	170/24 171/12
abandoned [1]	106/8 108/10	174/21 175/1 176/9
110/18	109/10 109/11	177/22 178/14
<b>ability [7]</b> 7/7	110/8 110/24	179/5 179/11
17/10 88/14 88/17	110/25 111/7	179/12 179/16
91/22 92/4 100/7	113/12 114/1	180/12 180/18
<b>able [9]</b> 19/25 20/6	116/17 117/17	180/19 182/21
43/4 44/23 109/4	120/11 121/2	182/21 182/21
152/13 154/6 168/4	124/19 125/2 125/3	183/18 183/23
182/5	125/24 125/25	184/6 184/14 185/5
<b>about [166]</b> 10/23	126/10 126/16	185/10 186/10
12/15 16/20 26/23	126/17 128/19	186/24 188/6

$\mathbf{A}$	71/2 71/13 71/18	49/11 50/12 50/15
<b>about [8]</b> 188/24	75/22 77/17 77/23	50/16 53/13 55/14
190/6 190/7 191/24	86/20 87/21 88/1	55/16 58/12 67/25
192/25 193/21	88/3 88/15 88/17	69/3 69/20 73/7
194/16 195/15	92/1 97/20 97/25	79/24 111/13
above [4] 13/6	98/8 126/18 153/11	111/18 119/5
14/4 51/5 109/5	183/4	132/12 184/22
above-ground [1]	accommodations	act [3] 119/16
51/5	<b>[3]</b> 90/16 90/22	167/4 167/5
absolutely [5]	175/10	<b>action [1]</b> 167/6
73/14 122/11	accompanying [1]	activated [1] 9/24
158/18 183/24	8/18	<b>active [2]</b> 67/6
184/9	accordance [1]	162/24
<b>absorbed</b> [1] 67/21	89/14	actual [1] 19/2
accepted [1]	according [4]	<b>actuality [1]</b> 42/11
191/19	118/4 136/12	<b>actually [11]</b> 6/23
access [12] 10/9	146/12 169/22	51/10 78/7 80/9
14/25 36/5 36/14	<b>account [3]</b> 42/6	86/19 86/25 131/12
36/16 36/16 37/5	101/23 135/10	146/25 181/21
39/19 48/8 72/8	<b>accurate [2]</b> 76/16	185/16 188/23
79/2 99/23	128/22	<b>ADA [14]</b> 86/14
accessed [1] 71/17	achieve [1] 75/23	88/7 88/8 88/19
accessible [10]	acknowledges [1]	89/24 90/1 97/18
12/21 37/1 37/11	116/18	97/20 97/22 97/25
37/12 86/20 87/1	acre [1] 109/12	114/25 193/4 193/5
87/2 90/8 92/9	across [34] 11/15	193/13
111/1	11/20 12/3 12/13	add [4] 7/7 48/23
accessing [1] 8/16	12/20 27/22 32/23	49/2 98/2
accommodate [25]	33/18 33/19 36/17	added [4] 21/5
15/17 16/3 18/14	37/1 37/6 37/16	48/8 78/11 96/9
30/10 30/13 70/25	38/17 38/21 46/25	<b>adding [5]</b> 74/7
20,10 20,10 10,12		

A	addresses [2]	admonition [1]
adding [4] 93/18	43/19 184/1	32/20
151/18 175/7	addressing [1]	adopt [1] 44/4
176/19	93/24	adopted [9] 44/7
addition [2] 93/14	adequately [1]	63/10 63/23 107/10
107/8	111/15	107/12 107/13
additional [33]	<b>adhere [1]</b> 164/10	121/16 124/9 126/4
4/11 11/10 12/14	adjacent [13] 8/25	<b>Adoption [1]</b> 1/6
13/23 14/19 14/19	9/4 13/7 13/12 24/2	advance [1] 34/24
16/7 16/17 20/23	25/22 26/4 26/8	adverse [2] 25/16
35/25 38/7 49/2	26/11 30/21 40/1	84/25
49/13 75/22 76/14	69/16 92/25	adversely [1]
76/17 88/8 88/19	adjourn [1] 196/2	23/20
97/2 97/5 97/18	adjourned [2]	advice [3] 56/25
97/21 97/23 98/3	150/1 150/2	57/17 101/2
98/8 109/5 112/11	<b>adjudging</b> [1] 43/7	<b>Advisory [1]</b> 81/1
114/12 115/23	adjust [3] 8/2	aesthetic [2] 19/6
139/19 152/6 170/5	195/17 195/20	19/8
171/12	ADJUSTMENT	aesthetically [1]
Additionally [1]	<b>[7]</b> 1/2 1/8 121/8	125/20
16/19	166/15 191/17	aesthetics [1]
address [20] 7/12	191/20 192/12	175/5
7/13 29/20 37/23	administer [1]	<b>Affairs [1]</b> 122/20
37/24 38/1 41/6	122/8	affect [2] 7/22
83/24 95/1 95/11	administered [1]	23/20
08/15 113/18 115/0	122/6	<b>affected [2]</b> 48/10
115/10 117/3 117/4	administrative [5]	48/16
124/21 147/24	122/16 122/17	affiliation [4]
161/9 192/21	122/19 123/7	22/16 104/17
addressed [3] 27/7	124/12	104/18 105/22
50/14 183/20	admit [1] 156/21	affirmative [3]
JU/17 103/20		

A	56/23 68/21 75/4 84/15 84/22 85/1	93/14 aggregated [1]
affirmative [3]	85/5 89/1 93/11	93/8
97/11 117/2 117/3	94/5 94/15 94/18	aging [3] 119/20
affordable [24]	94/24 95/9 101/24	119/25 178/22
111/8 116/11 120/9	102/7 108/24	ago [10] 32/2
120/12 121/18 122/22 123/3	119/18 119/24	95/10 120/22
123/12 123/14	125/17 127/6 131/5	143/12 175/2 181/5
123/12 123/14	137/21 140/22	187/15 193/1 193/6
124/12 125/1	140/25 141/11	194/22
125/24 126/1	154/15 156/13	<b>agree [11]</b> 17/20
129/18 143/1 143/7	157/9 166/14	20/25 21/2 25/3
143/7 144/6 144/17	167/21 167/21	25/11 25/18 25/21
144/18 149/3 160/5	188/2 192/23	26/19 40/22 45/7
affordables [1]	<b>against [3]</b> 181/21	147/10
1/13/0	181/22 188/22	<b>agreed [3]</b> 36/23
aforementioned [1]	age [9] 140/15	102/12 130/18
197/8		agreement [16]
<b>after [15]</b> 7/14	144/14 144/19	12/11 53/19 54/1
27/15 50/19 56/19	145/3 160/3 178/17	
59/20 85/17 88/10	age-friendly [1]	80/9 80/14 94/13
90/14 105/16	160/3	94/16 95/23 96/9
115/16 116/18	aged [3] 143/17	185/22 186/4 186/7
186/3 186/6 191/25	143/21 144/3	187/1
194/12	Agency [1] 105/24	ahead [10] 5/20
afternoon [1]	agenda [1] 3/21	15/10 29/7 40/14
80/24	<b>agent [3]</b> 122/16 122/17 123/8	54/20 54/24 162/11   185/3 185/11
again [44] 16/16	agents [1] 122/19	185/21
37/8 46/13 49/17	agents [1] 122/19 ages [1] 178/18	air [1] 14/9
52/3 52/23 53/14	aggravated [1]	aisle [2] 86/25
54/18 55/3 56/14		

A	169/24 170/9 177/9	<b>also [65]</b> 3/13
aisle [1] 132/18	177/14 178/23	14/11 14/23 15/12
Alan [2] 2/10	178/24 179/15	16/6 17/6 17/13
92/15	179/16 181/6	21/24 22/14 25/18
all [78] 6/8 6/12	182/14 184/6	26/13 32/21 34/7
9/23 9/25 21/12	184/25 192/1	38/16 51/16 51/23
30/19 33/6 35/2	193/15	54/13 64/17 65/2
36/18 38/19 45/25	<b>allocate</b> [1] 84/2	65/8 66/9 74/7
50/22 54/25 64/20	<b>allow [5]</b> 72/8	86/21 89/16 91/2
66/2 66/9 66/10	109/3 109/6 159/12	101/22 105/20
78/9 82/22 84/7	175/24	106/1 106/6 107/9
87/4 90/8 90/9	allowable [1]	107/11 107/15
95/21 96/25 100/9	108/20	112/19 114/6
100/22 101/8	<b>allowed [5]</b> 22/9	116/10 117/5 120/6
101/10 101/12	41/13 75/6 110/3	120/8 137/13
101/22 104/7	158/2	137/16 142/15
109/16 111/6	<b>almost [4]</b> 34/20	142/18 144/23
117/16 117/17	163/11 184/7	146/5 146/20 148/1
121/24 121/25	194/13	157/22 164/19
122/19 122/21	<b>along [19</b> ] 9/4 9/21	170/14 170/17
122/23 124/20	13/3 13/12 23/24	171/21 175/13
125/22 126/14	23/25 24/22 25/22	175/25 176/13
128/20 129/16	26/2 26/16 64/20	176/20 178/21
143/9 150/23	65/3 78/25 94/6	183/21 183/23
151/25 153/18	94/22 109/13 135/2	186/21 188/25
154/18 155/6 155/7	153/13 171/2	189/17 191/9 192/9
156/1 159/24	<b>already [10]</b> 35/18	194/4 194/7
161/15 162/19	70/10 96/14 112/12	alternative [4]
163/25 164/3	139/17 139/18	12/25 17/24 31/16
165/17 166/3 166/5	170/21 184/18	49/10
167/7 168/15	184/20 190/25	alternatives [1]

$oldsymbol{A}$	154/21	78/17 84/9 85/6
alternatives [1]	<b>ample [2]</b> 94/22	91/6 92/13 97/3
49/9	174/25	97/4 97/12 97/13
<b>although [5]</b> 9/2	<b>analyses</b> [1] 143/3	98/18 99/15 100/2
14/24 99/3 105/11	analysis [2] 146/12	100/9 100/12
126/15	157/16	100/18 147/13
always [5] 33/3	<b>Angela [3]</b> 1/23	answered [10]
107/21 140/13	54/15 197/14	12/16 46/15 49/3
141/20 143/8	<b>Angie [4]</b> 1/23	54/3 58/16 65/11
am [9] 20/20 41/13	24/8 104/2 197/14	85/14 97/1 97/10
75/9 75/16 82/24	<b>angled [7]</b> 64/19	98/13
145/13 151/12	65/2 96/8 132/20	answering [3]
170/15 170/19	133/10 133/12	10/15 100/6 101/14
Amazon [4]	133/15	answers [3] 73/22
112/21 114/16	<b>Anne [9]</b> 109/10	101/13 163/22
114/19 116/9	113/12 114/8 128/3	anticipate [1]
ambulance [2]	134/8 134/11	102/14
147/17 174/4	138/21 169/6 180/5	anticipated [2]
amended [1] 4/12	<b>another [14]</b> 16/16	130/15 143/17
amendment [1]	19/18 20/9 62/25	<b>anxious [1]</b> 181/2
119/12	100/2 103/15	any [55] 4/11 5/4
amendments [1]	103/20 120/8	5/8 9/14 10/12
190/10	136/22 137/4	14/15 18/4 19/7
American [3]	166/17 169/10	28/25 29/2 32/3
105/21 117/20	174/6 182/3	48/19 54/8 55/16
118/4	answer [32] 7/2	56/6 58/19 60/17
among [1] 120/18	7/16 10/17 11/11	62/21 69/4 69/24
amount [11] 51/11	21/18 32/12 33/10	69/25 71/3 73/13
52/22 56/18 59/8	34/9 54/19 54/19	74/21 75/7 76/17
65/15 74/7 89/13	57/24 58/10 65/24	83/1 83/2 83/15
98/2 130/14 131/5	70/19 73/21 77/1	83/16 83/21 83/24

$\mathbf{A}$	180/17 180/19	<b>applicant</b> [ <b>13</b> ] 1/21
any [23] 84/22	<b>Anytime</b> [1] 53/3	23/22 27/23 28/8
84/23 98/10 101/19	anyway [3] 128/25	28/10 58/4 98/20
103/25 118/3	168/12 187/8	111/12 116/2
121/16 121/18	<b>apart [2]</b> 174/3	130/17 132/10
132/9 135/10	174/9	138/2 185/9
142/20 145/3	apartment [10]	applicant's [1]
157/23 162/7 163/2	52/1 70/11 118/24	11/7
163/17 164/4	119/1 120/20 129/8	applicant/develope
170/16 172/18	142/2 142/2 174/2	<b>r</b> [1] 185/9
172/20 173/24	179/16	applicants [1]
174/16 180/12	apartments [19]	108/12
anybody [3] 37/4	21/15 55/6 118/21	application [41]
95/11 180/15	118/22 121/25	1/5 12/2 20/24
anyone [14] 3/14	127/21 128/9	27/11 27/17 34/17
8/6 12/21 22/3	128/20 142/15	34/22 34/22 37/9
28/25 29/4 36/18	165/18 175/3 175/5	64/12 78/16 100/24
36/22 69/5 69/21	175/6 175/8 175/20	106/24 107/6 115/6
158/1 161/9 164/4	177/9 188/2 190/24	121/13 123/23
173/21	191/7	132/5 145/10
anything [25]	<b>apologize</b> [2] 23/15	146/16 149/16
17/25 22/3 27/12	63/20	156/20 157/4 157/7
34/13 35/17 44/7	apparently [2]	157/10 157/12
60/1 80/16 82/4	24/11 110/16	158/2 158/5 158/10
102/10 132/7	<b>appeal [1]</b> 72/19	158/12 158/15
156/25 157/25	appearance [1]	160/14 162/8 162/9
158/8 158/10	125/4	172/18 174/21
158/23 160/13	Applause [6]	176/9 177/20
174/20 176/8	131/15 181/14	177/23 180/13
177/22 179/22	184/4 184/11	185/18
180/11 180/15	184/24 188/10	applications [1]
100/11 100/13		

A	approving [2]	17/22 17/23 19/24
applications [1]	56/19 145/9	20/10 29/21 30/10
122/21	approximate [1]	30/13 30/15 30/16
apply [2] 70/16	15/20	30/22 30/24 31/7
70/20	approximately [18]	31/8 31/12 31/15
appreciate [3] 35/4	13/6 14/3 16/8	32/6 40/19 41/2
164/6 169/1	17/9 19/24 30/18	42/23 47/25 56/5
appreciated [1]	31/2 31/10 31/11	56/10 58/12 64/24
162/15	59/7 77/17 77/18	65/13 65/14 65/19
approach [4]	77/23 92/8 128/15	68/2 68/14 68/14
18/21 18/22 182/6	136/11 152/21	69/12 74/4 78/4
192/7	169/23	78/9 83/2 83/3 84/2
appropriate [10]	approximation [1]	84/22 88/19 90/15
33/9 61/8 66/1 84/8	136/19	103/14 107/5
84/16 147/12	<b>April [2]</b> 63/11	111/21 112/18
147/20 157/4 167/6	149/17	113/13 126/18
167/12	<b>April 4 [1]</b> 149/17	132/1 142/6 142/22
approval [4] 25/10	<b>April 7 [1]</b> 63/11	155/12 166/6 167/9
27/8 64/11 94/23	<b>architect</b> [ <b>9</b> ] 13/10	167/11 170/18
approve [5] 27/3	14/15 98/13 99/3	173/5 174/25 177/1
27/12 77/9 122/9	99/7 100/3 101/15	177/9 179/14 180/7
177/12	110/25 124/24	183/9 189/2 189/3
approved [17]	architectural [6]	189/4 189/14 190/5
27/18 37/9 94/17	13/11 14/16 17/1	190/17
97/15 100/24	99/8 124/25 125/3	areas [13] 15/13
102/11 122/19	are [227]	15/25 17/20 45/21
123/23 132/5	area [ <b>80</b> ] 14/8	55/1 59/4 59/5
139/10 139/10	15/14 16/3 16/5	77/25 98/6 114/7
139/10 139/10	16/6 16/12 16/13	114/10 148/12
185/21 186/19	16/14 17/8 17/10	171/4
186/20 186/25	17/12 17/15 17/19	aren't [6] 49/6
100/20 100/23		

$\mathbf{A}$	53/25 54/10 54/10	158/13 158/24
aren't [5] 61/24	57/8 58/7 60/8	160/5 160/5 162/14
62/21 98/14 99/23	60/15 60/16 60/20	162/14 162/24
186/20	60/22 62/17 63/22	163/19 163/19
<b>argue [1]</b> 73/21	64/5 64/9 64/11	163/19 163/20
argument [3]	64/16 64/17 66/19	164/8 164/11
95/25 148/6 148/10	67/19 67/19 72/12	164/11 164/24
<b>Army [1]</b> 189/19	74/4 76/10 77/6	166/13 167/18
around [11] 52/2	82/23 82/25 83/23	170/16 172/6
52/7 71/7 79/6	84/16 84/21 84/21	174/15 174/22
110/20 136/3	84/24 85/1 85/2	175/11 175/11
138/14 139/22	85/3 86/21 86/25	177/8 177/11 179/6
156/1 174/1 187/23	87/3 87/21 87/21	179/15 180/12
arrangement [3]	88/8 89/13 89/13	184/8 184/8 185/19
57/25 58/1 76/13	89/22 90/4 90/23	189/16 189/17
<b>arrived</b> [1] 4/3	90/24 93/9 93/15	189/22 191/9
art [1] 161/6	94/12 94/18 95/15	191/16 192/7
article [3] 164/22	96/9 101/20 104/13	195/15 195/15
165/22 166/19	104/14 104/24	<b>Ashley [4]</b> 2/9 2/18
articles [1] 165/20	108/9 109/18	81/8 180/18
as [156] 3/7 6/13	109/18 110/23	<b>aside [6]</b> 111/8
6/20 10/4 10/8 11/6	110/23 112/21	120/12 120/22
11/19 12/13 13/8	114/19 115/3	121/20 121/21
14/12 15/13 16/18	121/15 122/4	125/24
16/23 17/14 17/15	126/11 126/12	asides [1] 120/13
20/18 23/23 25/23	127/15 129/5 129/6	ask [44] 4/18 9/8
27/4 29/5 29/9 30/5	131/9 131/9 131/22	12/10 22/15 29/8
32/15 32/16 33/5	140/14 144/12	32/10 32/15 34/6
33/21 46/5 47/3	144/16 145/8 148/1	34/13 35/14 35/20
47/4 47/15 47/15	148/12 148/24	41/14 43/20 54/17
48/14 50/17 51/22	156/21 157/5	61/17 61/21 62/7

$\mathbf{A}$	associate [1]	148/24
ask [27] 67/8	104/21	attractive [1]
68/24 71/23 73/1	Associates [3]	125/16
73/15 73/22 82/2	104/10 104/21	audience [5] 2/5
82/15 82/19 82/25	106/3	2/12 5/1 9/14 96/21
83/12 83/22 85/13	association [8]	availability [1]
85/15 85/17 85/22	27/24 59/22 165/10	150/3
92/18 95/25 96/1	169/5 178/2 178/7	<b>available [13]</b> 3/17
97/23 128/24	178/8 178/12	36/18 37/3 77/24
129/22 137/21	<b>assumes</b> [1] 70/4	95/19 127/11 129/9
147/16 156/16	<b>assuming</b> [1] 66/6	132/13 147/5
159/19 171/14	<b>assure [1]</b> 168/18	149/11 150/13
asked [25] 7/13	<b>ATIF</b> [1] 1/12	150/15 155/12
38/10 40/17 40/18	<b>Atlantic</b> [1] 126/21	<b>Avalon [2]</b> 138/23
43/15 45/24 46/7	atomic [1] 135/20	139/11
49/1 58/16 73/19	atrocious [1]	<b>Avenue [4]</b> 112/25
77/8 77/8 84/6	184/10	113/1 179/17
96/20 96/23 98/12	<b>attack [1]</b> 101/9	187/18
99/13 99/22 100/10	<b>attended</b> [2] 105/9	average [7] 21/21
124/9 138/7 152/14	174/23	118/18 118/24
157/7 161/12 182/5	<b>attention [4]</b> 61/11	119/4 119/4 119/5
asking [14] 7/23	62/4 124/21 193/23	146/8
38/1 39/3 47/20	attest [1] 175/21	average-average
48/22 68/16 73/6	<b>attitude</b> [1] 159/16	
76/4 85/1 85/8	<b>attorney [9]</b> 1/15	avoid [2] 112/15
96/14 99/1 102/12	1/21 44/16 47/10	159/6
160/8	82/8 91/5 156/17	aware [6] 32/24
aspect [1] 11/13	180/20 190/2	83/15 83/21 83/24
assessment [2]	attorney's [1]	84/22 94/25
19/4 135/16	195/6	away [6] 61/9
assign [1] 70/20	attorneys [1]	97/24 98/3 121/5

$\mathbf{A}$	186/9 189/21	<b>basin [6]</b> 23/21
away [2] 135/18	192/22 193/5 193/7	24/1 24/12 24/20
163/9	background [2]	28/4 51/6
awesome [1]	81/19 105/11	<b>basins</b> [3] 23/2
173/17	<b>backlog</b> [1] 135/11	23/6 51/4
<b>Ayers [1]</b> 180/1	backs [1] 184/20	basketball [1]
	backwards [1]	65/23
В	152/7	bass [1] 65/15
B-E-L-C-H-E-R	<b>backyard</b> [1] 30/7	be [259]
[1] 170/13	<b>badly [1]</b> 182/14	<b>bear [2]</b> 170/5
<b>B-R-O-W-E-R</b> [1]	<b>balance [2]</b> 30/20	183/3
165/12	123/20	<b>bearing [1]</b> 162/8
<b>B-U-R-G-I-S</b> [1]	<b>balcony</b> [1] 110/12	beast [2] 160/24
104/22	<b>bar [1]</b> 18/22	160/25
<b>back [47]</b> 7/16	<b>barely [1]</b> 115/14	beautiful [2]
8/11 9/10 11/8	<b>BARTA [1]</b> 1/11	113/13 124/25
13/11 19/2 24/25	<b>base [3]</b> 116/9	became [3] 105/16
39/24 42/16 49/18	138/15 139/22	109/24 142/7
60/2 72/24 75/10	<b>based [19]</b> 12/7	because [75] 7/5
76/19 97/17 97/23	21/21 27/8 31/14	7/18 9/1 13/8 20/10
98/25 99/10 119/11	32/5 61/25 74/3	21/18 24/18 28/16
128/12 130/9	89/2 93/18 93/21	29/25 31/7 34/7
134/24 135/25	94/11 102/17 103/4	41/21 42/4 46/11
136/17 137/11	143/13 144/7	47/21 48/5 49/8
138/6 138/25 142/5	144/15 148/8	52/23 53/8 54/14
142/24 147/13	148/13 171/23	55/21 56/11 61/12
150/12 151/16	<b>basic</b> [1] 16/2	65/10 67/1 67/7
153/17 162/5	<b>basically [7]</b> 20/1	68/25 69/11 70/3
168/20 168/24	33/21 51/10 121/12	70/10 71/25 81/15
181/5 182/3 182/4	124/14 153/20	81/22 86/2 86/6
183/16 186/2 186/4	153/22	88/22 90/6 90/24
	<u> </u>	

В	143/15 143/16	152/24
because [37]	<b>been [40]</b> 3/15	behaved [1]
92/23 93/23 107/22	5/22 13/20 19/20	182/14
108/5 108/14	50/13 69/7 89/15	<b>behavior</b> [1] 184/9
108/21 109/20	104/13 105/23	<b>behind [6]</b> 13/14
110/6 110/15 114/4	105/24 106/2	13/19 13/23 94/16
114/6 114/19 115/6	110/17 113/9	103/11 154/7
116/4 122/13 123/6	116/17 118/23	<b>being [28]</b> 1/9
131/13 132/8 135/8	127/15 128/2	11/16 19/14 27/10
138/9 140/13	133/15 138/16	33/21 42/19 48/10
141/20 143/8 149/8	138/19 139/5	74/1 74/23 77/8
157/5 159/4 164/2	139/13 141/9	77/8 79/10 84/19
173/16 178/9	159/15 170/21	133/7 156/15
178/23 179/24	170/21 173/2	159/21 166/1
180/3 181/1 181/20	173/13 174/5	167/14 168/4 171/9
182/4 194/1 194/10	178/13 181/25	174/4 175/1 175/13
bedroom [24]	182/20 182/22	180/20 184/12
14/21 19/11 21/14	182/24 183/1 187/8	185/2 190/23 195/9
21/24 119/2 120/15	188/15 189/3 190/4	<b>beings</b> [1] 159/20
120/15 120/16	194/10	<b>Belcher [2]</b> 2/15
120/20 123/18	<b>before [23]</b> 3/15	170/12
123/19 123/22	4/10 5/23 6/7 6/10	<b>believe [23]</b> 10/6
123/24 123/25	8/12 18/21 40/3	15/8 26/3 26/24
127/19 142/16	40/17 40/18 50/13	30/9 32/11 42/21
142/17 142/17	54/13 82/4 105/12	55/15 59/19 70/13
143/1 143/15	107/18 116/3	73/12 75/15 83/17
143/16 144/8 144/8	137/17 137/22	113/25 121/9
144/9	156/4 169/9 169/10	132/10 133/14
bedrooms [8]	177/8 185/24	139/14 146/5
31/22 76/23 120/18	beginning [4]	147/19 157/16
123/21 135/6 142/1	70/13 106/9 140/19	160/24 193/22

В	76/2 76/5 80/5	97/18 97/23 98/15
<b>below [1]</b> 78/10	114/5 114/10	105/23 107/4
beneficial [1]	176/24 178/18	107/10 107/12
166/22	<b>bias [1]</b> 181/8	108/12 108/22
benefit [7] 11/16	<b>bicycle [4]</b> 15/18	112/3 112/6 112/10
11/21 12/19 15/7	16/8 16/15 16/17	116/3 116/6 120/12
20/23 36/1 191/9	<b>bicycles [3]</b> 15/13	121/8 121/8 121/23
<b>BERGER [28]</b>	76/18 77/18	125/18 126/4
1/21 2/3 2/4 3/3 4/4	<b>big [6]</b> 110/22	126/24 127/9
7/10 8/1 18/1 18/5	116/13 117/25	129/15 139/16
25/2 34/4 36/3 36/4	126/12 126/19	139/19 140/2
36/10 36/19 41/7	147/22	140/12 145/8
54/22 57/24 60/23	<b>biggest</b> [1] 131/14	145/16 145/20
61/2 61/9 64/1	Bischoff's [1]	148/18 149/22
66/20 92/8 95/21	196/5	157/17 157/21
104/7 132/22	<b>bit</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 86/5 107/20	157/23 158/3 158/6
155/24	108/10 136/6 154/8	158/9 158/13
<b>Berger's [1]</b> 96/3	154/14 170/23	158/17 158/19
besides [5] 42/24	black [1] 173/2	159/1 159/19
76/17 114/11	<b>block [2]</b> 64/17	160/12 161/5
114/15 114/16	190/25	162/14 162/20
best [10] 3/7 12/24	<b>board [101]</b> 1/2	162/22 162/22
17/18 28/16 49/9	1/8 1/15 1/17 1/20	163/19 163/20
100/6 121/15 126/2	4/24 6/10 10/12	164/25 166/15
164/8 191/15	10/16 11/4 16/25	166/16 170/15
<b>better [8]</b> 17/24	22/3 22/14 27/8	172/17 174/15
31/15 32/12 121/11	27/11 28/25 29/2	174/23 176/14
130/20 173/22	30/11 34/21 46/1	177/19 185/1
175/7 175/10	49/9 53/24 57/3	185/18 186/9
between [11] 27/14	70/25 72/20 77/8	188/17 190/12
42/21 45/3 68/14	81/1 96/20 97/9	191/17 191/20

B **board...** [5] 192/2 192/11 193/4 194/5 195/6 **board's [3]** 107/16 125/9 130/19 **boards** [3] 106/4 106/4 149/9 **Bob** [1] 141/23 **Bodner** [3] 161/4 194/5 194/7 **body** [1] 186/8 **Bogert** [1] 179/20 **Bogota** [1] 139/14 **bollard [2]** 6/24 8/13 **books** [1] 139/4 **bore [2]** 96/20 190/16 **borne** [1] 127/9 borough [3] 56/24 66/8 148/24 **both [20]** 8/15 8/16 9/21 11/3 15/16 15/18 18/14 45/18 45/19 45/22 49/19 55/1 72/17 87/1 132/18 144/17 161/25 163/19 175/23 176/19 **bother** [1] 161/17

**bottom** [1] 16/5 **bought** [1] 163/4 **bound** [1] 117/13 brain [1] 195/2 **branch** [1] 189/18 break [4] 104/3 136/8 137/20 137/20 breakdown [2] 123/11 129/11 **Brett** [1] 191/12 **Brian [2]** 2/17 175/19 brick [1] 113/2 brick-and-mortars **[1]** 113/2 **briefly [1]** 124/18 **bring [4]** 137/11 163/10 173/4 193/16 **broke** [1] 142/16 **brought** [7] 98/25 147/13 160/19 190/7 190/21 191/23 192/14 **Brower [2]** 2/13 165/9 **BROWN [4]** 1/10 2/6 35/10 155/20 **bubble [2]** 180/5 180/8

**budget** [1] 148/24 **buffer [3]** 30/18 30/20 66/3 **buffered** [1] 31/9 **buffering** [1] 17/21 **buffers** [1] 93/2 **build** [10] 25/23 38/14 39/12 56/5 60/6 111/13 149/1 154/1 161/7 173/15 **builder** [1] 122/7 **builders** [2] 37/9 165/19 building [117] 6/23 8/13 8/16 8/17 9/17 9/19 11/19 12/5 12/10 12/14 13/2 14/11 14/14 14/15 16/21 17/11 17/16 17/19 17/22 19/13 20/3 20/7 26/5 26/15 26/17 30/11 30/14 30/21 32/23 32/25 33/14 33/17 33/22 35/17 36/15 37/4 39/25 42/2 42/7 44/1 45/17 47/5 47/16 48/24 48/25 49/14 52/1 52/6 52/8 52/17 52/20 52/21

building [65] 53/9 53/10 61/25 62/19 65/17 66/1	
53/9 53/10 61/25   1/5/9 1/9/1/ 180/6 1/0/12 1/0/	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	/17
02/18 03/17 00/1   = 3 3 = 3	
67/25 68/7 69/4   built [13] 53/10   buy [2] 117	//13
69/6 69/9 69/15 62/23 83/4 88/11 163/15	
69/18 69/20 69/24 97/2 110/14 110/15 buying [1]	
70/6 70/7 83/16 110/16 113/9 <b>buys [1]</b> 16	
83/19 84/4 87/14 114/17 139/8 139/9 bylaws [1]	
87/16 88/11 89/12 194/13 bypass [1] 4	42/18
89/16 89/20 90/14   bump [1] 18/21   C	
91/20 94/6 102/10 bumps [2] 18/9 C4.01 [2] 1	5/23
$ 108/9 108/20 110/5 ^{18/13}$	<i>27 <b>2</b>3</i>
110/11 110/11   <b>bunch [1]</b> 185/9   <b>C6.0</b> [1] 94	/5
110/16 110/17     Durden [5] 27/25   C6 00 [11 7	
$ 110/23 111/2 111/5 ^{111/2} ^{111/3} ^{183/3}$   C8 00 [3] 6	
$ 111/6 111/20 113/1 ^{104/22}$	
115/7 115/8 115/22 Bureau [1] 130/11 calculate [1]	1
119/25 124/25   bureaucratic [2]   168/13	_
125/13138/20   colculation	[1]
139/11 139/13   Burgis [4] 104/10   102/23   104/21 106/3	
$\begin{vmatrix} 141/22 & 142/2 & 142/3 \end{vmatrix}$ 106/11   calculations	s [3]
143/3 140/2 14//19 <b>Durgis!</b> [11 106/11   25/7 27/9 2'	7/17
Purlington [1]   calendar [3]	] 150/4
150/4 150/2	23
$ \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{u}}  = \frac{181/21 \cdot 188/7}{21 \cdot 2/14} $   call [10] 10	4/8
	/8 117/9
$ \mathbf{L}  = \frac{9/17}{127/12}  \mathbf{b}_{12}  = \frac{127/12}{135/12}  \mathbf{L}  = 127/1$	<b>/21</b>
110/14 110/15 42/16 43/4 144/10 152/	/1 181/8
buses [4] 135/18   187/6	
116/19 119/20   buses [4] 133/18   called [8] 8	/19

## 83/12 86/11 86/20 158/25 161/11 89/5 89/6 91/5 95/1 161/22 168/12 **called...** [7] 14/24 95/8 95/11 97/12 173/18 183/4 183/7 89/24 104/13 112/6 97/13 97/22 98/18 187/20 192/21 122/15 142/11 98/19 99/19 100/25 cancel [1] 12/11 159/23 cannot [9] 11/22 101/1 101/9 102/6 came [8] 75/13 80/25 157/23 158/3 105/4 109/1 109/4 126/15 181/23 112/21 114/25 158/6 158/13 182/4 186/2 186/4 115/13 116/19 158/17 172/19 190/22 193/5 118/7 118/8 121/11 177/21 **Camry [1]** 71/10 **capacity** [1] 25/17 123/19 126/19 can [130] 3/8 3/11 137/9 137/20 140/3 **Cape [1]** 125/10 4/21 5/12 5/13 8/6 capitalize [2] 145/12 145/13 9/8 10/17 11/10 147/7 147/12 119/17 119/19 11/11 15/10 15/17 car [5] 71/2 72/2 147/23 148/12 15/19 16/3 16/7 150/16 152/14 135/21 135/22 16/11 16/15 17/2 153/13 154/23 186/15 17/14 19/2 24/2 card [1] 113/23 155/24 156/25 26/24 27/14 28/3 157/1 158/7 158/23 care [3] 64/24 29/14 29/25 30/4 159/6 159/23 161/7 83/18 184/17 32/12 33/3 34/19 career [1] 106/9 166/23 171/18 36/11 37/19 40/16 careful [1] 193/3 171/19 175/21 41/23 42/9 42/16 carefully [1] 176/18 180/16 42/18 42/25 45/7 183/6 183/7 184/13 169/13 47/4 47/16 51/21 189/22 192/2 192/3 **Carol [2]** 2/9 85/24 54/14 54/16 54/19 carry [1] 135/17 193/2 193/2 193/8 54/19 54/21 56/6 can't [21] 5/5 5/9 cars [15] 21/15 57/24 58/11 60/15 31/22 32/8 33/4 41/22 54/20 54/20 61/1 61/7 61/21 92/6 97/24 100/18 33/19 70/16 90/12 62/23 63/8 66/9 128/14 154/4 117/12 127/11 70/25 71/2 71/20 156/21 157/5 127/12 127/13 72/24 77/16 77/23

C	119/10 127/9	140/7 147/9 147/11
cars [4] 152/11	130/11	149/21 150/16
152/13 180/4	center [3] 17/7	154/3 154/19
186/16	83/18 187/18	162/13 183/25
carved [1] 127/7	<b>certain [9]</b> 3/16	<b>chambers [4]</b> 51/6
case [11] 70/14	91/19 112/8 115/13	150/8 150/9 150/24
92/7 101/1 102/1	132/8 137/7 143/1	<b>chance</b> [1] 182/7
114/8 123/16	148/19 191/24	<b>change</b> [19] 7/6
123/20 157/12	certainly [2]	7/18 7/20 8/8 67/16
169/9 169/10 197/8	105/14/142/21	72/21 77/10 77/13
	certification [1]	78/12 78/15 78/16
castigating [1]	151/13	133/3 136/7 148/11
163/7	certified [8] 1/23	161/15 161/21
catch [1] 51/3	1/24 105/21 105/21	163/14 185/16
catchment [16]	149/14 149/18	186/3
50/3 50/6 50/8	197/14 197/15	<b>changed [6]</b> 6/8
50/14 50/24 53/5	<b>certify</b> [1] 197/6	6/9 95/20 129/13
53/6 53/14 55/1	cetera [2] 76/24	132/25 173/17
56/5 56/6 56/9	76/24	<b>changes [6]</b> 75/15
57/14 58/12 59/5	Chadwick [1]	76/12 76/17 159/22
64/24	127/19	165/11 187/9
category [1] 75/3	chair [2] 48/22	<b>changing [3]</b> 7/19
cause [1] 177/9	190/2	7/25 114/13
caution [1] 192/25	chairman [29]	character [5]
<b>CD</b> [1] 74/11	1/10 1/11 4/17 4/20	<b>-</b> -
Cedar [1] 112/25	4/24 4/25 5/21	168/1 168/6 168/7
ceiling [1] 8/23	25/13 25/20 28/19	Charles [3] 2/8
cement [1] 14/4	38/10 69/2 69/22	2/19 73/4
census [11] 21/21	72/12 97/13 118/14	
31/21 31/23 31/24	122/12 124/4	charts [1] 142/13
117/21 117/21	133/14 137/24	check [3] 55/10
118/4 118/17	133/17 137/27	

C	151/14	<b>color [3]</b> 165/14
check [2] 81/1	<b>clarify [3]</b> 58/11	182/25 183/1
123/4	90/11 91/17	<b>colors</b> [1] 125/14
children [24]	class [1] 182/24	<b>column [5]</b> 46/5
16/20 17/11 30/1	cleaning [1]	46/6 49/22 155/11
30/3 30/5 41/2	185/11	155/11
82/16 83/3 84/3	<b>clear [2]</b> 90/21	<b>columns [2]</b> 46/1
84/7 140/15 143/17	133/7	47/21
143/21 143/25	clearly [1] 8/5	<b>combine</b> [1] 93/15
144/1 144/3 144/11	clerk [2] 3/18 63/7	come [33] 5/5 5/10
144/19 145/3	<b>client [3]</b> 100/5	11/22 11/25 14/15
167/10 178/25	122/16 195/1	20/11 29/7 34/23
183/10 183/14	clients [1] 156/2	34/25 37/19 42/16
188/18	<b>climate [3]</b> 67/16	42/25 43/4 50/18
children's [2]	67/17 72/20	55/9 66/22 70/5
17/15 17/18	close [6] 5/12 13/7	72/23 72/24 74/17
chooses [1] 27/12	113/7 113/20 114/7	97/23 101/7 107/7
chose [2] 167/19	130/8	159/5 159/11 166/4
185/17	<b>closer [2]</b> 5/10	166/14 176/13
Chuck [2] 2/19	5/17	177/6 178/17 182/3
185/4	<b>clover [1]</b> 9/19	187/23 189/21
cites [1] 172/10	<b>COAH</b> [1] 121/2	<b>comes [8]</b> 13/8
cities [1] 109/23	<b>code</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 22/10 44/1	33/14 100/22
city [9] 67/18	44/1 89/25 136/12	101/19 126/11
109/17 114/22	161/12 161/23	130/19 135/22
126/21 135/4	<b>codes</b> [1] 161/21	168/13
169/22 171/5 179/4	<b>Cole</b> [1] 3/3	comfortable [1]
179/10	collaborated [1]	178/22
civil [2] 5/21 7/3	119/10	<b>coming [8]</b> 18/9
clarification [4]	<b>collect [4]</b> 51/2	18/23 48/1 56/4
36/12 135/9 151/7	51/4 56/3 79/14	97/17 111/19 159/5

C	188/17	12/3
<b>coming</b> [1] 162/6	compact [1]	<b>complex [2]</b> 39/12
comment [2] 44/13		168/17
193/21	compare [2]	compliance [1]
commented [1]	166/17 169/16	89/17
38/13	compared [1]	complied [1]
comments [6]	175/5	124/23
34/15 34/24 156/10	comparing [1]	<b>complies [2]</b> 10/4
157/7 160/15	175/4	86/13
160/17	compatibility [1]	<b>comply [6]</b> 28/12
commercial [4]	114/4	52/10 53/12 57/4
112/20 113/8 114/7	compelling [2]	88/6 157/19
114/10	148/6 148/9	<b>complying [1]</b> 5/19
commit [1] 37/5	<b>compete</b> [1] 176/2	composition [1]
committed [1]	competently [1]	135/3
37/10	30/5	comprehensive [1]
<b>common [2]</b> 17/8	competition [1]	172/10
17/12	176/4	concentrations [1]
commonly [1]	complaining [1]	167/13
64/15	131/18	<b>concept</b> [5] 8/12
communities [2]	complaint [1]	13/17 27/6 74/4
84/23 167/15	181/17	93/6
community [20]	complement [1]	<b>concern [4]</b> 30/11
11/16 36/1 83/25	76/21	131/14 145/6 164/1
84/20 112/4 113/22	<b>complete</b> [1] 186/6	concerned [16]
117/20 118/4 118/5	completed [3]	12/15 43/3 67/11
122/20 130/5	129/23 185/24	67/12 67/12 70/9
146/12 162/25	185/25	71/25 72/4 121/22
163/1 176/21	completely [1]	121/23 160/6
182/24 184/3	165/23	163/18 169/13
184/15 184/16	completing [1]	170/19 171/12
107/13/104/10		

C	170/2	164/20 171/15
concerned [1]	congestion [3]	considered [6]
188/24	159/16 170/22	70/23 118/5 118/9
concerns [3] 19/7	171/6	158/13 158/24
70/3 162/17	conjunction [1]	175/15
concluded [3] 32/2	111/12	consistency [1]
99/6 130/13	<b>connect [2]</b> 61/22	114/4
<b>concludes</b> [3] 9/6	61/22	consisting [1]
103/23 155/21	connected [1]	62/18
conclusion [1]	110/12	Consortium [1]
107/7	connecting [1]	178/2
concrete [8] 13/9	179/20	constantly [1]
38/14 38/21 38/22	connection [1]	171/1
38/25 39/2 39/6	179/18	<b>construct</b> [2] 62/17
154/9	consciences [1]	64/13
concur [1] 25/5	195/13	constructed [3]
condition [1] 24/18	consciousness [1]	14/3 109/25 120/7
conditions [4] 12/2	195/12	construction [6]
62/20 62/21 115/24	consensus [1]	24/25 80/6 129/23
conducted [1]	149/1	129/25 138/21
161/4	consequently [1]	139/18
confer [1] 18/15	164/14	construed [1]
configuration [5]	consider [10]	180/12
7/21 76/23 78/11	25/24 158/17	consultant [2]
78/13 103/17	159/19 159/20	130/12 130/18
confirm [1] 80/25	164/16 164/17	contacted [1]
confronting [1]	172/20 177/22	19/21
114/15	188/3 189/15	contemplated [1]
confusion [1]	consideration [8]	191/22
190/4	12/18 101/16 140/6	
congested [1]	145/9 145/18 158/4	114/16

C	<b>control</b> [1] 50/10	51/15 51/17 51/18
<b>content</b> [1] 34/20	controlled [1]	52/2 52/8 53/16
contents [1] 65/6	36/16	55/20 55/23 56/13
context [7] 95/23	controls [1] 131/23	61/24 62/1 62/2
129/13 177/24	conversation [1]	65/17 65/18 65/21
190/8 190/13	65/7	68/19 69/1 69/2
191/22 192/16	convert [1] 97/22	75/17 75/18 76/24
contextually [2]	<b>cooked [1]</b> 161/4	87/7 97/8 102/16
82/14 82/18	<b>copious [1]</b> 101/8	102/18 107/2 107/3
continue [11] 3/2	<b>copy</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 63/7	122/10 137/12
4/10 6/11 42/17	63/14 63/18 66/16	141/7 141/14
47/13 83/13 136/6	66/25	144/21 151/1
136/20 137/19	<b>corner [6]</b> 9/18	151/20 152/11
177/3 184/18	13/5 16/2 39/24	153/9 153/16
continues [2]	79/2 159/17	155/19 193/8 197/8
94/21 174/24	<b>corners</b> [1] 68/13	corrected [1]
continuing [1] 4/7	corps [1] 174/4	132/22
continuous [2]	<b>correct [81]</b> 3/4	<b>correctly</b> [1] 102/5
26/10 39/15	6/15 6/16 6/19 7/2	<b>cost</b> [6] 58/22 59/1
contract [8] 53/20	14/6 14/10 14/13	59/12 64/21 175/25
55/1 56/10 56/22	14/21 14/22 15/1	187/16
59/12 59/16 59/23	15/16 18/12 20/14	costs [2] 58/24
62/15	20/16 21/16 25/12	175/6
contractors [1]	31/16 31/17 36/11	<b>Cottage</b> [1] 92/16
19/21	36/18 36/24 37/14	<b>could [60]</b> 5/6 8/22
contracts [1] 28/6	38/15 38/18 39/17	9/8 9/13 10/19 11/4
contracts [1] 26/0	40/13 40/21 43/9	12/19 15/22 16/25
contribute [1]	44/2 44/3 45/9	17/10 18/7 18/14
167/14	45/10 45/12 45/13	21/14 21/15 22/11
contribution [1]	45/20 47/16 48/2	22/21 30/10 30/12
124/10	48/15 49/23 50/11	34/24 37/5 40/6
12 1/ 10		

could [39] 41/19 41/20 42/1 43/1 49/1 50/22 52/16 54/3 59/1 60/8 69/13 69/16 70/16 70/20 85/5 89/8 92/9 92/12 97/1 97/16 98/7 98/24 99/15 99/17 100/2 105/13 107/4 111/19 116/17 123/11 137/1 139/1 145/2 150/17 153/19 162/2 184/25 186/24 191/10 couldn't [5] 20/5 33/25 48/6 48/22 161/23 council [19] 43/15 53/25 60/2 63/5 63/11 80/5 107/14 145/17 148/23 161/13 163/15 163/19 179/8 185/22 186/9 191/3	counsel [1] 34/25 count [9] 22/9 22/11 66/2 118/18 121/14 146/16 146/17 168/17 173/8 counties [1] 178/2 country [2] 142/7 183/13 counts [2] 118/17 146/22 county [3] 105/25 106/7 113/9 couple [5] 22/18 106/5 128/2 162/17 187/10 course [6] 80/2 85/16 102/20 107/13 118/17 167/21 court [8] 1/23 23/17 54/15 106/5 149/5 178/10 180/2 197/14 courts [3] 149/6 191/11 191/18 cover [1] 14/23 coverage [5] 52/24 93/9 93/15 93/19 93/24 covered [5] 15/18	creating [2] 116/15 117/6 credibility [6] 100/14 100/16 101/4 101/12 101/19 101/20 credible [2] 100/21
---	--	--

C	D	172/22
Crockett [1]	<b>D-A-V-I-S</b> [1]	day [5] 25/8 83/18
197/14	172/22	102/15 133/14
cross [4] 61/14	D-E-C-A-R-L-O-B	133/18
103/25 183/10	-U-R-N-S [1]	day-care [1] 83/18
183/16	169/4	<b>DCA</b> [1] 126/13
cross-examination	<b>D-U-L-I-T-Z</b> [1]	dead [6] 110/10
<b>[1]</b> 61/14	175/19	115/8 116/15 146/2
crossings [1]	<b>D1</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 108/13	148/12 173/1
113/14	108/23 108/25	deal [1] 161/6
crowded [1]	111/24 112/2	<b>dealing [3]</b> 63/24
159/18	<b>D5</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 108/18	123/13 126/19
<b>crowding [2]</b> 82/17	108/21 108/23	dealt [2] 27/14
84/18	108/25 171/16	116/5
crumbling [1]	<b>D6</b> [5] 108/18	<b>Debbie [3]</b> 2/5
174/9	108/21 108/24	29/16 103/8
<b>curb</b> [1] 43/6	108/25 171/17	debunk [1] 33/24
<b>curiosity</b> [1] 90/5	<b>D9</b> [1] 46/4	<b>decade [4]</b> 140/20
<b>curious</b> [1] 90/4	<b>dad [1]</b> 193/1	141/7 178/16 179/3
<b>current [3]</b> 88/7	<b>DAN [1]</b> 1/18	decades [1] 193/6
152/3 176/1	darn [1] 112/23	<b>DeCarlo [2]</b> 2/14
<b>currently [6]</b> 51/8	dashed [1] 78/25	169/4 DeCorle Burns [2]
67/24 110/9 118/12	<b>data [5]</b> 119/10	DeCarlo-Burns [2]
145/2 179/1	127/10 141/24	2/14 169/4
custodians [2] 70/1		<b>decent</b> [1] 141/24 <b>decided</b> [1] 179/19
70/5	date [6] 22/22	decision [3] 19/4
cut [4] 74/1 74/23	60/22 64/5 66/19	43/18 166/4
75/6 80/22	118/13 142/8	deck [13] 8/24
<b>cynical [1]</b> 195/4	<b>David [3]</b> 2/3 4/9	10/24 13/5 14/2
	8/4	14/5 14/17 15/6
	<b>Davis</b> [2] 2/15	17/3 17/1/13/0

<b>D</b> deck [6] 22/8	168/16 degree [2] 105/15	51/10 51/21 52/10 53/9 53/10 54/9
48/3 75/16 78/10 153/22 154/4	117/13 delay [1] 40/6	55/3 102/11 department [8]
decks [4] 8/22 45/18 45/19 45/22	<b>delayed</b> [1] 180/19 <b>deliberation</b> [1] 140/5	87/19 89/1 89/16 122/20 140/16 147/18 148/3
decline [2] 141/10 144/13 declined [1] 49/6	delineation [1] 76/14	193/12 <b>depend [1]</b> 87/13
decrease [1] 183/18	demand [7] 117/6 117/14 117/18 126/5 130/15 136/1	dependent [1] 89/19 Depending [1]
decreased [1] 102/25 decreases [1]	171/22 demands [1] 127/7	49/16 <b>depends [2]</b> 71/7
47/22 dedicated [5] 9/15 17/10 31/6 31/8	demographic [3] 117/5 119/8 135/1 demographics [1]	150/2 depicts [1] 8/21 deplorable [2]
83/18 deed [3] 107/22	182/21 demonstrate [1] 109/4	183/25 184/8 <b>depth [6]</b> 31/3 31/11 78/20 78/24
107/25 120/14 <b>deep [4]</b> 109/12 109/18 109/18	denied [1] 100/24 Denise [2] 2/15	79/3 79/4 <b>DEPW [2]</b> 27/25
109/21 deeper [1] 109/16	170/11 densities [1]	28/5 <b>describe</b> [1] 54/21
defendant [2] 62/11 62/14 deficit [3] 132/2	167/13 <b>density [4]</b> 108/19 109/5 167/23 170/5	described [1] 124/24 DESCRIPTION
132/3 179/2 definitive [1] 97/3	deny [1] 34/21 denying [1] 75/21	[1] 2/23 deserve [1] 183/15
deflectors [1] 9/1 DeGraw [1]	<b>DEP [12]</b> 25/10 28/13 28/14 51/1	<b>design [14]</b> 14/8 14/11 14/12 14/17

D	112/8 166/24	64/10 64/12 109/2
<b>design [10]</b> 26/24	devalued [1]	110/5 123/16
35/16 48/7 51/1	182/13	130/13 138/8
64/13 88/25 93/6	<b>develop [3]</b> 12/12	143/18 143/19
105/16 130/24	138/13 174/25	144/20 148/7
135/13	developed [3]	148/10 159/22
designate [1]	114/7 134/21 177/1	159/24 160/4 167/7
150/25	developer [31]	172/23 173/25
designated [5]	53/20 57/9 59/17	175/22 175/23
64/17 69/8 69/25	60/6 64/13 65/8	176/22 177/12
86/9 87/19	75/22 75/25 76/11	188/23
designed [6] 26/25	77/7 80/3 80/6	developments [9]
26/25 51/25 52/6	90/14 91/2 91/9	114/14 125/23
147/20 153/24	92/2 94/19 98/14	126/5 127/6 131/21
designs [4] 33/12	98/18 98/19 120/14	135/3 176/25 177/2
48/4 126/16 135/12	122/7 123/4 160/19	187/21
desirable [1]	161/11 161/22	<b>device</b> [1] 27/21
163/23	170/25 171/10	dictate [1] 159/13
detain [1] 52/25	173/15 185/9	did [39] 8/8 16/24
detention [13]	185/17	22/7 38/19 42/5
23/21 24/1 24/12	developer's [8]	44/4 46/22 46/24
24/20 51/5 54/10	64/20 80/8 80/13	49/13 50/18 59/2
79/1 79/2 79/12	94/13 94/15 185/22	59/9 59/11 67/13
79/14 93/25 94/2	186/3 186/7	82/8 82/8 82/9 83/9
94/7	developers [5]	95/15 100/3 100/4
	140/10 149/12	105/18 106/6 106/8
<b>determine</b> [2]	159/12 179/18	127/22 131/23
122/23 123/2	183/11	132/7 133/2 138/9
determined [2]	development [29]	142/5 142/20
12/24 112/11	40/23 51/2 52/11	142/24 143/2 143/2
detract [1] 92/10	60/10 62/16 64/9	143/6 179/7 182/7
detriment [2]		

D	77/19 151/22	170/24 182/20
did [2] 185/6	<b>dinner</b> [1] 135/21	dismissive [1]
192/12	direct [11] 7/14	184/2
	9/7 61/10 61/14	Disrespectful [1]
didn't [19] 12/10	61/16 61/19 62/3	184/1
38/24 47/9 53/9	65/25 84/6 136/19	<b>distance</b> [1] 180/1
57/15 73/22 86/2	137/3	distinction [1]
86/6 93/12 96/10	<b>directed</b> [1] 172/18	<b>-</b> -
114/20 128/24	dimention [2] 152/9	
132/8 135/1 181/18	179/25 191/14	184/22
181/19 182/7	directly [4] 93/1	distribution [2]
190/23 191/6	95/4 95/7 192/21	143/2 143/6
difference [4] 45/2		
45/5 76/5 163/8	director [1] 105/24	
<b>different</b> [ <b>14</b> ] 6/4	disagree [1]	165/24 166/2
6/21 51/23 52/16	131/13	<b>districts</b> [2] 112/16
109/6 116/5 125/15	<b>disagrees</b> [1] 36/11	
126/22 142/13	disapproving [1]	disturb [1] 3/23
145/19 149/9	145/9	diverse [1] 119/22
176/19 185/10	discharge [1]	do [115] 3/25 6/7
194/14	79/15	6/15 11/25 12/4
differently [1]	disclosure [1]	15/22 20/3 20/7
83/23	170/14	20/11 25/13 26/19
<b>difficult</b> [2] 28/5	discrimination [1]	30/19 35/3 37/24
114/24	165/17	40/2 44/14 48/24
difficulties [1]	discriminatory [1]	49/6 49/6 50/16
110/22	191/10	50/23 51/19 54/8
difficulty [1]	discuss [1] 54/24	56/1 56/15 56/16
116/19	<b>discussed</b> [2] 119/9	58/7 58/23 58/25
dimension [1]	182/22	59/15 59/18 59/24
109/14	<b>discusses</b> [1] 23/1	60/1 60/3 60/4
	discussion [2]	60/10 60/11 61/7
dimensions [2]		

## 179/23 181/17 177/19 180/2 D 191/15 192/4 180/14 183/14 **do... [77]** 61/16 doing [12] 47/3 192/23 61/18 62/22 62/24 **doctor** [1] 108/8 56/14 99/1 110/5 63/2 64/22 65/1 document [9] 112/22 112/23 66/14 68/22 71/5 60/19 61/22 63/1 136/16 148/18 71/17 72/9 74/12 63/3 63/4 126/3 149/7 160/9 164/4 74/21 75/25 79/16 127/7 130/19 178/6 184/19 79/19 79/20 80/13 don't [87] 3/23 7/6 documentation [1] 80/20 81/4 82/9 8/5 13/16 15/8 143/14 83/1 85/3 85/8 documents [1] 24/25 33/9 33/11 85/10 85/14 87/20 66/8 34/2 34/18 37/25 90/22 92/4 94/11 does [26] 12/4 44/11 46/14 51/13 94/14 98/20 103/25 21/18 27/3 28/6 57/18 57/23 59/13 105/10 105/20 30/2 45/8 46/10 62/6 65/6 69/14 108/22 110/13 50/24 60/5 65/3 69/17 70/18 73/12 113/5 113/21 80/16 83/19 87/13 73/20 73/21 78/6 117/22 121/9 87/17 88/5 88/12 80/4 80/15 81/21 121/19 121/20 88/16 100/9 104/2 82/6 84/15 88/4 123/1 125/10 113/9 153/18 172/5 90/18 90/24 91/22 125/25 128/5 172/7 172/11 102/4 105/20 128/14 131/11 191/16 196/4 112/22 115/14 135/1 145/16 doesn't [23] 37/8 117/7 117/12 145/22 145/22 46/15 52/20 52/21 117/13 118/3 122/3 147/4 147/4 147/11 56/6 73/23 100/12 122/5 122/24 130/1 148/8 150/14 115/1 117/10 134/25 135/10 151/17 151/25 120/19 121/25 137/2 138/25 152/14 161/18 141/22 144/13 123/5 126/14 161/18 161/19 126/20 127/20 144/25 145/11 164/8 166/7 168/14 135/12 143/8 146/5 146/9 148/11 170/14 173/23 148/14 150/13 146/24 164/13 177/10 179/22

D	59/10 61/11 102/5	dramatically [1]
don't [27] 152/10	103/1 110/14	52/18
154/3 155/24	112/24 118/12	drawing [2] 86/11
155/25 156/16	139/1 139/14	139/18
159/5 159/11 161/9	142/14 142/16	drawings [4] 27/4
161/14 161/15	142/18 146/15	76/9 78/7 99/23
161/14 161/13	146/18 146/20	dreams [1] 180/6
162/4 163/3 172/8	146/23 167/3	drive [7] 112/24
	167/18 178/10	132/18 133/17
174/8 181/1 182/3	183/12 183/13	136/1 147/1 174/1
183/15 184/7	190/24 196/8	187/23
187/11 188/1 190/7	downtown [1]	driveway [5] 18/25
192/1 193/22	174/25	127/20 169/11
193/23 195/16	downward [1]	169/15 170/8
<b>done [17]</b> 7/14 32/3 40/7 42/19	8/24	driveways [4] 9/21
43/1 45/4 61/4	<b>Dr</b> [1] 2/19	18/10 18/14 171/8
73/20 74/4 79/18	<b>Dr.</b> [4] 142/4	dropped [1]
83/13 122/13 164/6	142/23 143/13	141/19
165/22 176/22	192/9	drove [1] 186/14
184/6 184/13	Dr. Hagathy [1]	due [2] 95/21
door [2] 72/3 72/7	192/9	103/5
doors [3] 16/14	Dr. Liskin [2]	<b>Dulitz [2]</b> 2/17
46/8 72/13	142/4 142/23	175/19
<b>DOT</b> [2] 179/10	Dr. Liskin's [1]	<b>duly [1]</b> 104/14
179/10	143/13	dumping [1]
double [1] 21/7	<b>drafting [1]</b> 161/2	165/17
double [1] 21// dovetails [1]	<b>drainage</b> [6] 26/24	during [6] 24/24
190/14	27/4 27/9 27/17	40/8 67/18 160/21
down [30] 3/12	50/1 78/24	172/19 173/8
20/11 23/13 24/4	dramatic [1]	<b>dwelling [4]</b> 62/19
32/13 48/1 54/16	186/11	109/24 109/25
32/13 +0/1 34/10		

E-D-W-A-R-D-S [1] 41/13 E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [2] editor [1] 164/22 29/19 103/8 each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 easy [3] 67/1 174/7 162/23 electric [1] 26/17 electrical [4] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 elevator [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25	D	easily [1] 145/2	eight-tenths [1]
111/16   dwellings [1]   165/9 169/4 179/21   30/13 31/2 44/20   179/23   45/11 48/3 68/18   eastbound [1] 42/3   68/20 98/13 100/12   easy [3] 67/1 174/7   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   electric [1] 26/17   166/4   26/13 39/15 39/25   edification [2]   165/9 169/4 179/21   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   electric [1] 26/17   electrical [4] 26/11   26/13 39/15 39/25   educated [1] 166/4   element [7] 116/7   116/14 119/9   119/12 120/1   120/25 138/10   elevated [2] 13/4   109/21 140/18   Edwards [2] 2/7   116/14 119/9   119/12 120/1   120/25 138/10   elevated [2] 13/4   19/14   19/14   elevation [4] 16/9   efficient [1] 33/1   efficient [1] 33/1   elevator [2] 13/5   111/22 113/11   37/20   efforts [1] 174/16   eight [9] 48/11   109/8 109/8 110/23   139/12 175/1   early [1] 107/24   ears [1] 195/11   186/16 186/17   eliminating [1]   easement [2] 24/22   eight-story [1]   Eliyahu [3] 2/5   Eliyahu [3] 2/5   Eliyahu [3] 2/5   Eliyahu [3] 2/5	dwelling[1]	east [7] 109/10	109/11
165/9 169/4 179/21   30/13 31/2 44/20   45/11 48/3 68/18   eastbound [1] 42/3   68/20 98/13 100/12   176/15   162/23   166/23   166/4 179/21   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23	0	132/18 134/21	either [14] 9/18
108/15   dying [1] 34/4   eastbound [1] 42/3   68/20 98/13 100/12   68/20 98/13 100/12   68/20 98/13 100/12   68/20 98/13 100/12   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   68/20 98/13 100/12   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   68/20 98/13 100/12   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   68/20 98/13 100/12   106/3 122/5 129/22   162/23   68/20 98/13 100/12   162/23   68/20 12   162/33   116/14   19/9   119/12   120/12   120/25   138/10   68/20 13   19/14   68/20 13		165/9 169/4 179/21	30/13 31/2 44/20
Castbound   1   42/3   68/20 98/13 100/12	<b>U</b>	179/23	45/11 48/3 68/18
E-D-W-A-R-D-S [1] 41/13 E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [2] editor [1] 164/22 29/19 103/8 each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 editor [2] 162/23 electric [1] 26/17 electrical [4] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 elevator [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5			
E-D-W-A-R-D-S [1] 41/13 E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [2] 29/19 103/8 each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 11/22 113/11 11/20 119/12 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 edication [2] 37/15 157/2 electric [1] 26/17 electrical [4] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 elevated [2] 13/4 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 electric [1] 26/17 electrical [4] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 electric [1] 26/17 electrical [4] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 electric [1] 26/17 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [2] 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 electric [1] 26/11 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 electric [1] 26/11 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 elevator [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 elevator [2] 13/5 111/3 elevator [2]			
[1] 41/13 E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [2] editor [1] 164/22 29/19 103/8 each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 107/24 ears [1] 107/24 ears [1] 107/24 ears [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 editor [1] 164/22 26/13 39/15 39/25 element [7] 116/7 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 elevator [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 11/4/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5			
E-L-I-Y-A-H-U [2] editor [1] 164/22 educated [1] 166/4 each [13] 9/18 Education [2] 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 70/21 103/10 Education's [1] 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 107/21 140/18 Edwards [2] 2/7 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 125/25 efficient [1] 33/1 11/3 efficiently [1] 37/20 efforts [1] 174/16 eight [9] 48/11 123/11 125/25 eight [9] 48/11 129/11 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/5 elevator [2] 13/5 elevator [2] 13/5 elevators [2] 11/4/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 ears [1] 195/11 186/16 186/17 eliminating [1] eliminating [1] especially [1] 24/22 eight-story [1] Eliyahu [3] 2/5		edification [2]	<b>electric [1]</b> 26/17
each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 education [2] 116/14 119/9 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 elevation [4] 16/9 elevator [2] 13/5 110/18 111/3 elevators [2] 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] eliyahu [3] 2/5			<b>electrical [4]</b> 26/11
each [13] 9/18 18/24 65/16 70/11 70/21 103/10 103/11 103/13 107/21 140/18 153/5 153/7 175/15 earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22  Education [2] 116/14 119/9 119/12 120/1 120/25 138/10 elevated [2] 13/4 19/14 elevation [4] 16/9 19/16 78/22 79/3 elevators [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/16 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5		<b>editor</b> [1] 164/22	26/13 39/15 39/25
18/24 65/16 70/11       140/12 162/22       119/12 120/1         70/21 103/10       Education's [1]       120/25 138/10         103/11 103/13       140/16       elevated [2] 13/4         107/21 140/18       Edwards [2] 2/7       19/14         153/5 153/7 175/15       41/12       elevation [4] 16/9         earlier [18] 21/19       effect [4] 9/3 35/24       19/16 78/22 79/3         47/18 72/12 94/5       114/16 116/9       elevator [2] 13/5         98/5 98/24 99/14       efficient [1] 33/1       111/3         109/8 109/8 110/23       efficiently [1]       111/3         114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       elevators [2]         112/10 146/15       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 174/13         123/11 125/25       139/12 175/1       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5	29/19 103/8	<b>educated [1]</b> 166/4	<b>element [7]</b> 116/7
70/21 103/10       Education's [1]       120/25 138/10         103/11 103/13       140/16       elevated [2] 13/4         107/21 140/18       Edwards [2] 2/7       19/14         153/5 153/7 175/15       41/12       elevation [4] 16/9         earlier [18] 21/19       effect [4] 9/3 35/24       19/16 78/22 79/3         47/18 72/12 94/5       114/16 116/9       elevator [2] 13/5         98/5 98/24 99/14       efficient [1] 33/1       111/3         109/8 109/8 110/23       efficiently [1]       alevators [2]         111/22 113/11       37/20       147/20 174/8         114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       Eleven [1] 174/13         eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5		Education [2]	116/14 119/9
103/11 103/13	18/24 65/16 70/11	140/12 162/22	119/12 120/1
107/21 140/18       Edwards [2] 2/7       19/14         153/5 153/7 175/15       41/12       elevation [4] 16/9         earlier [18] 21/19       effect [4] 9/3 35/24       19/16 78/22 79/3         47/18 72/12 94/5       114/16 116/9       elevator [2] 13/5         98/5 98/24 99/14       efficient [1] 33/1       111/3         109/8 109/8 110/23       efficiently [1]       elevators [2]         111/22 113/11       37/20       147/20 174/8         114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       Eleven [1] 174/13         123/11 125/25       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5		Education's [1]	120/25 138/10
153/5 153/7 175/15   41/12   elevation [4] 16/9   19/16 78/22 79/3   114/18 72/12 94/5   114/16 116/9   19/16 78/22 79/3   elevator [2] 13/5   111/22 113/11   37/20   efficiently [1]   37/20   efforts [1] 174/16   elevators [2]   147/20 174/8   Eleven [1] 174/13   eligible [1] 122/25   eight [9] 48/11   109/11 124/5 136/3   eliminate [3] 11/14   early [1] 107/24   139/12 175/1   97/17 97/19   eliminating [1]   Earth [1] 112/23   eight-story [1]   Eliyahu [3] 2/5   eliyahu [		140/16	<b>elevated [2]</b> 13/4
earlier [18] 21/19 47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 effect [4] 9/3 35/24 114/16 116/9 efficient [1] 33/1 27/20 efficiently [1] 37/20 efforts [1] 174/16 eight [9] 48/11 109/11 124/5 136/3 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5	107/21 140/18	<b>Edwards [2]</b> 2/7	19/14
47/18 72/12 94/5 98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 efficient [1] 33/1 111/3 elevators [2] 13/5 111/3 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5	153/5 153/7 175/15	41/12	<b>elevation [4]</b> 16/9
98/5 98/24 99/14 109/8 109/8 110/23 111/22 113/11 114/20 119/12 123/11 125/25 127/10 146/15 early [1] 107/24 ears [1] 195/11 Earth [1] 112/23 easement [2] 24/22 efficient [1] 33/1 efficient [1] 33/1 efficient [1] 33/1 efficient [1] 33/1 elevators [2] 147/20 174/8 Eleven [1] 174/13 eligible [1] 122/25 eliminate [3] 11/14 97/17 97/19 eliminating [1] 98/10 Eliyahu [3] 2/5	earlier [18] 21/19	<b>effect [4]</b> 9/3 35/24	
109/8 109/8 110/23       efficiently [1]       elevators [2]         111/22 113/11       37/20       147/20 174/8         114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       Eleven [1] 174/13         123/11 125/25       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5			<b>elevator [2]</b> 13/5
111/22 113/11       37/20       147/20 174/8         114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       Eleven [1] 174/13         123/11 125/25       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5			111/3
114/20 119/12       efforts [1] 174/16       Eleven [1] 174/13         123/11 125/25       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5	109/8 109/8 110/23	efficiently [1]	elevators [2]
123/11 125/25       eight [9] 48/11       eligible [1] 122/25         127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5	111/22 113/11	37/20	147/20 174/8
127/10 146/15       109/11 124/5 136/3       eliminate [3] 11/14         early [1] 107/24       139/12 175/1       97/17 97/19         ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5	114/20 119/12	<b>efforts [1]</b> 174/16	<b>Eleven [1]</b> 174/13
early [1] 107/24 139/12 175/1 97/17 97/19 ears [1] 195/11 186/16 186/17 eliminating [1] Earth [1] 112/23 186/18 98/10 easement [2] 24/22 eight-story [1] Eliyahu [3] 2/5	123/11 125/25	<b>eight [9]</b> 48/11	<b>eligible</b> [1] 122/25
ears [1] 195/11       186/16 186/17       eliminating [1]         Earth [1] 112/23       186/18       98/10         easement [2] 24/22       eight-story [1]       Eliyahu [3] 2/5	127/10 146/15	109/11 124/5 136/3	<b>eliminate [3]</b> 11/14
Earth [1] 112/23   186/18   98/10   easement [2] 24/22   eight-story [1]   Eliyahu [3] 2/5	early [1] 107/24	139/12 175/1	97/17 97/19
easement [2] 24/22 eight-story [1] Eliyahu [3] 2/5	ears [1] 195/11	186/16 186/17	eliminating [1]
	Earth [1] 112/23	186/18	98/10
26/9 139/12 29/16 103/8	<b>easement [2]</b> 24/22	eight-story [1]	<b>Eliyahu [3]</b> 2/5
	26/9	139/12	29/16 103/8

E	181/15	<b>English [1]</b> 99/16
<b>Elliot [2]</b> 2/17	<b>ended [2]</b> 75/21	<b>enjoying</b> [1] 158/7
176/7	157/25	<b>enough [8]</b> 32/25
else [12] 17/25	<b>ends</b> [3] 34/18	71/21 72/9 99/12
22/3 28/25 33/14	34/19 194/23	161/22 161/24
34/13 39/20 82/4	<b>engineer [40]</b> 1/18	162/3 172/10
99/5 123/13 132/7	1/20 4/9 18/16	<b>enrolled [1]</b> 140/20
141/23 158/8	20/15 21/20 27/3	enrollment [6]
else's [2] 36/23	28/15 28/19 32/1	140/17 141/4 141/8
150/4	32/11 33/12 35/15	141/18 144/13
emergency [1]	39/22 46/18 46/20	144/24
67/17	51/22 53/18 57/3	<b>ensure [1]</b> 24/17
<b>employees [2]</b> 58/6	59/19 59/21 76/11	enter [2] 53/21
69/25	77/6 82/20 82/21	72/16
empty [1] 116/10	82/25 83/23 84/16	entered [2] 62/15
enclosed [1] 79/13	85/1 89/6 91/9	195/9
encourage [6]	94/23 102/8 103/24	entering [1]
112/17 113/7	110/24 126/21	129/23
113/16 120/4 167/5	137/13 137/22	<b>entire [7]</b> 24/1
171/23	147/13 151/15	75/20 134/7 141/2
encouragement [1]	engineer's [1]	179/3 184/22
112/7	56/24	190/16
encouraging [1]	engineering [9]	<b>entirely</b> [1] 69/14
114/13	5/22 7/3 21/13	<b>entitled</b> [1] 43/17
end [15] 34/16	22/18 35/18 99/9	entity [1] 122/8
78/23 100/25 101/9	126/15 130/24	<b>entrance</b> [1] 68/14
101/21 102/1	172/4	<b>entrances</b> [1] 8/17
120/19 133/21	engineers [1]	entranceway [1]
151/24 152/9	27/15	9/15
157/12 158/22	Englewood [1]	environment [1]
180/25 181/10	179/17	167/16
100/23 101/10		

estimates [1] 144/4 et [2] 76/24 76/24 evacuation [1] 189/9 evaluate [2] 115/16 116/23 evaluation [3] 100/23 171/25	evening [26] 3/5 3/6 4/4 4/20 4/23 29/15 35/8 35/9 37/20 37/21 43/13 67/3 67/4 81/9 81/10 84/11 85/23 92/17 92/18 98/22 165/8 170/11 173/11 182/18 182/20 187/4 events [1] 177/14 eventually [2] 87/14 100/23 ever [3] 59/4 105/19 184/8 every [6] 67/18 67/18 70/7 174/5 192/1 194/13 everybody [6] 29/8	everywhere [2] 118/22 188/20 evidence [13] 2/23 60/16 61/6 61/7 66/7 66/13 66/19 100/14 156/21 157/5 158/13 158/24 195/8 exactly [4] 89/21 100/11 116/2 129/11 examination [13] 2/2 6/5 61/14 105/6 107/11 115/11 115/12 115/19 160/23 161/3 161/5 167/22 193/24 examinations [1] 190/11 examined [1] 104/14 example [1] 30/6 excavate [1] 25/1 excavates [1] 24/18
	everything [10]	24/18

E	112/12 115/24	39/18 39/21 42/9
<b>exceed [5]</b> 87/1	124/14 135/10	44/23 46/22 46/24
102/21 103/2	167/23 175/9	50/22 82/18 102/7
108/19 108/19	183/14	121/11 155/6
exceeds [1] 102/10	exists [3] 132/3	<b>explained [2]</b> 15/8
excellent [3] 67/10	132/4 180/8	38/7
161/12 192/24	exit [1] 72/15	explicitly [1] 112/6
except [4] 101/13	<b>expand [4]</b> 113/21	exposing [1]
125/9 149/23	184/19 184/19	167/23
185/17	184/21	expressions [2]
<b>exception [3]</b> 46/1	expanding [1]	195/9 195/10
76/14 77/11	10/23	<b>extend [7]</b> 11/7
exceptional [2]	expansion [4]	11/9 11/12 11/13
33/2 33/6	112/7 120/5 169/11	38/8 38/11 49/12
excessive [1] 103/5	183/4	<b>extended</b> [1] 14/2
Excuse [4] 11/24	<b>expect [3]</b> 84/3	<b>extending [3]</b> 13/1
11/24 44/10 72/22	164/14 192/12	13/2 15/6
<b>excused [1]</b> 149/23	<b>expense</b> [1] 64/21	<b>extends</b> [1] 68/13
exercise [1] 129/10	expensive [1]	<b>extension</b> [1] 21/11
exhibit [10] 2/24	114/25	<b>extent [3]</b> 91/20
2/25 6/13 60/8	experience [5]	171/20 172/17
60/16 60/21 61/5	105/11 106/6	<b>exterior</b> [1] 17/19
64/4 66/18 94/18	122/14 148/8	<b>external [1]</b> 30/10
<b>exhibits</b> [4] 2/23	148/13	<b>extra [9]</b> 3/19 21/4
63/8 63/18 162/5	<b>expert [5]</b> 3/11	53/2 90/16 90/22
exist [4] 37/8	99/7 106/12 106/13	
105/18 132/4 179/1	160/18	115/1
<b>existing [17]</b> 11/18	<b>experts [3]</b> 25/4	extrapolate [2]
13/3 13/15 40/5	150/3 156/1	144/23 147/7
40/10 53/8 97/7	<b>expired</b> [1] 80/9	<b>extreme</b> [1] 195/19
97/19 98/4 98/10	<b>explain [12]</b> 39/10	<b>eyesore</b> [1] 173/6

F	<b>family [48]</b> 53/4	<b>feature [1]</b> 13/11
<b>fabric</b> [1] 110/19	62/17 70/15 89/12	<b>features</b> [1] 124/20
facade [3] 125/4	108/7 108/15	February [6]
125/14 125/19	111/23 112/7 112/9	22/24 130/25
facades [3] 124/22	112/12 112/13	149/17 151/9
125/2 125/15	112/15 112/16	151/10 151/12
facilities [1]	112/17 112/18	February 2019 [1]
114/25	114/2 114/3 114/12	130/25
facility [6] 6/1 6/21	117/7 119/21 120/3	February 21 [5]
19/22 20/1 83/20	120/5 120/7 120/18	22/24 149/17 151/9
146/2	124/20 125/12	151/10 151/12
facing [3] 5/1	125/23 126/5	federal [1] 89/25
38/22 39/6	126/25 127/5	<b>feel [9]</b> 11/18
fact [8] 27/15	129/16 130/20	27/10 30/4 49/9
29/21 77/5 109/2	138/10 141/25	65/25 137/7 172/8
127/16 131/1	142/14 142/14	181/6 187/16
133/12 192/10	153/24 159/18	<b>feeling [1]</b> 195/10
factor [1] 131/21	168/7 168/8 173/4	<b>feet [54]</b> 13/6 17/9
factors [1] 147/7	176/25 181/25	17/23 19/24 23/3
facts [2] 117/23	182/9 192/6 192/6	23/6 23/7 30/18
193/3	192/7 194/14	31/1 31/2 31/12
fair [8] 19/4 61/3	far [13] 13/5 16/2	40/24 40/25 42/22
99/12 120/9 135/16	16/9 51/10 70/1	46/23 65/20 65/22
	87/21 89/13 109/6	68/8 68/9 68/13
154/21 166/4	135/18 150/21	68/15 68/17 71/8
195/25	159/5 160/5 195/15	71/10 71/11 78/10
fairly [1] 109/11	<b>fast [6]</b> 23/13	79/3 79/5 79/6
fall [2] 75/2 185/7	28/23 87/23 149/8	82/16 109/10
<b>falling [2]</b> 174/3	178/9 188/1	109/12 109/13
174/9	<b>favor [3]</b> 81/4	109/17 109/18
familiar [3] 65/5	168/15 172/22	113/12 113/19
107/9 120/13		

$\mathbf{F}$	113/22 137/19	126/14 191/25
<b>feet [17]</b> 114/8	150/17 150/18	192/1
114/9 152/1 152/22	168/12 183/7	<b>five [6]</b> 16/8 16/16
153/4 153/7 153/14	187/13	111/6 118/7 118/9
154/23 155/2 155/3	<b>finding</b> [1] 116/8	191/1
155/4 155/16	<b>findings [2]</b> 11/5	Five percent [1]
155/17 155/18	107/5	118/7
159/7 159/9 163/9	<b>finds</b> [1] 91/10	five-story [1]
<b>felt [2]</b> 180/21	<b>fine [2]</b> 5/3 174/22	111/6
191/2	<b>finest</b> [1] 147/25	<b>fixtures</b> [1] 9/17
few [6] 27/6 42/24	<b>finish [5]</b> 7/15	<b>flat</b> [1] 13/12
114/8 137/22	36/25 47/9 47/10	flaws [1] 135/13
178/12 194/10	137/3	flex [2] 17/4 17/13
fiancee' [1] 175/2	<b>finishing [2]</b> 7/14	<b>flip</b> [1] 128/9
field [3] 106/14	37/10	<b>flipped</b> [1] 109/20
114/14 127/15	fire [5] 147/17	<b>floor [9]</b> 6/2 6/17
figure [5] 13/8	148/2 172/6 179/9	8/12 13/4 17/3 17/3
28/3 114/18 126/19	189/11	25/15 78/24 86/13
128/23	first [27] 11/11	<b>flow [3]</b> 51/11 96/7
<b>figures [1]</b> 128/6	16/1 21/19 29/20	96/11
file [2] 66/24	38/3 38/6 40/3	flush [1] 43/4
181/17	43/21 54/25 60/24	<b>focus [2]</b> 185/2
<b>filed [1]</b> 121/13	63/2 63/4 81/15	193/25
<b>filled [2]</b> 69/14	99/16 104/13	<b>folks [5]</b> 179/23
69/17	107/20 113/19	185/6 185/14
<b>filters</b> [1] 51/24	115/17 120/18	185/20 186/23
final [1] 20/8	148/23 151/8	<b>follow [5]</b> 73/5
finally [2] 142/23	151/19 159/4	83/12 102/2 103/7
185/21	162/21 166/18	148/14
<b>financial [1]</b> 166/1	180/24 193/5	follow-up [2]
find [9] 33/3 81/2	<b>fit [5]</b> 116/7 117/4	83/12 102/2
[ ] 55/5 01/2		

$\mathbf{F}$	forget [2] 132/2	framework [1]
<b>followed [2]</b> 55/7	162/5	109/24
110/2	<b>form [3]</b> 29/9	<b>Franklin</b> [1] 113/1
<b>following [2]</b> 36/14	89/21 100/1	<b>frankly [2]</b> 113/5
41/21	<b>forth [3]</b> 62/21	123/5
follows [2] 104/15	164/19 183/16	free [3] 65/23
161/23	forthcoming [1]	121/24 156/18
<b>foot [46]</b> 14/3	100/22	free-for-all [1]
30/17 31/9 42/24	fortunate [1]	121/24
44/1 44/5 44/21	178/21	free-throw [1]
44/24 45/8 45/12	Forty [2] 127/10	65/23
46/11 46/18 47/1	136/25	Friday [1] 135/22
47/1 47/2 47/3	Forty-three	<b>friendly [2]</b> 160/3
47/15 47/19 47/23	<b>percent [1]</b> 127/10	188/23
47/25 48/14 49/15	<b>forward [8]</b> 5/5	<b>friends</b> [1] 5/15
49/15 49/20 71/12	32/17 34/11 66/22	<b>front [15]</b> 8/17
71/13 71/15 72/4	85/20 158/11	40/24 41/1 42/2
77/25 79/4 86/8	176/18 190/22	42/6 42/12 68/11
86/9 86/18 86/23	<b>found [3]</b> 92/2	68/12 103/15
86/25 87/5 87/6	142/19 191/11	103/16 106/3 130/2
154/22 154/23	<b>four [21]</b> 9/16 9/19	151/23 153/13
154/24 154/25	23/12 86/13 87/9	162/1
155/7 155/10	87/10 88/2 89/4	<b>frontage [3]</b> 9/22
155/13 161/24	89/4 89/19 89/23	24/22 26/14
161/25	90/12 90/21 91/3	<b>frontages</b> [1] 23/24
for-sale [1] 129/8	100/3 127/19 142/1	<b>fulfill [1]</b> 120/8
force [1] 175/8	143/22 175/20	<b>full [10]</b> 3/7 48/8
Ford [1] 190/22	186/17 186/20	72/8 72/13 72/13
foremost [2]	four-bedroom [1]	76/20 86/17 153/11
113/19 148/23	127/19	153/23 170/14
foresaw [1] 12/17	four-leaf [1] 9/19	<b>function [1]</b> 163/5

F	110/3 149/5 172/9	163/14 164/6 174/7
functional [2]	general [9] 54/4	182/7 183/16
111/3 163/16	111/19 111/21	185/19 191/5 193/3
functioned [1]	148/13 160/16	196/6
129/6	160/17 162/18	gets [4] 71/21
<b>fund [2]</b> 124/7	167/11 175/11	138/4 150/10 182/1
124/13	generally [1]	<b>getting [4]</b> 61/15
<b>funny</b> [1] 74/15	132/12	129/2 130/9 137/25
further [8] 6/7	generated [1]	give [17] 3/10
42/16 135/17 136/1	102/9	15/19 37/24 37/25
138/12 150/6	generation [4]	43/18 63/7 63/14
150/25 186/6	117/9 117/9 117/10	66/16 66/25 92/13
<b>future</b> [6] 23/10	135/8	101/1 111/24 122/9
23/19 24/3 24/19	<b>gentleman [8]</b> 4/18	123/11 128/9 162/5
25/1 58/5	38/5 44/19 84/17	164/19
	96/15 98/12 161/12	<b>given [3]</b> 66/20
G	182/6	84/2 102/19
<b>gain [3]</b> 11/10	gentleman's [2]	<b>gives</b> [1] 193/14
11/12 38/12	85/13 101/12	<b>go [49</b> ] 5/20 6/7
<b>gallons [4]</b> 25/8	<b>geotech [1]</b> 79/10	7/16 9/10 10/14
102/6 102/15 103/2	get [39] 8/11 12/1	12/11 15/10 19/2
game [1] 32/25	18/22 20/6 22/14	19/5 22/14 22/19
garage [4] 6/23	25/9 47/3 47/15	24/25 29/7 29/10
20/13 38/9 127/20	52/5 67/13 67/20	44/18 53/13 54/20
<b>garages [3]</b> 9/15	69/6 69/13 70/11	54/24 75/10 78/3
13/19 13/20	71/20 72/2 95/24	93/4 105/13 106/17
garden [1] 142/15	102/11 107/18	113/18 114/20
gas [1] 180/6	111/9 131/7 131/10	114/21 124/18
gated [1] 36/15	132/7 134/24 138/6	125/12 128/16
gather [1] 119/14	139/3 145/24 149/6	135/21 137/6
gave [5] 49/8 76/9	153/13 155/25	137/23 146/18

G	124/18 125/25	3/6 3/13 4/4 4/20
<b>go [16]</b> 147/1	128/12 132/6	4/23 24/17 29/15
149/2 150/11	132/19 135/19	35/6 35/8 35/9
150/12 153/18	135/20 135/25	37/21 43/13 54/18
155/23 162/11	136/1 136/19	62/8 67/2 67/3 67/4
164/7 167/3 185/3	136/21 137/3 138/8	73/19 81/9 81/10
185/10 187/12	141/21 141/22	81/18 83/8 85/23
188/17 190/25	141/25 144/14	92/17 92/18 112/13
195/11 195/24	144/18 145/24	112/23 113/16
goal [1] 120/8	146/3 146/5 146/11	136/10 137/8
goes [8] 68/20 93/1	146/17 146/18	137/10 137/23
116/18 120/6 124/6	149/25 150/1 150/6	148/16 155/23
151/13 157/8 196/4	150/11 150/12	156/4 156/9 156/15
going [108] 3/1 3/9	150/20 153/20	157/3 157/10
4/6 13/13 13/22	155/23 156/11	157/18 158/1
15/23 15/25 17/1	159/4 159/25	158/18 165/8
17/5 19/10 21/21	160/15 160/17	166/25 170/11
23/12 24/19 26/5	167/3 167/18	173/11 177/13
26/6 27/7 31/21	168/20 173/13	182/18 187/4
32/13 33/8 34/5	174/14 175/23	Google [1] 114/22
34/6 34/9 42/22	182/3 183/12	got [12] 6/25 24/4
44/6 44/9 44/15	183/13 187/14	24/7 63/9 103/16
46/13 47/2 47/6	187/19 187/20	113/13 113/14
47/25 49/6 49/17	187/23 187/24	113/25 132/7
51/11 56/11 58/13	188/1 188/3 188/5	142/23 146/7
58/19 61/12 62/3	188/8 191/25	173/15
70/9 73/7 79/23	<b>gone</b> [ <b>6</b> ] 113/24	government [1]
79/24 80/3 81/13	117/14 128/3	144/16
81/16 81/22 82/25	146/15 146/20	<b>grade [3]</b> 13/6 14/4
87/23 95/15 101/10	149/18	79/5
107/8 119/11	<b>good [51]</b> 2/11 3/5	graduate [2]

graduate [2] 105/15 142/4 graduation [1]  42/24 131/4 guests [4] 14/24 14/24 36/2 37/13  half [3] 95/10 153/19 154/1 Hals [1] 22/17
105/15 142/4   guests [4] 14/24   153/19 154/1
11/2/126/227/12   <b>Hola [11]</b> 22/17
graduation [1]   14/24/30/2/37/13   Hals [1]   22/17
guidance [2] 35/4   hand [4] 108/4
grant [1] 85/3 51/21 123/7 139/2 166/
granted [6] 101/4   guide [1] 167/6   handed [1] 168/
108/21 166/20   guidelines [6]   handful [1] 146/
166/24 186/1   90/10 122/1 125/6   handicapped [20]
192/21   125/10 125/11   86/10 86/12 87/5
granting [2] 125/19 87/16 88/24 89/1
165/19 169/18 <b>H</b> 89/23 90/6 90/13
90/1790/2391/4
151 72/10
96/24 97/2 97/6
great [1] 1/6/4   Hackensack [1]   98/3 110/24   161/14
handing   11   139
greatly [1] 132/21 had [34] 4/8 22/5 green [4] 4/16 4/19 33/6 50/13 51/25 handle [2] 109/4
96/15 167/17   53/6 30/15 31/25   145/3   145/3
handled [1] 183
grew [1] 1/9/1 grill [1] 32/15   90/5 96/19 96/25 97/9 97/20 102/2   hands [1] 54/15
C/17 9/19 51/5 59/9   100/20 100/20
[13, 177/2]   100/0 122/4 139/13   149/1 180/3 181/
happened [5]
124/6 181// 186
152/0101/1103/23 189/10 189/12
happening [3]
guess [5] 98/19   174/3 181/5 185/23   134/25 170/20   185/24 189/5   177/24
131/10 137/11   183/24 189/3   177/24   192/10   177/24
hannens [3] 88/
Hagathy [1] 192/9

H	161/19 162/9	44/22 44/24 44/25
happens [2]	165/16 169/23	46/7 46/15 46/15
159/25 160/1	169/25 173/2	46/22 46/24 54/3
happy [2] 35/3	178/10 178/12	54/19 54/20 54/20
179/6	178/14 179/3	59/2 59/2 59/21
hard [3] 41/21	179/17 181/25	59/24 65/10 68/25
69/13 161/21	182/23 186/11	73/22 73/22 73/23
hardware [1]	186/25 190/14	76/5 78/18 80/16
113/23	194/13	82/21 82/22 82/22
Hartz [1] 110/14	hasn't [2] 43/15	84/8 84/10 100/4
HARVEY [1] 1/11	182/22	100/9 100/12
has [67] 6/3 6/8 6/9	<b>hatched</b> [2] 16/3	100/18 101/2
7/10 17/9 19/19	16/6	101/13 101/14
27/20 46/2 46/20	<b>hate</b> [1] 105/17	102/11 137/7
51/21 54/15 54/22	<b>haul [1]</b> 20/1	137/17 142/13
55/3 55/7 58/13	have [270]	142/15 142/17
61/18 62/15 64/13	have watch [1]	143/17 144/7
68/25 70/7 74/12	17/24	149/18 160/21
82/9 84/5 90/18	<b>haven [1]</b> 19/10	160/22 161/23
94/18 107/12 111/3	haven't [5] 5/24	161/23 162/2
113/14 117/14	78/8 79/18 93/5	167/19 167/19
117/23 117/24	122/13	168/3 168/9 168/11
118/24 121/16	<b>having [13]</b> 31/15	171/17 171/22
124/8 125/19 127/6	33/17 56/7 59/22	172/4 172/9 172/10
127/11 130/12	60/3 60/10 64/23	182/7 185/12
130/17 141/7	90/5 104/13 148/12	190/22 191/1 191/6
141/19 143/10	167/9 176/20 183/3	191/8 193/1 194/7
146/8 146/15	<b>he [80]</b> 15/4 18/16	195/1
146/19 149/14	18/16 21/18 29/5	he's [21] 5/14
149/15 149/17	33/12 35/15 35/16	32/13 32/14 33/9
149/18 158/23	35/18 44/7 44/22	33/13 34/8 34/8

H he's [14] 34/9	3/2 3/25 7/17 10/13 10/16 10/22 15/13	84/10 84/17 96/14 98/14 98/16 98/18
35/15 44/9 44/22 44/25 45/4 73/23 82/20 83/13 100/6 100/10 100/19 101/13 171/10	16/19 19/19 49/25 76/3 151/8 157/24 157/25 158/22 162/9 164/17 172/19 173/9 189/22 189/23	98/22 98/25 99/4 99/5 104/7 104/23 105/8 105/12 108/12 109/19 111/22 112/2 123/11 131/12
head [1] 163/6 headed [1] 42/17 headsets [1] 163/5 health [5] 82/12	189/22 189/23 192/22 hearings [2] 109/9 166/14 heart [2] 34/19	123/11 131/12 148/1 150/12 155/25 159/15 160/10 160/19 162/23 162/23
84/19 84/25 85/4 167/9 healthy [1] 118/6 hear [25] 4/21 5/12 5/13 8/6 20/5 33/23	173/3 height [3] 108/20 159/9 186/5 Heights [2] 175/4	169/16 169/20 170/13 170/15 173/16 173/21 174/16 176/15
38/24 44/11 44/18 47/8 58/21 86/2 86/6 93/12 95/8 105/4 106/11 112/4	175/6 held [1] 105/19 Hello [5] 44/10 86/1 86/2 169/3 188/13	177/5 179/11 179/12 180/7 180/16 180/21 181/9 181/25 182/4 182/8 183/1 183/7
117/16 117/17 140/13 140/14 158/2 179/11 179/12 heard [12] 8/4	help [6] 4/18 72/6 89/5 120/8 138/14 173/4 her [8] 8/2 24/5	183/8 183/15 184/18 184/20 185/2 188/22 190/21 192/4 193/7
48/21 65/13 111/5 111/6 126/16 126/17 132/14 150/24 163/13 169/9 181/18 hearing [23] 1/5	34/5 54/23 67/14 74/12 181/2 181/7 <b>here [70]</b> 3/24 5/1 13/16 29/9 35/1 35/4 38/25 50/23 60/6 62/23 82/7	194/2 194/6 here's [2] 39/1 68/22 hereby [1] 197/6 herein [1] 197/6 hereinafter [1]
17.5 [20] 17.5		

H	160/21 160/24	166/2
hereinafter [1]	185/10 185/17	<b>hospital</b> [1] 172/8
62/20	193/21 195/1	host [1] 148/22
<b>Hi [3]</b> 29/12	<b>history</b> [1] 190/16	<b>hour [4]</b> 40/6
172/21 173/11	<b>Hoboken [1]</b> 135/4	
high [13] 14/3 23/8	<b>hold [5]</b> 34/5 74/10	
119/20 130/3 136/3	74/16 74/17 101/17	135/24 161/2
141/15 142/2		house [6] 117/14
142/15 159/7	<b>home [6]</b> 30/8 53/4	127/19 135/23
177/24 187/14	165/14 171/1	142/1 152/13
188/18 191/1	173/20 195/24	174/12
high-level [1]	homeowner [1]	household [4]
177/24	40/14	21/16 118/18
high-quality [1]	homeowners [2]	120/19 135/2
119/20	27/24 160/1	households [5]
high-rise [1] 142/2	homes [8] 13/16	120/17 122/10
highly [1] 188/21	84/25 118/8 165/25	122/23 123/1
him [14] 32/15	177/7 179/1 179/4	124/16
32/20 35/21 60/18	187/12	houses [4] 110/1
73/15 77/1 78/17	homework [1]	112/15 114/2
82/15 82/25 137/11	82/10	178/24
137/17 137/18	honestly [1]	<b>housing [80]</b> 108/7
151/16 191/2	191/15	109/22 109/23
hire [1] 122/15	<b>HONIS [2]</b> 1/12	111/8 111/23 112/7
Hirschhorn [2]	149/14	112/12 112/13
2/16 174/18	<b>honor</b> [1] 158/18	112/17 112/18
his [20] 5/15 14/17	hope [ <b>7</b> ] 136/23	113/7 115/21
33/24 47/9 47/10	163/14 163/15	115/22 115/24
61/14 61/16 67/9	164/16 166/5 166/7	116/12 117/7
85/17 100/7 100/15	195/11	117/14 117/16
100/21 101/4 105/2	Hopefully [1]	117/19 117/24

housing [60] 118/3 118/11 118/11 119/21 119/22 120/3 120/4 120/5 120/7 120/10 120/12 120/17 121/2 121/18 122/22 123/3 123/12 123/14 123/22 123/24 124/12 124/14 124/20 125/1 125/12 125/23 125/24 126/1 126/25 126/25 127/11 127/14 127/18 128/10 129/5 129/7 129/17 129/18 129/19 129/20 130/20 138/11 139/20 142/12 142/13 143/11 144/5 144/6	101/21 103/17 112/22 114/18 122/4 126/17 126/18 126/19 127/22 127/25 128/5 131/11 132/7 133/25 136/16 136/19 140/22 141/24 143/4 152/1 152/16 153/5 154/24 159/5 159/11 159/13 166/17 172/11 176/18 181/22	huge [2] 108/20 179/2 human [1] 159/20 Hummers [1] 71/16 hundred [1] 30/18 hundreds [2] 132/1 161/1 hurt [1] 181/4 hyper [1] 183/4 hyper-expansion [1] 183/4 hyphen [1] 81/8 I I'd [7] 9/10 61/10 62/25 66/14 76/7 129/22 189/6 I'll [16] 21/17 25/4 44/11 47/12 103/12 111/25 127/12 128/25 136/5 137/6 155/6 168/24 173/14 188/8 191/5 193/19
129/5 129/7 129/17 129/18 129/19 129/20 130/20 138/11 139/20 142/12 142/13	141/24 143/4 152/1 152/16 153/5 154/24 159/5 159/11 159/13 166/17 172/11	I'll [16] 21/17 25/4 44/11 47/12 103/12 111/25 127/12 128/25 136/5 137/6 155/6 168/24 173/14 188/8 191/5

Ι	162/22 162/23	116/10 116/13
<b>I'm [108]</b> 38/1	162/23 163/7 163/7	<b>identify [4]</b> 22/13
39/3 41/16 41/22	163/17 165/9 167/3	55/11 71/1 138/12
44/6 44/15 44/15	170/12 171/11	identifying [2]
46/13 47/6 47/12	173/11 179/6	88/23 164/24
47/25 58/20 60/11	181/21 181/22	<b>idling [1]</b> 187/19
61/12 61/24 62/3	181/22 182/2 182/3	ignore [11] 159/1
63/9 65/5 66/6 67/6	182/8 182/8 182/19	159/4 172/24
67/7 67/11 67/12	184/7 187/24	174/21 176/10
70/8 71/25 72/3	188/22 188/22	180/13 193/22
75/11 75/20 76/1	188/22 188/24	194/6 194/25 195/2
78/6 78/23 81/13	189/17 190/8	195/7
81/14 81/16 81/22	194/20	ignored [1] 162/9
82/1 82/14 82/14	I've [21] 6/25	illumination [3]
83/15 83/21 85/1	26/23 63/9 66/20	8/15 9/3 9/20
85/24 87/25 89/6	69/7 78/7 78/8	illustrate [1] 17/2
90/4 93/11 94/24	107/13 113/25	illustrated [1] 91/1
94/25 100/8 100/15	125/11 159/15	illustrates [2] 17/3
101/11 104/20	165/11 173/13	17/8
104/23 105/14	173/19 173/20	<b>impact [17]</b> 24/19
105/20 108/24	174/3 174/5 184/7	25/16 84/19 84/25
109/15 110/25	187/8 187/9 188/15	96/7 97/7 116/15
111/5 117/15	ice [2] 196/5 196/6	145/10 146/11
118/15 119/17	<b>ID</b> [2] 2/23 2/23	148/3 154/9 165/21
124/18 128/1	idea [6] 54/8 62/9	169/14 170/6 172/5
131/16 132/6 132/6	137/10 141/24	172/6 172/7
138/4 138/24	157/10 191/24	impacting [1]
145/24 148/7	identification [3]	169/18
152/10 155/8 155/8	60/22 61/5 64/5	impacts [5] 49/12
155/25 157/1 160/8	identified [5]	52/19 112/8 140/10
160/17 162/21	87/19 115/20 116/8	170/7

I	175/11	52/24 132/13
impairing [1]	<b>incentive [2]</b> 64/11	incredibly [1]
166/25	113/18	136/3
impeding [2] 42/3	inch [1] 162/2	incumbent [1]
46/12	inches [1] 55/9	116/22
impervious [3]	inclined [1] 44/15	indeed [2] 160/25
52/24 93/14 93/24	<b>include [3]</b> 111/19	172/25
implement [1]	116/24 143/9	<b>INDEX</b> [1] 2/1
121/10	<b>included [3]</b> 74/13	<b>indicated [5]</b> 6/14
implicit [1] 181/8	94/16 158/12	134/22 152/5 165/1
implying [1] 145/4	<b>includes</b> [1] 111/8	192/12
important [5]	<b>including [5]</b> 69/10	0
91/18 112/1 131/20	107/1 141/12	181/4
186/23 191/5	166/21 166/22	individual [2] 8/21
importantly [1]	income [7] 120/16	155/10
182/11	121/2 122/1 122/3	individuals [1]
imposing [1] 19/15	122/9 124/16 144/5	
improve [2] 56/14	inconvenience [1]	indoor [2] 29/20
175/9	40/12	31/15
improved [3]	incorporated [1]	indoors [1] 40/19
138/17 138/19	190/15	industrial [2]
176/18	incorrect [2] 47/17	
improvement [5]	89/7	industry [2]
126/7 126/10	increase [11] 12/9	108/18 142/19
126/13 127/2	12/18 12/23 22/8 52/22 87/17 93/22	infiltration [1] 79/13
138/11	93/24 132/21	
improvement's [1]	183/20 186/5	information [6] 32/5 32/7 43/16
15/24		59/14 80/20 84/6
improves [2] 52/15	145/3	informational [1]
52/19	increasing [2]	3/17
improving [1]	mer casing [2]	

I	156/14 157/9	invest [2] 176/21
infrastructure [1]	157/19	177/2
92/23	intended [2]	investigated [1]
infrastructures [1]	195/19 195/20	19/20
176/20	<b>intent [2]</b> 167/1	Investigation [1]
inherently [2]	167/5	1/6
93/18 166/22	<b>intention [2]</b> 13/10	investment [3]
injustice [1]	181/7	173/22 174/11
165/16	intentional [1]	174/15
inside [2] 18/18	48/7	<b>investors</b> [1] 166/8
177/25	intentionally [1]	involve [1] 142/20
insists [2] 44/23	48/4	involved [2] 123/6
46/23	interchangeable	149/9
	[ <b>1</b> ] 99/18	irregardless [1]
inspect [3] 23/23 24/16 24/23	interest [1] 14/16	109/2
	interested [1]	is [419]
install [2] 23/25 40/2	148/2	<b>Island [1]</b> 114/22
installation [1]	interesting [7]	isn't [5] 21/16
80/7	107/25 109/14	47/16 68/23 69/1
installing [1] 79/24	110/8 117/23 138/8	69/19
Instead [2] 163/4	146/13 162/16	issue [15] 32/22
163/6	internal [2] 17/15	33/16 58/2 67/9
	17/23	95/12 99/3 115/3
<b>Institute [1]</b> 105/21	<b>interrupt</b> [1] 131/7	139/23 140/3
	interruption [4]	140/13 146/19
instructed [2]	23/4 94/20 127/24	148/3 151/17
159/1 194/25	178/4	165/21 190/20
instruction [1]	interview [1]	issued [1] 107/16
195/6	122/23	issues [4] 56/7
instructions [1]	intonations [1]	82/15 82/16 147/25
160/13	14/13	it [363]
intend [4] 12/9		

I it's [167] 4/17 6/9 9/19 13/9 17/18 19/8 19/15 21/23 21/24 24/17 26/5 28/15 28/24 29/24 31/7 31/10 33/11	114/24 115/6	
34/3 37/16 38/20 40/19 41/4 47/6 47/7 48/2 48/14 49/17 51/5 53/25 54/18 55/9 55/18 55/21 55/23 55/25 57/1 57/19 60/14 61/3 61/7 61/13 63/6 63/10 68/1 68/1 68/3 69/1 69/12 69/13 69/21	117/24 119/3 119/5 122/6 122/7 123/6 126/22 127/4 129/2 130/4 130/13 131/5 132/16 133/1 135/13 135/25 136/21 137/25 138/8 139/23 139/25 140/4 141/9 141/22 143/12 146/5 146/13 149/8 149/25 150/5 150/6	175/10 189/23 190/8
70/10 71/11 73/9 73/9 73/10 74/25 75/1 81/23 83/8 85/6 88/13 88/22 89/22 89/24 89/24 91/10 91/18 97/3 102/21 102/23 103/3 108/20 109/2 109/11 109/11 109/12 109/12 109/16 109/18 109/24 110/3 110/9 110/13 110/17	150/15 150/20 153/4 153/20 153/23 155/2 155/3 155/11 155/17 156/24 157/10 159/18 160/7 160/9 162/15 163/13 164/1 168/18 176/15 176/17	JENNIFER [1] 1/13 JERRY [1] 1/11 Jersey [17] 60/9 64/16 104/23

110/20 111/9 **left [6]** 16/2 29/10 L 163/12 52/2 77/14 113/23 large [5] 42/20 **laughing [1]** 74/12 137/14 109/11 114/6 law [9] 89/15 length [8] 23/24 117/13 189/10 89/17 89/18 145/7 24/1 31/1 65/22 larger [3] 71/18 157/17 157/22 152/12 154/25 77/25 92/1 159/2 161/20 155/1 155/7 last [46] 4/8 5/23 less [11] 31/10 166/19 5/23 6/10 7/5 7/17 31/11 52/12 100/21 lawn [4] 68/2 68/3 10/16 10/22 12/15 73/8 73/9 102/22 102/24 15/13 16/19 25/25 laws [2] 161/15 130/20 135/15 26/1 26/21 26/22 147/1 147/8 163/22 161/17 41/15 41/17 42/13 lessen [2] 97/7 lawyer [5] 82/14 43/22 49/25 49/25 180/23 194/23 154/9 50/19 69/12 76/2 195/1 195/4 let [24] 4/1 25/4 76/5 76/9 77/5 lay [3] 64/19 67/23 36/9 40/14 47/10 77/11 78/15 82/7 94/21 58/11 61/2 61/17 104/17 104/19 laying [1] 190/3 72/6 73/18 77/1 106/2 126/2 142/23 leadership [2] 78/17 82/8 83/22 151/18 163/4 189/7 189/7 101/1 119/18 136/5 164/23 168/20 **leads** [1] 141/19 136/18 137/6 152/2 169/8 180/23 **leaf** [1] 9/19 157/15 161/17 181/15 181/23 learn [2] 32/25 162/20 181/11 194/19 195/23 let's [17] 3/22 3/25 33/1 195/23 learned [1] 34/25 6/11 44/18 47/8 lastly [1] 172/3 **least [5]** 76/10 53/13 54/17 61/2 late [5] 105/18 80/24 133/18 63/2 83/11 95/24 128/14 129/2 150/23 155/22 105/3 106/17 137/25 176/16 leave [4] 21/17 137/18 144/10 later [9] 32/13 52/7 156/4 162/2 146/7 151/15 61/7 72/23 85/14 leaves [1] 137/17 letter [2] 22/23 95/14 108/10

L	185/14	limited [2] 31/7
	lighting [21] 5/25	98/1
level [21] 7/8 8/20	6/3 6/17 6/20 7/22	limits [1] 122/4
10/23 11/7 15/25	8/11 8/13 8/14 8/14	<b>Linda [2]</b> 2/14
16/1 16/5 16/10	8/19 8/21 8/22 8/23	169/3
16/11 16/13 16/18	9/2 9/7 9/11 9/14	<b>line [23]</b> 11/8 13/4
17/14 21/11 38/8	9/20 10/3 10/7 10/8	19/15 23/8 24/23
46/2 47/22 103/13	lights [4] 6/22 6/22	25/16 25/23 26/3
151/19 154/16	6/23 6/24	26/16 29/9 37/19
177/24 190/24	like [ <b>39</b> ] 4/25 9/10	65/23 72/13 78/25
levels [7] 15/17	10/14 34/13 34/20	126/16 129/7
15/19 95/17 133/1	39/13 44/13 47/12	151/23 152/4 152/7
133/4 140/17	47/17 58/19 60/18	152/19 153/2
186/19	61/10 62/25 63/19	154/12 154/17
Lewis [5] 2/6 2/14	63/21 66/14 72/2	<b>lines [2]</b> 24/13
37/21 102/3 166/12	72/19 73/1 73/21	126/17
liars [1] 193/2	76/7 83/21 84/1	<b>lips</b> [1] 163/10
library [2] 150/17	103/11 106/4 114/1	<b>Liskin [2]</b> 142/4
150/18	114/2 123/13	142/23
license [2] 105/19	131/14 131/22	<b>Liskin's</b> [1] 143/13
197/16	135/15 148/5	list [2] 101/1
licensed [2] 104/24	148/20 158/20	122/25
105/16	171/10 173/7 181/3	<b>listen [3]</b> 74/11
lie [1] 193/2	181/6 183/23	176/10 187/19
life [2] 174/4 189/1	likely [6] 69/18	listening [1]
lifestyles [1]	122/12 122/13	176/16
165/25	150/7 150/8 151/5	<b>listings</b> [1] 142/20
light [6] 4/16 8/24	<b>Lilian [5]</b> 2/6 2/14	<b>little [19]</b> 41/20
9/17 14/8 185/12	37/21 102/3 166/12	42/16 75/11 86/5
185/14	<b>limit [2]</b> 156/10	87/23 89/8 92/1
light-industrial [1]	185/23	107/20 108/10
ingir maasarar [1]		

L	located [11] 9/18	131/25 134/19
little [10] 111/25	15/20 26/6 39/23	135/12 137/4
113/13 135/17	42/13 45/16 46/7	144/12 168/16
136/6 137/25 154/7	48/4 94/2 94/5	176/17 176/18
154/14 170/19	104/22	177/11 189/9
186/18 195/17	<b>location [14]</b> 15/20	189/15 192/2 192/3
live [21] 32/24	16/4 16/7 16/15	193/8
67/5 69/15 69/17	16/16 17/2 18/16	looked [9] 15/14
96/17 109/16	30/23 42/14 46/6	15/16 16/22 27/5
141/21 141/25	72/10 79/10 103/18	82/10 82/11 83/2
143/18 159/13	110/13	144/4 193/6
159/18 160/10	<b>locations</b> [3] 46/6	looking [7] 81/14
161/11 169/19	49/17 49/22	85/2 85/4 85/7
170/17 171/4 176/2	long [15] 32/25	155/8 175/3 178/19
177/5 178/20	42/24 43/3 114/22	losing [2] 68/6
181/20 187/25	127/16 134/20	71/3
lived [5] 33/5	136/19 143/12	lot [63] 11/14 12/3
166/9 175/20 189/2	152/16 153/5 153/7	27/22 35/10 36/16
189/2	183/1 185/8 185/15	49/11 52/2 52/7
lives [3] 131/12	185/23	52/12 53/25 55/14
142/11 169/19	longer [4] 110/2	55/15 55/17 56/17
living [6] 118/22	115/23 149/9	57/18 57/20 58/5
135/2 144/11 146/9	159/23	58/7 58/20 63/24
187/8 187/11	longstanding [1]	64/14 64/18 67/24
LLC [6] 1/7 3/3	182/24	68/4 69/9 69/20
62/12 62/15 62/16	longtime [2]	70/17 73/7 74/22
64/9	165/10 192/19	75/1 75/16 80/21
	look [24] 11/6	90/7 93/3 93/4 93/8
<b>loading [3]</b> 31/6 31/8 42/25	18/13 32/4 32/17	107/20 107/21
	34/11 48/3 61/2	109/14 109/16
<b>lobby [4]</b> 9/19	85/20 92/13 109/22	
16/12 16/14 68/14		-

L	lower [9] 8/20	made [12] 4/13 7/7
lot [21] 109/21	15/24 16/11 16/13	13/9 18/9 76/12
109/23 110/6	17/13 17/20 78/10	76/17 80/23 89/16
110/13 111/2	127/6 179/13	92/9 119/15 126/22
111/11 113/2 113/3	lower-level [1]	129/15
113/3 114/23	16/13	Mahwah [1]
116/22 125/11	lucky [1] 71/21	114/21
126/9 131/3 131/21	<b>Lydon</b> [17] 2/4	<b>main [2]</b> 23/7
147/7 172/1 179/7	32/12 34/7 41/5	112/24
182/20 186/15	104/10 104/11	<b>mainly [2]</b> 51/10
187/17	104/16 104/20	170/21
lots [5] 52/5 92/20	105/4 105/8 106/13	maintain [10]
93/15 114/17 180/5	106/19 133/10	27/24 28/8 50/9
lottery [1] 123/1	136/5 137/6 147/16	56/18 57/7 57/10
Loud [1] 95/7	148/4	57/10 57/19 58/5
louder [4] 8/7 89/9	$\mathbf{M}$	58/19
89/10 91/15	M-I-C-K-I [1]	maintained [3]
love [4] 140/8	187/6	57/2 58/13 124/13
173/3 181/12	ma'am [23] 29/7	maintaining [2]
188/16	31/19 38/15 38/18	57/14 58/6
low [11] 120/16	40/13 40/21 42/8	maintenance [11]
121/2 122/3 122/9	43/12 68/11 70/14	28/4 53/19 55/1
124/15 130/6 142/1	70/18 71/8 72/6	55/5 55/8 55/12
142/14 144/5	72/18 81/3 83/15	56/10 56/22 57/16
154/16 167/23	84/12 85/5 86/12	59/12 59/23
low-density [1]	89/5 102/2 102/16	<b>major [7</b> ] 115/20
167/23	103/21	117/5 119/7 171/6
low-income [3]	<b>machines</b> [1] 185/1	186/3 187/21
120/16 121/2 122/9	<b>MADAIO</b> [5] 1/15	189/19
low-rise [2] 142/1	94/18 105/20	majority [1] 92/24
142/14	121/11 156/23	make [40] 25/15
	121/11 130/23	

$\mathbf{M}$	Manhattan [1]	marked [6] 60/21
make [39] 33/2	33/6	64/4 66/11 66/17
33/3 37/1 47/11	<b>manner [1]</b> 167/8	66/24 88/11
55/10 75/11 81/15	<b>manual [2]</b> 51/21	<b>market [5]</b> 118/9
88/8 90/15 91/23	55/5	129/5 138/13 143/4
101/1 101/20 122/4	manufactured [1]	143/11
124/10 133/7	27/21	marketable [1]
136/18 148/14	<b>many [45]</b> 11/9	111/4
150/22 156/3	12/4 38/11 47/4	marketplace [4]
156/18 157/15	47/15 48/9 60/5	110/18 114/13
157/15 161/22	68/9 73/11 73/20	115/1 142/25
161/23 162/3 164/8	77/14 78/10 84/21	marketplaces [1]
165/21 171/10	86/9 89/2 90/25	115/5
173/22 173/22	92/8 92/10 95/16	<b>Mars [1]</b> 112/22
174/10 174/14	98/21 105/8 126/18	master [47] 82/11
175/25 177/10	127/22 127/25	85/2 85/7 107/9
178/2 181/20	128/5 133/25	112/4 112/5 114/1
182/11 183/6	140/22 141/24	115/3 115/15
189/23	143/4 152/1 163/6	115/16 116/6
makes [3] 148/6	165/11 165/13	116/14 116/21
177/13 194/23	165/16 170/20	116/22 119/11
making [7] 37/10	171/2 174/1 174/6	120/1 120/8 120/24
91/18 144/25 157/1	178/21 187/9	121/18 124/19
165/2 170/25	187/20 189/3 189/3	130/10 145/16
175/15	189/7 190/10	148/6 148/19 159/6
man [1] 175/21	<b>map [1]</b> 64/18	160/22 160/23
manage [1] 163/15	mark [14] 1/13	161/3 161/8 161/8
management [3]	1/15 6/7 60/8 60/12	163/21 164/2
87/21 88/1 131/22	60/13 60/15 60/20	167/18 171/23
managing [1] 33/1	60/25 61/1 62/25	171/24 188/24
mandates [1] 55/4	63/19 63/21 193/19	190/8 190/9 190/13
<u> </u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>

$\mathbf{M}$	May 2nd [1]	138/7 139/2 141/10
master [8]	165/21	141/19 152/2
191/23 192/5	<b>maybe</b> [19] 3/22	157/15 159/18
193/23 193/25	12/19 31/2 31/11	160/6 162/5 162/20
194/1 194/6 194/8	36/8 40/6 57/24	168/14 177/8
195/21	61/21 68/24 73/23	180/24 180/25
math [4] 105/20	94/25 105/4 125/10	181/3 181/4 181/11
109/19 128/14	136/24 139/15	181/17 181/24
141/7	145/17 150/1	181/24 182/1
matter [10] 1/4	153/14 181/7	185/15 187/6
90/4 126/20 149/19	<b>mayor</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 53/25	188/25 193/1
149/25 150/11	80/5 107/14 145/17	193/24 197/7
150/12 150/22	148/22 191/12	mean [7] 30/2
180/14 197/7	191/12	50/24 88/5 121/25
matters [1] 172/20	<b>McClean [3]</b> 63/15	146/24 146/25
maximize [1]	63/17 66/17	183/14
164/9	<b>McLEAN [1]</b> 1/16	meaning [3] 56/2
may [31] 1/3 19/11	me [68] 4/21 4/25	80/5 88/18
21/24 34/17 34/17	7/5 11/24 11/24	meaningless [1]
38/21 57/13 80/9	12/16 20/25 36/9	158/14
80/10 82/22 85/13	36/11 36/11 36/24	means [16] 14/25
92/18 100/19	37/25 39/10 39/18	44/17 51/3 88/3
101/15 118/22	39/21 40/17 40/18	88/16 111/1 120/13
121/23 125/10	42/9 42/12 44/10	121/24 129/5 167/9
129/13 130/2 131/8	44/17 58/11 60/25	170/1 178/22 179/2
131/9 133/14	67/2 70/3 72/2 72/6	179/22 188/25
146/21 146/21	72/22 73/18 80/1	188/25
146/21 140/21	81/4 82/9 83/22	<b>meantime</b> [1] 61/2
165/21 165/23	85/15 87/24 96/25	measure [1]
166/20 171/3	101/1 119/18 130/2	164/13
185/21	130/16 136/18	medical [2] 108/3
103/21		

$\mathbf{M}$	mental [1] 84/19	<b>Micki [2]</b> 2/20
medical [1]	<b>mention [6]</b> 107/18	
111/2	108/11 162/21	microphone [10]
meet [2] 125/18	165/21 171/21	3/10 4/15 8/2 22/14
192/4	172/3	95/3 95/12 105/1
meeting [22] 3/15	mentioned [14]	162/18 163/9 196/9
6/10 21/20 22/10	31/20 51/22 53/17	microphones [4]
26/22 41/15 41/17	53/18 86/3 86/7	3/12 162/19 163/2
41/24 69/12 74/5	111/22 114/19	163/11
76/2 104/6 149/16	139/11 168/9	<b>mid [2]</b> 110/15
149/24 150/7	171/16 171/17	142/15
150/10 169/8	171/22 172/2	mid-rise/high-rise
180/23 181/1	mentions [1]	[1] 142/15
181/10 181/16	116/19	<b>middle [4]</b> 3/24
196/8	merge [1] 93/9	48/5 114/9 182/24
meetings [7] 32/2	merged [1] 92/20	middle-class [1]
34/18 101/8 105/10	<b>Merit</b> [2] 1/24	182/24
174/23 174/24	197/15	<b>might</b> [8] 73/10
183/24	MERMELSTEIN	73/24 84/3 88/23
	<b>[2]</b> 1/13 4/2	98/20 105/9 140/11
MELFI [1] 1/18	methods [1] 51/23	150/11
member [8] 2/12	Metropolitan [1]	mile [2] 169/24
70/25 162/21	179/8	170/1
162/22 162/24	<b>MEYER [5]</b> 1/10	military [2] 188/19
164/24 165/9 174/4	20/4 162/12 194/1	189/8
members [15] 4/24	184/9	Millennials [1]
5/8 10/12 97/9	mic [8] 5/7 5/12	117/9
121/23 158/3 158/6	5/17 8/7 74/18 86/4	
158/14 158/20	134/9 138/18	178/15 178/16
159/1 160/12	<b>Michael [2]</b> 2/18	178/25
163/20 172/17	177/17	mind [1] 101/25
176/14 185/1		101/20

M	r
<b>minimize</b> [1] 131/5	
minimum [2] 78/1	N
86/24	
<b>minute [4]</b> 71/9	r
179/24 180/2 185/5	
minutes [9] 136/24	_
136/25 137/5	N
156/12 163/12	r
165/4 168/22	r
172/14 194/11	r
misspoke [1]	r
151/11	1
mistaken [1]	
152/10	,
misunderstanding	2
[2] 126/9 154/21	
misunderstood [1]	
36/7	
mitigating [1] 74/6	(
moderate [2] 124/16 144/5	
moderate-income	
[2] 124/16 144/5	
modern [1] 193/24	
modernize [1]	
173/23	
modifications [1]	
14/15	
modify [2] 91/9	
153/12	

moment [3] 8/3 8/5 158/11 Monday [1] 150/17 money [**5**] 161/22 161/24 162/3 174/14 176/19 **MONICA [1]** 1/12 monitor [1] 25/14 month [1] 192/23 months [1] 149/5 moral [1] 167/11 more [55] 11/15 12/20 18/3 18/3 18/4 18/6 18/8 22/5 **motion [3]** 9/24 35/20 41/4 43/3 48/23 52/2 53/11 62/19 62/23 69/18 84/8 84/24 85/6 87/15 90/12 90/21 90/25 91/3 99/19 102/14 106/10 111/25 114/9 115/21 118/25 119/21 120/23 123/18 125/13 129/21 130/21 132/25 136/25 142/9 152/11 153/8 158/21 168/18 170/2 170/3 173/4

173/19 173/23 173/23 177/5 177/5 182/11 194/9 morning [1] 194/3 **Morris** [1] 105/25 mortars [1] 113/2 most [13] 42/21 48/3 96/19 111/20 113/17 122/12 122/13 135/5 141/5 150/7 150/7 151/5 189/14 mostly [1] 71/3 **mother [1]** 90/5 150/22 196/1 motorcycle [9] 15/14 15/17 16/4 16/17 76/18 77/21 77/24 103/9 103/20 motorcycles [9] 16/8 70/22 70/25 76/15 77/12 77/15 77/16 77/18 77/22 mounted [1] 8/23 mouth [1] 145/1 move [17] 5/11 9/8 39/11 41/18 41/18 43/12 61/6 66/7 96/5 98/7 103/19 118/7 118/7 154/13

M	73/18 74/11 80/19	34/7 41/5 104/11
move [3] 154/15	94/18 95/24 96/13	105/8 106/13
189/5 189/13	104/11 105/8	106/19 133/10
move-in [1] 41/18	105/20 106/11	136/5 137/6
move-out [1] 41/18	106/13 106/19	Mr. Madaio [4]
	118/14 121/11	94/18 105/20
moved [7] 3/23	122/12 124/4 129/2	121/11 156/23
66/12 66/18 150/10	133/10 133/14	Mr. Mermelstein
150/22 151/4 152/6	136/5 136/13 137/6	
<b>movement [2]</b> 10/9	137/24 138/7	Mr. Meyer [2]
67/7	139/21 140/7 147/9	•
moving [10] 31/5	147/11 149/17	Mr. Myer [1] 7/12
31/5 41/19 41/25	149/21 151/13	Mr. Powers [6]
41/25 42/1 42/2	154/3 155/20	73/1 73/18 74/11
42/19 42/23 163/6	156/23 162/4 165/3	
moving-in [1]	184/1 184/9 185/3	<b>Mr. Rehman</b> [1]
41/25	190/6 190/22 194/5	
moving-out [1]	196/7	Mr. Rehman's [1]
41/25	Mr. Brown [1]	151/13
Mr [18] 4/17 4/20	155/20	<b>Mr. Rose [3]</b> 165/3
4/24 5/16 5/21 20/4		190/6 194/5
32/12 105/4 106/11	Mr. Burgis' [1]	
124/8 138/18	106/11	Mr. Rosen [5]
144/22 147/16	<b>Mr. Chairman</b> [11]	
148/4 150/16	4/25 118/14	138/7 139/21
162/11 189/20	122/12 124/4	Mr. Sohn [3]
196/8	133/14 137/24	95/24 96/13 196/7
<b>Mr. [57]</b> 4/2 4/25		Mr. Stanley [1]
7/12 18/11 18/13	149/21 154/3	190/22
26/23 34/7 41/5	Mr. Juzmeski [1]	Mr. Veach [6]
47/9 48/19 61/17	26/23	47/9 48/19 61/17
66/6 66/23 73/1	Mr. Lydon [9]	66/6 66/23 162/4

M	Ms. Rogovin [1]	192/7 194/14
MS [4] 2/3 2/4 8/1	73/6	multi-family [33]
57/24	Ms. Wendy [1]	62/17 89/12 108/7
Ms. [31] 3/3 4/2	7/10	108/15 111/23
4/4 7/10 18/1 18/5	<b>much [34]</b> 8/7	112/7 112/12
25/2 34/4 36/3 36/4	31/10 32/16 35/23	112/13 112/15
36/10 36/19 41/7	41/10 51/7 53/11	112/17 112/18
54/22 60/23 61/2	58/22 58/23 59/11	114/2 114/3 117/7
61/9 63/15 63/17	67/19 77/25 78/9	119/21 120/3 120/5
64/1 66/17 66/20	79/15 84/1 96/12	120/7 124/20
73/6 92/8 95/21	122/4 126/5 126/18	125/12 125/23
96/3 96/23 104/7	127/1 127/6 131/9	126/5 126/25 127/5
132/22 149/14	136/1 154/24	130/20 142/14
155/24	160/11 164/11	168/8 173/4 176/25
Ms. Berger [22]	166/10 172/15	192/6 192/6 192/7
3/3 4/4 18/1 18/5	175/17 186/25	194/14
25/2 34/4 36/3 36/4	187/2 188/11	<b>multiple [2]</b> 147/4
36/10 36/19 41/7	192/15 192/18	183/9
54/22 60/23 61/2	<b>multi [34]</b> 62/17	municipal [19]
61/9 64/1 66/20	89/12 108/7 108/15	11/14 24/12 33/20
92/8 95/21 104/7	111/23 112/7	49/10 51/9 51/12
132/22 155/24	112/12 112/13	56/4 56/19 57/18
Ms. Berger's [1]	112/15 112/17	57/20 58/20 69/9
96/3	112/18 114/2 114/3	72/14 74/25 111/11
<b>Ms. Honis</b> [1]	117/7 119/21 120/3	131/3 157/18
149/14	120/5 120/7 124/20	157/22 167/6
Ms. Kron [1]	125/12 125/23	municipal-standar
96/23	126/5 126/25 127/5	_
Ms. McClean [3]	130/20 142/14	municipalities [2]
63/15 63/17 66/17	168/8 173/4 174/5	106/5 122/15
Ms. Prince [1] 4/2	176/25 192/6 192/6	municipality [5]
1 <b>v15. 1</b> 1 1111CE [1] 4/2		
	<u> </u>	

M	<b>Myer [1]</b> 7/12	88/19 91/10 92/2
municipality [5]		need [ <b>57</b> ] 6/7 8/2
10/5 57/9 75/1	162/24 194/5	11/7 17/1 21/25
106/1 194/13	<b>mystery</b> [1] 113/6	22/19 26/6 27/7
must [3] 89/18	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	28/17 30/19 32/4
145/22 145/22	name [22] 22/16	36/12 53/2 53/4
my [63] 22/2 29/9	29/16 35/8 35/9	54/25 66/24 72/7
29/15 35/9 35/22	43/22 67/4 67/14	80/10 82/3 85/15
37/24 38/3 38/6	81/6 85/23 96/16	87/16 88/14 90/16
39/10 58/3 61/13	104/17 104/19	91/3 91/8 95/17
64/22 66/25 67/4	162/12 165/6 165/8	104/2 108/22
72/2 81/6 81/19	166/11 172/21	108/25 114/12
82/9 82/9 85/23	175/18 176/6	114/18 115/4
96/16 99/6 99/16	177/17 182/18	115/21 115/25
100/5 100/5 104/9	188/13	116/11 117/16
104/19 105/19	narrow [1] 110/2	120/4 131/8 135/22
106/9 113/11	national [2] 105/22	136/7 137/21
121/15 122/14	115/9	138/12 139/19
122/16 127/25	<b>naturally</b> [1] 52/23	142/8 145/17
133/1 135/19 141/7	nature [3] 27/14	140/23 149/13
141/20 145/23	28/7 51/2	156/4 164/14
156/24 159/2 159/4	navigate [1] 174/7	167/11 172/23
159/18 160/13	near [3] 24/12	179/13 183/21
161/5 162/12 165/8		189/8 189/15
172/21 173/19	neatly [2] 191/25	191/17 192/2
173/20 175/2 175/2		needed [8] 88/8
177/17 180/7	necessarily [4]	90/7 90/12 90/25
180/24 181/25	19/6 21/10 153/23	92/3 97/18 97/21
182/5 182/9 182/18	177/20	180/22
186/10 188/13	nacaccary IAI	needing [1] 150/23
189/16 193/1	24/24 25/15 25/23	<b>needs</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 67/19

N	123/14 124/22	189/21 192/23
needs [6] 114/13	125/9 126/11	193/11 194/18
115/18 115/18	126/21 128/3 135/3	
126/20 176/22		nicer [2] 177/6
194/16	142/8 142/11	177/7
<b>negative [5]</b> 11/13	142/22 142/24	<b>night [2]</b> 181/6
49/12 116/15	149/4 163/16 171/5	194/19
145/24 146/1	175/22 175/23	nightmare [2]
neighbor [2]	175/24 176/3 176/3	
169/14 170/8	179/4 179/8 179/10	
neighborhood [5]	179/10 194/12	48/11 52/1 52/6
110/19 165/10	New York [1]	87/2 87/3 102/19
169/5 179/21	179/8	103/4 161/24
179/24	Newark [1] 142/4	nine-unit [1] 52/1
neighborhoods [5]	newer [2] 126/5	<b>NJDOT</b> [1] 179/10
112/9 112/9 116/16	127/5	no [69] 5/14 7/2
167/15 168/2	news [1] 190/25	7/24 8/25 9/3 13/18
<b>Neither [1]</b> 121/7	next [36] 16/12	14/25 16/14 21/10
net [1] 9/3	16/14 25/6 28/24	23/1 25/16 30/3
never [2] 3/15	31/18 35/6 37/18	41/1 41/9 45/2
19/11	41/11 43/11 45/6	50/20 53/6 55/18
nevertheless [1]	46/16 58/21 85/11	58/4 59/25 62/23
164/25	99/11 103/10	64/7 64/23 70/24
new [44] 40/2 40/5	103/13 104/8 104/9	73/17 76/12 76/25
40/10 60/9 64/16	137/9 137/21	77/10 77/13 77/22
78/11 104/22	141/20 143/18	78/12 78/14 78/14
111/11 112/11	149/24 167/3	78/14 81/24 81/25
113/22 114/5	168/24 174/17	82/5 82/14 91/23
114/21 114/22	176/5 177/16	91/23 91/23 92/23
116/7 119/21	182/10 182/16	93/23 95/17 97/19
119/23 120/2	187/3 188/12	104/1 110/3 112/8

N	133/20 134/2	8/5 10/14 16/12
no [21] 113/6	134/14 142/6	20/15 31/20 35/13
115/22 123/18	170/17 171/13	38/19 39/6 40/22
123/20 123/21	179/5 179/9 180/1	49/24 53/7 53/11
127/11 131/2 133/6	190/21	53/17 55/14 55/16
134/6 145/15	northeast [10]	58/18 64/22 76/8
145/15 154/11	159/17 159/25	78/10 90/23 90/24
154/12 159/23	165/14 169/22	92/6 92/10 95/8
166/20 169/21	170/4 180/9 182/23	96/19 98/11 99/1
178/23 179/17	183/2 189/10	99/13 99/16 99/24
182/12 184/2	189/14	114/18 117/24
197/16	northeastern [2]	121/15 127/15
	169/17 170/2	128/4 129/25
noise [1] 188/7	northern [2] 142/6	132/16 133/25
nomenclature [1] 159/22	142/22	134/25 150/5
non [2] 116/9	not [222]	151/24 153/17
144/18	<b>note</b> [1] 149/14	158/21 165/15
	<b>noted</b> [1] 115/24	178/19 179/14
non-affordable [1]	<b>notes</b> [5] 101/8	187/10 192/9
non-residential [1]	101/8 117/5 138/10	193/15
116/9	197/7	nullify [1] 195/20
	<b>nothing [5]</b> 29/21	<b>number [16]</b> 31/22
none [1] 10/13	56/2 56/3 61/15	51/20 84/3 87/13
nonpublic [1] 183/21	138/5	87/17 117/25 130/1
	<b>notice [6]</b> 66/10	130/4 130/6 132/20
nonresidents [1]	66/15 150/6 150/19	135/6 143/13
69/10 Name [1], 29/24	150/20 150/25	168/12 168/17
Nope [1] 28/24	<b>notion</b> [1] 11/25	189/11 189/16
normal [1] 118/5	November [1]	numbering [1]
normally [3] 129/6	142/23	6/12
150/9 157/6	now [51] 3/13 7/23	numbers [3]
<b>north [11]</b> 133/11		

N	78/8	<b>off-street [3]</b> 13/2
numbers [3]	<b>obsolete</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 110/10	126/6 127/1
129/12 129/13	110/16 110/17	<b>offer [1]</b> 104/23
136/2	114/24 115/7	offhand [1] 55/20
numerous [2]	119/20 119/25	office [23] 52/17
99/13 107/1	obviously [3]	106/7 108/3 108/3
<b>nutshell [1]</b> 116/1	106/12 106/13	108/9 110/10
	153/25	110/15 111/2
O	occupancy [3]	114/17 114/19
O-R-G-E-N [1]	185/25 186/1	114/23 115/2 115/7
173/12	186/19	115/8 115/22
O-S-T-R-O-T-H	occupants [2]	116/10 116/15
<b>[1]</b> 194/21	127/5 129/3	116/19 116/25
oath [4] 35/5 100/6	<b>occupied</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 71/2	119/20 119/25
100/7 132/6	88/2 88/23 91/21	146/2 180/6
<b>object [6]</b> 44/6	118/19 128/10	<b>officer [2]</b> 106/1
46/13 47/6 61/12	146/8	180/21
65/10 81/22	occupies [1] 87/14	<b>officers [1]</b> 148/1
objecting [1] 54/6	occupying [1]	<b>offices</b> [1] 113/1
<b>objection [8]</b> 44/8	87/15	<b>official [1]</b> 140/17
44/14 44/16 45/6	occur [1] 40/15	offsetting [1] 51/9
47/11 47/12 54/23	occurred [1] 96/25	<b>often [1]</b> 188/16
54/23	occurs [1] 51/8	oftentimes [1]
<b>objective</b> [1] 164/3	off [16] 13/2 19/25	135/7
objectors [1]	20/1 40/3 52/19	<b>oh</b> [ <b>2</b> ] 24/6 183/11
167/25	56/20 81/4 93/2	okay [46] 4/4 4/6
obligations [1]	123/7 126/6 127/1	10/11 10/18 13/24
145/21	134/17 160/18	17/25 20/21 22/1
observation [2]	184/19 185/1	24/9 27/1 27/2
80/23 127/8	190/19	28/24 29/15 31/18
<b>observed</b> [2] 78/8	off-site [1] 52/19	31/20 32/3 32/4

O	70/11 70/23 78/22	190/9 192/25
okay [29] 34/2	79/23 79/24 83/6	one-bedroom [9]
36/13 38/13 38/25	83/11 88/7 91/23	14/21 19/11 21/14
39/9 58/9 66/25	103/11 103/14	120/15 123/19
69/23 71/5 74/20	103/16 106/4	123/22 142/16
83/10 83/22 85/21	107/20 107/22	143/15 144/8
90/20 92/5 98/11	108/4 108/18	one-family [1]
99/12 104/2 106/17	108/19 110/22	114/12
134/9 134/23 137/2	114/11 114/12	one-person [1]
149/20 155/21	115/19 116/13	120/19
156/21 156/22	117/22 118/23	ones [10] 66/23
157/14 159/2 181/4	119/1 120/15	121/14 121/14
old [5] 110/10	120/19 121/1	122/24 122/24
114/5 174/2 174/8	123/19 123/22	129/7 129/8 143/7
184/7	124/4 126/2 126/14	163/4 163/5
older [3] 109/23	127/2 127/11	<b>only [36]</b> 3/17 9/24
115/7 131/21	127/13 129/8	12/13 19/12 21/24
on-site [6] 42/23	129/21 130/16	27/19 29/9 35/14
77/17 88/1 131/23	133/9 133/15 135/5	35/21 46/2 46/4
132/3 132/9	139/5 139/12	47/20 48/15 51/25
on-street [3] 134/2	139/14 141/6	52/6 54/13 54/15
134/3 134/15	142/16 143/4 143/6	
once [2] 119/24	143/15 144/8	81/23 91/19 93/6
132/25	145/25 147/3 147/7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
one [93] 3/10 3/11	147/15 148/4 149/1	133/21 143/22
9/17 9/18 14/21	162/18 163/17	155/3 158/11
18/2 18/3 18/6 18/8	166/13 166/16	169/19 175/25
19/9 19/11 21/14	174/6 181/10	181/23 186/17
21/25 22/5 41/6	181/16 184/23	191/19 194/10
42/12 50/16 54/14	187/17 188/23	<b>open [19]</b> 14/8
54/16 63/16 67/13	189/16 189/16	17/4 17/14 52/7

O	optimism [1] 164/7	88/3 98/21 103/10
open [15] 55/21	order [3] 72/7	103/11 103/14
55/23 56/11 67/19	107/7 108/21	103/17 105/9
68/6 68/21 68/24	orders [1] 196/5	108/11 110/8
69/9 69/21 71/1	ordinance [22]	113/21 114/2
72/3 72/7 125/13	10/4 45/3 61/20	114/15 122/14
154/5 162/2	63/3 107/13 108/5	133/13 134/24
opening [1] 72/13	109/3 109/6 121/17	147/1 147/6 152/8
operated [1]	124/9 157/18	168/9 168/20 171/4
124/15	157/20 158/7	172/5 176/25 177/9
operating [3]	158/19 167/2 191/4	182/12 184/13
55/11 118/6 129/6	191/5 191/10	186/20 187/17
operation [1] 20/9	191/13 191/19	192/8
	192/11 192/17	others [2] 11/2
<b>opinion [10]</b> 10/3 10/7 15/2 15/6	ordinances [4]	96/20
17/17 20/21 31/15	121/10 121/12	otherwise [5]
	148/11 164/2	126/8 127/3 131/9
72/25 139/4 195/10	<b>Orgen [2]</b> 2/16	136/14 173/2
opportunities [5]	173/12	our [46] 3/10 3/18
119/17 119/19	original [5] 17/6	11/19 13/11 21/18
138/12 177/4	50/21 152/8 152/24	
178/23	190/20	27/9 34/25 37/20
opportunity [8]	originally [1] 11/9	72/5 78/20 84/5
35/1 85/17 101/7	Ostroth [2] 2/22	99/7 99/7 100/12
106/20 106/23	194/20	104/9 111/14
156/16 157/13	other [47] 26/13	111/17 117/4
183/15	27/3 29/2 39/1 41/9	
opposed [2] 33/21	42/18 47/24 48/19	140/5 145/21
148/12	48/22 53/18 56/7	147/25 151/8 157/6
<b>opposing [1]</b> 13/8	58/7 58/19 66/2	159/14 159/22
opposite [1]	70/8 71/3 72/1 83/4	
155/14	10/0/11/3/12/103/4	103/23 103/23

O	outage [1] 40/9	overcrowding [1]
our [14] 169/8	<b>outdated</b> [ <b>3</b> ] 53/9	167/10
169/19 171/7 172/7	92/23 116/11	overlooked [1]
172/12 178/14	<b>outdoor [2]</b> 30/22	159/21
178/14 179/14	167/9	oversized [1]
181/12 181/15	<b>outdoors [3]</b> 29/22	146/11
184/3 188/24 189/6	30/4 40/20	overtax [2] 146/3
189/9	output [1] 186/12	146/5
ourselves [1]	<b>outside [6]</b> 18/18	overwhelmed [1]
164/24	20/24 30/5 30/7	118/21
out [51] 13/8 13/9	139/24 157/24	own [7] 55/3
14/16 18/10 20/12	outstanding [1]	117/10 117/12
28/3 31/5 34/1	27/13	157/2 157/2 175/10
41/18 41/25 55/10	over [ <b>34</b> ] 3/23 16/1	185/10
64/19 67/1 67/23	17/24 22/20 25/8	owned [6] 23/20
72/2 81/2 91/20	35/7 53/14 53/15	24/20 64/15 129/3
94/21 103/20	56/11 57/13 67/20	173/20 191/1
114/18 117/8 118/7	68/4 73/8 85/18	<b>owner [8]</b> 17/9
122/9 126/19 127/7	92/24 93/8 99/9	90/18 128/10
127/9 133/13	99/9 103/20 105/13	128/25 129/1
136/18 137/18	109/5 114/22	170/12 170/17
139/1 142/7 143/13	124/18 149/18	190/21
146/1 150/17	166/13 166/13	owner-occupied [1]
150/19 154/4	168/1 168/15	128/10
154/23 155/16	178/12 178/16	owners [3] 36/23
155/25 159/18	179/2 187/9 189/22	175/9 176/1
168/13 171/7 176/3	196/8	owners' [1] 57/8
	overall [3] 12/18	ownership [4]
176/13 176/15 180/20 181/9	123/16 159/21	129/1 129/7 129/19
	overcome [1]	142/18
185/11 189/6 190/3	51/13	owns [1] 171/1
193/5		

P	parked [2] 33/19	69/23 70/10 70/17
P-A-G-A-N [1]	42/15	70/20 73/7 75/16
81/7	<b>parking [178]</b> 7/8	76/13 86/7 90/15
P-O-W-E-R-S [1]	7/9 7/21 8/22 10/23	93/4 93/16 94/22
73/4	11/18 11/20 11/22	95/16 95/17 96/8
<b>p.m</b> [ <b>9</b> ] 104/5	12/3 12/6 12/12	96/24 97/2 97/6
104/6 135/22 136/9	12/14 12/19 12/20	97/7 103/9 110/24
136/17 151/1 151/2	13/2 13/3 13/5	111/10 111/11
156/7 196/10	13/14 13/17 13/23	111/14 111/17
Pagan [3] 2/18	14/4 14/19 14/20	126/3 126/6 126/11
81/7 180/18	14/24 15/17 15/18	126/22 126/23
page [4] 2/2 2/23	15/18 15/18 15/25	127/2 127/6 127/20
6/13 131/2	16/5 16/9 16/13	130/9 130/12
pages [1] 142/12	18/24 19/2 19/5	130/14 130/17
paid [2] 124/22	21/5 21/8 21/9 22/7	130/18 130/20
164/17	22/10 32/22 33/3	130/21 131/3 131/4
Palisade [3]	33/6 33/17 35/11	131/6 131/9 131/14
138/20 139/12	35/16 35/23 35/25	131/23 132/3 132/9
187/18	36/16 37/2 37/16	132/14 132/16
<b>pan [2]</b> 16/1 16/4	38/7 38/8 44/2 44/5	
paper [2] 131/10	44/24 45/1 45/8	132/25 133/1 133/5
150/20	45/12 45/24 46/3	133/10 133/12
parallel [4] 103/15	47/4 47/15 47/18	133/14 133/16
132/16 132/19	48/1 48/3 48/9	133/21 134/2 134/3
132/20	48/13 48/23 49/2	134/15 134/21
<b>parapet</b> [1] 153/21	49/11 49/14 54/11	135/5 135/11
parents [2] 29/25	56/17 58/20 63/24	135/15 136/1
30/4	64/14 64/19 65/3	146/19 151/20
<b>park [7]</b> 30/6	65/16 67/24 68/4	151/23 152/15
42/17 42/25 48/6	69/3 69/6 69/8	153/6 153/9 154/4
70/9 146/25 152/13	69/13 69/16 69/20	154/22 154/24

P	particularly [2]	pays [1] 56/21
parking [13]	159/17 160/6	pedestrian [2]
155/7 155/9 168/21	<b>partly [1]</b> 148/18	113/14 113/16
170/24 171/2	parts [2] 45/17	pedestrians [1]
171/11 172/6 175/1	195/5	8/15
180/5 182/22	party [3] 55/12	pediatrics [1]
186/15 193/8	121/8 122/8	81/20
193/14	pass [1] 36/24	<b>pending [1]</b> 162/8
parking on [1]	<b>passed [5]</b> 60/2	<b>people</b> [ <b>79</b> ] 32/24
133/5	63/5 185/22 190/10	33/18 42/2 43/17
	190/15	48/22 50/23 69/11
<b>parlance [1]</b> 108/18	passengers [1]	69/14 69/17 70/5
	46/9	70/9 72/1 88/23
parochial [1]	<b>passing [1]</b> 191/4	90/13 90/16 90/21
141/12	past [7] 118/23	91/3 91/21 101/20
parsed [1] 190/18	122/5 135/13	105/8 111/19
Parsippany [1]	178/12 178/16	113/17 113/20
114/20	179/3 190/4	115/13 117/8 118/7
part [12] 6/13 17/6	patients [1] 111/2	118/8 118/20
43/2 110/18 111/7	pattern [1] 43/1	118/21 118/22
113/11 131/20	Paula [2] 2/8 67/5	126/12 131/17
148/17 149/10	pause [1] 136/8	138/3 141/20
158/22 167/3	pause/break [1]	141/25 143/8 146/7
189/22	136/8	146/9 146/10
partial [1] 132/11	pave [2] 56/11	146/10 146/25
partially [2] 18/20	64/14	147/1 158/7 163/23
68/5	paved [3] 67/20	163/24 164/18
particular [11]	68/4 73/8	165/1 166/8 169/19
9/14 19/22 30/19	pay [4] 58/13	169/23 169/25
45/17 45/21 76/22	59/22 122/16	171/1 173/5 173/16
108/8 116/17 139/7	193/23	177/4 177/5 177/6
171/25 190/18	173/43	111/7111/3111/0

P	129/4 160/7 168/10	195/23
people [22]	168/13 178/17	<b>personally [1]</b> 80/1
178/15 178/17	percentage [1]	personnel [1]
178/21 178/25	118/6	148/24
178/25 179/22	<b>perfect</b> [ <b>4</b> ] 83/9	<b>persons</b> [1] 167/14
182/25 182/25	135/14 191/13	perspective [3]
183/6 184/1 184/14	192/13	20/22 140/5 145/7
184/16 184/20	perfectly [1] 95/9	pertinent [1] 62/7
187/22 189/4 189/5	<b>perhaps [2]</b> 40/23	<b>phones</b> [1] 81/5
189/11 189/13	175/14	<b>phonetic [2]</b> 14/14
190/3 190/7 190/9	perimeter [3] 9/4	191/8
196/6	93/2 94/6	<b>physical</b> [2] 46/3
per [11] 21/22 32/8	<b>period</b> [3] 67/17	47/18
70/23 86/12 89/20	155/15 159/12	pick [1] 137/9
89/21 102/15 103/2	periodic [1] 55/8	<b>picked [2]</b> 167/19
135/5 169/24	permanent [2]	181/2
169/25	23/25 92/3	picks [1] 135/24
perceive [1]	permit [1] 59/16	<b>pickup</b> [1] 20/9
100/20	permitted [4]	piece [4] 56/8
percent [29] 91/21	102/20 108/15	92/25 115/12
118/2 118/5 118/7	111/23 185/16	185/11
118/10 118/10	person [24] 32/10	pinch [2] 46/3 46/5
118/16 120/11	32/14 33/9 37/18	Pinewood [1]
120/14 120/22	43/11 54/14 54/16	96/17
120/23 120/23	71/21 72/8 87/21	pipe [4] 79/4 79/5
121/5 121/20	88/2 99/5 120/19	126/20 126/21
121/21 124/5	169/12 174/17	<b>pipes</b> [1] 51/6
125/25 126/1	177/8 177/16	<b>place [14]</b> 16/20
127/10 127/14	182/12 182/16	67/24 92/16 96/18
127/17 128/14	188/12 194/18	113/16 114/2
128/15 128/19	194/19 195/23	121/13 137/8

P	171/24 188/24	126/24 127/9
<b>place [6]</b> 150/25	189/9 190/8 190/9	129/15 130/19
178/20 178/22	190/13 191/23 192/5 193/23 194/1	139/16 140/2   145/16 148/17
184/2 188/16	194/1 194/7 194/8	161/5 161/18
190/25	194/1 194/1 194/8	162/20 162/21
<b>placed [1]</b> 107/23		163/20 163/25
<b>places [2]</b> 114/3	<b>planner [21]</b> 20/19 21/18 32/12 84/5	164/25 166/15
114/6	85/7 99/4 99/6	167/2 170/15
plainclothes [2]		
181/11 181/16	104/9 104/24	174/23 177/25
<b>plan [68]</b> 5/24 5/25	105/17 107/16	178/1 178/7 178/8
6/3 6/18 7/22 8/9	127/15 140/3	178/11 182/6
8/11 8/20 15/19	160/18 167/19	185/18 190/11
15/24 16/2 16/22		<b>plans [20]</b> 3/12
17/2 17/3 17/3	182/7 193/11	4/12 4/12 5/9 5/22
42/11 78/24 82/11	193/17	6/10 7/1 7/3 7/15
85/2 85/7 93/6	planners [4]	8/12 46/21 50/21
94/11 112/4 112/5	105/22 105/23	82/10 89/15 106/24
112/20 114/1 115/3	113/3 140/14	107/6 107/9 116/22
115/9 115/15	planning [52]	124/25 149/6
115/16 116/6	20/22 21/1 41/4	<b>plantings</b> [1] 154/8
116/14 116/21	83/24 84/23 99/10	<b>play [12]</b> 16/21
119/11 120/1 120/8	104/23 105/15	17/15 17/18 29/21
120/24 121/18	105/24 105/24	30/4 40/19 41/1
124/19 130/11	106/7 106/14	65/13 65/14 65/19
145/16 148/6	107/10 107/12	83/3 167/9
148/19 148/20	107/15 107/19	playground [1]
159/6 160/22	112/6 112/10	30/7
160/23 161/3 161/8	112/13 114/16	<b>plays</b> [1] 162/25
161/8 163/21 164/2	115/10 115/13	<b>plaza [1]</b> 179/15
167/18 171/23	116/6 121/7 126/4	please [35] 4/4 5/7

P	<b>pointing [3]</b> 16/13	116/5 142/7
<b>please [33]</b> 5/10	78/23 155/8	portions [1]
5/11 10/18 15/22	pole [10] 26/2	163/24
16/25 17/3 29/11	39/12 39/20 39/23	portraying [1]
29/18 35/7 37/19	39/24 40/2 40/5	8/24
41/11 43/11 44/11	40/5 48/1 49/16	<b>pose</b> [1] 163/18
44/19 66/21 95/7	poles [4] 26/7 48/4	<b>position [2]</b> 76/10
104/8 105/13	48/10 48/16	77/6
105/20 107/4	<b>police [6]</b> 147/17	possibility [8]
134/10 136/13	148/3 172/7 180/21	
136/14 138/24	181/9 182/1	23/18 39/4 39/7
139/1 139/2 156/10	policeman [1]	39/8 40/23
177/16 182/17	181/16	<b>possible [9]</b> 21/23
187/3 188/12	policemen [2]	21/23 21/24 67/20
193/16 196/7	181/11 181/12	76/13 97/3 154/13
pleasing [1] 125/20	<b>policies [2]</b> 116/24	164/8 164/11
plenty [1] 72/15	119/14	<b>possibly [5]</b> 10/23
plow [2] 19/25	<b>policy [3]</b> 119/16	17/22 23/25 40/11
20/11	142/21 148/21	41/1
plus [2] 147/22	pompousness [1]	<b>potential [2]</b> 11/14
188/15	195/6	30/24
<b>podium [4]</b> 3/21	populated [2]	potentially [5]
22/15 29/10 34/25	170/3 182/25	11/12 19/11 30/12
point [21] 17/7	population [11]	98/7 102/21
27/13 33/23 46/3	117/21 117/25	potholes [2]
46/5 47/22 79/8	144/14 144/16	187/24 188/7
93/5 99/25 100/11	146/6 167/13	<b>power [4]</b> 26/4
137/7 144/24	178/18 183/12	26/7 26/9 40/8
145/23 146/1 149/3	183/12 183/19	<b>Powers [10]</b> 2/8
157/2 159/7 170/20	183/21	2/19 73/1 73/4
174/6 180/7 186/10	<b>portion [3]</b> 20/8	73/18 74/11 80/19

P	124/24	<b>privately [2]</b> 23/20
Powers [3]	presenting [1] 7/4	24/20
136/13 185/3 185/4	preservation [1]	<b>privilege</b> [1] 174/3
practice [1] 144/16	167/16	privy [1] 94/24
practices [1]	<b>preserve [1]</b> 67/19	probability [2]
112/13	preserved [2]	38/19 38/23
predicted [1]	167/22 167/25	<b>probable [2]</b> 38/20
144/17	preserving [1]	39/3
predicting [1]	168/5	probably [14]
144/15	<b>press</b> [1] 4/16	103/1 111/20
prediction [1]	presumably [1]	111/25 123/1 124/9
135/19	37/3	128/16 129/14
predominant [3]	<b>pretty [8]</b> 72/3	130/4 131/13 132/1
167/24 168/5 168/6	109/20 112/23	132/2 136/21 171/3
preemptive [1]	117/1 117/24 130/8	
107/18	141/9 141/24	<b>problem [8]</b> 55/19
<b>prefer [1]</b> 38/2	prevalence [1]	114/15 114/23
<b>prefers [1]</b> 18/17	116/14	144/14 163/13
<b>premises</b> [1] 64/14	prevents [1] 171/6	168/9 171/6 191/2
prepare [2] 55/4	previous [2] 41/14	<b>problems</b> [6]
116/7	41/24	115/20 116/8
<b>prepared [2]</b> 93/6	price [1] 118/9	116/13 185/10
112/5	primarily [1]	192/13 195/18
preschool [1]	182/25	procedure [1]
141/17	<b>PRINCE [2]</b> 1/13	149/11
<b>present [5]</b> 1/9 4/5	4/2	procedures [2]
5/25 48/10 95/14	print [1] 67/1	3/16 3/19
presentation [2]	priority [1] 189/17	proceed [1] 29/14
4/7 75/20	<b>private [4]</b> 84/25 138/13 176/20	<b>proceeding [3]</b> 1/5 3/24 136/8
presented [5] 3/3	180/24	
5/24 76/2 76/5	100/24	proceedings [2]

P	167/12	<b>proposed [31]</b> 6/20
proceedings [2]	pronunciation [1]	10/4 10/8 11/19
70/13 197/6	187/7	12/23 23/2 23/6
process [11] 35/13	<b>properties</b> [ <b>14</b> ] 9/1	24/1 26/5 27/10
51/22 100/17 101/6	9/4 13/7 14/9 26/4	55/6 64/13 72/10
149/2 149/8 157/6	26/11 58/8 92/25	75/15 77/10 89/13
157/8 185/8 185/15	117/1 118/8 132/8	93/4 110/4 111/7
185/19	176/2 185/13	111/15 111/16
produced [1]	191/24	111/23 123/12
179/3	<b>property [52]</b> 6/22	130/14 131/2 159/7
<b>product [1]</b> 120/9	9/5 11/8 13/3 13/12	159/8 159/9 167/20
professional [2]	19/15 23/8 23/21	167/21 172/23
105/17 106/12	23/24 24/23 25/22	proposing [23]
professionals [3]	26/2 26/3 26/8	11/15 11/20 45/11
29/3 115/13 164/18	30/21 38/17 38/21	47/14 52/15 52/18
professors [1]	60/3 62/17 74/1	56/5 56/9 84/1
172/11	74/2 74/23 75/7	84/21 111/10
<b>profit [3]</b> 164/9	75/8 92/22 107/23	111/12 111/18
164/10 183/6	108/2 108/7 109/1	116/2 120/3 120/23
project [14] 4/9	109/4 109/9 109/25	121/20 123/17
6/3 15/7 25/8 25/23	110/3 110/4 110/9	132/10 132/11
36/23 36/24 59/9	123/17 134/5	142/25 142/25
106/19 122/18	151/19 152/4	164/13
156/14 164/6	152/19 153/2	proscribed [2]
175/24 176/24	154/12 154/17	74/1 74/23
projections [2]	171/17 171/18	<b>proven [1]</b> 186/25
142/20 144/4	173/19 185/11	<b>provide [36]</b> 8/14
projects [5] 125/12	185/14 185/20	9/2 13/10 14/16
129/22 144/7	186/2 191/1 192/1	16/7 16/12 16/15
164/19 176/1	<b>proposal</b> [1] 60/7	17/10 17/21 24/21
<b>promote [2]</b> 167/8	<b>propose</b> [1] 60/6	26/4 26/7 26/8
promote [a] 10//0		

P	37/6 66/10 74/1	85/13 139/24 140/1
provide [23]	74/23 75/6 82/12	<b>Push</b> [1] 20/12
26/10 28/20 30/22	82/16 85/4 95/19	<b>pushed [2]</b> 151/24
49/10 56/25 88/19	111/19 132/13	152/3
89/2 89/23 91/3	132/14 141/3	<b>pushing [2]</b> 49/18
97/16 100/3 114/25	141/11 141/15	161/6
116/11 119/21	145/1 150/6 154/10	<b>put [30]</b> 22/8 26/13
121/17 121/17	157/21 157/24	27/25 39/20 46/2
122/25 126/1	157/25 158/9	65/9 66/24 83/25
131/23 132/8	158/22 162/8	84/20 108/2 112/21
173/23 177/23	164/20 164/21	113/6 113/19
184/15	166/25 167/8	113/20 121/5
<b>provided [5]</b> 49/25	172/19 173/9	129/12 132/25
65/25 89/4 94/8	183/19 189/23	137/20 143/12
130/17	<b>public's [1]</b> 103/24	144/25 151/16
provides [3] 9/20	publication [2]	174/11 174/12
39/25 110/7	117/22 142/21	174/12 174/13
providing [10]	published [5]	174/14 179/20
30/20 44/20 89/3	122/1 141/6 141/24	185/13 186/9
93/25 115/23	142/11 143/14	190/23
119/23 132/19	<b>pull [5]</b> 5/16 15/19	<b>Putan [1]</b> 191/7
135/5 135/7 186/11	33/25 86/4 154/7	<b>puts</b> [1] 117/2
provision [1] 15/13	<b>pulling</b> [1] 171/7	<b>putting [6]</b> 46/18
provisions [2]	purpose [0] 00/12	95/16 112/11
170/24 171/11	81/23 167/1 167/4	112/15 114/1
<b>proximity [4]</b> 13/7	167/5 195/16	164/18
19/13 113/7 113/20	<b>purposes</b> [ <b>5</b> ] 3/17	$\mathbf{Q}$
<b>PSE</b> [1] 40/2	20/23 88/23 130/23	qualifications [3]
public [40] 1/5 5/8	158/14	82/23 105/10
11/21 12/19 29/4	purview [7] 20/24	106/11
36/17 37/2 37/5	53/23 53/24 85/6	qualified [4] 44/25
		Yamiiia [i] ii/23

47/9 47/10 48/15 101/12 169/12 **questions** [40] 2/5 48/16 54/2 54/4 qualified... [3] 54/5 54/7 54/18 7/16 9/8 10/12 106/12 106/13 54/18 56/24 57/16 10/15 18/5 22/12 120/16 58/15 58/21 61/18 22/15 28/25 29/5 **qualify [3]** 122/3 29/8 29/23 32/15 61/21 62/7 64/6 122/5 122/24 34/12 35/14 35/21 64/22 65/11 65/25 quality [10] 26/22 67/22 68/25 70/24 38/4 41/9 41/14 27/22 50/9 56/25 73/15 73/19 73/22 48/20 48/21 60/17 57/17 119/20 76/20 77/2 78/18 67/8 71/23 71/24 119/22 120/2 78/19 80/12 80/19 73/2 75/14 81/11 188/25 189/16 81/16 81/23 82/2 85/14 85/17 85/22 **quantify** [1] 15/21 82/9 82/13 83/6 96/1 96/15 96/19 **quantity** [1] 87/20 83/8 83/12 83/23 98/23 99/2 99/13 quasi [1] 145/20 137/22 138/3 84/9 84/14 85/11 **Queen [9]** 109/10 96/24 97/1 97/9 156/17 113/12 114/8 128/3 97/10 98/12 98/13 quick [3] 80/19 134/8 134/11 99/20 100/1 100/2 103/7 193/20 138/21 169/6 180/4 100/9 101/18 102/2 quickly [2] 142/7 question [114] 103/24 106/20 142/19 6/25 7/1 7/13 8/9 quiet [2] 136/14 127/25 129/21 10/22 11/8 15/12 136/15 134/25 137/16 16/20 18/7 19/1 quite [4] 113/5 138/2 147/14 19/18 22/2 22/4 123/5 125/15 147/16 148/5 22/5 29/24 31/18 170/23 148/16 151/15 32/20 33/10 33/12 quote [3] 160/18 154/20 161/10 34/3 34/7 34/9 165/22 191/8 161/13 163/18 34/10 35/18 36/3 quote/unquote [1] 171/19 179/15 36/5 38/3 38/6 160/18 182/5 196/3 39/10 41/6 41/11 questioning [5] 41/22 43/20 45/6 81/14 100/8 100/15 46/16 47/7 47/8

R	rather [4] 13/11	163/23 163/24
R-O-G-O-V-I-N	17/19 28/9 40/20	163/24 163/25
[1] 67/5	rating [1] 143/4	164/21 166/18
R-O-S-E [1]	ratio [1] 118/6	167/20 171/24
162/13	re [20] 64/19 94/12	190/9 190/10
<b>Raggio</b> [6] 2/9	95/14 95/18 96/8	194/11 194/15
2/18 2/19 81/7	96/11 107/11	194/15
180/18 182/19	115/11 115/12	readdress [1] 8/9
Raggio-Ashley [3]	115/19 116/25	reading [1] 95/22
2/9 2/18 180/18	132/15 160/23	ready [1] 34/9
railroad [1] 187/25	161/3 161/5 167/22	real [4] 33/11
railroads [2]	171/25 186/8	33/13 116/9 117/18
187/22 188/6	190/11 193/24	reality [1] 131/17
rain [1] 67/21	re-evaluation [2]	<b>realized [1]</b> 112/11
rainfall [1] 55/9	171/25 186/8	<b>really [29]</b> 9/6
rains [1] 56/2	re-examination [9]	11/21 20/24 32/10
rainwater [1] 51/3	107/11 115/11	33/13 33/20 33/25
raised [6] 7/17	115/12 115/19	75/12 98/18 99/3
10/16 10/22 15/12	160/23 161/3 161/5	116/4 127/21 140/4
19/18 151/17	167/22 193/24	169/12 169/12
raisin [1] 196/5	re-examinations	171/11 171/18
ramp [1] 20/11	<b>[1]</b> 190/11	171/19 172/8 173/6
range [4] 116/8	re-scenarios [1]	177/13 177/13
116/24 130/4 130/7	116/25	184/5 187/7 188/2
ratable [1] 119/23	re-stripe [2] 64/19	188/4 189/10 190/7
ratables [1] 182/21	132/15	194/16
rate [8] 51/8 79/15	re-striping [5]	<b>Realtime [2]</b> 1/24
118/2 118/15 129/4	94/12 95/14 95/18	197/15
143/11 163/2	96/8 96/11	reames [1] 161/5
163/17	read [16] 62/6	rear [13] 11/8 13/3
raters [1] 161/6	116/21 149/15	26/2 26/17 46/8
	l	l

R	recognize [2]	165/7 166/11
rear [8] 48/23	177/21 177/21	175/18 176/6
48/25 78/22 113/15	recognized [3]	189/24
151/19 151/24	126/14 129/17	<b>records [1]</b> 66/10
152/7 155/4	178/13	rectangular [1]
reason [15] 11/16	recommend [6]	109/12
19/9 19/12 43/16	23/22 28/7 119/16	RECUSED [1]
43/19 44/14 45/4	126/8 127/3 189/6	1/11
47/20 54/13 106/10	recommendation	redevelop [1]
112/10 113/17	<b>[4]</b> 119/15 120/21	119/19
149/10 181/23	124/23 127/8	redeveloped [1]
191/5	recommendations	115/25
reasonable [4]	<b>[4]</b> 114/11 126/23	redevelopers [1]
27/11 118/9 138/10	129/16 130/10	186/21
164/9	recommended [3]	<b>redo [1]</b> 161/16
reasons [5] 15/9	119/18 120/1	<b>reduce [6]</b> 51/11
19/6 19/13 108/23	121/19	56/17 56/19 65/14
109/7	recommending [2]	116/1 175/25
recall [6] 18/21	24/21 75/24	<b>reduces</b> [1] 155/13
50/12 101/5 137/18	recommends [3]	<b>reducing [2]</b> 48/11
151/17 160/21	115/9 120/24 125/1	68/23
received [1]	reconcile [2]	reduction [1]
163/22	131/11 131/16	141/8
recent [1] 141/6	reconsider [1]	refer [2] 65/4
recently [2] 129/22	160/8	86/11
178/1	<b>record [17]</b> 4/1	<b>reference [2]</b> 64/23
recess [1] 104/5	22/13 22/22 95/2	119/7
recessed [2] 6/22	123/10 132/23	referenced [1]
8/23	136/17 149/14	172/5
recessed-style [1]	149/20 151/8	referred [1]
8/23	157/16 158/12	127/10
0/23		

R	178/11 192/17	<b>relief [1]</b> 191/10
referring [11]	<b>regions [1]</b> 167/15	<b>relocate</b> [1] 26/6
15/23 17/5 31/25	Registered [2]	remain [1] 127/1
37/7 39/23 59/24	1/24 197/15	<b>remains [1]</b> 138/11
98/9 99/21 102/9	<b>Regs</b> [1] 193/13	remarks [2]
127/21 130/23	regular [3] 92/10	156/15 160/21
refers [3] 62/5	150/8 150/24	<b>remember [6]</b> 43/2
64/9 65/2	regulation [1] 68/8	163/4 165/13
reflect [3] 4/1 96/8	regulations [2]	187/15 187/15
172/12	45/4 164/11	194/22
reflects [1] 100/20	rehab [1] 124/14	remembered [1]
regard [10] 11/5	REHMAN [2]	164/23
12/23 14/18 16/23	1/12 149/17	remembrance [1]
19/19 22/7 95/13	Rehman's [1]	35/23
103/9 106/19 107/5	151/13	reminded [1]
regarding [19]	<b>reiterate</b> [1] 27/20	163/10
18/9 19/22 38/6	<b>relate [1]</b> 177/20	Reminder [1]
57/16 58/1 82/17	<b>related [2]</b> 67/9	156/13
83/2 91/18 102/4	148/5	reminders [1]
147/16 149/15	<b>relates [2]</b> 60/17	163/14
149/19 157/18	61/19	<b>removal [1]</b> 19/19
160/13 162/7 163/2	relationship [2]	remove [3] 19/25
163/20 164/21	76/1 79/22	39/19 39/20
169/11	<b>relatively [1]</b> 110/1	<b>removed [3]</b> 13/21
regardless [2]	<b>relax [1]</b> 163/12	74/6 136/14
52/10 135/6	release [1] 51/7	rendering [1]
region [5] 178/14	<b>released [1]</b> 178/6	166/7
178/14 179/3	relevant [4] 112/3	<b>renew [1]</b> 168/1
179/13 179/14	115/6 115/17	renovate [1] 36/25
regional [6] 105/23	148/15	renovated [1]
178/1 178/7 178/7	<b>relied [1]</b> 79/13	177/10

R	115/19 118/13	171/20
rent [2] 118/8	130/11 130/24	require [9] 16/16
149/5	165/23 172/4 172/9	28/6 45/8 46/10
rental [21] 62/18	<b>Reported [1]</b> 1/23	51/7 55/4 55/13
117/14 117/16	<b>reporter [13]</b> 1/23	135/15 164/14
118/2 118/3 118/11	1/24 1/24 23/4	required [29]
118/15 119/21	23/17 54/15 94/20	23/23 25/9 26/18
120/3 120/4 120/20	127/24 178/4	28/12 40/25 48/14
127/22 128/1 128/5	179/10 107/1 <i>1</i>	52/25 56/15 56/16
128/13 128/15	197/15 197/15	56/17 56/25 57/3
129/19 139/19	reports [2] 107/12	57/10 72/5 76/21
146/8 165/18	172/11	88/20 88/21 89/12
171/22	represent [2]	90/9 94/12 95/15
rentals [1] 187/14	166/3 187/10	96/9 97/16 108/1
rented [1] 118/19	representation [2]	108/2 121/4 131/9
rents [1] 142/18	91/24 91/25	133/7 145/13
repair [3] 23/23	representative [1]	requirement [9]
24/24 40/9	98/17	12/6 22/10 68/15
repeat [6] 38/24	represented [1]	86/14 86/21 89/3
52/3 75/4 93/11	42/13	121/3 121/6 131/4
93/20 165/15	representing [4]	requirements [11]
repeating [1]	76/11 162/23	10/5 52/10 54/10
96/21	162/24 170/15	83/3 83/16 83/17
replace [3] 113/23	reps [1] 57/8	87/2 123/15 126/3
119/17 119/19	republished [1]	130/10 145/21
<b>replaced [1]</b> 120/2	122/2	requires [7] 28/20
repopulate [2]	request [5] 5/19	43/25 44/1 44/21
113/4 114/18	14/18 18/8 107/1	50/9 51/1 132/15
report [13] 22/19	164/5	requiring [1]
22/22 27/6 107/16	requested [1] 11/6	26/12
115/11 115/12	requesting [1]	reserves [1] 126/4
1		

R	63/3 63/5 63/6	107/23 107/25
<b>residence</b> [1] 39/5	63/10 63/10 63/22	<b>result [2]</b> 147/8
resident [14] 29/17	63/23 64/8 64/25	164/12
35/10 37/22 41/13	65/6 94/16 94/25	resumes [1] 104/6
43/14 85/24 159/15	132/15	<b>retention [5]</b> 23/2
165/11 170/12	resource [1]	23/5 78/3 78/9
170/16 173/13	169/21	78/20
182/19 189/17	<b>respect</b> [ <b>8</b> ] 41/3	return [1] 95/1
192/20	43/18 76/8 79/12	review [6] 27/9
residential [19]	80/6 84/7 95/22	106/23 107/5
13/16 38/17 38/20	151/18	125/18 148/23
62/18 62/19 82/17	<b>respond [2]</b> 114/12	158/4
83/16 84/18 116/9	115/4	reviewed [12]
126/7 126/10	responding [1]	10/24 11/1 11/2
126/13 127/2 127/5	75/14	46/21 80/10 89/15
129/1 167/23	response [1] 100/4	107/9 107/11
185/13 185/20	responsibility [5]	107/13 107/15
186/18	57/14 57/19 57/23	129/15 130/13
residents [23]	116/23 119/13	<b>revision [1]</b> 191/17
30/12 33/22 36/2	responsible [5]	revisit [1] 84/13
36/4 37/4 38/22	27/23 28/8 28/11	revitalize [2]
39/1 39/4 39/14	55/12 148/18	165/24 173/5
87/15 92/3 111/21	rest [5] 48/13	<b>right [53]</b> 3/20
118/25 131/24	130/7 155/17	7/24 13/22 16/6
161/1 165/13 166/3	169/24 170/3	16/13 18/21 19/14
170/6 171/3 173/4	restaurant [2]	28/3 29/10 32/10
175/11 184/17	135/23 174/13	32/14 34/23 39/24
188/4	restaurants [1]	42/6 49/7 50/22
resolution [20]	113/8	55/2 55/16 62/8
2/24 2/25 60/2 60/9	restrict [1] 120/14	65/23 69/1 70/19
60/13 60/14 62/22	restriction [2]	73/8 73/24 79/1
00/13 00/14 02/22		

right [28] 88/22 88/24 89/18 99/1 99/23 103/3 122/11 125/4 128/17 129/2 130/2 132/16 133/10 134/19 135/12 138/22 140/7 147/8 154/11 154/16 154/18 155/5 158/8 169/9 178/19 187/10 187/21 191/14 rise [5] 142/1 142/2 142/14 142/2 142/14 142/15 142/15 RM [3] 108/6 108/14 108/16 road [19] 109/11 113/12 113/14 114/8 114/9 128/4	194/5  ROSEN [10] 1/11 5/16 18/11 18/13 124/8 129/2 138/7 138/18 139/21 144/22  ROSILAND [2] 1/16 3/18 roughly [2] 146/10 169/6 Route [1] 169/6 Roz [1] 162/6 RSIS [7] 12/7 22/9 76/20 77/22 127/2 127/7 131/4	52/15 52/22 55/19 56/1 56/1 56/18 59/8 79/15 92/19 93/7 93/13 runs [3] 56/20 92/24 93/2 Rutgers [3] 105/14 142/4 172/10 Ruth [2] 2/13 165/9 S S-A-N-T-A-E-L-L-A [1] 96/17 S-C-H-W-A-R-T-Z [1] 193/20 S-H-I-L-A-N [1] 187/6 S-I-L-L-S [1] 188/14
168/16 168/16	Roz [1] 162/6	188/14
170/18 171/2	RSIS [7] 12/7 22/9	S-O-H-N [1] 92/15
171/14 180/4 180/5	76/20 77/22 127/2	S-P-E-A-R-S [1]

S	56/15 59/8 59/8	146/10 148/20
safer [1] 29/25	82/8 82/23 97/5	158/16 158/21
safety [6] 10/9	97/10 101/19	158/23 161/16
19/7 153/21 167/10	101/22 110/5 170/7	170/4 170/9 172/24
188/25 189/16	180/1 197/9	173/8 173/14
said [52] 35/11	sand [1] 51/23	174/21 176/9
35/23 35/24 36/4	sanitary [6] 23/9	176/11 180/15
36/13 39/11 39/12	23/19 23/23 24/2	180/17 180/22
46/5 47/18 49/5	24/22 126/16	181/11 181/12
57/7 59/2 69/11	<b>Santaella [2]</b> 2/10	186/15 187/24
73/6 79/10 79/16	96/16	188/22 193/15
87/8 89/22 91/7	sat [3] 194/2 194/2	194/6
102/6 105/3 113/12		<b>saying [16]</b> 6/20
115/4 116/22	satisfactory [2]	14/1 23/14 47/24
125/22 126/24	100/12 100/13	67/7 90/14 91/4
123/22 120/24 127/12 135/12	<b>satisfied</b> [1] 27/16	127/18 128/1
	save [1] 32/19	128/12 134/12
138/9 152/9 152/10	saved [1] 126/2	135/14 158/25
155/3 158/10	saw [3] 115/3	161/7 180/19
158/20 160/14	116/6 139/19	183/11
170/21 171/9 175/1		says [16] 51/10
175/13 175/22	30/15 31/7 33/5	62/23 89/19 112/4
177/8 181/16	33/8 34/4 38/20	112/9 116/20
181/18 184/12	45/4 68/25 74/17	117/23 120/6
185/2 191/3 191/6	82/3 82/4 85/21	131/10 138/11
193/2 194/24	105/3 105/17	157/23 158/1 165/1
194/25 195/1	107/21 111/25	166/13 166/20
195/23	122/13 127/4	172/1
sale [3] 62/15		scenarios [1]
108/1 129/8	129/24 135/21	116/25
same [18] 4/7 6/9	136/22 143/8 146/7	
16/5 40/8 42/18		

S	Schwanewede [1]	78/25 115/17
schedule [1]	22/17	124/23 154/4
149/25	Schwartz [1]	155/24 166/17
scheduled [1]	193/19	171/1 173/4 183/25
182/10	scope [1] 157/24	191/16
scholarship [1]	<b>Sean [2]</b> 2/16	seeing [1] 194/22
188/17	174/18	seek [2] 61/23
school [26] 140/15	seated [1] 5/9	112/19
140/18 141/3 141/5	second [15] 13/4	seeking [4] 108/12
141/11 141/12	26/1 34/5 39/10	108/14 108/17
141/11 141/12	61/11 62/4 67/13	111/24
	75/16 110/12 121/1	seem [1] 131/17
141/16 141/16	125/22 131/2 143/6	
143/17 143/21	164/12 191/6	134/17 170/8
144/1 144/2 144/3	second-story [1]	seen [7] 64/1
144/11 144/14	110/12	125/11 141/8
144/19 144/24	good to lost [1]	165/11 173/19
145/3 145/10 146/3	26/1	184/8 187/9
150/13 183/17	seconds [1] 95/10	sees [1] 111/2
183/19 188/18		selected [1] 123/2
school-age [6]	1/17	sell [5] 62/16 118/8
140/15 144/1		166/7 187/12 188/2
144/11 144/14	166/21 169/17	send [1] 168/21
144/19 145/3	169/23 170/2 170/4	
school-aged [3]	182/23 183/2	187/18
143/17 143/21	184/23 193/13	
144/3		seniors [2] 160/2
schools [7] 140/21	sections [2] 134/20	
145/1 172/8 172/9	192/8	sense [3] 139/3
172/12 183/9	see [18] 5/4 5/9	146/6 177/13
183/21	8/22 8/25 13/5	<b>separate [2]</b> 6/8
<b>Schotz</b> [1] 3/4	14/13 17/2 58/11	110/13

S	setback [5] 40/24	1/23 197/14
September [3]	41/4 68/11 68/12	<b>she</b> [ <b>17</b> ] 7/10 36/11
63/23 94/17 192/17	162/1	36/13 41/23 63/8
September 20 [2]	setbacks [2]	98/18 98/19 149/15
63/23 94/17	125/15 161/25	180/24 180/25
September 7 [1]	sets [1] 89/1	181/2 181/2 181/3
192/17	setting [1] 125/14	181/4 181/9 181/25
Sergeant [1]	settlement [1]	182/1
189/19	121/3	she'll [1] 54/23
series [1] 75/14	seven [2] 186/17	<b>She's [2]</b> 23/13
serve [3] 26/3	186/18	85/8
111/21 170/14	several [2] 115/16	sheathing [1]
served [1] 79/11	158/19	23/25
serves [2] 111/16	sewage [4] 25/15	<b>sheet [9]</b> 6/2 8/18
111/18	102/6 102/9 102/15	8/19 8/19 8/21
service [9] 26/11	sewer [13] 23/7	15/23 78/23 94/5
26/13 28/6 39/25	23/9 23/19 23/23	155/9
40/3 40/4 162/15	24/2 24/2 24/13	<b>Sheets</b> [1] 17/5
176/15 189/18	24/17 24/19 24/22	<b>shift [2]</b> 117/6
services [1] 184/15	24/24 25/7 126/16	119/8
set [14] 6/9 6/13	<b>Shade [1]</b> 81/1	<b>shifting</b> [1] 192/7
7/15 17/6 62/20	shall [2] 27/23	<b>Shilan [4]</b> 2/20
75/23 82/13 111/8	94/19	187/5 187/6 187/7
120/12 120/13	<b>shaped [1]</b> 110/11	<b>shop</b> [1] 113/24
120/22 121/20	<b>share [3]</b> 120/9	shoppers [1]
121/21 125/24	177/18 178/5	113/16
set-aside [4] 111/8	<b>shared [1]</b> 194/4	shopping [1]
120/12 121/20	<b>shaved [1]</b> 13/12	113/13
121/21	<b>Shaw [2]</b> 1/23	<b>shore</b> [1] 25/21
set-asides [1]	197/14	<b>short [2]</b> 153/15
120/13	Shaw-Crockett [2]	155/15

S	side [25] 3/23 9/18	simultaneously [1]
shortage [3]	17/21 18/25 30/13	119/22
118/11 129/18	31/2 39/1 57/1 57/1	since [11] 5/23
178/14	65/16 68/18 68/20	16/14 40/23 45/23
should [27] 26/18	69/24 109/9 128/10	105/19 112/21
32/15 32/19 34/21	133/9 133/11 134/3	128/2 141/18
43/17 44/22 54/17	134/4 134/14	143/12 146/15
80/22 84/2 124/22	134/16 134/21	190/15
125/23 137/7	155/13 155/14	<b>single [10]</b> 21/12
157/17 157/21	190/22	42/23 53/4 112/9
158/9 159/19	sidelines [1]	112/16 141/25
165/13 165/25	133/19	142/14 168/7 174/5
166/15 170/5	sides [3] 14/5	194/13
173/24 174/15	72/17 161/25	single-family [5]
175/15 177/1	sidewalk [5] 8/16	53/4 112/9 112/16
181/18 181/19	8/17 9/21 43/5	141/25 168/7
194/5	113/15	single-unit [1]
shouldn't [2] 44/25	<b>sideyard</b> [1] 30/7	42/23
101/3	sign [1] 18/23	sink [1] 188/8
show [12] 9/13	significant [2]	sir [37] 5/20 9/12
60/18 60/23 61/23	75/15 119/23	9/16 20/17 21/6
103/12 108/22	significantly [2]	21/13 26/20 43/21
109/1 165/23	23/16 175/7	47/14 47/17 50/2
193/24 193/24	Sills [3] 2/20	50/20 52/3 53/5
193/24 193/24 194/7 194/22	188/14 189/20	55/22 57/12 57/15
showed [1] 94/4	similar [3] 59/5	59/9 60/4 62/24
showed [1] 94/4 showing [1] 166/23	59/6 59/7	66/5 69/19 73/14
showing [1] 100/23  shown [1] 64/17	similarities [1]	83/1 83/14 84/14
shown [1] 04/17 shows [1] 118/10	165/16	85/12 85/19 94/10
sic [3] 65/15 159/8	<b>similarly [1]</b> 16/11	94/24 125/5 125/21
168/13	simply [1] 75/24	129/21 138/24
100/13		

S	193/7	smaller [2] 126/6
sir [3] 151/17	<b>situation [2]</b> 93/7	127/1
151/21 189/25	93/13	<b>smart [4]</b> 176/17
sit [4] 139/1 163/8	six [13] 34/17	176/23 177/12
193/4 194/6	45/15 48/12 48/15	193/17
site [56] 9/3 10/9	77/17 123/20	<b>smiling</b> [1] 34/8
11/18 11/21 11/22	123/21 123/23	<b>Smith</b> [1] 141/23
12/12 12/25 15/19	124/1 124/3 144/8	<b>snow</b> [1] 19/19
15/24 16/21 17/18	144/19 149/5	so [200]
19/25 20/1 42/23	Sixty [1] 124/5	<b>Sohn [6]</b> 2/10
51/5 52/16 52/19	Sixty-eight [1]	92/15 95/24 96/13
52/19 53/1 54/10	124/5	196/7 196/8
65/15 71/1 71/3	size [15] 22/8	<b>sold [4]</b> 108/2
71/4 71/18 74/8	31/14 59/8 65/15	108/8 185/12
77/17 87/20 88/1	79/4 86/16 86/19	190/18
94/8 94/9 95/16	89/20 118/18 119/1	<b>sole</b> [1] 64/20
95/17 98/6 106/21	120/17 126/14	<b>solid</b> [1] 19/15
107/8 107/8 111/11	142/16 193/7	<b>solution [2]</b> 28/16
111/15 115/8 116/1	193/14	132/11
116/16 116/18	<b>sizes</b> [1] 120/18	some [ <b>46</b> ] 6/24
117/4 119/24 126/7	<b>slope</b> [1] 126/22	8/13 8/13 10/15
126/10 126/13	slow [3] 23/11 24/4	12/17 19/21 24/12
127/2 131/23 132/3	75/11	30/10 30/13 31/8
132/9 133/1 133/5	<b>slower [5]</b> 23/16	36/15 67/8 72/1
139/7 146/3	41/21 51/8 62/13	73/1 73/10 74/5
sites [5] 93/9	79/15	75/5 75/15 89/19
115/25 117/4 120/2	<b>smack</b> [1] 181/3	89/21 98/7 101/2
138/14	<b>small [8]</b> 20/10	110/23 112/21
	30/13 30/15 30/16	117/23 118/25
sits [1] 176/24	84/22 126/11 189/4	120/15 120/15
<b>sitting [5]</b> 32/13 79/17 84/17 106/4	189/4	120/16 121/22
19/1/04/1/100/4		

S	184/8 185/5 186/24	<b>space [59]</b> 16/7
some [16] 129/16	189/9 189/11 190/6	16/17 17/4 17/4
136/18 140/10	193/1	17/13 21/25 31/4
145/23 148/25	sometimes [2]	37/1 37/5 37/6
153/12 163/21	69/13 164/12	37/10 44/5 46/3
163/23 163/23	<b>somewhat [3]</b> 93/1	46/4 47/19 47/19
163/24 163/25	110/1 180/8	47/19 48/1 48/6
166/9 171/7 190/4	somewhere [3]	52/7 55/24 56/12
194/23 196/6	39/20 118/20 130/7	65/16 66/1 66/2
somebody [3] 33/5	soon [1] 151/2	67/19 68/6 68/21
141/23 181/18	sorry [18] 8/8 18/4	68/24 71/1 71/22
somehow [2] 26/8	19/1 20/4 24/6	72/9 76/14 78/1
51/4	28/22 41/16 60/11	88/8 90/7 92/1 98/2
someone [7] 33/14	63/9 83/7 87/25	98/4 98/8 108/3
108/12 170/25	93/12 118/15	114/17 114/23
174/10 174/22	119/17 131/7	115/2 116/15 135/5
182/13 183/6	138/24 151/12	152/24 152/25
someplace [1]	180/10	153/1 153/6 153/7
127/19	sort [9] 105/22	153/11 153/11
something [36]	107/17 107/25	155/12 155/18
7/11 34/4 35/24	109/14 109/19	159/20 162/2
39/13 53/17 53/18	109/19 109/23	167/17 180/24
59/21 61/18 73/5	115/12 115/15	<b>spaces [102]</b> 11/10
74/17 82/4 85/22	sought [1] 112/14	11/12 11/21 11/23
96/24 100/19	sounds [4] 67/2	11/23 12/4 12/9
100/19 101/14	131/13 148/5	14/20 16/4 16/9
101/15 102/5 105/3	171/10	16/15 16/17 19/3
122/15 139/2 145/7	couth [6] 100/0	19/9 19/10 20/23
161/3 163/15	133/20 134/4	21/4 21/12 21/22
177/19 178/5	134/16 136/2	22/8 38/7 38/11
180/22 181/8 184/5	139/14	44/2 44/21 45/1
100/22 101/0 104/3		
	<u> </u>	

S	<b>Spare</b> [1] 162/1	43/22 104/16
<b>spaces [77]</b> 45/9	speak [20] 5/7 5/17	spelled [1] 104/20
45/12 45/16 45/24	8/7 34/19 41/20	<b>spelling [1]</b> 104/18
46/11 46/18 47/4	44/11 54/14 82/9	<b>spend</b> [1] 187/17
47/15 47/15 48/9	89/8 89/10 156/14	<b>spending</b> [1] 113/3
48/23 49/2 49/14	156/20 156/25	<b>spent</b> [2] 110/23
49/15 49/15 49/21	157/9 157/13 158/8	161/2
69/8 71/2 71/4	172/22 177/22	<b>spillage</b> [1] 8/25
71/18 72/15 75/23	182/4 193/21	<b>spoke</b> [1] 164/25
76/21 77/14 77/20	<b>speaking [2]</b> 91/15	<b>spoken [1]</b> 188/21
77/21 86/8 86/9	189/17	<b>spot</b> [ <b>9</b> ] 69/6 70/11
86/12 86/16 86/17	<b>Spears [2]</b> 2/21	70/16 90/6 90/17
86/22 87/2 87/4	192/19	98/3 151/23 152/9
87/5 87/16 88/2	special [3] 108/22	161/16
88/19 89/2 89/4	109/7 124/21	<b>spots</b> [15] 7/8 7/9
89/14 89/23 92/8	<b>specific [8]</b> 9/17	32/22 37/16 69/25
92/10 97/16 97/17	17/18 58/1 80/4	71/12 72/4 90/23
97/18 97/20 97/20	83/20 98/23 99/19	91/4 91/9 95/16
97/22 97/24 98/7	142/9	95/18 133/5 133/25
98/10 110/25	specifically [9]	152/6
111/10 111/17	32/5 45/23 52/4	<b>square [7]</b> 17/9
113/4 113/8 114/19	83/17 112/14	42/22 84/2 84/22
116/10 132/12	120/11 140/12	162/2 169/24
132/17 134/2 134/3	191/21 191/23	169/25
134/13 134/16	<b>specifies [1]</b> 94/19	square inch [1]
134/22 151/18	speculate [1] 92/6	162/2
152/11 154/22	<b>speed [3]</b> 18/9	<b>squeeze [1]</b> 183/8
154/25 155/4 155/6	18/15 18/21	staff [1] 70/8
155/8 182/22 193/8	<b>speeding [2]</b> 138/5	staggered [1]
193/14	180/4	133/16
spacing [1] 103/15	spell [3] 29/18	stalls [3] 111/14
spacing [1] 103/13		

S	94/12 94/22 96/7	staying [1] 176/15
stalls [2] 111/15	109/10 109/13	steady [1] 141/9
132/21	111/18 121/4 122/2	
stand [5] 5/1 29/7	126/11 126/12	stenographer [1]
37/19 115/15	132/16 133/11	74/12
115/15	134/1 134/4 134/14	
standard [5] 72/14	134/16 134/20	14/12 61/9 83/11
77/22 87/18 109/7	135/2 139/6 146/14	
153/9	147/8 155/22	step-back [1]
standards [11]	161/20 165/6	13/11
53/10 53/11 83/20	165/24 166/2	<b>Steve [1]</b> 104/10
86/14 88/7 89/1	166/11 166/18	<b>Steven [2]</b> 2/4
89/11 126/7 126/10	167/7 169/9 173/1	104/16
126/14 127/3	175/13 175/18	still [9] 52/9 95/6
<b>standing [1]</b> 7/10	176/5 179/10	115/17 130/5
standpoint [2]	184/14 190/18	139/19 149/7 155/3
21/1 21/14	192/5	176/11 187/16
<b>Stanley [1]</b> 190/22	stated [6] 29/25	stock [4] 115/21
started [3] 140/9	38/16 40/19 42/5	127/11 127/14
141/18 180/25	168/11 191/12	127/18
state [70] 1/7 3/2	<b>statement [6]</b> 47/7	Stonefield [2]
22/16 22/22 35/7	96/3 128/13 147/10	130/24 172/4
54/23 55/15 59/10	156/19 194/24	stop [14] 18/22
59/17 60/10 62/11	statements [3]	18/23 42/6 42/13
62/14 62/16 63/24	96/6 101/21 165/2	54/12 54/12 54/12
64/9 64/16 64/20	<b>States</b> [1] 31/24	56/3 137/7 137/9
65/3 65/9 83/20	<b>station [1]</b> 180/6	147/12 158/7
89/11 92/19 92/20	<b>statistics</b> [1] 21/19	158/25 196/7
93/1 93/3 93/9	statutory [1]	<b>stopped</b> [1] 54/13
93/10 93/15 93/16	145/20	store [3] 51/4
94/2 94/8 94/9	<b>Stay [1]</b> 8/7	113/23 174/12
77/2 77/0 77/7		
	1	1

S	94/3 94/8 94/9	155/9 155/10
stores [1] 113/8	94/12 94/22 95/19	155/12
stories [1] 191/1	96/7 103/16 109/10	striped [5] 45/24
<del>-</del> -	109/13 111/13	45/25 47/18 98/6
storm [2] 19/23 56/4	111/18 113/6	155/7
	113/19 113/20	stripes [1] 65/9
stormwater [2]	126/6 127/1 132/12	
126/17 131/22	132/16 133/9	95/14 95/18 96/8
story [4] 110/12	133/11 133/11	96/11 133/3 153/12
111/1 111/6 139/12	133/25 134/1 134/1	
straight [1] 100/18	134/2 134/3 134/4	strives [1] 125/13
strange [3] 160/24	134/6 134/7 134/15	
160/25 186/12	134/15 134/16	164/19
stream [1] 117/11	134/20 135/2 139/6	
street [103] 1/7 3/3	146/15 147/8	153/22
9/11 11/15 11/20	155/22 165/24	<b>structure</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 19/16
12/3 12/13 12/20	166/2 169/9 173/2	28/6 35/17 76/22
13/2 22/9 27/22	175/14 175/14	83/4 84/1 84/20
32/23 33/18 33/19	179/20 179/20	structures [2]
36/17 37/1 37/7	179/20 179/20	25/22 55/17
37/16 46/25 49/11	190/18	student [2] 141/8
50/12 50/15 50/17	streets [5] 112/24	183/20
53/13 55/15 55/15	171/2 183/10	students [5]
55/16 58/12 59/10	183/16 187/23	140/20 140/23
59/10 59/17 60/10		141/6 141/9 141/19
62/12 62/15 62/16	<b>strengthen [1]</b> 112/19	
63/24 64/9 64/16		<b>studies [9]</b> 83/1
64/20 65/3 65/9	stretchers [1] 88/4	83/2 83/24 84/23
67/25 69/4 69/21	stringent [1] 53/11	
73/7 79/25 92/20	stripe [10] 47/25	143/2 146/14
93/2 93/3 93/10	48/5 48/8 64/14	study [6] 89/19
93/10 93/16 93/16	64/19 94/21 132/15	135/1 142/5 142/9

S	11/19 30/20 130/14	160/2 182/5 182/8
study [2] 142/24	130/17 131/3	182/9
165/22	sufficiently [1]	<b>sure [33]</b> 7/11 7/21
stuff [1] 181/2	111/16	10/20 11/6 17/1
style [1] 8/23	suggest [4] 80/20	22/23 25/15 33/3
subject [6] 23/21	127/16 156/18	35/11 41/23 55/10
62/19 94/22 111/20	169/20	58/20 73/16 75/9
125/6 125/17	suggested [1]	75/11 75/20 78/5
subjected [1]	119/13	81/15 89/17 95/5
108/1	suggesting [1]	97/14 101/3 104/19
submit [3] 102/12	30/25	107/7 108/24
144/12 168/15	<b>suggests</b> [4] 28/15	109/15 110/25
<b>submitted</b> [2] 17/7	117/2 131/8 146/19	111/5 133/7 155/25
106/24	summarize [1]	156/3 157/15
substandard [2]	100/25	182/12
115/21 115/22	superintendent [1]	<b>surface [2]</b> 28/4
substantial [4] 9/2	70/8	135/20
19/23 74/7 166/24	supersede [1]	surgery [4] 72/1
substantially [2]	158/6	181/5 181/5 195/2
52/15 166/25	supervise [1] 30/5	<b>surprise [1]</b> 110/4
suburban [4]	<b>supply [4]</b> 10/8	surrounded [1]
83/25 114/20 115/1	126/6 127/1 132/14	
159/24	<b>support [8]</b> 109/1	surrounding [6]
Suburbanite [2]	131/3 153/22 166/1	
164/23 165/20	169/21 171/18	82/15 114/3 116/16
such [12] 35/24	171/19 174/16	<b>Survey [2]</b> 117/20
58/1 64/12 74/3	supports [1]	118/5
83/4 84/21 84/24	155/11	surveys [1] 117/22
97/5 148/9 166/23	<b>supposed</b> [11] 12/1	
172/6 189/4	47/12 61/4 65/8	125/8
sufficient [6] 10/8	85/3 159/9 159/13	<b>sustain [2]</b> 44/16

S	take [40] 3/10 35/5	
sustain [1] 168/4	42/5 54/16 57/13	35/15 35/16 46/17
<b>sustained</b> [2] 45/6	57/22 61/2 64/24	59/21 82/11 110/25
65/12	66/10 66/15 83/11	114/1 125/24 138/7
SUV [3] 71/6	96/5 97/24 101/4	160/22 160/22
71/13 71/14	101/8 101/16	talking [25] 8/4
swear [1] 157/8	101/22 122/21	30/16 31/24 41/18
sworn [3] 34/24	135/10 135/25	41/25 44/22 45/1
104/14 156/16	137/4 137/18 138/1	68/10 71/15 76/23
system [29] 6/12	138/2 138/4 144/12	85/20 86/7 86/8
50/4 50/6 50/8	145/8 145/18 147/4	95/3 95/6 110/24
50/24 51/9 51/12	156/21 157/5	121/1 125/3 133/24
51/14 52/14 52/18	157/23 158/3 159/2	140/11 166/6 168/3
53/14 55/10 56/4	171/14 178/10	168/5 168/10
56/16 56/20 57/11	185/17 189/8	183/18
57/17 78/20 79/1	193/10 195/2	talks [3] 120/11
79/2 79/12 79/14	taken [4] 104/5	124/19 191/24
79/23 80/7 94/2	140/4 178/24 197/7	tall [1] 181/22
141/3 141/15	takes [5] 98/3	<b>taller [1]</b> 109/3
145/11 183/19	135/23 135/24	<b>tandem [8]</b> 7/8
systematic [1]	148/25 149/9	14/20 19/10 21/5
165/17	<b>taking</b> [1] 184/17	21/8 21/8 152/15
systems [2] 33/1	talk [18] 29/8 37/6	152/17
93/25	108/10 125/2	tangentially [1]
	139/22 140/3	190/5
T	153/18 156/11	tank [1] 79/17
T-A-N-Y-A [1]	157/4 157/11	<b>Tanya</b> [4] 2/9 2/18
81/7	160/19 183/23	81/6 180/18
table [3] 79/7	185/5 187/20	tape [2] 136/7
79/11 79/21	188/18 189/22	136/16
<b>tables</b> [1] 116/1	190/6 194/16	tax [4] 64/18

T	110/6 120/23	162/13 165/5 166/9
testifying [9]	121/12 123/18	166/10 168/23
15/4 20/18 44/20	126/6 127/2 127/7	168/24 169/1 169/7
57/12 82/1 90/2	130/21 135/15	170/10 172/13
106/3 106/5 139/20	153/8 163/22	172/15 173/6
testimony [43]	168/18 170/3	173/10 175/16
7/15 9/7 14/1 32/1	173/19	175/17 176/5
33/24 41/14 43/8	thank [95] 4/23	176/14 181/13
49/24 49/25 50/13	5/18 8/10 10/11	182/15 182/16
50/19 61/14 61/16	21/3 22/2 22/12	184/23 187/2 188/9
61/19 61/25 70/12	22/25 25/6 28/21	188/11 189/25
76/9 77/5 78/15	28/22 32/17 32/21	190/2 192/15
81/25 85/18 95/14	35/3 36/21 37/18	192/18 193/16
99/8 99/9 99/10	39/9 41/10 43/10	194/18 195/14
99/10 100/21 101/5	43/24 48/18 62/10	195/22 196/1
101/10 104/23	66/4 66/5 73/3	thanks [3] 174/16
107/19 109/8	74/20 75/10 80/18	174/17 179/18
110/20 111/6	81/3 83/10 83/14	that [753]
111/14 111/17	84/14 85/12 85/19	that's [139] 5/2
113/11 117/17	86/5 87/8 91/13	6/16 6/19 7/22 9/14
136/6 145/24	91/15 92/14 96/12	12/15 12/24 14/6
147/24 157/23	96/13 96/22 101/11	14/10 14/13 14/22
180/12	101/24 102/3 103/6	15/1 15/16 16/9
testing [1] 79/18	103/22 106/15	17/15 18/12 20/14
than [33] 13/11	106/16 124/17	27/12 29/25 30/19
17/19 28/9 35/20	133/17 134/18	31/7 31/17 34/2
40/20 51/8 62/19	137/24 140/8	37/7 38/15 38/18
62/23 66/3 69/18	149/13 149/21	39/17 39/23 40/13
77/25 90/12 90/21	151/14 154/18	40/21 42/19 43/8
91/3 102/14 102/22	155/19 160/10	43/9 44/3 45/5
109/3 109/7 109/16	160/11 162/3	45/13 45/20 46/2

T	141/11 141/14	127/12 129/4 139/5
that's [101]	144/21 145/19	148/14 149/6
47/17 48/2 48/7	147/3 147/22	170/16 171/3 174/7
49/23 50/11 51/15	148/16 149/10	177/10 187/21
51/18 53/2 53/8	149/10 149/20	188/19 195/2
53/16 53/22 55/13	153/16 155/4	themselves [1]
56/13 57/2 57/3	155/19 159/8	86/20
57/18 58/21 61/3	159/25 160/6 161/7	then [38] 7/15
61/3 62/8 63/13	163/13 163/16	14/19 20/11 22/9
65/19 68/18 68/24	170/9 174/22	28/4 29/10 29/14
69/2 69/18 70/14	178/17 181/23	35/1 36/3 42/17
75/18 79/23 81/21	182/14 193/14	44/25 47/10 47/13
82/22 87/7 90/2	195/8	62/7 67/20 68/25
90/9 91/19 95/20	<b>their [16]</b> 13/17	83/13 87/4 87/16
97/2 98/8 100/11	24/20 25/23 26/17	90/13 102/20
100/17 101/5	30/1 30/5 33/4	105/19 112/21
101/15 102/16	39/15 43/18 43/18	115/1 122/3 122/5
102/18 103/17	55/3 129/3 138/4	132/17 137/10
104/21 105/22	177/14 185/1	137/22 141/18
107/3 108/13 109/6	187/12	143/17 144/4 150/1
109/14 109/19	<b>them [41]</b> 3/22 9/1	163/11 167/25
110/6 110/8 113/24	18/17 19/12 22/11	180/25 184/21
116/1 116/16 117/1	26/12 27/5 40/14	185/18
119/7 119/8 121/6	40/16 45/25 49/18	<b>theory [1]</b> 118/20
123/20 125/17	66/11 66/12 66/15	<b>there [179]</b> 1/9
128/19 128/22	66/20 78/8 80/25	3/16 3/19 3/20 4/11
129/24 131/10	88/3 96/21 98/25	5/1 6/21 7/1 7/6 7/7
134/17 134/18	102/12 105/13	11/9 13/13 13/18
135/11 135/16	110/3 110/10	14/2 15/12 16/19
135/25 140/2	113/17 113/21	18/2 18/6 18/23
140/13 141/2	115/14 117/3 117/9	19/18 19/23 21/15
170/13 171/2		

$\mathbf{T}$	118/21 119/13	there'd [1] 144/9
there [157] 26/1	119/15 120/4 121/3	there's [96] 7/20
27/20 30/2 31/6	121/25 123/21	8/25 9/3 9/7 9/16
34/14 35/7 35/25	123/23 123/24	13/15 16/3 16/14
39/3 39/4 39/4 39/5	124/1 125/10	17/13 18/8 21/22
42/25 44/8 48/6	127/23 128/1 128/2	22/18 23/8 23/18
49/20 52/1 52/12	128/8 128/10	24/11 25/16 27/6
53/6 53/14 53/15	129/17 131/1 132/1	29/21 30/3 40/9
55/9 55/16 56/7	132/2 132/7 132/8	41/1 42/6 43/16
57/25 58/4 62/21	133/4 133/11	43/19 45/2 47/25
68/7 68/20 68/23	133/13 133/15	49/17 51/23 51/24
69/4 69/24 69/25	133/17 133/25	53/6 55/7 55/19
70/2 70/4 70/12	134/1 134/2 134/3	56/2 56/3 56/7 58/9
72/1 72/9 72/11	134/12 134/15	59/15 64/23 66/21
73/11 73/12 73/16	134/20 134/22	69/9 71/1 72/13
73/25 74/3 74/5	138/11 138/25	76/4 77/10 77/13
75/2 76/25 77/22	139/14 142/8	77/21 78/12 78/14
78/15 80/8 87/8	143/10 144/6 145/6	81/24 88/14 90/7
87/15 88/7 89/11	146/9 146/25 147/1	
90/21 91/7 91/8	147/6 147/15 148/9	98/12 99/2 99/4
91/24 91/25 92/3	148/22 149/11	106/10 107/22
92/4 95/11 95/17	150/19 152/12	109/8 112/8 113/6
97/1 97/8 98/2	152/13 153/24	114/3 117/2 117/5
98/21 98/22 99/14	154/8 154/21	117/15 117/17
99/14 99/15 99/23	157/12 162/6 166/9	117/18 118/10
100/19 103/14	168/17 170/23	118/20 126/9
103/19 105/8	172/1 176/3 177/14	127/18 128/2 129/8
107/17 110/20	178/23 184/13	131/1 137/8 143/11
112/5 112/12 113/2	186/7 186/14	144/18 152/10
114/22 115/7	186/16 186/16	154/4 154/12
116/23 117/8	189/3 189/5 194/2	155/16 157/18

T	195/18	122/23 122/25
there's [13]	<b>they [127]</b> 3/16	123/1 123/2 123/7
157/22 159/16	3/23 4/2 9/1 9/24	124/20 124/21
163/8 178/13 180/5	14/20 19/11 19/23	126/12 126/22
182/20 184/2	19/24 20/2 20/6	127/12 127/17
186/14 186/21	20/10 21/5 24/21	128/6 129/14
190/4 191/17 195/4	25/8 25/18 25/21	129/15 131/25
195/16	26/3 28/3 28/16	135/10 139/21
thereafter [1]	30/4 30/6 33/2 33/3	142/10 142/11
151/3	39/18 40/3 40/4	145/16 147/3
therefore [2] 48/10	40/16 42/18 45/21	148/19 148/19
101/3	47/1 48/5 49/14	161/14 161/16
<b>Theresa [2]</b> 2/7	51/7 55/4 55/4 55/8	161/18 161/19
41/12	55/13 57/22 58/7	163/5 164/8 164/9
these [38] 3/19	70/16 71/17 75/24	164/10 166/17
6/12 32/25 45/16	83/19 86/24 87/20	170/21 171/4
46/21 48/16 52/5	88/14 88/20 89/22	171/20 174/2 174/3
66/10 71/12 72/4	90/15 98/14 103/10	174/8 186/14 187/6
86/9 89/14 98/6	103/13 105/10	187/12 187/13
116/19 122/9	110/2 110/14	187/16 189/5 191/3
129/12 129/13	110/15 112/14	191/16 192/12
136/2 140/10	112/17 112/19	195/19 195/20
153/12 155/9	113/5 114/20	they'll [4] 25/11
160/15 160/17	114/21 115/14	25/14 122/15
161/21 163/11	115/15 116/17	140/17
164/19 165/18	117/11 117/12	they're [43] 13/22
165/19 166/14	117/12 117/12	26/6 27/7 42/17
169/17 171/13	117/22 118/18	45/18 45/19 45/24
177/1 177/10	119/14 119/16	45/25 46/11 47/2
183/24 189/13	119/18 122/3	66/7 67/1 68/23
195/9 195/17	122/21 122/22	69/8 71/7 88/20

$\mathbf{T}$	145/22 145/22	87/25 88/13 89/6
they're [27]	145/25 148/19	90/18 91/5 91/17
89/17 90/2 90/25	148/22 149/1	95/22 96/14 99/4
98/16 98/25 103/10	163/14 163/17	100/4 101/13 102/8
103/12 110/14	170/21 172/1 177/7	110/19 112/3
110/16 111/5	177/11 177/12	116/20 125/15
115/14 117/10	184/13 185/10	128/22 129/13
127/18 127/21	187/15 187/17	129/14 130/2 130/7
148/1 149/7 161/6	188/3 192/22	131/19 132/24
161/7 163/3 164/5	think [124] 3/18	135/11 136/7 137/2
164/7 174/8 174/8	8/5 11/15 11/23	137/4 137/9 139/12
174/9 174/14	13/6 13/8 13/9	140/9 144/13
178/19 183/5	14/16 17/20 17/23	144/24 145/11
thieve [1] 193/2	18/2 18/19 18/20	145/12 146/9
thieves [1] 193/2	19/8 19/9 19/15	147/11 148/17
thing [25] 25/25	21/17 21/19 22/19	149/24 154/20
26/1 26/21 27/19	28/14 28/15 30/9	158/19 159/20
29/20 40/8 42/18	32/9 32/14 32/19	161/19 164/4 166/8
56/15 82/8 101/9	33/9 33/11 33/14	174/24 175/7
101/22 108/11	34/3 34/6 34/7 35/2	175/13 175/22
110/5 110/8 113/21	36/6 36/10 36/13	176/3 176/17
117/18 125/22	41/3 41/5 41/7	176/22 176/24
141/20 147/3	43/16 43/17 49/3	177/2 177/11 184/7
168/20 168/20	49/5 54/2 54/17	184/14 186/23
185/19 188/23	54/18 56/23 58/15	187/22 188/6
193/1 195/15	58/17 58/18 61/3	thinks [2] 101/14
things [29] 27/6	66/9 68/1 69/18	182/2
28/7 61/15 67/20	70/12 70/18 72/20	<b>third</b> [1] 122/8
107/17 117/11	74/25 78/1 78/7	third-party [1]
118/17 130/16	78/19 81/21 82/6	122/8
131/19 145/20	84/5 84/8 85/6	<b>Thirty [3]</b> 45/15

T	192/10	throw [1] 65/23
<b>Thirty [2]</b> 48/12	<b>thousand [3]</b> 130/2	THURSDAY [2]
48/15	130/3 187/10	1/3 165/20
Thirty-six [3]	thousands [2]	tie [1] 164/3
45/15 48/12 48/15	161/2 161/2	tight [1] 129/6
this [260]	three [19] 14/5	time [73] 4/8 5/23
those [51] 3/14	52/5 106/8 111/25	7/6 9/23 10/1 12/15
9/23 9/25 11/23	120/16 120/20	22/11 27/10 34/14
13/20 19/9 21/12	123/18 123/25	34/23 37/20 40/15
26/7 32/15 46/6	124/4 124/19	40/15 41/21 42/13
66/7 71/16 72/14	127/10 133/18	50/19 54/14 54/16
75/7 77/20 83/17	142/17 143/16	67/18 72/24 76/6
85/14 86/16 86/17	144/9 156/12	76/6 76/9 77/5
86/22 87/1 87/22	168/22 172/13	77/11 79/20 82/7
88/2 90/16 93/9	186/6	83/6 83/12 88/6
95/18 111/17 113/4	three-bedroom [6]	88/20 95/25 96/2
113/22 114/6	120/16 120/20	108/6 110/23
114/18 115/4 120/2	123/18 123/25	111/21 113/3
121/22 122/18	142/17 144/9	117/16 117/17
123/10 128/6 129/9	three-bedrooms [1]	118/23 127/16
144/11 147/24	143/16	131/20 133/15
155/4 156/13	through [17] 12/2	137/9 137/21 138/1
167/22 172/20	12/11 19/5 27/24	138/2 138/4 138/17
178/16 178/25	51/6 75/19 101/10	138/20 143/12
186/17 187/19	115/10 117/15	147/12 148/25
187/24 188/2 193/7	147/1 148/14 149/2	150/2 151/18
though [4] 48/14	151/25 165/16	156/19 157/4 159/4
108/6 112/2 121/19	176/21 185/8	162/14 166/9
	185/15	168/25 172/16
thought [7] 74/14 132/22 133/4	throughout [2]	175/2 176/14
135/17 189/6 191/8	112/0 192/12	180/10 181/23
133/11/107/0171/0		

$\mathbf{T}$	took [3] 11/6 18/13	192/8 193/5
time [7] 182/4	40/3	towns [4] 148/11
182/10 183/1	top [6] 13/1 38/8	148/14 149/5
187/18 189/21	54/9 55/6 56/13	176/17
193/11 194/9	79/5	township [62] 1/1
times [8] 23/12	tossed [1] 115/18	1/8 1/18 23/7 23/10
33/7 36/18 73/20	total [8] 86/13	23/19 24/18 24/24
112/1 133/18	87/10 87/11 144/10	25/16 27/3 37/2
158/21 173/17	154/23 155/17	46/17 46/20 53/25
today [8] 34/17	178/18 190/8	57/13 57/25 58/5
75/13 108/14	touch [1] 190/5	58/6 58/7 58/7
118/24 132/3 136/3	touched [1] 26/22	58/17 58/19 59/16
173/24 181/6	towards [2] 133/22	60/2 60/9 62/22
today's [1] 111/4	163/10	63/5 64/15 64/18
together [1] 164/3	town [43] 67/18	74/24 80/5 94/23
token [1] 97/5	68/8 72/5 75/5	105/9 107/23 108/1
told [7] 7/5 19/23	127/23 132/24	111/13 112/14
69/7 119/12 185/9	135/14 146/7	115/9 116/5 117/3
188/18 193/1	159/14 159/23	119/6 119/14
tomorrow [1]	160/3 169/23 170/2	119/16 121/7
132/4	170/5 170/13	122/12 122/18
tonight [13] 5/25	170/18 171/1 171/4	123/5 124/13
20/19 32/14 98/25	173/16 173/22	127/13 133/2
116/3 137/3 137/17	174/1 174/6 174/16	140/11 146/6 150/9
155/22 177/18	175/8 176/18 177/3	159/23 160/9
177/23 179/12	177/3 177/6 177/14	161/13 167/24
180/7 194/10	177/15 183/4	168/6 168/7 174/11
too [8] 23/12 28/5	184/22 186/13	174/24 191/16
28/22 91/16 122/2	186/21 187/1 187/8	<b>-</b>
128/14 189/13	187/11 188/4	59/20 64/23
195/24	190/14 191/7 191/9	township-wide [1]

T	Transportation [2]	<b>truly [3]</b> 19/5
township-wide	179/8 179/9	172/1 172/11
[1] 127/13	transported [1]	trust [2] 66/12
townships [1]	183/22	124/13
124/15	travel [3] 111/1	truth [1] 195/5
tracks [1] 189/12	179/25 179/25	try [5] 3/7 30/22
traditional [3]	treat [4] 51/16	75/12 92/13 119/18
108/13 109/17	51/19 53/15 53/21	<b>trying [17]</b> 23/13
109/22	treated [1] 182/12	52/4 65/14 76/1
traffic [18] 21/20	treatment [3] 25/9	82/13 82/14 82/18
32/1 32/11 42/3	27/21 51/22	82/19 113/4 131/16
42/18 46/12 96/7	tree [2] 81/1 162/1	164/5 164/7 169/20
96/10 99/4 99/7	trees [11] 73/11	171/10 183/5
99/9 130/12 130/18	73/17 73/25 74/3	191/15 192/4
	74/5 74/22 74/22	turn [6] 74/18 81/4
140/14 146/13	75/2 75/5 75/7	143/18 161/14
146/14 146/14	80/21	183/5 185/1
147/8	tremendous [2]	turned [1] 195/1
trafficky [1] 69/12	117/6 118/11	turning [2] 138/14
train [1] 189/12	trial [1] 194/23	139/22
trains [1] 187/19	tried [1] 33/24	turns [1] 91/20
transcript [2] 1/4	triple [2] 21/8	<b>TV [4]</b> 23/22 24/16
149/18	173/20	24/23 194/22
transcription [1]	truck [7] 20/10	TVAC [1] 148/2
197/9	42/15 42/15 42/19	tweaked [1]
transcripts [1]	42/20 42/24 43/8	115/18
149/15	trucks [1] 42/24	twice [2] 109/18
transfer [3] 40/4	true [7] 68/23 69/1	
40/9 105/4	69/19 118/23	twist [1] 183/5
transforming [1]	118/23 147/3	two [67] 6/3 6/21
119/8	174/25	16/3 16/7 21/15
transit [1] 171/5	117123	10/3 10// 21/13

$\mathbf{T}$	143/16 144/8	186/18
two [62] 21/24	two-bedrooms [1]	uncomfortable [1]
32/2 34/12 40/6	123/21	160/10
40/12 40/18 54/15	two-minute [1]	uncontrolled [2]
68/12 70/15 80/25	180/2	55/25 56/1
86/12 93/9 95/16	two-story [1]	under [17] 20/2
107/17 111/1	111/1	20/7 20/12 32/22
114/10 120/15	two-tenths [1]	33/17 36/14 71/11
120/21 123/15	124/10	75/3 100/6 100/7
123/17 123/18	two-year [1]	117/25 129/24
123/19 123/21	185/23	132/6 138/21
123/21 123/24	<b>type [7]</b> 52/11	139/17 166/20
123/25 124/1 124/2	76/22 80/21 145/5	186/7
124/3 124/3 124/4	148/7 148/10 177/1	underground [9]
124/10 130/16	types [3] 6/21 54/5	23/2 23/5 24/12
131/19 133/1 133/4	142/13	26/14 26/18 27/21
135/24 142/17	<b>typical [5]</b> 20/9	28/5 51/6 79/1
143/2 143/2 143/16	42/23 72/14 107/19	
144/7 144/8 144/9	109/17	12/5 12/9
145/19 148/25	typically [3]	underperforming
149/2 149/8 149/15	109/15 109/16	<b>[1]</b> 116/25
152/11 152/13	148/20	underserved [1]
156/11 162/1	$ \mathbf{U} $	145/2
163/12 165/3	U-shaped [1]	understand [30]
168/14 168/18	110/11	12/8 41/22 41/23
175/15 176/24	<b>Uber [1]</b> 117/12	57/21 58/18 75/12
180/2 185/23	Ultimately [1]	75/13 76/1 76/7
187/21	125/8	77/4 81/16 82/24
two-bedroom [6]	unbalanced [1]	88/5 89/13 90/1
21/24 120/15	170/9	96/4 115/14 133/6
123/24 142/17	unclear [2] 185/15	147/21 152/2

U	107/22	143/16 143/16
understand [10]	unit [12] 21/22	143/20 144/5 144/6
156/22 157/21	42/23 52/1 70/11	144/7 144/8 144/8
158/9 160/12 161/9	70/23 76/21 103/2	144/9 144/11 160/5
163/3 174/20 176/8	118/19 124/11	160/7 161/24 168/4
190/7 190/23	135/6 144/19 146/8	168/14 171/12
understanding [9]	<b>United</b> [1] 31/24	171/13
28/17 35/22 58/3	<b>units [75]</b> 14/21	universe [1]
61/13 108/8 133/2	42/21 52/5 52/6	112/22
139/17 156/25	60/5 62/1 62/19	University [1]
158/17	62/23 83/5 84/21	105/14
understands [2]	89/12 89/22 102/17	<b>unless [3]</b> 106/10
76/20 157/17	102/19 103/1 103/4	139/2 186/7
understood [3]	103/5 111/7 111/16	<b>unquote [1]</b> 160/18
35/12 36/10 78/7	117/24 120/4	unrealistic [1]
underutilized [2]	120/14 120/15	165/18
115/25 138/14	120/15 120/16	<b>unsure [1]</b> 100/20
underway [1]	122/22 123/3	<b>until [6]</b> 34/19
117/6	123/12 123/16	83/13 101/25
unfortunately [2]	123/17 123/18	137/19 149/24
180/13 180/14	123/18 123/19	194/3
unfriendly [1]	123/19 123/22	<b>unusual [3]</b> 107/21
160/3	123/24 123/25	108/5 116/20
unhappy [1] 188/5	124/5 124/15	<b>up [55]</b> 3/8 7/10
Unidentified [1]	124/21 126/18	14/8 15/19 18/23
2/12	127/23 128/1 128/5	25/21 29/7 32/13
	128/11 128/15	43/4 43/12 50/18
<b>unimpeded [1]</b> 86/17	129/1 129/3 129/9	61/22 73/5 75/21
	129/24 130/3 130/5	82/13 83/12 86/4
uninterpreted [1] 113/15	130/6 132/1 143/1	89/3 91/19 92/13
	143/5 143/7 143/12	96/14 102/2 103/7
<b>unique [2]</b> 107/21		

U	urge [1] 194/9	uses [3] 114/1
<b>up [32]</b> 112/24	us [20] 9/13 19/23	114/5 114/5
120/19 125/12	24/15 29/13 35/2	using [2] 66/2
128/3 128/16	40/1 44/20 44/23	142/10
135/24 137/9 138/5	46/7 50/23 117/21	<b>utilities</b> [1] 26/14
153/19 161/4	117/21 118/4	<b>utility [5]</b> 26/1
164/13 165/4	121/17 129/11	26/8 39/11 39/23
168/22 172/14	154/24 159/13	39/24
174/11 174/12	163/6 171/7 195/25	utilization [1]
174/12 174/13	usability [1] 19/9	162/18
178/3 179/1 180/10	<b>usable [4]</b> 31/12	<b>utilized</b> [1] 17/14
180/15 181/2 181/6	16/170/1162/16	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
187/14 190/7	use [32] 11/23	V-E-A-C-H [3]
190/21 191/23	19/12 33/2 33/13	43/14 43/23 160/16
193/6 193/9 193/10	33/20 37/20 39/15	vacancies [4]
193/17	40/16 52/17 59/17	113/2 128/13
<b>updated [3]</b> 5/22	108/2 108/13	168/18 168/19
7/1 7/4	111/23 113/22	vacancy [5] 118/2
<b>upon [8]</b> 12/7	116/7 116/14 119/9	118/15 129/4
26/22 31/14 116/23		168/10 168/14
119/13 144/15	120/21 120/24	vacant [13] 55/18
171/13 171/23	125/14 134/9	56/7 68/2 73/9
<b>upper [11]</b> 7/8	138/10 140/4	73/10 93/1 116/15
8/20 10/23 11/7	148/20 157/22	116/25 118/3
15/25 16/1 16/5	166/19 166/23	128/16 130/5 130/6
16/9 21/11 47/22	167/6 185/16 192/6	138/13
103/13	<b>used [9]</b> 11/22	vacated [1] 115/7
upper-level [1]	13/23 31/4 59/22	vacation [1] 182/9
16/5	113/22 124/14	vacation [1] 102/7
urban [1] 159/24	148/12 173/18	129/10 158/22
urban/suburban	193/4	valued [1] 182/14
<b>[1]</b> 159/24		varueu [1] 102/17

$\mathbf{V}$	162/4	187/2 187/14 188/4
van [1] 86/20	<b>Veach-1</b> [ <b>7</b> ] 2/24	188/11 192/15
vans [2] 41/19 42/1	60/20 60/21 63/12	192/18
vantage [1] 170/20	63/13 66/17 66/18	<b>viable [1]</b> 115/23
variance [19]	Veach-2 [5] 2/25	<b>vibrant [1]</b> 173/3
47/20 108/13	63/22 64/4 66/17	<b>VICE [1]</b> 1/11
108/13 108/18	66/18	view [3] 46/8
108/21 108/23	vegetation [1] 74/8	106/20 146/14
108/24 108/25	<b>vehicle [7]</b> 46/8	viewed [1] 121/14
111/24 112/3	70/23 71/19 72/8	<b>VINCE [3]</b> 1/19
149/11 164/5	72/16 155/13	2/3 22/17
164/15 166/16	155/14	<b>violation [1]</b> 187/1
166/20 166/22	<b>vehicles [4]</b> 127/12	virtue [1] 121/3
166/23 171/17	135/19 135/20	visited [1] 166/5
171/18	153/21	<b>visitors</b> [1] 36/2
variances [11]	<b>verify</b> [1] 25/17	visual [1] 80/23
85/4 97/24 107/2	versus [5] 13/2	vitality [1] 112/19
146/18 165/19	32/23 33/17 142/18	<b>voice [3]</b> 3/7 3/8
169/18 171/19	154/22	72/24
186/11 195/17	very [ <b>34</b> ] 8/4 35/6	volunteers [1]
195/18 195/19	41/10 44/15 69/12	162/25
variety [2] 49/19	72/3 73/19 96/12	vote [1] 34/22
51/24	98/23 103/7 109/21	<b>Votee [3]</b> 169/5
Veach [23] 2/7	114/24 123/14	179/21 179/23
2/12 2/24 2/25	124/18 130/6	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$
43/13 47/9 47/10	148/16 155/15	
48/19 60/20 60/21	160/3 160/9 160/11	wait [3] 71/9
61/17 63/12 63/13	165/10 166/10	147/23 164/12
63/22 64/4 66/6	169/13 172/15	waiting [1] 34/8
66/17 66/17 66/18	175/14 175/17	<b>walk [2]</b> 179/24 180/2
66/18 66/23 160/16	180/23 186/11	
00,1000,20100,10		<b>walked [2]</b> 181/3

$\mathbf{W}$	123/7 124/20 133/2	warrant [1] 115/1
<b>walked [1]</b> 181/9		<b>Warren [1]</b> 175/20
walking [2] 8/15	137/19 138/1 139/2	was [189] 6/20 7/1
180/3	139/3 141/20	7/2 7/6 7/7 10/22
walkway [1]	144/25 145/25	11/9 12/13 12/14
110/12	155/25 156/19	13/10 14/2 15/12
wall [26] 13/12	157/11 158/16	16/20 17/6 17/6
13/14 13/24 14/2	158/23 161/18	18/2 18/6 18/9
19/14 38/14 38/17	162/13 164/8 164/9	19/18 19/18 19/23
38/21 38/23 39/1	164/10 166/18	19/23 22/1 22/23
39/2 39/6 151/25	171/21 172/3	28/22 30/11 31/14
152/3 152/3 152/7	176/11 177/5 177/6	31/15 31/23 31/25
152/9 152/18	177/10 177/18	32/1 32/10 33/16
152/23 153/17	177/23 178/5	35/10 35/23 39/22
153/19 153/21	181/12 181/17	42/12 43/3 50/20
153/23 154/1 154/7	181/20 182/11	57/16 57/25 58/1
154/9	183/7 184/18	58/4 58/15 59/24
wallets [2] 113/6	184/21 185/5	60/21 63/3 63/4
113/20	189/20 190/2 190/5	64/4 65/7 66/18
want [80] 4/11	190/6 195/24	68/7 70/12 70/24
5/17 5/24 12/1 17/7	<b>wanted [11]</b> 24/16	73/6 74/4 74/15
17/21 18/20 24/15	91/17 105/2 114/21	77/2 78/19 78/20
27/20 29/20 32/16	124/21 147/24	82/7 82/13 82/18
44/14 44/17 60/12	167/20 172/22	82/19 91/8 91/24
60/13 60/25 61/6	176/13 185/12	91/25 94/16 94/17
66/7 66/12 67/7	193/21	95/17 95/20 96/3
73/5 75/10 75/11	<b>wants [6]</b> 18/16	97/1 97/8 97/10
78/3 81/15 85/21	29/8 91/23 161/13	98/9 98/12 99/15
90/11 90/20 107/18	174/10 180/15	100/1 100/1 100/2
108/11 112/17	warning [2] 18/23	100/3 100/4 102/9
117/8 117/13 123/5	111/25	102/12 104/5
11//011//13/123/3		

$\mathbf{W}$	180/23 180/24	way [27] 14/17
was [103] 104/14	181/2 181/6 181/8	40/16 45/5 59/6
106/1 106/24 107/9	1 101/0 109/5 109/12	64/8 101/20 113/18
107/25 108/1 108/4	199/12 199/17	119/15 151/25
108/7 108/7 109/25	195/7 195/19	153/19 154/15
112/5 112/5 112/15	195/16 196/1 196/6	157/8 162/3 170/7
112/20 114/4	186/8 188/19 189/5	170/16 173/18
114/12 115/16	189/7 190/11	175/16 176/23
115/20 115/20	190/18 190/21	177/12 180/12
116/8 116/14	190/23 191/13	182/12 182/13
116/22 116/23	191/14 191/22	183/23 183/25
118/15 118/19	191/23 193/7 194/4	185/25 187/16
119/9 119/13	194/7 194/14	189/23
119/15 120/7	194/25 195/4	<b>Wayne [1]</b> 114/21
120/22 127/25	195/22	ways [5] 33/1
130/3 133/3 133/4	Washington [2]	51/20 51/24 147/2
133/4 133/13	175/4 175/6	169/19
135/14 138/21	wasn't [4] 77/3	we [240]
139/4 139/4 139/9	101/2 121/2 181/7	<b>we'd [4]</b> 40/11
139/10 139/14	watch [2] 17/24	68/6 97/22 154/16
139/17 139/18	29/25	we'll [18] 7/16
140/25 141/1 141/5	<b>watched</b> [2] 76/3	17/11 18/24 25/24
142/6 142/8 142/12	1 1 2 0 / 2 0	47/9 47/13 54/24
143/4 143/14	water [20] 26/22	57/10 84/13 95/13
147/15 151/8 151/9	27/22 50/9 51/17	101/18 101/22
152/12 154/21	53/15 53/21 56/25	108/9 124/9 137/10
160/13 167/20	57/17 79/7 79/11	137/20 137/22
168/3 168/5 168/9	79/12 79/17 79/21	150/25
168/11 169/10	92/19 92/24 93/7	we're [58] 3/1 4/6
170/23 172/9	93/13 93/21 94/1	7/14 11/14 11/20
172/18 180/11	94/7	24/21 34/4 37/7

$\mathbf{W}$	weird [1] 115/12	84/19 166/1 167/14
we're [50] 42/22	<b>welcome [4]</b> 3/14	<b>WENDY [6]</b> 1/21
52/14 52/18 52/25	35/20 91/14 173/24	2/15 7/10 8/3 105/2
56/5 56/15 56/16	<b>welfare [11]</b> 2/11	172/21
56/17 56/25 61/15	136/10 137/23	went [8] 75/19
67/11 74/6 85/3	155/23 156/5 156/9	82/10 117/20 127/4
89/2 97/15 99/1	156/15 157/19	146/23 185/7
104/22 108/14	158/1 158/18	185/14 185/21
108/14 108/17	167/11	were [48] 4/12
111/10 111/17	<b>well [52]</b> 13/1	4/12 5/23 6/10 7/1
112/16 113/1	13/15 16/18 16/23	7/4 7/16 8/4 10/15
113/12 114/8 120/3	17/15 20/8 26/16	21/4 36/24 37/9
120/22 121/20	28/14 30/17 33/16	38/11 40/8 41/18
123/17 125/25	36/3 42/11 45/7	41/25 42/2 48/5
132/11 132/13	45/23 50/17 52/14	49/1 71/17 75/14
133/7 137/3 140/11	54/9 55/21 55/25	75/21 86/7 86/8
142/24 142/25	60/15 65/24 84/19	88/20 92/3 96/14
145/20 149/24	85/2 86/21 86/25	96/19 97/15 98/22
153/14 155/23	87/3 88/9 88/13	99/13 99/22 128/9
159/21 160/2 166/6	88/25 99/25 110/23	128/10 140/20
177/25 178/21	121/6 133/20	160/24 163/22
183/18 188/8 192/4	138/16 139/25	163/25 165/2
we've [8] 27/5	141/21 143/8	169/12 169/13
65/13 66/11 111/6	146/22 148/1	172/1 172/2 175/3
115/24 126/16	153/10 162/14	175/6 190/15 191/4
126/17 159/5	163/19 163/19	191/15
website [1] 140/16	165/1 166/1 167/14	weren't [2] 13/18
week [1] 164/23	168/14 171/23	123/11
weighed [1] 170/7	175/11 177/11	west [4] 132/18
weighing [1] 170/6	183/11 188/20	134/21 139/6
weighs [1] 100/13	well-being [3]	179/17

$\mathbf{W}$	110/2 110/3 110/6	110/9 116/3 118/9
Westwood [3]	112/4 112/14 116/2	133/7 133/8 134/25
104/22 112/25	117/7 117/10	170/19 176/3
112/25	118/13 119/1	177/24 185/2
what [150] 8/20	120/13 120/24	186/12 188/5
8/24 11/4 12/4	124/6 126/6 127/21	191/15
12/15 13/16 14/23	128/6 129/11	whatever [14]
18/16 19/22 23/13	131/10 131/17	13/24 28/2 39/15
24/15 28/15 29/5	134/17 134/18	52/17 55/5 99/25
30/16 31/4 32/7	135/2 135/11 138/6	113/17 142/17
34/20 35/2 35/5	138/8 138/9 138/16	156/18 158/20
36/9 37/7 37/23	138/19 138/25	161/18 174/13
40/2 44/17 44/22	139/4 139/4 139/17	189/12 192/3
45/3 45/3 46/25	139/20 140/25	whatsoever [2]
49/11 50/5 50/23	142/11 143/5 145/4	76/12 173/25
52/11 53/20 54/5	145/10 147/17	<b>wheel [1]</b> 90/6
54/9 55/13 57/2	151/22 151/25	wheelchair [1]
57/6 57/24 58/18	154/25 156/11	90/7
58/21 59/6 60/11	157/16 159/25	<b>when [54]</b> 8/3
63/3 65/3 65/6 65/7	160/1 160/8 164/12	11/25 30/15 31/23
67/22 68/7 68/8	164/13 164/14	34/18 34/18 34/24
69/7 76/2 76/5 77/2	164/17 167/19	37/6 40/13 40/15
77/7 77/10 77/19	170/1 171/12	40/25 42/5 44/10
78/20 79/7 79/16	171/25 172/5 172/7	53/10 56/2 56/4
79/21 84/6 85/3	173/5 181/6 182/2	67/20 72/2 84/20
86/16 87/20 88/5	182/22 186/5	85/3 86/3 86/7 95/1
88/16 90/2 90/13	186/16 187/15	95/15 101/13 108/1
91/4 92/19 94/4	189/18 191/3 192/3	113/5 113/25
96/5 98/8 98/19	194/16 196/3	121/13 125/2
99/1 99/20 102/8	<b>what's [17]</b> 27/10	126/10 126/15
105/3 108/17 109/5	30/24 67/23 72/5	127/17 129/15
103/3 100/17 107/3		

$\mathbf{W}$	62/4 62/7 62/11	169/10 175/24
when [20]	62/14 64/7 64/8	178/22 179/2
130/19 133/4	64/11	179/21 179/22
134/19 137/8	<b>wherever [2</b> ] 42/17	180/11 185/8
145/16 148/9 157/6	161/7	185/23 185/25
158/23 163/4	<b>whether [13]</b> 12/10	191/22 192/5 194/7
164/16 166/14	20/22 33/18 33/20	194/11
168/3 168/12	50/14 51/5 52/16	<b>while [8]</b> 42/2
180/20 189/21	55/8 57/1 75/21	77/23 119/22
190/11 190/20	100/23 132/5	133/24 135/25
190/11 190/20	189/11	182/13 193/6
where [47] 4/25	<b>which [66]</b> 6/12	194/22
5/2 5/9 14/14 17/8	8/18 11/8 13/9 14/8	whispering [1]
17/23 21/11 21/15	14/11 19/24 25/17	5/15
26/5 35/14 42/23	31/11 31/23 45/14	<b>who [48]</b> 3/14 4/8
46/7 67/24 70/9	51/3 52/25 53/11	29/8 29/13 32/24
72/12 75/12 75/20	62/4 66/1 71/16	33/5 33/19 50/23
76/8 83/25 84/24	73/7 73/25 74/22	55/11 56/21 57/5
93/4 94/1 106/1	75/2 75/6 83/18	57/6 59/24 69/14
109/15 112/8	86/21 88/16 94/16	70/5 70/19 71/21
112/12 112/25	94/17 110/1 111/2	87/14 88/23 90/13
114/3 122/2 137/19	111/3 111/8 111/19	90/16 91/21 95/11
137/20 148/22	121/17 122/24	97/9 98/24 99/5
150/8 151/23 152/5	122/24 123/20	101/21 105/9
159/17 168/11	124/13 132/12	121/22 121/23
173/23 179/16	135/4 138/21 139/8	123/10 126/13
179/25 180/5	139/9 139/12 141/5	131/12 131/12
187/12 189/3 189/4	141/19 143/14	142/11 156/14
193/3 194/12	146/16 146/17	161/1 162/25
194/23	146/19 149/24	164/18 164/23
whereas [8] 61/11	162/18 167/8	165/1 166/9 169/19
whereas [0] 01/11		

$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	149/10 149/10	7/13 8/2 8/9 8/14
<b>who [5]</b> 174/10	155/24 158/19	9/23 9/25 10/8
177/6 179/19	161/9 161/11	10/10 13/5 16/6
182/14 184/18	161/14 161/15	20/9 23/10 23/20
who's [11] 32/13	161/18 161/22	25/2 25/9 25/21
58/13 84/17 123/2	163/13 181/19	26/10 28/10 28/12
127/15 135/1	181/23 185/16	28/20 34/23 39/5
141/21 164/4	190/23	39/22 57/1 57/7
173/21 174/23	wide [29] 42/4	58/22 63/17 66/25
192/9	44/1 44/5 44/24	70/20 72/23 74/5
<b>Whoa [1]</b> 164/12	45/8 45/12 46/11	74/19 79/10 79/14
whole [7] 51/21	46/19 48/14 65/20	81/1 85/16 89/10
76/3 101/9 143/19	71/5 71/10 71/12	90/15 91/3 93/7
152/17 186/10	72/3 72/7 86/18	93/13 93/15 93/17
191/9	109/17 109/18	94/7 96/20 100/24
wholesale [1]	109/19 109/20	101/17 103/13
195/18	113/14 127/13	103/14 103/17
whose [2] 125/6	132/18 154/24	103/19 109/3
125/7	155/10 155/17	110/20 111/9
why [49] 7/22 13/9	169/14 170/8	111/18 111/21
15/9 31/7 34/21	175/14	113/5 117/10 122/8
41/1 44/4 44/7	wider [5] 68/13	122/12 122/16
44/14 44/23 45/4	71/18 71/22 110/6	122/21 122/22
46/14 46/16 46/22	116/24	122/23 122/25
48/22 49/9 52/25	widest [1] 71/16	123/1 123/2 123/21
53/2 53/12 62/6	width [8] 47/21	123/23 123/24
62/22 64/22 65/19	68/8 71/15 71/15	126/5 127/1 127/16
66/2 81/16 82/19	72/14 77/24 109/13	127/17 129/14
95/12 95/20 98/14	154/25	131/20 131/25
101/21 127/4 148/9	wife [1] 175/2	132/4 132/12
148/10 148/13	<b>will [110]</b> 3/9 7/12	144/23 148/25
110/10 1TO/13		
	<u>ı</u>	

$\mathbf{W}$	29/1 29/5 29/9 32/9	163/16
will [27] 150/8	34/3 34/13 35/14	worked [2] 59/4
150/18 150/19	54/19 61/9 61/23	95/9
151/5 156/4 156/17	70/19 70/19 73/2	working [2] 95/12
157/6 157/8 157/13	73/21 81/12 81/14	105/1
163/15 165/23	81/17 96/1 98/23	works [2] 100/18
166/4 167/14	98/24 99/2 100/5	162/25
171/13 173/8	100/14 101/2	<b>world [4]</b> 111/4
175/23 175/24	101/19 104/8	177/25 188/20
177/3 177/5 177/6	104/13 138/3	194/15
177/8 189/23	witnesses [2] 5/4	<b>worried [1]</b> 46/11
192/22 193/18	98/22	worthless [1]
194/16 195/11	<b>women [1]</b> 40/18	182/2
196/6	won't [6] 28/4 36/4	worthy [1] 179/19
willing [1] 173/21	122/18 161/9	would [162] 4/25
windows [2] 38/22	180/17 190/16	10/14 12/18 12/21
39/5	Wonderful [1]	14/2 14/3 14/19
<b>Windsor [1]</b> 128/4	37/17	14/20 14/20 14/23
wish [2] 5/4 85/5	wondering [2]	14/23 15/20 18/19
within [9] 14/14	117/15 148/8	19/25 20/2 20/6
17/19 23/3 23/6	word [4] 59/22	20/10 20/23 21/2
23/7 66/1 70/16	86/3 86/6 193/22	21/5 21/7 21/8
121/7 183/19	words [7] 27/3	21/11 22/9 27/18
	47/24 99/17 144/25	27/19 28/1 28/16
without [13] 42/3 43/1 43/7 71/3	147/6 158/2 160/24	30/6 33/20 34/20
	work [8] 50/25	35/25 36/1 36/15
97/17 103/20 150/5	70/5 88/12 110/13	36/17 37/3 37/12
150/25 166/23	122/17 142/24	38/11 38/14 39/11
166/24 166/25	179/7 181/21	39/14 39/18 39/19
170/5 179/25	work's [1] 25/9	40/2 40/4 40/5
witness [32] 2/2	workable [1]	40/14 40/15 40/22
4/8 10/13 10/17		

## W would... [113] 40/24 43/4 43/21 44/7 44/13 49/10 49/12 49/14 49/18 49/18 49/20 50/14 52/1 52/7 52/9 52/11 53/20 53/24 57/4 58/6 59/16 60/18 63/21 65/20 67/24 68/3 68/9 69/6 70/11 71/13 71/16 72/9 72/11 72/19 73/1 73/3 75/22 75/23 78/1 79/11 84/8 87/5 88/1 88/3 92/4 92/10 93/4 93/22 94/1 97/6 97/6 97/19 97/21 98/9 99/14 99/14 99/17 100/1 102/11 102/21 102/24 103/1 108/9 108/23 109/6 120/8 120/14 120/18 121/17 126/7 127/3 129/23 131/3 131/12 132/17 132/21 136/22 137/10 138/16 138/19

139/5 147/7 147/9 147/25 148/20 152/1 152/12 152/13 153/8 153/12 153/24 154/2 154/6 154/8 154/11 156/18 158/21 167/8 173/3 **yeah [5]** 26/17 173/7 175/8 179/6 179/19 183/23 185/23 189/13 191/2 191/9 192/13 140/18 140/19 192/13 193/17 195/2 195/11 wouldn't [5] 7/22 20/25 52/12 53/23 92/12 **wow [1]** 187/24 wraps [1] 110/20 write [2] 23/13 116/21 writes [1] 123/4 writing [1] 116/17 written [3] 22/23 54/1 112/20 wrong [4] 36/25 63/9 73/14 73/23 wrote [8] 102/5 126/13 142/13 142/18 164/22 194/2 194/3 194/7

## X XI102184 [1] 197/16 Y yard [3] 68/11 68/12 113/15 49/3 68/12 132/24 137/1 year [6] 59/1 140/25 141/5 185/23 years [27] 96/18 105/25 106/2 106/8 115/16 120/22 136/4 159/15 173/14 173/20 175/1 175/20 178/12 181/5 181/25 184/6 184/7 186/6 187/9 187/15 188/15 188/19 189/8 193/1 193/5 194/11 194/12 yelling [1] 180/25 ves [94] 3/5 3/9 4/14 4/22 9/10 9/12 9/16 10/6 10/10 10/25 11/3 16/24

$\mathbf{Y}$	172/25 176/12	134/12 134/19
yes [82] 17/20	194/20 195/16	135/7 135/19
18/2 18/6 19/21	yesterday [1]	135/20 138/25
20/17 21/2 21/6	132/4	139/20 140/3 140/7
21/23 22/11 24/9	yet [4] 37/8 122/14	144/15 144/24
24/14 25/5 25/13	139/11 186/20	145/4 145/15
25/20 25/24 26/18	<b>York [6]</b> 114/22	146/17 149/23
26/20 27/19 29/24	171/5 179/4 179/8	156/11 156/15
34/16 36/20 41/8	179/10 179/10	159/3 160/8 163/9
42/8 43/2 44/19	you [630]	163/12 164/13
48/17 50/2 50/7	<b>you'd [3]</b> 34/13	164/17 169/18
59/9 63/21 64/3	63/19 158/20	173/14 179/11
65/25 67/15 68/25	<b>you'll [4]</b> 35/1	179/12 180/3
69/22 72/11 72/18	47/11 101/7 136/14	184/17 184/19
76/7 78/21 84/12	you're [77] 5/9	188/1
85/23 86/5 87/12	11/25 14/1 19/10	you've [10] 24/4
89/10 91/12 94/10	20/15 20/18 21/21	24/7 73/20 78/10
101/16 103/21	23/12 24/5 27/16	103/16 111/5
104/4 104/9 105/5	30/25 31/21 34/6	132/14 146/7 170/7
106/8 106/22	35/20 40/25 44/16	173/15
106/25 107/17	45/11 47/3 47/14	<b>young [2]</b> 40/17
123/13 125/5	47/24 52/4 52/24	117/8
125/21 128/21	56/9 56/11 62/8	<b>younger [1]</b> 135/8
128/24 131/1 131/2	65/14 68/10 68/16	<b>your [105]</b> 3/8
133/15 137/15	71/15 71/20 73/19	4/15 8/9 10/3 10/7
139/21 141/4	76/16 87/23 91/4	11/4 14/1 15/2 15/6
141/17 145/5 147/9	91/14 95/6 95/22	17/17 18/15 20/21
147/15 151/10	102/20 121/4 122/2	20/24 22/16 28/19
151/21 152/5	122/11 125/3	29/10 31/14 33/23
152/16 152/20	126/19 128/12	34/19 34/23 35/4
153/3 156/6 157/1	129/2 133/24	35/8 36/22 37/15

$\mathbf{Y}$	184/8 193/3 195/11
your [81] 37/23	195/12 195/12
38/1 38/25 40/23	<b>yourself [3]</b> 11/1
41/22 43/8 43/20	22/13 193/9
43/22 47/11 50/13	$\mathbf{Z}$
50/19 51/22 54/17	<b>ZB2016 [2]</b> 1/7 3/2
56/24 57/3 58/9	<b>ZB2016-08 [2]</b> 1/7
61/10 61/25 62/3	3/2
67/22 74/18 77/5	zero [1] 127/13
78/15 78/16 78/19	<b>zone</b> [8] 41/19 42/1
81/4 84/9 96/6	108/6 108/15
99/15 100/13 102/8	108/16 145/6 192/3
104/8 104/17	192/7
104/17 104/18	<b>zoned [4]</b> 108/7
105/1 105/10 107/4	108/9 185/19
109/16 118/2	190/19
118/24 120/24	<b>zones [3]</b> 113/22
126/24 127/9	192/6 192/7
127/11 128/13	<b>zoning [36]</b> 3/15
129/5 130/17	12/24 19/12 31/16
134/24 135/23	43/25 72/20 106/1
136/19 137/3 138/1	107/13 108/5
138/2 144/25	115/10 116/11
147/13 148/8	116/24 119/14
148/13 150/3 150/3	121/9 140/5 145/7
156/15 157/2 157/2	145/8 145/17
157/7 158/4 162/14	161/12 161/15
163/10 165/3 165/6	161/16 161/17
166/11 172/13	161/21 161/23
172/15 175/18	164/2 167/1 167/2
176/6 176/14 177/3	174/24 185/19

190/14 190/20 190/23 191/20 191/21 191/25 192/2 zooming [1] 179/14